
In the Matter of the Appeal of
[REDACTED]

from a determination by the New York City
Department of Social Services

:
:
:
:
:
:

**DECISION
AFTER
FAIR
HEARING**

JURISDICTION

Pursuant to Section 22 of the New York State Social Services Law (hereinafter Social Services Law) and Part 358 of Title 18 NYCRR, (hereinafter Regulations), a fair hearing was held on November 25, 2015, in New York City, before an Administrative Law Judge. The following persons appeared at the hearing:

For the Appellant

[REDACTED]

For the Social Services Agency

Agency appearance waived by the Office of Administrative Hearings

For the Appellant's Managed Long Term Care Plan (Senior Health Partners)

David Miller, Senior Health Partners Attorney, on November 17, 2015 only; Christopher Gee, Senior Health Partners Attorney, on November 25, 2015 only

ISSUE

Was Senior Health Partners' determination to deny the Appellant's request for an increase of Personal Care Services correct?

FINDINGS OF FACT

An opportunity to be heard having been afforded to all interested parties and evidence having been taken and due deliberation having been had, it is hereby found that:

1. The Appellant (██████) has been in receipt of a Medical Assistance authorization and is enrolled in and receiving services from a Medicaid Managed Care Plan, Senior Health Partners. The Appellant resides with the Appellant's Husband (██████.) The Appellant's Husband's Personal Care Services needs were not an issue at the hearing.

2. The Appellant has been receiving Personal Care Services in the amount of 42 hours weekly, in the form of 12 hours daily, three days weekly and 6 hours daily, one day weekly.

3. The Appellant requested an increase of Personal Care Services to live-in 24-hour personal care services.

4. By Notice dated September 18, 2015, Senior Health Partners denied the Appellant's request.

5. On October 6, 2015, the Appellant requested this fair hearing.

APPLICABLE LAW

Part 438 of 42 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) pertains to provision of Medicaid medical care, services and supplies through Managed Care Organizations (MCOs), Prepaid Inpatient Health Plans (PIHPs), Prepaid Ambulatory Health Plans (PAHPs) and Primary Care Case Managers (PCCMs), and the requirements for contracts for services so provided.

Section 438.210 of 42 CFR Subpart D provides, in pertinent part:

- (a) Coverage - Each contract with an MCO, PIHP, or PAHP must do the following:
 - (1) Identify, define, and specify the amount, duration, and scope of each service that the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP is required to offer.
 - (2) Require that the services identified in paragraph (a)(1) of this section be furnished in an amount, duration, and scope that is no less than the amount, duration, and scope for the same services furnished to

beneficiaries under fee-for-service Medicaid, as set forth in Sec. 440.230.

- (3) Provide that the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP--
 - (i) Must ensure that the services are sufficient in amount, duration, or scope to reasonably be expected to achieve the purpose for which the services are furnished.
 - (ii) May not arbitrarily deny or reduce the amount, duration, or scope of a required service solely because of diagnosis, type of illness, or condition of the beneficiary;
 - (iii) May place appropriate limits on a service
 - (A) On the basis of criteria applied under the State plan, such as medical necessity; or
 - (B) For the purpose of utilization control, provided the services furnished can reasonably be expected to achieve their purpose, as required in paragraph (a)(3)(i) of this section; and
- (4) Specify what constitutes “medically necessary services” in a manner that:
 - (i) Is no more restrictive than that used in the State Medicaid program as indicated in State statutes and regulations, the State Plan, and other State policy and procedures; and
 - (ii) Addresses the extent to which the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP is responsible for covering services related to the following:
 - (A) The prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of health impairments.
 - (B) The ability to achieve age-appropriate growth and development.
 - (C) The ability to attain, maintain, or regain functional capacity.
- (b) Authorization of services. For the processing of requests for initial and continuing authorizations of services, each contract must require:
 - (1) That the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP and its subcontractors have in place, and follow, written policies and procedures.

- (2) That the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP:
 - (i) Have in effect mechanisms to ensure consistent application of review criteria for authorization decisions; and
 - (ii) Consult with the requesting provider when appropriate.
- (3) That any decision to deny a service authorization request or to authorize a service in an amount, duration, or scope that is less than requested, be made by a health care professional who has appropriate clinical expertise in treating the enrollee's condition or disease....

Section 438.236 of 42 CFR Subpart D provides, in pertinent part:

- (a) Basic rule: The State must ensure, through its contracts, that each MCO and, when applicable, each PIHP and PAHP meets the requirements of this section.
- (b) Adoption of practice guidelines. Each MCO and, when applicable, each PIHP and PAHP adopts practice guidelines that meet the following requirements:
 - (1) Are based on valid and reliable clinical evidence or a consensus of health care professionals in the particular field.
 - (2) Consider the needs of the MCO's, PIHP's, or PAHP's enrollees.
 - (3) Are adopted in consultation with contracting health care professionals.
 - (4) Are reviewed and updated periodically as appropriate.
- (c) Dissemination of guidelines. Each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP disseminates the guidelines to all affected providers and, upon request, to enrollees and potential enrollees.
- (d) Application of guidelines. Decisions for utilization management, enrollee education, coverage of services, and other areas to which the guidelines apply are consistent with the guidelines.

Section 438.400 of 42 CFR Subpart F provides in part:

- (a) Statutory basis. This subpart is based on sections 1902(a)(3), 1902(a)(4), and 1932(b)(4) of the Act.
 - (1) Section 1902(a)(3) requires that a State plan provide an opportunity for a fair hearing to any person whose claim for assistance is denied or not acted upon promptly.

- (2) Section 1902(a)(4) requires that the State plan provide for methods of administration that the Secretary finds necessary for the proper and efficient operation of the plan.
 - (3) Section 1932(b)(4) requires Medicaid managed care organizations to establish internal grievance procedures under which Medicaid enrollees, or providers acting on their behalf, may challenge the denial of coverage of, or payment for, medical assistance.
- (b) Definitions. As used in this subpart, the following terms have the indicated meanings:
- In the case of an MCO or PIHP—"Action" means--
- (1) The denial or limited authorization of a requested service, including the type or level of service;
 - (2) The reduction, suspension, or termination of a previously authorized service;
 - (3) The denial, in whole or in part, of payment for a service...

Section 438.402 of 42 CFR Subpart F provides in part:

- (a) The grievance system. Each MCO [Managed Care Organization] and PIHP [Prepaid Inpatient Health Plan] must have a system in place, for enrollees, that includes a grievance process, an appeal process, and access to the State's fair hearing system...

Section 4403-f of the Public Health Law pertains to Managed Long Term Care Plans.

Article 49 of the Public Health Law pertains to Utilization Review and External Appeal.

Section 505.14(a)(1) of the Regulations defines "Personal Care Services" to mean some or total assistance with personal hygiene, dressing and feeding; and nutritional and environmental support functions. Such services must be essential to the maintenance of the patient's health and safety in his or her own home.

Section 505.14(a) of the Regulations provides, in part, that:

- (2) Some or total assistance shall be defined as follows:
 - i) Some assistance shall mean that a specific function or task is performed and completed by the patient with help from another individual.

FH# 7145223P

- ii) Total assistance shall mean that a specific function or task is performed and completed for the patient.
- (3) Continuous personal care services means the provision of uninterrupted care, by more than one person, for more than 16 hours per day for a patient who, because of the patient's medical condition and disabilities, requires total assistance with toileting, walking, transferring or feeding at times that cannot be predicted.

- (5) Live-in 24-hour personal care services means the provision of care by one person for a patient who, because of the patient's medical condition and disabilities, requires some or total assistance with one or more personal care functions during the day and night and whose need for assistance during the night is infrequent or can be predicted.

GIS 12 MA/026 entitled "Availability of 24-Hour Split-Shift Personal Care Services" provides, in part, the intent of 18 NYCRR 505.14 is to allow the identification of situations in which a person's needs can be met by a live-in aide and still allow the aide to have an uninterrupted five hours for sleeping.

GIS 12 MA/026 provides as follows concerning the availability of 24 hour, split-shift personal care services in connection with the case of Strouchler v. Shah:

It is the Department's policy that 24-hour split-shift care should be authorized only when a person's nighttime needs cannot be met by a live-in aide or through either or both of the following: (1) adaptive or specialized equipment or supplies including, but not limited to, bedside commodes, urinals, walkers, wheelchairs, and insulin pens, when the social services district determines that such equipment or supplies can be provided safely and cost-effectively; and (2) voluntary assistance available from informal caregivers or formal services provided by an entity or agency.

When a person's nighttime needs cannot be met by the use of adaptive or specialized equipment or supplies or voluntary assistance from informal caregivers or formal services, a determination must be made whether the person needs 24-hour split-shift care (included within the regulatory definition of "continuous personal care services") or live-in 24-hour personal care services. Under Section 505.14, this depends on whether the person needs "some" or "total" assistance with toileting, walking, transferring, or feeding, and whether these needs are "frequent" or "infrequent", and able to be "scheduled" or "predicted".

The intent of the regulation is to allow the identification of situations in which a person's needs can be met by a live-in aide and still allow the aide to have an uninterrupted five hours for sleeping. The Department is considering changes to the regulations to better achieve this goal.

In the meantime, the Department provides the following clarifications:

FH# 7145223P

1. The fact that a person's needs are predictable does not preclude the receipt of 24-hour split-shift care, if the person has a documented medical need for the tasks to be performed with a frequency that would not allow a live-in aide to perform them and still obtain an uninterrupted five hours of sleep.

2. The need for turning and positioning and/or the need for diaper changes, by themselves, neither preclude nor justify the receipt of 24-hour split-shift care. In order to receive 24-hour split-shift care, the person must have a documented medical need for those tasks to be performed so frequently that a live-in aide cannot provide them and still obtain an uninterrupted five hours of sleep.

3. A person with a documented medical need for turning and positioning may, if otherwise appropriate, qualify for either 24-hour split-shift care or live-in care depending on the frequency at which turning and positioning is required at night, regardless of whether the person has a nighttime need for transferring.

4. When determining whether a person requires 24-hour split-shift care or live-in care, the local professional director must consider whether the physician's order and other required assessments document the following:

- The existence of a medical condition that directly causes the person to need frequent assistance with personal care services tasks during the night;
- The specific task or tasks with which the person requires frequent assistance during the night;
- The frequency at which the person requires assistance with these tasks during the night;
- Whether the person requires similar assistance with these tasks during the daylight hours and, if not, why not;
- The informal supports or formal services that are willing, able and available to provide assistance with the person's nighttime tasks;
- The person's ability to use adaptive or specialized equipment or supplies to meet his or her documented medical need for assistance with nighttime tasks; and whether the person's physician has documented that, due to the person's medical condition, he or she could not safely use the equipment or supplies; and
- Whether a live-in aide would likely be able to obtain an uninterrupted five hours of sleep were live-in services to be authorized.

General Information System message GIS 97 MA 033 notified local districts as follows:

The purpose of this GIS is to provide further instructions regarding the Mayer v. Wing court case, which applies to social services districts' reductions or discontinuations of personal care services. [Mayer v. Wing, 922 F. Supp. 902 (S.D.N.Y., 1996)]. The Mayer case is now final, and the Department is issuing these additional instructions to comply with the court's final order in this case.

Districts were first advised of the Mayer case in May, 1996. (Please refer to GIS 96 MA/019, issued May 28, 1996.) As described in that GIS message, the Mayer case prohibits social services districts from using task-based assessment plans ("TBA plans") to reduce the hours of any personal care services recipient whom the district has determined needs 24 hour care, including continuous 24 hour services ("split-shift"), 24 hour live-in services ("live-in") or the equivalent provided by informal or formal supports. This GIS message identifies the policies and procedures districts must follow in order to comply with this particular provision of the Mayer case.

This particular provision of the Mayer case applies only when the district has first determined that the MA recipient is medically eligible for split-shift or live-in services. To determine whether the recipient is medically eligible for split-shift services or live-in services, the district must continue to follow existing Department regulations and policies. As is currently required, the district must assure that the nursing and social assessments fully document and support the determination that the recipient is, or is not, medically eligible for split shift or live-in services.

When the district has determined that the MA recipient is medically eligible for split-shift or live-in services, it must next determine the availability of informal supports such as family members or friends and formal supports such as Protective Services for Adults, a certified home health agency or another agency or entity. This requirement is no different from current practice. And, as under current practice, the district must assure that the nursing and social assessments fully document and support its determination that the recipient does, or does not, have informal or formal supports that are willing and able to provide hours of care.

Remember that the contribution of family members or friends is voluntary and cannot be coerced or required in any manner whatsoever. A district may choose to implement so-called "statements of understanding" to reflect a family member's or friend's voluntary agreement to provide hours of care to a recipient whom the district has determined is medically eligible for split shift or live-in services. (See 95 LCM-76, section III, issued July 18, 1995, for a description of statements of understanding.)

Once the district has determined that the recipient is medically eligible for split-shift or live-in services and determined whether the recipient has informal or formal supports that are willing and able to provide hours of care, the district can assure that it is complying with the Mayer case by following the appropriate guidelines set forth below:

1. Recipient is medically eligible for split-shift services but has no informal or formal supports:

FH# 7145223P

The district should authorize 24 hour split shift services for this recipient if the recipient otherwise meets the fiscal assessment requirements. The district must not use a TBA plan to reduce this recipient's personal care services.

2. Recipient is medically eligible for split-shift services and has informal or formal supports:

The district should authorize services in an amount that is less than 24 hour split-shift services if the recipient otherwise meets the fiscal assessment requirements. The amount that is authorized, when combined with the amount that informal or formal supports are willing and able to provide, would equal 24 hours. The district must not use a TBA plan to reduce this recipient's services because the recipient is receiving the "equivalent" of split-shift services: part of the services are funded by the MA program and part of the services are provided by the informal or formal supports.

3. Recipient is medically eligible for live-in services but has no informal or formal supports:

The district should authorize 24 hour live-in services for this recipient if the recipient otherwise meets the fiscal assessment requirements. The district must not use a TBA plan to reduce this recipient's personal care services.

4. Recipient is medically eligible for live-in services and has formal or informal supports:

The district should authorize services in an amount that is less than 24 hour live-in services if the recipient otherwise meets the fiscal assessment requirements. The amount that is authorized, when combined with the amount that the informal or formal supports are willing and able to provide, would equal 24 hours. The district must not use a TBA plan to reduce this recipient's services because the recipient is receiving the "equivalent" of live-in services: part of the services are funded by the MA program and part of the services are provided by the informal or formal supports.

Important Additional Information on TBA Plans:

Until notified otherwise by the Department, the following also apply to the use of TBA plans:

1. A district cannot use a TBA plan unless the TBA plan was already in use on March 14, 1996, or the district had the Department's approval as of that date to implement a TBA plan. This complies with the temporary restraining order in *Dowd v. Bane*, which the Department notified districts of in a previous GIS message, 96 MA/013, issued April 4, 1996.

2. Districts are not required to include safety monitoring as an independent task on their TBA forms. The Department recently obtained a stay of the August 21, 1997 federal court order that had required safety monitoring to be included as an independent TBA task. [See GIS 97 MA/26, issued November 6, 1997, informing districts of the stay of the order in *Rodriguez v. DeBuono* (S.D.N.Y., 1997).]

DISCUSSION

The record of the hearing reveals that the Appellant has been in receipt of a Medical Assistance authorization and is enrolled in and receiving services from a Medicaid Managed Care Plan, Senior Health Partners. The Appellant has been receiving Personal Care Services in the amount of 42 hours weekly, in the form of 12 hours daily, three days weekly and 6 hours daily, one day weekly. The Appellant requested an increase of Personal Care Services to live-in 24-hour personal care services. By Notice dated September 18, 2015, Senior Health Partners denied the Appellant's request.

At the hearing, Senior Health Partners produced a Uniform Assessment System assessment dated September 9, 2015 which indicated that the Appellant suffers "total dependence" with toileting, and also needs assistance with transferring and locomotion. Senior Health Partners also introduced a UAS aide task service plan also dated September 9, 2015, which indicates that the Appellant has needs for assistance with toilet transferring and with toileting (incontinence care) and allocates time for these tasks.

At the hearing, the Appellant's Daughter and Representative explained that the Appellant uses adult diapers, and needs changing at night. The Appellant's Daughter stated that the Appellant's Husband has been privately paying money to a friend to perform these tasks when the Appellant's Personal Care Worker has not been on duty, but that there is no longer financial means available to continue this practice.

The credible evidence at the hearing was that the Appellant is in need of both daytime and nighttime toileting assistance, and is therefore in need of 24 hour care. The Appellant is a "Mayer 3" patient. Pursuant to General Information System message GIS 97 MA 033, the Appellant should be provided with a Personal Care Services authorization in an amount which, "when combined with the amount that the informal or formal supports are willing and able to provide, would equal 24 hours." The Appellant's Daughter stated at the hearing that the Appellant's previous informal support (the privately paid friend) has ceased to be available to the Appellant. An authorization of live-in 24-hour personal care services is therefore required to provide the Appellant with 24 hour care.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Appellant's Managed Long Term Care Plan's determination to deny the Appellant's request for an increase of Personal Care Services weekly is not correct and is reversed.

1. The Managed Long Term Care Plan is directed to authorize Personal Care Services to the Appellant in the amount of live-in 24-hour personal care services.
2. The Managed Long Term Care Plan is directed to update its records to reflect the Appellant's status as a "Mayer III" patient.

Should the Managed Long Term Care Plan need additional information from the Appellant in order to comply with the above directives, it is directed to notify the Appellant and the Appellant's Representative promptly in writing as to what documentation is needed. If such information is required, the Appellant or the Appellant's Representative must provide it to the Managed Long Term Care Plan promptly to facilitate such compliance.

As required by Section 358-6.4 of the Regulations, the Agency must comply immediately with the directives set forth above.

DATED: Albany, New York
12/11/2015

NEW YORK STATE
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

By



Commissioner's Designee