	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	STATE SUMMARY					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2009-10	\$492,086,902 (Amount equals \$964,600,000 allocation, plus \$900,954 transferred from SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$261,551,598 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other \$11,018,515 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$418,734 budgeted for Non- Rest to State Administered Contracts).	er than Title XX, \$200,755,328 transferred to Title XX,	10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes	s As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''	
					\$489,278,592	
		inal 7 project(s) to serve 1,132 families	7 project(s) served 1,034 families			
		(s) to serve 5,885 families	24 project(s) served 10,446 families			
		(s) to serve 30,904 families	8 project(s) served 12,116 families			
		) to serve 1,573 families	15 project(s) served 1,644 families			
		8 project(s) to serve 3,295 families	8 project(s) served 1,095 families			
		project(s) to serve 493 families	6 project(s) served 1,395 families			
		ublic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 7 project(s) to serve 7,652 families	7 project(s) served 13,831 families			
		ng 10 project(s) to serve 2,110 families	10 project(s) served 5,283 families			
		) to serve 12,754 families	15 project(s) served 5,692 families			
		s) to serve 728 families	11 project(s) served 1,032 families			
		g 3 project(s) to serve 1,050 families	3 project(s) served 842 families			
		pports 11 project(s) to serve 8,904 families	11 project(s) served 22,249 families			
		nunity Collaboration 24 project(s) to serve 28,733 families	24 project(s) served 35,211 families			
Other 23 project(s)	to serve 4,	583,320 families	23 project(s) served 2,746,522 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	18,046 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		-	
			1,979 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from e	mployment activities [a]		
			1,000 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	m employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	7,999 individuals indicated danger			
			5,240 individuals granted a waiver from program require	ements		
50 % Combined "A	ll Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 182	,302	-	
		···· F.··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi			
			28,783 Unsubsidized Employment			
			1,319 Subsidized Employment			

<b>Performance/Accountability Goals</b>	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011'
	7,763 Work Experience	
	96 Community Service	
	31 On the Job Training	
	898 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	4.099 Job Search	
	2,705 Vocational Education	
	887 Job Skills Training	
	1,772 Education Related to Employment	
	195 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	126 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 26,472	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 31.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 40,855	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 48.7%	
a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and w	hom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexe	empt employability status
b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that we nclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NY	ere completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC Atta is based on April 2009 to March 2010)	
b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that we	ere completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010) ities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	C staff using NYCWAY and does not
b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that we nclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NY c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activity	ere completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC YC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010) ities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours	C staff using NYCWAY and does not

## PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

		R	OS SUMMARY		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Leve	1	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2009-10	\$155,617,865 (Amount equals \$411,446,747 allocation, plus \$900,954 transfe from SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$183,155,695 budgeted towards Child Welfare Ot \$11,018,515 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$418,734 budgeted for Non- R to State Administered Contracts).	her than Title XX, \$62,467,015 transferred to Title XX,	10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
					\$152,809,555
		ninal 7 project(s) to serve 1,132 families	7 project(s) served 1,034 families		
		(s) to serve 5,885 families	24 project(s) served 10,446 families		
		t(s) to serve 30,904 families	8 project(s) served 12,116 families		
		b) to serve 1,573 families	15 project(s) served 1,644 families		
		7 project(s) to serve 895 families	7 project(s) served 1,095 families		
		5 project(s) to serve 493 families	6 project(s) served 1,395 families		
		ublic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 6 project(s) to serve 3,926 families	6 project(s) served 12,399 families		<u> </u>
		ng 10 project(s) to serve 2,110 families	10 project(s) served 5,283 families		
¥		) to serve 12,754 families	15 project(s) served 5,692 families 11 project(s) served 1,032 families		
		s) to serve 728 families g 3 project(s) to serve 1,050 families	3 project(s) served 1,052 families		
		apports 11 project(s) to serve 8,904 families	11 project(s) served 22,249 families		
		nunity Collaboration 23 project(s) to serve 1,901 families	23 project(s) served 4,287 families		
Other 21 project(s)			21 project(s) served 4,287 families		
			12,147 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	1,322 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from	employment activities [a]	
			439 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from		
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	2,592 individuals indicated danger		
			1,518 individuals granted a waiver from program requir	ements	_
50 % Combined "A	ll Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 31,	955	-
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ		
			7,408 Unsubsidized Employment	ny [v]	
<u> </u>			356 Subsidized Employment		$\neg$
			3,879 Work Experience		
			96 Community Service		
			31 On the Job Training		
			898 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			935 Job Search		

6.	7.	8.
Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2010"	Spending As Of: "6/30/2011
	1,061 Vocational Education	
	501 Job Skills Training	
	764 Education Related to Employment	
	151 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	126 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 7,250	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 26.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 13,107	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 47.7%	
b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or N	•	
b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessm nclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or N c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent	ments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC YCWAY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010)	C staff using NYCWAY and does not
b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assess nclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or N c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurren d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating	ments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYO YCWAY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010) nt work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	C staff using NYCWAY and does not
b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assess nclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or N c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurren d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating	ments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYO YCWAY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010) nt work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan g in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to	C staff using NYCWAY and does not
b) The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or N <sup>o</sup> c) Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent d) Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating e) Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is no	ments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYO YCWAY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010) nt work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan g in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to at consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year york activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours	C staff using NYCWAY and does not
b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or Normal C.] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent d.] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating e.] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is nor f.] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in w g.] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable of the average number of the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in w.] Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly.	ments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYO YCWAY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010) nt work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan g in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to at consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year york activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours	C staff using NYCWAY and does not wards the federal participation rate
b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assess nclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or N c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurren d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is no f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in w g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable of Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly wailable for April 2009 to March 2010.	ments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYO YCWAY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010) nt work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan g in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to ot consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year ork activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator average for the period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is ba	C staff using NYCWAY and does not wards the federal participation rate
b) The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessing neurophysical assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NY c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is no f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in w g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable of the second se	ments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYO YCWAY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010) nt work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan g in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to pt consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year vork activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator	C staff using NYCWAY and does not wards the federal participation rate
b) The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessing networks and reassessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NY c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in w g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable of the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in w g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable of the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in w g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable of the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in w g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable of the average number of the average number of countable and not countable of the average number of the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in w g] Combined engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly available for April 2009 to March 2010. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be apprecised on the average of the average outcomes which would be apprecised on the average of the	ments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYO YCWAY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010) nt work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan g in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to ot consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year vork activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator average for the period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is ba attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent ch	C staff using NYCWAY and does not wards the federal participation rate
b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessing nelude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NY c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is no f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in w g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable of Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly valiable for April 2009 to March 2010.	ments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYO YCWAY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010) nt work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan g in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to ot consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year vork activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator average for the period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is ba attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent ch	C staff using NYCWAY and does not wards the federal participation rate

		PERFORMANCE	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	ALBANY					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Lev		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2009-10	\$5,361,732 (Amount equals \$13,852,255 allocation, plus \$39,651 transferred 08-09 FFFS, less \$6,158,904 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Titl Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and	le XX, \$2,280,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation	
					-	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "3/31/2010"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/2011" \$5,361,732	
Case Management	1 project(s	) to serve 250 families	1 project(s) served 394 families		_	
Housing Services 1	project(s)	to serve 560 families	1 project(s) served 708 families		_	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	302 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems         39 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp         37 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from emp	•		
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	<ul><li>171 individuals indicated danger</li><li>22 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement</li></ul>	ents	_	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,70           Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ           249 Unsubsidized Employment           5 Subsidized Employment			
			206 Work Experience       1 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training 54 Job Readiness Training Activities 2 Job Search		_	
			40 Vocational Education 69 Job Skills Training		-	
			22 Education Related to Employment           5 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 233 Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 24	4.8%	_	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 472           Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50			

6.	7.	8.
Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2010"	Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt	employability status
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c	ompleted by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff	f using NYCWAY and does not
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC d		8
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the p	eriod April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based of	n monthly average sample data
available for April 2009 to March 2010.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported by
federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat	ted across the months during the year or among projects	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
*Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2000 03/31/2010		

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX						
	ALLEGANY						
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS		\$1,172,023 (Amount equals \$3,204,856 allocation, plus \$467 transferred in from 09 FFFS, less \$1,440,734 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, Child Care Transfer, \$23,300 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence	\$569,266 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "3/31/2010"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"		
					\$1,025,555		
Adult or Juvenile J	ustice/Crin	ninal 1 project(s) to serve 24 families	1 project(s) served 4 families				
Child Well Being	l project(s)	to serve 120 families	1 project(s) served 142 families				
Enhanced Domesti	c Violence	1 project(s) to serve 100 families	1 project(s) served 179 families				
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	130 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems				
	·	*	1 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from employed	oyment activities [a]	_		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from each	nployment activities [a]			
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	9 individuals indicated danger		_		
comply with Dom			8 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts			
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 11'				
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ 45 Unsubsidized Employment	ity [c]			
			0 Subsidized Employment				
			10 Work Experience		_		
			3 Community Service		_		
			0 On the Job Training				
<u> </u>			2 Job Readiness Training Activities				
			4 Job Search		-1		
			3 Vocational Education				
			39 Job Skills Training				
			0 Education Related to Employment				

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 26	1
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 74	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 54%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	employability status
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC c [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the p available for April 2009 to March 2010. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		

	PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
BROOME					
2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
2000 10	08-09 FFFS, less \$3,924,824 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title X	X, \$1,362,085 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation	
	6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''	
				\$3,229,517	
and Alcoh	ol Requirements	_			
			-		
		16 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	_	
estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	60 individuals indicated danger		-	
		43 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts		
All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 820		-	
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
		210 Unsubsidized Employment			
		10 Subsidized Employment			
		120 Work Experience			
		3 Community Service			
		9 On the Job Training			
		30 Job Readiness Training Activities			
		38 Job Search			
		50 Vocational Education			
		_			
		· · ·		_	
				_	
			50/		
	SFY 2009-10 and Alcoho estic Violer	2.       3.         SFY       Appropriation Level         2009-10       \$3,229,517 (Amount equals \$8,482,749 allocation, plus \$33,677 transferred in free of the state o	2. SFY         3. Appropriation Level           2009-10         \$3,229,517 (Amount equals \$8,482,749 allocation, plus \$33,677 (transferred in from SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$3,924,824 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,362,085 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).           6.         Performance/Accountability Outcome           and Alcohol Requirements         166 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 30 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp 16 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp 16 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme estic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)           40 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme 20 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme 210 Unsubsidized Employment           210 Unsubsidized Employment         210 Unsubsidized Employment           210 Work Experience         3 community Service           31 Job Readiness Training         30 Job Readiness Training Activities           32 Solo Search         5 Job Skills Training           32 Secondary School or Equivalent         24 Education Related to Employment	BROOME         4.           SFY         Appropriation Level         Contract/Award Cycle           2009-10         \$3.229,517 (Amount equals \$8,482,749 allocation, plus \$33,677 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY         Contract/Award Cycle           2009-10         \$3.229,517 (Amount equals \$8,482,749 allocation, plus \$33,677 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional         Tot/108-3/31/12 #           2009-10         Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).         Tot/108-3/31/12 #           *           6.           7.           Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2010"           and Alcohol Requirements           30 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems           30 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems           and Alcohol Requirements           106 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems           and Alcohol Requirements           106 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems           and Alcohol Requirements           106 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems           and Alcohol Requirements           106 individuals mandated to tr	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2010"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 419	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50%	1
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	employability status
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC c		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the p available for April 2009 to March 2010. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. *Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010	)	

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX						
	CATTARAUGUS						
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	\$2,215,983 (Amount equals \$4,260,689 allocation, plus \$1,370 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$706 transferred in from SFY 08,00 EEES, less \$1,311,347 budgeted towards Child Walfare Other than Title XX, \$710,165 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional			10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation		
		6.	7.		8.		
		Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "3/31/2010"	Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"		
Adult or Juvenile J	Justice/Crin	ninal 2 project(s) to serve 660 families	2 project(s) served 524 families		\$1,561,666		
Child Well Being 3	3 project(s)	to serve 460 families	3 project(s) served 168 families				
Enhanced Domesti	ic Violence	1 project(s) to serve 240 families	1 project(s) served 145 families				
Enhanced Drug and	d Alcohol 1	project(s) to serve 20 families	1 project(s) served 60 families				
Healthy Families/H	Home Visiti	ng 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 29 families				
Other 3 project(s)	to serve 1,0	06 families	3 project(s) served 849 families				
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	40 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems				
			3 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from emp	oyment activities [a]			
			4 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	nployment activities [a]			
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	9 individuals indicated danger		-		
			6 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts			
50 % Combined "/	All Equilion	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 40'	1	_		
50 % Comonied F	All Pallines		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ				
			21 Unsubsidized Employment	-9 [9]			
			3 Subsidized Employment				
			19 Work Experience				
			0 Community Service				
			3 On the Job Training				
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities				
			1 Job Search				

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"
	2 Vocational Education	
	9 Job Skills Training	
	1 Education Related to Employment	
	3 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 27	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 35.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 44	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 58.4%	
	·	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	mployability status
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC c		using NYCWAY and does not

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average sample data available for April 2009 to March 2010.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
			CAYUGA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2009-10 \$1,361,718 (Amount equals \$3,837,497 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$196,389 transferred in from SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$1,897,168 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$325,000 transferred to Title XX, \$450,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
Casa Managamant	1 munication	) to serve 150 families	1 project(s) served 399 families		\$1,307,638
		(s) to serve 45 families	1 project(s) served 399 families		
		to serve 56 families	2 project(s) served 112 families		
Program Support a	ind Training	g 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 698 families		
Youth Supports/Sc	chool Comn	nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 120 families	1 project(s) served 85 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	35 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		-
			6 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]	
			2 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ace Family Violence Option (FVO)	19 individuals indicated danger		-
			18 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	
50 % Combined "/	All Familian	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 108		_
50 % Comonieu F	All Fallines	rancipation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ		
			37 Unsubsidized Employment		
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			17 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		1
			0 On the Job Training		1
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		1
			3 Vocational Education		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
	0 Job Skills Training	
	7 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 25	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 51	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 36.5%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average sample data available for April 2009 to March 2010.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
		СН	AUTAUQUA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2009-10 \$1,636,737 (Amount equals \$5,399,405 allocation, plus \$25,882 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$3,503,550 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$285,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
					\$1,636,737
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh		71 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			21 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp		
			11 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	34 individuals indicated danger		_
			12 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	Turtelputon Rute	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 856		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	rity [c]	
			192 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			81 Work Experience		
		2 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training		
			11 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			21 Job Search		
			11 Vocational Education		$\dashv$
			0 Job Skills Training		_
			6 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent 0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 132		
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 1	7.6%	
			comonica i anterpation Rate (TANT & SIV MOE) [6] I	1.070	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2010"	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 291	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 38.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexemp	ot employability status
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC of		aff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towar	ds the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the p available for April 2009 to March 2010.	period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based	on monthly average sample data
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent childr	en receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplica	ted across the months during the year or among projects	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
*Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010	)	

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
			CHEMUNG		
1. Program name	2. SFY			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2009-10 \$1,113,526 (Amount equals \$4,609,120 allocation, plus \$15,734 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$2,657,685 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$553,643 transferred to Title XX, \$300,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
A dult on Juvanila 1	[ustics/Crim	inal 1 project(s) to serve 230 families	1 project(s) served 403 families		\$1,113,526
Adult of Juvenine J	rustice/CIIII	mai i project(s) to serve 250 fammes	1 project(s) served 405 families		
Enhanced Drug an	d Alcohol 1	project(s) to serve 161 families	1 project(s) served 393 families		
Other 1 project(s)	Other 1 project(s) to serve 100 families		1 project(s) served 1,713 families		
Comply with Drug	Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		180 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_
Compry with Drug	, and Alcon	n Requirements	20 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]	
			3 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	14 individuals indicated danger		
			3 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	
50 % Cambinad "/	A 11 E	"Desticianting Data	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 84		_
50 % Combined A	All Families	Participation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ		
			98 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			14 Work Experience		
			3 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			12 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			43 Job Search		
			13 Vocational Education		_
			1 Job Skills Training		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011'
	18 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	1 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 70	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 23.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 152	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 52.5%	
<ul><li>[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activitie</li><li>[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable we</li></ul>		wards the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (b		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the available for April 2009 to March 2010.	period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is bas	sed on monthly average sample data
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to S by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds	tate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent chi	ldren receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated by prior year funds.	ated across the months during the year or among projects	

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. \*Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	CHENANGO					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Leve		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2009-10	<ul> <li>\$720,133 (Amount equals \$2,357,852 allocation, plus \$7,934 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$1,162,295 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$483,358 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).</li> </ul>		10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''	
Child Well Deine	1	to serve 105 families	1 project(s) served 302 families		\$720,133	
Child wen being	1 project(s)	to serve 105 families	1 project(s) served 302 fammes			
Non_Recurrent Co	osts (Non-P	ublic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 312 families	1 project(s) served 951 families			
SSI Maximization	SSI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 40 families		1 project(s) served 19 families			
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	66 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
		1	4 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]		
			4 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	26 individuals indicated danger			
			21 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 192	2		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			19 Unsubsidized Employment			
			1 Subsidized Employment			
			9 Work Experience			
			3 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			40 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			8 Job Search			
			9 Vocational Education			
			1 Job Skills Training			

6.	7.	8.
Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2010"	Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"
	2 Education Related to Employment	
	6 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 15	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 16.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 61	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 67%	
<ul><li>[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom</li><li>[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were complete the second seco</li></ul>	ompleted by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC stat	
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC d	•	
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case toward	s the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the p available for April 2009 to March 2010. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. *Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010		

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	CLINTON					
1. Program name	m name         SFY         Appropriation Level           \$1,465,202 (Amount equals \$3,340,376 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 08-09         FEES less \$1,203,427 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX_\$671,747 transferred to Title XX_\$0 in additional Child		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS			10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "3/31/2010"	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''	
					\$1,465,202	
Housing Services	2 project(s)	to serve 130 families	2 project(s) served 102 families			
Youth Supports/So	chool Comr	nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 165 families	1 project(s) served 344 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	49 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_	
	,		13 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	bloyment activities [a]		
			5 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violei	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	25 individuals indicated danger			
			21 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 441		-	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			61 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			18 Work Experience 0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			18 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			9 Job Search			
			1 Vocational Education			
			2 Job Skills Training			
			2 Education Related to Employment			
			5 Secondary School or Equivalent			

Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/2011'
	2 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 42	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 14.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 97	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 33.6%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and	nd whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexer	npt employability status
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		staff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work ad	activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
	1 5 1	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in counta		ards the federal participation rate
	able work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow	ards the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent	able work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow ent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	ards the federal participation rate
<ul> <li>[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in counta</li> <li>[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consisten</li> <li>[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activi</li> <li>[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during</li> </ul>	able work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow ent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year vities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours	ards the federal participation rate

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	COLUMBIA					
1. Program name	2. SFY	Y Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2009-10 \$450,973 (Amount equals \$3,274,451 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$2,565,968 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$257,510 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''	
Other 1 project(s)	to serve 70	families 1 n	project(s) served 321 families		\$450,973	
ouler i project(s)						
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh		70 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			ndividuals mandated to treatment - exempt from emp			
		1 in	ndividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]	_	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	individuals indicated danger		_	
		8 i	individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents		
50 % Combined "	All Families	" Participation Rate Nu	mber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 11	9	_	
			mber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti		-	
			23 Unsubsidized Employment	• • •		
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			1 Work Experience			
			3 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			5 Job Search			
			1 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training		_	
			0 Education Related to Employment		_	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		_	
L			0 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 14	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 12.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 34	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 31%	
a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and v	whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonex	empt employability status
b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that w nclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (N		C staff using NYCWAY and does not
c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activ	vities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable	le work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to	wards the federal participation rate
e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent	with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activitie	es (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during	g the period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for available for April 2009 to March 2010.	the period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is ba	sed on monthly average sample data
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable	to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent ch	ildren receiving assistance supported
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds	to but and rocal rands used to support employment services for nousehous with dependent en	naren recerving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not undu	plicated across the months during the year or among projects	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period $04/01/2009 - 03/31/2009$	/2010	

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	CORTLAND				
1. Program name				4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS		\$636,172 (Amount equals \$2,396,253 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Sur FFFS, less \$1,161,018 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$5 Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer	99,063 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
					\$635,971
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	bl Requirements	58 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			4 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	-	
			2 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	omply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO) 26 individuals indicated danger				
			10 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	"Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 79		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			49 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			11 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			4 Job Readiness Training Activities 0 Job Search		_
			5 Vocational Education		_
			0 Job Skills Training		
			1 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 31		—
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 1	8.3%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2010"	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 64	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 37.7%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt	employability status
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC of		ff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case toward	s the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the p available for April 2009 to March 2010. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. *Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent childre ted across the months during the year or among projects	

		PERFORMANCE	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	DELAWARE					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$454,015 (Amount equals \$1,478,015 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$400,000 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$624,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child			10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''	
					\$454,015	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	bl Requirements	34 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp 0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	-		
				inployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	7 individuals indicated danger			
			2 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	2 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	"Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 17			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]		
			11 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			10 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			1 Job Search			
			3 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			2 Secondary School or Equivalent		_	
			0 Other		_	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 14		_	
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 3	39.8%		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2010"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 22	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 61.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	employability status
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were conclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC d		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the pravailable for April 2009 to March 2010. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Staby federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	
*Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010	)	

		PERFORMANCE AND	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	DUTCHESS					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2009-10	\$2,480,809 (Amount equals \$8,518,797 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Sum FFFS, less \$3,883,422 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2,15 Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfe	4 566 transferred to Title XX \$0 in additional Child	10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''	
C M	1				\$2,480,809	
Case Management	1 project(s	) to serve 150 families	project(s) served 80 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	171 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		-	
	, una meon		8 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		_	
		6	individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	ostio Violo	ace Family Violence Option (FVO) 6	0 individuals indicated danger		_	
Compry with Dom			7 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	_	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	i unorputon i tuto	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 396			
		Ν	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity	ity [c]		
			92 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			18 Work Experience 12 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training		_	
			12 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			17 Job Search			
			3 Vocational Education			
			3 Job Skills Training			
			9 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		-1	
			0 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011'
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 47	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 13.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 140	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 40.2%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previousl	y and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or none	sempt employability status
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCW.		C staff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent wo	rk activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in co	untable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to	wards the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not cons	istent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work a	ctivities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases	during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
		1 (11 1.1.)
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly avera available for April 2009 to March 2010.	ge for the period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is b	ased on monthly average sample data
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributed attribu	atable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent cl	hildren receiving assistance supported
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are no	unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	02/01/0010	
*Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 -	03/31/2010	

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	ERIE				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Lev		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$22,198,482 (Amount equals \$47,403,504 allocation, plus \$135,233 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SEX 08 00 EEES lass \$15,377,235 budgeted towards Child Welfere Other than Title XX \$7,583,020 transferred to Title XX			10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	ues As Of : "3/31/2010"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"
					\$22,198,482
Case Management	1 project(s	s) to serve 300 families	1 project(s) served 481 families		
	1 4 1 1		678 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcon	ol Requirements	235 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from e	mployment activities [a]	_
			40 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	586 individuals indicated danger		
			333 individuals granted a waiver from program require	ments	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 4,		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]	
			1,039 Unsubsidized Employment		
			181 Subsidized Employment		
			966 Work Experience 1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			6 Job Readiness Training Activities		_
			1 Job Search		
			74 Vocational Education		
			79 Job Skills Training		
			66 Education Related to Employment		
			19 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 1,43	54	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011'
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 41.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 1,995	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 57.6%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and wh	hom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonex	empt employability status
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that wer		C staff using NYCWAY and does not
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC	C data is based on April 2009 to March 2010)	
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activit	ties consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable v	work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to	wards the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent wi	ith federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (	(both countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	he period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the	e period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is ba	ased on monthly average sample data
available for April 2009 to March 2010.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds	• State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent ch	hildren receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not undupli Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	icated across the months during the year or among projects	
*Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/20	010	

		PERFORMAN	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
			ESSEX			
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriati	on Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$372,100 (Amount equals \$1,609,284 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$815,684 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$421,500 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child			10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''	
					\$372,100	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	24 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	1 / / // 51		
			1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp           1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	-		
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	3 individuals indicated danger			
			2 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	2 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 10	8	_	
		•	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]		
			9 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			4 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			0 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training 0 Education Related to Employment		_	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		_	
			0 Other			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 6			
<b> </b>			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	20.1%		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2010"	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 12	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 41%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexer	npt employability status
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC of		staff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow	ards the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the p available for April 2009 to March 2010. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. *Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent chil ted across the months during the year or among projects	

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	FRANKLIN					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2009-10 \$1,140,136 (Amount equals \$2,481,435 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$809,230 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$512,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$20,069 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''	
Adult or Juvenile	Justice/Crin	inal 1 project(s) to serve 8 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		\$1,109,238	
Adult of Juvenine J	ustice/Clill					
		2 project(s) to serve 145 families	2 project(s) served 365 families			
Enhanced Drug an	d Alcohol 1	project(s) to serve 70 families	1 project(s) served 94 families			
Housing Services 1 project(s) to serve 60 families		to serve 60 families	1 project(s) served 160 families		_	
Transitional Benef	its/Work Su	pports 3 project(s) to serve 118 families	3 project(s) served 693 families			
		unity Collaboration 4 project(s) to serve 138 families	4 project(s) served 652 families			
Other 4 project(s)	to serve 60	amilies	4 project(s) served 441 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	l Requirements	74 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
		-	2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]			
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger		_	
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	its		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b]		-	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			30 Unsubsidized Employment			
			5 Subsidized Employment			
			18 Work Experience			
			3 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
	0 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	1 Job Search	
	2 Vocational Education	
	2 Job Skills Training	
	1 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 33	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 40.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 49	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 59.7%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt	employability status
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC of the Number of the later to the lat	data is based on April 2009 to March 2010)	fusing NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator	

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average sample data available for April 2009 to March 2010.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		FU	LTON		
1. Program name			••	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS		\$1,085,480 (Amount equals \$3,039,115 allocation, plus \$8,797 transferred in from Sum 09 FFFS, less \$1,645,070 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$317,3 Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 trans	62 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"
01 1	500				\$1,085,480
Other 1 project(s) t	o serve 500	) families 1 pro	ect(s) served 199 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements 19 in	lividuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
17 0			1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		1 ind	viduals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO) 20 in	lividuals indicated danger		_
compry with Donk	estie violei	lee running violence option (r v o)	ividuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
			-f Employee the Complete J [1] 00		
50 % Combined "A	All Families		er of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 99 er of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	:4 [.]	
		Num	22 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			21 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			10 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			6 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education		
			8 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 26	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 46.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 43	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 75.4%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexemp	ot employability status
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC c [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work	data is based on April 2009 to March 2010)         consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the p available for April 2009 to March 2010.	eriod April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based	on monthly average sample data
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent childr	en receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ted across the months during the year or among projects	
*Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010	)	

		PERFORMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			GENESEE		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Le		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$495,057 (Amount equals \$2,113,730 allocation, plus \$8,643 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 08- 09 FFFS, less \$1,126,716 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$500,600 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional		10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011'
					\$495,057
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		ol Requirements	49 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			6 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl 2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from er	-	
				ipioyinent activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violei	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	2 individuals indicated danger		
comply with Dom			1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
0 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 84		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			21 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			9 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training		
			8 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			2 Job Search		<b></b>
			4 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		-1
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 17		
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 23	3.1%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2010"	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 38	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	employability status
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC c		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the p available for April 2009 to March 2010. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat	ted across the months during the year or among projects	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. *Event as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the paried $04/01/2000 = 02/21/2010$		
*Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010	,	

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			GREENE		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Lev		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2009-10	<ul> <li>%460,308 (Amount equals \$2,514,593 allocation, plus \$7,666 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$1,737,951 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$324,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).</li> </ul>		10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
Enhanced Drug an	d Alcohol 1	project(s) to serve 190 families	1 project(s) served 708 families		\$460,308
		ng 1 project(s) to serve 50 families		1 project(s) served 46 families	
Housing Services	l project(s)	to serve 75 families	1 project(s) served 43 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	185 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
	,		2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger		
	iestie vioiei		0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
50 % C 1: 1"	A 11 E 11		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1		_
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			45 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		—
			7 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			9 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			9 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 26	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 60	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 43%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and	d whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexe	mpt employability status
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY ( [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work ac [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in counta	ctivities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	vards the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consister	nt with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activit	ties (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases durin	ng the period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for available for April 2009 to March 2010.	or the period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is bas	sed on monthly average sample data
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributabl by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds	le to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent chi	ldren receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not und	duplicated across the months during the year or among projects	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
*Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/3	31/2010	

		PERFORMANCE AND	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		НА	MILTON		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$82,439 (Amount equals \$181,115 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$23,353 transferred in from SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$102.929 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$19,100 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care		10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "3/31/2010"	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
			- decidents and for Deco/Alaskal Deckloses		\$56,720
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh		ndividuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems ndividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	lovment activities [a]	
			ndividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp.	-	_
				inprogramment ded (filles [d]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	ndividuals indicated danger		
17			1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate Nu	mber of Employment Assessments Completed [b]		
		Nu	mber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			1 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			0 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training		
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		1
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		-1
			0 Other		
			mber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 0		
		Co	mbined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 1	.3%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2010"	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 1	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 19.5%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	employability status
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC c		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the p available for April 2009 to March 2010.	period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on	n monthly average sample data
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat	ted across the months during the year or among projects	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
*Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010	)	

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		I	HERKIMER		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2009-10 \$929,652 (Amount equals \$2,400,050 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$1,159,398 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$311,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
					\$919,372
Child Well Being	1 project(s)	to serve 15 families	1 project(s) served 95 families		_
SSI Maximization	1 project(s)	to serve 12 families	1 project(s) served 76 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcohe	ol Requirements	24 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
	omply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
			3 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from ea	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger		_
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	' Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 234	4	-
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			25 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			11 Work Experience 1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			16 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			3 Job Search		
			2 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			3 Education Related to Employment		
			2 Secondary School or Equivalent		]

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011'
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 18	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 51	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 52.7%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and who	om were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt of	employability status
<ul> <li>[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activitie</li> <li>[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable w</li> <li>[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with</li> </ul>	ork activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (b	both countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the		

		PERFORMANCE AND A	<b>ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX</b>		
		JEF	FERSON		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2009-10\$1,320,231 (Amount equals \$5,212,053 allocation, plus \$556 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 08- 09 FFFS, less \$2,052,152 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,840,226 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).10/1/08-3/31/12 *		10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"
Adult or Iuvenile l	lustice/Crim	inal 1 project(s) to serve 150 families 1 p	roject(s) served 8 families		\$1,320,231
Child Well Being	1 project(s)	to serve 45 families 1 p	roject(s) served 179 families		_
SSI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 30 families		to serve 30 families 1 p	1 project(s) served 65 families		
Youth Supports/Sc	chool Comn	nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 80 families 1 p	1 project(s) served 436 families		-
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements 121	121 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			dividuals mandated to treatment - exempt from emp		
		3 ir	dividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	_
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	ndividuals indicated danger		-
		12	individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate Nu:	nber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 21	8	-
			nber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ		
			23 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
L			15 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		_
			5 Job Readiness Training Activities		_
			0 Job Search		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
	0 Vocational Education	
	0 Job Skills Training	
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 16	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 15.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 40	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 38.7%	
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC of [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	ds the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the p available for April 2009 to March 2010.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent child	en receiving assistance supported
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplica	ted across the months during the year or among projects	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	act across the months during the year of among projects	
INOLE 4. SOTHE ACTIVITIES AND OUTCOMES ALE SUDDOLLEU DV DITOL VEAL TUNUS.		

\*Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010

		PERFORMANCE AND	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			LEWIS		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2009-10	\$502,121 (Amount equals \$1,296,306 allocation, plus \$2,948 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 08- 09 FFFS, less \$594,375 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$174,000 transferred to Title XX, \$28,758 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "3/31/2010"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"
Case Management	1 project(s	) to serve 20 families	project(s) served 221 families		\$496,193
Healthy Families/H	Home Visiti	ng 1 project(s) to serve 4 families	project(s) served 0 families		_
Youth Supports/Sc	chool Comr	nunity Collaboration 2 project(s) to serve 230 families	2 project(s) served 245 families		-
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	8 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_
	,		individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	loyment activities [a]	
		0	) individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from each	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	individuals indicated danger		-
		2	2 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	T unterpation rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 22		_
		Γ	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ 3 Unsubsidized Employment	nty [c]	
			0 Subsidized Employment		_
			3 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		$\dashv$
			4 Job Readiness Training Activities		-1
			1 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		

Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011'
	1 Education Related to Employment	
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 3	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 24.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 8	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 62.1%	
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY	(NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010)	c staff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work a [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in counta	activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work a	activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan table work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow	
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work a [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in counts	activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan table work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow ent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work a [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in counta [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent	activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan table work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow ent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year vities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours	

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. \*Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	LIVINGSTON				
1. Program name	2. SFY	Y         Appropriation Level           \$961,113 (Amount equals \$2,814,280 allocation, plus \$13,879 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 08- 09 EEES less \$1.364.793 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX \$502.253 transferred to Title XX \$0 in additional		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2009-10			10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes	s As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
					\$961,113
		to serve 470 families	1 project(s) served 218 families		
SSI Maximization	1 project(s)	to serve 72 families	1 project(s) served 191 families		_
Transitional Benef	its/Work Su	apports 1 project(s) to serve 920 families	1 project(s) served 211 families		_
Comply with Drug	Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		73 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
Comply with Diug	, and meon	Si Requirements	13 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
			2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en	-	
					—
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	4 individuals indicated danger		
			4 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 7		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi 32 Unsubsidized Employment	ity [c]	_
			0 Subsidized Employment		_
			12 Work Experience		-+
			1 Community Service		$\neg$
			0 On the Job Training		
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			6 Job Search		$\neg$
			1 Vocational Education		
			7 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011'
	4 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 25	-
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.4%	-
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 47	-
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 34.2%	-
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	employability status
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC c [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	the federal participation rate
La Combined mentioned in the set of here does not set the district during the main 1 - 1' - 1' - 1' - 1' - 1' -		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	rederal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the rederal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo		
	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours	

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			MADISON		
1. Program name	2. SFY	SFY Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2000 10	\$692,127 (Amount equals \$2,153,485 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Sur FFFS, less \$1,208,326 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2 Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 tran	53,032 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"
					\$691,553
Housing Services	l project(s)	to serve 700 families	1 project(s) served 1,416 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	56 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2,			7 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
			4 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violei	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	3 individuals indicated danger		
			2 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 40		_
50 % combined 7	in r uninea		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ		
			36 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			11 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			5 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			5 Job Search		
			5 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		_
			1 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		_
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 22	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 54	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 44.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	mployability status
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC d [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	lata is based on April 2009 to March 2010)	using NYCWAY and does not
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor		the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the p available for April 2009 to March 2010. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. *Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children ared across the months during the year or among projects	

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			MONROE		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level \$12,991,516 (Amount equals \$29,375,647 allocation, plus \$107,032 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$14,167,311 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,998,681 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$156,871 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$168,300 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2009-10			10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
					\$12,991,516
Child Only Service	es 1 projec	t(s) to serve 30,348 families	1 project(s) served 7,513 families		
Housing Services	1 project(s)	to serve 8,160 families	1 project(s) served 1,243 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	2,625 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
Compry with Drug	g and Alcon	or Requirements	493 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
			86 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from		
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	214 individuals indicated danger		
			78 individuals granted a waiver from program requiren	hents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 2,3	318	-
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]	
			1,242 Unsubsidized Employment		
			79 Subsidized Employment		
			487 Work Experience 8 Community Service		
			2 On the Job Training		
			178 Job Readiness Training Activities		—
			0 Job Search		
			135 Vocational Education		
			49 Job Skills Training		
			214 Education Related to Employment		
			18 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6.	7.	8.
Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2010"	Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"
	103 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 974	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19.1%	-
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 2,048	-
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 40.1%	-
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and who	m were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	employability status
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were	completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff	using NYCWAY and does not
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activitie	•	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	ork activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	both countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the	period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on	monthly average sample data
available for April 2009 to March 2010.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St	tate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplica	ated across the months during the year or among projects	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
*Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/201	10	

		PERFORMANCE AND A	<b>ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX</b>		
	MONTGOMERY				
1. Program name	2. SFY	SFY Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2009-10	\$885,118 (Amount equals \$1,861,384 allocation, plus \$8,122 transferred in from Sum 09 FFFS, less \$622,388 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$287,0 Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer and \$0 transfer.	00 transferred to Title XX, \$75,000 in additional	10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"
					\$885,118
Case Management	1 project(s	1 pr	roject(s) served 930 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	pl Requirements 285	individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
1, 1, 1,			dividuals mandated to treatment - exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
		3 in	dividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violei	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	dividuals indicated danger		
			ndividuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate Nur	nber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 29		_
			mber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	rity [c]	
			36 Unsubsidized Employment	•	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			2 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			4 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education		
			1 Job Skills Training		
			1 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 18	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 11.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 44	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 28.3%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt	employability status
<ul><li>[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were conclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC of [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities</li><li>[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo</li></ul>	data is based on April 2009 to March 2010) s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the p available for April 2009 to March 2010. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplica Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. *Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010	tate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent childre atted across the months during the year or among projects	

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	NASSAU				
1. Program name	2. SFY			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2009-10	\$7,793,152 (Amount equals \$22,957,857 allocation, plus \$69,627 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 08-09 EFES, less \$10,722,038 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX_\$3,812,294 transferred to Title XX_\$700,000 in			Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "3/31/2010"	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011'
					\$7,793,152
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	2,160 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			14 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	-	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violei	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	137 individuals indicated danger		
			66 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	
50 % Combined "/	11 E	"Destingation Date	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 811		-
50 % Combined F	All Fallines	" Participation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ		
			392 Unsubsidized Employment		
			4 Subsidized Employment		
			165 Work Experience		
			5 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			12 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			193 Job Search		
			157 Vocational Education		
			8 Job Skills Training		
			22 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		$\square$
			0 Other		$\square$
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 481		
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20	6.2%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 813	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 44.3%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	employability status
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC c		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the p available for April 2009 to March 2010. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated	ted across the months during the year or among projects	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
*Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010	)	

		PERFORMANCE AND A	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			AGARA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$3,829,384 (Amount equals \$8,806,181 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 08-09 EEES_less \$3,193,509 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX_\$1,772,000 transferred to Title XX_\$0 in additional Child			10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"
					\$3,829,384
Child Well Being	1 project(s)	to serve 310 families 1 pr	roject(s) served 0 families		_
SSI Maximization	1 project(s)	to serve 180 families 1 pr	roject(s) served 105 families		
Transitional Benef	fits/Work Si	apports 1 project(s) to serve 2,400 families 1 p	roject(s) served 5,316 families		_
			roject(s) served 9,510 families		
Comply with Drug	Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		191 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		-
	, 		individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from en	nployment activities [a]	
		6 ir	dividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO) 32	individuals indicated danger		_
			ndividuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % C 1: 1"		Nu	mber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 52	23	-
50 % Combined 7	All Families		mber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Act		-
		1,00	187 Unsubsidized Employment		-
			4 Subsidized Employment		
			96 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			25 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			17 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			16 Education Related to Employment		
			3 Secondary School or Equivalent		

0. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2010"	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011'
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 170	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 23.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 314	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 42.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed	d previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonex	empt employability status
	assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYO	C staff using NYCWAY and does not
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS	G or NYCWAY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010)	
-		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in con	ncurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
	ncurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan pating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to	wards the federal participation rate
		wards the federal participation rate
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is particip		wards the federal participation rate
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is particip	pating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to d is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	wards the federal participation rate
<ul><li>[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is particip</li><li>[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and</li></ul>	pating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to d is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year d in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours	wards the federal participation rate
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is particip [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not count	pating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to d is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year d in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours nable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is particip [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not count Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on mo-	pating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to d is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year d in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is particip [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not count Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on mo available for April 2009 to March 2010.	pating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to d is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year d in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours attable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator onthly average for the period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is ba	ased on monthly average sample data
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is particip [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not count Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on mo available for April 2009 to March 2010. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would available for April 2009 to March 2010.	pating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to d is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year d in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours nable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator	ased on monthly average sample data
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is particip [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not count Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on mo available for April 2009 to March 2010. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds	pating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to d is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year d in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours table cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator onthly average for the period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is ba ild be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent ch	ased on monthly average sample data
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is particip [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not count Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on mo available for April 2009 to March 2010.	pating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to d is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year d in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours table cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator onthly average for the period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is ba ild be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent ch	ased on monthly average sample data

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX	X	
			ONEIDA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$4,603,165 (Amount equals \$12,025,592 allocation, plus \$30,993 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$295 transferred in from SEX 08-09 EEES less \$4,990,321 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2,463,394 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in			10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation
			1		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	mes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"
					\$4,603,165
Case Management	1 project(s	) to serve 176 families	1 project(s) served 217 families		_
SSI Maximization	1 project(s)	to serve 105 families	1 project(s) served 342 families		
Transitional Benefi	ts/Work Su	pports 1 project(s) to serve 561 families	1 project(s) served 2,525 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	260 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		-
			27 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
			17 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	m employment activities [a]	
			64 individuals indicated danger		_
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	13 individuals granted a waiver from program require	ments	-
			13 individuals granted a warver nom program require	incitts	
50 % Combined "A	Il Families	"Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1	,866	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Act	tivity [c]	
			228 Unsubsidized Employment		
			2 Subsidized Employment		
			118 Work Experience		
			3 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			21 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			15 Vocational Education		4
			1 Job Skills Training		-
			67 Education Related to Employment 4 Secondary School or Equivalent		-
			0 Other		┥ ╿
			0 0000		<b>I</b>

6.	7.	8.
Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2010"	Spending As Of: "6/30/2011
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 195	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 23.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 356	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 42.3%	
a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whor	m were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexen	npt employability status
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were o		staff using NYCWAY and does not
nclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC	data is based on April 2009 to March 2010)	
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	ork activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towa	ards the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the p	period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is base	ed on monthly average sample data
vailable for April 2009 to March 2010.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St	tate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent child	dren receiving assistance supported
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplica	ated across the months during the year or among projects	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
	0	

\*Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	ONONDAGA					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2000 10	\$11,654,109 (Amount equals \$21,949,804 allocation, plus \$67,138 transferred in 08-09 FFFS, less \$8,420,424 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title X2 Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0	X, \$1,942,409 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "3/31/2010"	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''	
					\$11,654,109	
Enhanced Drug an	d Alcohol 1	project(s) to serve 22 families	1 project(s) served 77 families			
Healthy Families/H	Iome Visiti	ng 1 project(s) to serve 870 families	1 project(s) served 1,144 families		-	
Housing Services			1 project(s) served 313 families			
SSI Maximization	1 project(s)	to serve 150 families	1 project(s) served 59 families			
Transitional Benef	its/Work Su	apports 1 project(s) to serve 1,990 families	1 project(s) served 5,766 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	1,279 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		-	
1, 0		1	14 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]		
			45 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	84 individuals indicated danger		_	
			82 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 2,19			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity	ty [c]		
			421 Unsubsidized Employment			
			11 Subsidized Employment			
			279 Work Experience			
			1 Community Service			
			12 On the Job Training			
			10 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			114 Job Search			
L			86 Vocational Education			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"
	115 Job Skills Training	
	45 Education Related to Employment	
	16 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 356	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 838	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 49.7%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average sample data available for April 2009 to March 2010.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

\*Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	ONTARIO					
1. Program name	am name SFY Appropriation Level		el	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2000 10	\$1,100,200 (Amount equals \$3,205,511 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from FFFS, less \$1,655,319 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 t	\$449,992 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"	
01 1	20	n •11	1		\$1,100,200	
Other 1 project(s) t	to serve 20	amilies	1 project(s) served 158 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	83 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
17 0		*	6 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	oyment activities [a]		
			1 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	31 individuals indicated danger		_	
			16 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents		
50 % Combined "A	All Familias	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 167		_	
50 % Comonied P	All Pallines		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ		—	
			56 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			6 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			14 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			23 Job Search			
			1 Vocational Education			
			1 Job Skills Training			
			11 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		_	
			2 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 42	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 25.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 94	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 57%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	mployability status
<ul> <li>[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC d</li> <li>[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities</li> <li>[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work</li> </ul>	data is based on April 2009 to March 2010)         consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
<ul><li>[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with</li><li>[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo</li></ul>		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the p available for April 2009 to March 2010. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. *Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children ted across the months during the year or among projects	

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	ORANGE					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Lev		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2009-10	\$5,440,087 (Amount equals \$12,804,839 allocation, plus \$37,756 transferred 08-09 FFFS, less \$5,376,996 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Titl Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and	le XX. \$2.025.512 transferred to Title XX. \$0 in additional	10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"	
Casa Managamant	1 project(s	) to serve 760 families	1 project(s) served 545 families		\$5,440,087	
Housing Services 2	2 project(s)	to serve 1,380 families	2 project(s) served 1,384 families		_	
		nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 24 families	1 project(s) served 20 families			
Other 2 project(s)	to serve 4,1	00 families	2 project(s) served 4,626 families		_	
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	127 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			24 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]		
			32 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]	_	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	211 individuals indicated danger		_	
		· · · ·	61 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents		
			Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,0	70	_	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ		_	
			303 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			143 Work Experience			
			4 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			61 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			1 Job Search			
			38 Vocational Education			

6.	7.	8.
Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2010"	Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"
	3 Job Skills Training	
	60 Education Related to Employment	
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	1 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 262	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 31.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 454	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 54.3%	
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessment include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCW	ts that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC WAY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010)	staff using NYCWAY and does not
c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent we	ork activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in c	countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow	vards the federal participation rate
e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not cor	nsistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work	activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work a [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly aver available for April 2009 to March 2010.		sed on monthly average sample data

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

\*Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ORLEANS		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2009-10	\$801,145 (Amount equals \$1,689,216 allocation, plus \$6,875 transferred in from 08-09 FFFS, less \$720,169 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$6	\$235,616 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
					\$760,982
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh		<ul><li>25 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems</li><li>9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl</li></ul>		
			3 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from en	-	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	2 individuals indicated danger		_
Comply with Dom			1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 212	7	_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	rity [c]	
			51 Unsubsidized Employment		
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			31 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		_
			2 On the Job Training		
			8 Job Readiness Training Activities		_
			0 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training		$\dashv$
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			7 Secondary School or Equivalent		$\neg$
			0 Other		$\neg$
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 41		$\neg$
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	9.6%	$\neg$

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 79	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 57.5%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt	t employability status
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC of		ff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case toward	s the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the p available for April 2009 to March 2010.	period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based of	on monthly average sample data
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent childre	en receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplication	ted across the months during the year or among projects	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
*Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010	)	

		PERFORMANCE AN	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	OSWEGO					
1. Program name	2.     3.       strain ame     SFY       Appropriation Level			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2009-10	2009-10 \$1,843,559 (Amount equals \$6,486,598 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$3,758,039 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$885,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''	
					\$1,483,258	
		(s) to serve 100 families to serve 170 families	1 project(s) served 350 families 1 project(s) served 560 families			
Clind Well Dellig	1 project(s)	to serve 170 rammes				
		pports 1 project(s) to serve 250 families	1 project(s) served 2,855 families			
Youth Supports/So	chool Comr	unity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 25 families	1 project(s) served 39 families			
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	93 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_	
	-	*	23 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from emp	ployment activities [a]		
			8 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	nestic Violei	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	69 individuals indicated danger			
			27 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents		
50 % Combined "/	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 225	5	-	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			68 Unsubsidized Employment	-		
			6 Subsidized Employment			
			36 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			6 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			5 Vocational Education			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011'
	0 Job Skills Training	
	2 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 56	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 109	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 37.3%	
	eviously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexe sements that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC NYCWAY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010)	
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of asses nclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or I [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurr	ssments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC NYCWAY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010)	C staff using NYCWAY and does not
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assess include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or I [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurr [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating	essments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC NYCWAY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010) rent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	C staff using NYCWAY and does not
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assess include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or I [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurr [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating	issments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC NYCWAY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010) rent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan ag in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	C staff using NYCWAY and does not
b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assess nclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or 1 [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurr [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participatin [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is r	ssments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC NYCWAY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010) rent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan og in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours	C staff using NYCWAY and does not
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assess include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or 1 [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurr [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participatin [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is r [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthl available for April 2009 to March 2010.	issments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC NYCWAY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010) rent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan ag in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours e cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator y average for the period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is ba e attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent ch	C staff using NYCWAY and does not wards the federal participation rate sed on monthly average sample data

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. \*Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010

		PERFORMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	OTSEGO					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Lev		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2009-10	\$645,066 (Amount equals \$2,398,391 allocation, plus \$12,700 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$1,065 transferred in from SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$1,451,870 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$315,220 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional		10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes	5 As Of : "3/31/2010"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"	
					\$645,018	
Adult or Juvenile J	ustice/Crim	inal 1 project(s) to serve 60 families	1 project(s) served 95 families			
Case Management	2 project(s	) to serve 133 families	2 project(s) served 188 families			
Child Well Being 3	3 project(s)	to serve 180 families	3 project(s) served 42 families			
Enhanced Drug and	d Alcohol 1	project(s) to serve 30 families	1 project(s) served 63 families			
		ng 2 project(s) to serve 970 families	2 project(s) served 827 families			
Housing Services 2	2 project(s)	to serve 93 families	2 project(s) served 37 families			
Program Support a	nd Training	1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 144 families			
Other 1 project(s)	to serve 420	families	1 project(s) served 156 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	l Requirements	88 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_	
			3 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from emplo	oyment activities [a]		
			1 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from em	ployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	1 individuals indicated danger			
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ts		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 30			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [c]		
			12 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			2 Work Experience			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"
	0 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	2 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	4 Job Search	
	1 Vocational Education	
	1 Job Skills Training	
	1 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 10	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 37.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 15	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 57.5%	empt employability status
b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWA	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 57.5% y and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexe that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC AY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010)	
b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments to nclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWA c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 57.5% y and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexe that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC AY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010) rk activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	C staff using NYCWAY and does not
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments to include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWA [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in course [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consi [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activity and the period with an adult who is engaged in work activity.	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 57.5% y and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexe that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC AY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010) rk activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan untable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to istent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year ctivities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours	C staff using NYCWAY and does not
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments tinclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWA [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in cou [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consi [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work act [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work act [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work act [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work act [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work act [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work act [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work act [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work act [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 57.5% y and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexe that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC AY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010) rk activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan untable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to istent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year ctivities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator ge for the period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is ba	C staff using NYCWAY and does not wards the federal participation rate

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	PUTNAM					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2009-10	\$341,257 (Amount equals \$1,544,127 allocation, plus \$2,951 transferred in from 09 FFFS, less \$819,051 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$ Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer	10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation		
		6.	7.		8.	
		Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes	s As Of : "3/31/2010"	Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"	
					\$341,257	
Case Management	1 project(s	s) to serve 77 families	1 project(s) served 266 families		_	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Reauirements	5 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
1,7	,		2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]			
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	ployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger			
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts	_	
	=		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 29		_	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [a]	_	
			3 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment		_	
			3 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			4 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			4 Job Search		-1	
			0 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 4			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2010"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 32.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 9	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 75.6%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt of	employability status
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC c	data is based on April 2009 to March 2010)	f using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the p	period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based of	n monthly average sample data
available for April 2009 to March 2010.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ted across the months during the year or among projects	
*Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010	)	

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	RENSSELAER					
1. Program name	ram name       SFY       Appropriation Level       Contract/Award Cycle         \$2,544,311 (Amount equals \$5,478,603 allocation, plus \$20,927 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY       08-09 FFES       less \$2,367,219 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX_\$588,000 transferred to Title XX_\$0 in additional			5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS				10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"	
Turner: (; ; ; = = 1 D == = f;	ta /W a sila Car	needs 1 mainst(a) to some 2.505 families			\$2,544,311	
Transitional Benefi	15/ WOIK St	pports 1 project(s) to serve 2,505 families 1	project(s) served 4,713 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	138 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
		2	5 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from em	ployment activities [a]		
		1	individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	2 individuals indicated danger		_	
1 3			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents		
50 % Combined "A	Il Families	" Participation Rate	Sumber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 56	)	_	
			Jumber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	_	
			174 Unsubsidized Employment			
			1 Subsidized Employment			
			21 Work Experience			
			1 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			5 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			33 Job Search			
			19 Vocational Education		_	
			0 Job Skills Training			
			3 Education Related to Employment			
			3 Secondary School or Equivalent		_	
			1 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 138	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 22.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 231	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 37.2%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and who	m were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonex	empt employability status
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC		starr using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activitie	es consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable we	ork activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to	wards the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	h federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (b	both countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	e period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the available for April 2009 to March 2010. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to S by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplic. Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. *Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/201	State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent ch ated across the months during the year or among projects	

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	ROCKLAND					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$4,350,009 (Amount equals \$10,787,323 allocation, plus \$21,335 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$3,626,188 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2,082,461 transferred to Title XX, \$750,000 in			10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "3/31/2010"	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''	
					\$4,350,009	
Child Only Service	es 1 project	(s) to serve 70 families	1 project(s) served 455 families			
Other 2 project(s)	to serve 72	families	2 project(s) served 214 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	al Requirements	14 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems         2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		_	
Comply with Drug	und meon					
			6 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violei	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	48 individuals indicated danger			
			50 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	"Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 396		-	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity	ity [c]		
			79 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			4 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			7 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			7 Job Search			
			5 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training			
			1 Education Related to Employment		—	
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent			

		T			
6.	7.	8.			
Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2010"	Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"			
	1 Other				
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 53	1			
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.8%	1			
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 98	1			
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 40.4%	1			
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and who	m were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	mployability status			
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were o	completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff	using NYCWAY and does not			
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC	data is based on April 2009 to March 2010)				
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activitie	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan				
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	ork activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate			
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year				
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours				
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator				
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the	period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on	monthly average sample data			
available for April 2009 to March 2010.					
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported					
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds					
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplica	ated across the months during the year or among projects				
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.					
*Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/201	0				

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		S	T. LAWRENCE		
1. Program name				4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2009-10	\$2,000,500 (Amount equals \$5,690,724 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$168,191 transferred in from SFY 08-09 EEES less \$2,273,415 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX_\$1,485,000 transferred to Title XX_\$100,000 in			Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "3/31/2010"	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011'
					\$1,761,878
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	bl Requirements	16 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	-	
			2 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]	_
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	9 individuals indicated danger		
			4 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	its	
50 % Combined "/	All Familia	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 731		-
o // Comonica /	All Fallines		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ		
			91 Unsubsidized Employment		
			3 Subsidized Employment		
			37 Work Experience		
			5 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			6 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			9 Vocational Education		
			1 Job Skills Training		
			8 Education Related to Employment		_
			2 Secondary School or Equivalent		_
			0 Other		$\dashv$
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 71	2.40/	
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 23	5.4%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 141	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 46.3%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexer	npt employability status
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC of		staff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towa	ards the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the p available for April 2009 to March 2010. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. *Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent child ted across the months during the year or among projects	

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			SARATOGA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2009-10	\$231,000 (Amount equals \$4,187,908 allocation, plus \$13,900 transferred in fro 09 FFFS, less \$3,225,228 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$	\$745,580 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
					\$231,000
Child Only Service	es 1 projec	t(s) to serve 25 families	1 project(s) served 1,790 families		_
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	33 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
17 0	, 	*	2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	oyment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	2 individuals indicated danger		
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	its	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 51		_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity	ity [c]	
			3 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			0 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training 1 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 2	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 14%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 4	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 22%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	mployability status
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c		using NYCWAY and does not
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC of		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the p	period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on	monthly average sample data
available for April 2009 to March 2010.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplica	ted across the months during the year or among projects	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. *Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010		
	~	

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		SC	HENECTADY		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2000 10	\$2,952,704 (Amount equals \$7,375,369 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from S FFFS, less \$3,516,970 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$9 Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer	05,695 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation
		6.	7.		8.
		Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "3/31/2010"	o. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
					\$2,941,793
Child Well Being 1	project(s)	to serve 140 families	1 project(s) served 50 families		
Youth Supports/Sc	hool Comn	unity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 10 families	1 project(s) served 5 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	179 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			13 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp		
			1 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dome	estic Violen	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	70 individuals indicated danger		
			57 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	
50 % Combined "A	II Families	Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 335		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity	ty [c]	
			94 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment		
			52 Work Experience		
			4 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			7 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			32 Job Search		
			24 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			13 Education Related to Employment		
			2 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 83	10/	<b></b>
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 22 Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 179	2.1%	
			Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 47.	50/	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011'
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt	t employability status
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were conclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC d	ompleted by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC sta	
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case toward	Is the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the pa available for April 2009 to March 2010.	eriod April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based	on monthly average sample data
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent childre	en receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	red across the months during the year or among projects	

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. \*Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		S	CHOHARIE		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2009-10	\$463,152 (Amount equals \$1,838,962 allocation, plus \$6,415 transferred in from 09 FFFS, less \$832,971 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$ Child Care Transfer, \$33,436 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence	393,818 transferred to Title XX, \$122,000 in additional	10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes	As Of : "3/31/2010"	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
					\$463,152
Child Well Being	l project(s)	to serve 10 families	1 project(s) served 68 families		
Youth Supports/Sc	chool Comr	nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 20 families	1 project(s) served 99 families		
Other 2 project(s)	to serve 100	) families	2 project(s) served 586 families		
Complex with Days			30 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
Comply with Drug	and Alcon	or Requirements	0 individuals assessed for Diag/meener rootenis	vment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from em	-	
			-		
Comply with Dom	estic Violei	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	6 individuals indicated danger		
			3 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	S	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 23		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activit	y [c]	
			6 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment		
			8 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		_
			0 On the Job Training		
			9 Job Readiness Training Activities		—
			0 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education		
			2 Job Skills Training		
			2 Education Related to Employment		

6.	7.	8.
Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2010"	Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	8 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 9	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 38.6%	1
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 22	1
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 92.3%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt of	employability status
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC of [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the p available for April 2009 to March 2010. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplica Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. *Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010		

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			SCHUYLER		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Leve		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2009-10	\$385,465 (Amount equals \$958,125 allocation, plus \$3,766 transferred in from FFFS, less \$301,426 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2 Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 tr	75,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "3/31/2010"	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
					\$385,464
Case Management	1 project(s	t) to serve 150 families	1 project(s) served 153 families		
Non Decument Co	ata (Non D	ablic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 14 families	1 minimat(a) contrad 22 familias		
		ng 1 project(s) to serve 16 families	1 project(s) served 33 families 1 project(s) served 12 families		
Housing Services			1 project(s) served 2 families		
Youth Supports/Sc	chool Comn	nunity Collaboration 2 project(s) to serve 40 families	2 project(s) served 91 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	7 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		-	0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	oyment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	11 individuals indicated danger		_
			6 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 10		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity 14 Unsubsidized Employment	ity [c]	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			3 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		$\neg$
			0 On the Job Training		
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		$\neg$

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2010"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"
	0 Job Skills Training	
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	-
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 6	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 10%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 17	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 26.6%	
<ul> <li>[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whor</li> <li>[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were of include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC)</li> </ul>	completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC sta	
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	es consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	ork activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case toward	ds the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	n federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator	

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

\*Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			SENECA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS		\$513,500 (Amount equals \$2,217,737 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Sun FFFS, less \$1,154,237 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$35 Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$	50,000 transferred to Title XX, \$200,000 in additional	10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "3/31/2010"	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
					\$513,500
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	bl Requirements	2 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violei	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger		_
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 53	ч. г.т.	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ 9 Unsubsidized Employment		
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			4 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			2 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 8		
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	3.5%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 15	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 42.6%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	mployability status
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC d		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	k activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the pravailable for April 2009 to March 2010. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Staby federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. *Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010		

		PERFORMANCE AN	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			STEUBEN		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS		\$2,370,312 (Amount equals \$5,104,026 allocation, plus \$18,415 transferred in 08-09 FFFS, less \$2,001,189 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and	XX \$750.940 transferred to Title XX \$0 in additional	10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"
Casa Managamant	1 project(s	s) to serve 1,804 families	1 project(s) served 2,489 families		\$2,321,374
Non_Recurrent Co	osts (Non-Pu	ublic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 3,300 families ng 3 project(s) to serve 170 families	1 project(s) served 8,137 families 3 project(s) served 3,225 families		
Youth Supports/Sc Other 1 project(s)		nunity Collaboration 3 project(s) to serve 800 families 00 families	3 project(s) served 1,984 families 1 project(s) served 338 families		_
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	<ul><li>184 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems</li><li>6 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp</li></ul>	ovment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from e		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	53 individuals indicated danger         35 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 26         Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Active         63 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment 22 Work Experience		_
			5 Community Service		
			1 On the Job Training         7 Job Readiness Training Activities		_
			5 Job Search		
			13 Vocational Education		

6.	7.	8.
Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2010"	Spending As Of: "6/30/2011
	3 Job Skills Training	
	13 Education Related to Employment	
	2 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	4 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 56	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 24.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 107	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 47.3%	
	eviously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonex	
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or N [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurr		
d Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participatin	ent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
The period with an addit who is participating the period with an addit who is participating	rent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan ng in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to	owards the federal participation rate
		owards the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is n	ng in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	owards the federal participation rate
	ng in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours	owards the federal participation rate
e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is n f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable	ng in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours e cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is n [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly	ng in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is n [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly available for April 2009 to March 2010. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be	ng in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours e cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator	ased on monthly average sample data
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is n [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly available for April 2009 to March 2010.	ng in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours e cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator y average for the period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is ba e attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent ch	ased on monthly average sample data

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. \*Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010

		PERFORMANCE	E AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			SUFFOLK		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS		\$17,216,493 (Amount equals \$39,357,303 allocation, plus \$93,558 transf 08-09 FFFS, less \$17,297,368 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other tha additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domest Contracts).	an Title XX, \$4,937,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in	10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes	As Of : "3/31/2010"	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011'
					\$17,216,493
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	647 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			41 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl 18 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en	-	
			18 individuals mandaled to treatment- nonexempt from en	npioyment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	151 individuals indicated danger		
			129 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	
				0	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 4,88           Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity		_
			614 Unsubsidized Employment		
			29 Subsidized Employment		_
			264 Work Experience		
			12 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			46 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			121 Job Search		
			133 Vocational Education		
			10 Job Skills Training		_
			9 Education Related to Employment		_
			10 Secondary School or Equivalent 0 Other		_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 728		
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 39	4%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2010"	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 1,067	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 57.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whor	m were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexer	mpt employability status
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC of		staff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	ork activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow	ards the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the p available for April 2009 to March 2010.	period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is base	ed on monthly average sample data
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds	tate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent chil	dren receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplica	ted across the months during the year or among projects	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. *Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010	0	

		PERFORMANCE AND	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		S	ULLIVAN		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2009-10	\$1,512,159 (Amount equals \$3,086,637 allocation, plus \$11,409 transferred in from 08-09 FFFS, less \$809,237 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$ Child Care Transfer, \$30,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence an	746 650 transferred to Title XX \$0 in additional	10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation
	-	6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
Case Management	1 project(s	s) to serve 12 families 1	project(s) served 27 families		\$1,512,159
			project(s) served 66 families		
			project(s) served 0 families project(s) served 133 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh		37 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e		_
Comply with Dom	estic Viole		0 individuals indicated danger 5 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	onto	_
		1	5 marviduais granted a warver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	umber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 25	4	
		Ν	fumber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			48 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment		_
			15 Work Experience		_
			2 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			23 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			10 Job Search		
			7 Vocational Education		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"
	2 Job Skills Training	
	1 Education Related to Employment	
	11 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 31	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 12.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 87	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 34.6%	
<ul> <li>[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom</li> <li>[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c</li> <li>include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC c)</li> </ul>	ompleted by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff	
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards t	the federal participation rate

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average sample data available for April 2009 to March 2010.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

\*Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			TIOGA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Leve		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2009-10	\$993,253 (Amount equals \$2,069,996 allocation, plus \$7,294 transferred in fr 09 FFFS, less \$871,537 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 th	\$212,500 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes	s As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"
Non Boourront Co	ata (Non D	ublic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 200 families	1 project(s) served 3,139 families		\$986,448
			1 project(s) served 5,159 fammes		-
SSI Maximization	1 project(s)	) to serve 75 families	1 project(s) served 63 families		
Youth Supports/Sc	chool Comr	nunity Collaboration 2 project(s) to serve 29 families	2 project(s) served 150 families		_
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	50 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		-
			1 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from emplo	oyment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	ployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	14 individuals indicated danger		
			11 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 172		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi 25 Unsubsidized Employment	ty [c]	
			1 Subsidized Employment		_
			13 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			6 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011'
	1 Education Related to Employment	
	3 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	1 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 16	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 38	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 42.7%	
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work a	activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in coun	table work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to	wards the federal participation rate
e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consist	tent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activ	vities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases du	ring the period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average available for April 2009 to March 2010.	for the period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is ba	sed on monthly average sample data
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributa by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds	ble to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent ch	ildren receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not up Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	nduplicated across the months during the year or among projects	

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. \*Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		r	rompkins		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2009-10	\$988,975 (Amount equals \$3,568,719 allocation, plus \$31,609 transferred in from 09 FFFS, less \$2,212,116 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Viole Contracts).	\$349,237 transferred to Title XX, \$50,000 in	10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "3/31/2010"	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
					\$988,975
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	<ul><li>75 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems</li><li>8 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl</li></ul>	ormant activities [a]	
			7 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empr		_
					_
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	3 individuals indicated danger		_
			2 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	_
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 209	)	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			56 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment 16 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			12 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			8 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			3 Education Related to Employment		
			2 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 35		
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 16	5.6%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 87	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 41%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexer	npt employability status
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC of		staff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towa	ards the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the p available for April 2009 to March 2010. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplica Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. *Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent chile ted across the months during the year or among projects	

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ULSTER		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2009-10	\$1,324,715 (Amount equals \$6,951,791 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Si FFFS, less \$4,477,076 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1, Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 tran	150,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes	s As Of : "3/31/2010"	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
					\$1,324,715
Case Management	2 project(s	s) to serve 515 families	2 project(s) served 2,411 families		
Enhanced Domesti	c Violence	1 project(s) to serve 35 families	1 project(s) served 13 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	58 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		^ 	19 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
			10 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	13 individuals indicated danger		-
			2 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,04	13	-
		Ĩ	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [c]	
			99 Unsubsidized Employment		
			6 Subsidized Employment		
			22 Work Experience		
			3 Community Service		
			1 On the Job Training		
			23 Job Readiness Training Activities		_
			7 Job Search		
			15 Vocational Education 4 Job Skills Training		
			1 Education Related to Employment		
			7 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6.	7.	8.
Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2010"	Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 63	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 15.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 157	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 39.3%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and who	m were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt	employability status
<ul><li>[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were of include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC</li><li>[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activitie</li><li>[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work</li></ul>	data is based on April 2009 to March 2010) es consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	n federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (b	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the pavailable for April 2009 to March 2010. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to S		

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			WARREN		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2009-10	\$941,088 (Amount equals \$1,825,974 allocation, plus \$8,108 transferred in from 09 FFFS, less \$657,288 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2 Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 tran	235,706 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
					\$725,512
Case Management	3 project(s	) to serve 78 families	3 project(s) served 335 families		
Enhanced Domesti	ic Violence	1 project(s) to serve 75 families	1 project(s) served 187 families		_
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	49 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		-
			6 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	_
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	3 individuals indicated danger		_
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts	_
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 46		_
		•	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ity [c]	
			12 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			8 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			25 Job Readiness Training Activities 16 Job Search		_
			1 Vocational Education		_
			8 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			3 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2010"	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 16	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 39%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 39	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 96.3%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously	and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexen	npt employability status
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWA [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in cou	intable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towa	ards the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consi	stent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work act	tivities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases d	luring the period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases c	luring the period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
	luring the period divided by federal participation rate denominator ge for the period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is base	ed on monthly average sample data
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly averag available for April 2009 to March 2010.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly averag available for April 2009 to March 2010. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attribut by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds	table to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent child	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly averag available for April 2009 to March 2010. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attribut by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not	table to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent child	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly averag available for April 2009 to March 2010. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attribut by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds	table to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent child unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects	

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
		V	VASHINGTON		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Leve	1	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2009-10	<ul> <li>\$784,109 (Amount equals \$1,935,022 allocation, plus \$5,770 transferred in fro 09 FFFS, less \$556,679 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, Child Care Transfer, \$20,004 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence</li> </ul>	\$480,000 transferred to Title XX, \$100,000 in additional	10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes	: As Of : "3/31/2010"	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
					\$784,109
Case Management	2 project(s	s) to serve 228 families	2 project(s) served 86 families		
Non_Recurrent Co	osts (Non-P	ublic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 90 families	1 project(s) served 131 families		_
SSI Maximization	1 project(s)	) to serve 8 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	25 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
	,		4 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	yment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	ployment activities [a]	_
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	23 individuals indicated danger		_
			19 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	
			Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 98		_
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [a]	
			26 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			5 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			9 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			1 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education		
			1 Job Skills Training		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011'
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 15	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 23.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 37	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 56.6%	
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessme	ously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexe ents that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC CWAY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010)	
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessme include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYC [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent	ents that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC CWAY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010)	E staff using NYCWAY and does not
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessme include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYC [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in	ents that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC CWAY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010)	staff using NYCWAY and does not
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessme include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYC [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not c	ents that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC CWAY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010) work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan n countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow	E staff using NYCWAY and does not
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessme include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYO [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not c [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in wor	ents that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC CWAY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010) work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan n countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year rk activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours	staff using NYCWAY and does not
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessment include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYO [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not compared [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in wor [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable ca	ents that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC CWAY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010) work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan n countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year rk activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours	2 staff using NYCWAY and does not wards the federal participation rate
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessme include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYO [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not co [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in wor [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable ca Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly av available for April 2009 to March 2010.	ents that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC CWAY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010) work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan n countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year rk activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours asses during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator	E staff using NYCWAY and does not wards the federal participation rate

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. \*Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010

		PERFORMANCE AN	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			WAYNE		
1. Program name				••	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2009-10	\$2,230,231 (Amount equals \$3,442,116 allocation, plus \$5,751 transferred in fr SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$1,037,778 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than 7 additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Vio Contracts).	Title XX, \$343,795 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in	10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
					\$1,347,930
		to serve 24 families	1 project(s) served 328 families		
		(s) to serve 143 families	1 project(s) served 1,777 families		
Child well Being	l project(s)	to serve 18 families	1 project(s) served 38 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	34 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		·	9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]	
			2 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violei	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	21 individuals indicated danger		
			9 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 9		-
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	_
			27 Unsubsidized Employment	• = =	
			3 Subsidized Employment		
			9 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			1 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			2 Job Search		
			3 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''		
	0 Other			
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 22			
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.2%			
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 39			
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 32.5%			
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and who	m were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt	employability status		
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010) [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year				
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (b	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours			
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator			
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the j available for April 2009 to March 2010. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to S by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplica Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. *Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/201	tate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children ated across the months during the year or among projects			

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
		WI	ESTCHESTER		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2009-10	\$8,329,497 (Amount equals \$39,584,644 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from \$ FFFS, less \$19,629,141 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$5 additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Viole Contracts).	5,908,249 transferred to Title XX, \$5,612,757 in	10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes	s As Of : "3/31/2010"	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
					\$8,329,497
Child Only Service	es 2 project	(s) to serve 173 families	2 project(s) served 231 families		
Youth Supports/Sc	chool Comn	nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 29 families	1 project(s) served 14 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	al Requirements	424 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
	, una riteon	, requirements	66 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
			33 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	129 individuals indicated danger		_
			203 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 2,06	52	-
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [c]	
			564 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			389 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training 112 Job Readiness Training Activities		_
			165 Job Search		
			131 Vocational Education		
			67 Job Skills Training		
			107 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 742	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 35.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 1,219	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 57.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previousl	y and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexe	mpt employability status
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCW.	that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC AY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010)	staff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent wo	rk activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in co	ountable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow	ards the federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not cons	sistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work a		
L-1	ctivities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly avera		ed on monthly average sample data
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly avera available for April 2009 to March 2010.	during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly avera available for April 2009 to March 2010. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attribu- by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds	during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator ge for the period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is bas utable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent chil	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly avera available for April 2009 to March 2010. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributed by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are no	during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator ge for the period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is bas utable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent chil	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly avera available for April 2009 to March 2010. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attribu- by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds	during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator ge for the period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is bas utable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent chi t unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects	

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
			WYOMING		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2009-10	\$623,475 (Amount equals \$1,696,969 allocation, plus \$7,066 transferred in from 08-09 FFFS, less \$778,559 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX additional Child Care Transfer, \$98,496 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Contracts).	, \$132,000 transferred to Title XX, \$150,000 in	10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "3/31/2010"	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011''
Casa Managamant	1 municat(s	) to serve 110 families	1 project(s) served 792 families		\$623,475
Case Management	1 project(s	) to serve 110 fammes	i project(s) serveu 792 fammes		-
Enhanced Domesti	c Violence	1 project(s) to serve 300 families	1 project(s) served 206 families		
Youth Supports/Sc	chool Comr	nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 36 families	1 project(s) served 123 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	22 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		-
			1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	oyment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	_
Comply with Dom	estic Violei	ace Family Violence Option (FVO)	14 individuals indicated danger		_
- · ·			2 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 54		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [c]	
			12 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			14 Work Experience		
ļ			2 Community Service		
ļ			0 On the Job Training		
ļ			5 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			1 Job Search		
			2 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training		
			0 JOU SKIIIS Hanning		]

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 12	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 32.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 28	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 75.7%	
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assest include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concur	ssments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC NYCWAY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010)	C staff using NYCWAY and does not
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assest include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concur [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating	ssments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC NYCWAY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010) rent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	C staff using NYCWAY and does not
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assest include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concur [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating	ssments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC NYCWAY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010) rent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan ng in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	C staff using NYCWAY and does not
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assest include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concur [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is a	ssments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC NYCWAY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010) rent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan ng in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours	C staff using NYCWAY and does not
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assest include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concur [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participatin [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is in [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on month available for April 2009 to March 2010.	ssments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC NYCWAY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010) rent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan ng in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours le cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator	C staff using NYCWAY and does not wards the federal participation rate
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assest include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concur [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participatin [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is in [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on month available for April 2009 to March 2010.	ssments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC NYCWAY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010) rent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan ng in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours le cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator ly average for the period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is base were attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent ch	C staff using NYCWAY and does not wards the federal participation rate

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. \*Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			YATES		
1. Program name	am name SFY Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle			5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2009-10	\$397,373 (Amount equals \$952,387 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summ FFFS, less \$368,437 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$188 Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer	8 000 transferred to Title XX \$0 in additional Child	10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"
Case Management	1 project(s	s) to serve 48 families	1 project(s) served 104 families		\$321,194
		ublic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 10 families	1 project(s) served 8 families		
Transitional Benef	its/Work Su	apports 1 project(s) to serve 60 families	1 project(s) served 37 families		_
Other 2 project(s)	to serve 560	) families	2 project(s) served 955 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	9 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emple 0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger 0 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 25		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activit 4 Unsubsidized Employment	ty [c]	_
			0 Subsidized Employment		-
			1 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			2 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		]

7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"
3 Job Skills Training	
1 Education Related to Employment	
1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
0 Other	
Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 3	
Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17.8%	
Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 7	
Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 37.4%	
	3 Job Skills Training         1 Education Related to Employment         1 Secondary School or Equivalent         0 Other         Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 3         Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17.8%         Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 7

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY (NYC data is based on April 2009 to March 2010)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average sample data available for April 2009 to March 2010.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

\*Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010

		PERFORMANCE AN	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			NYC-HRA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2009-10	\$336,469,037 (Amount equals \$553,153,253 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in 08-09 FFFS, less \$78,395,903 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Tit additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Vi Contracts).	le XX, \$138,288,313 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in	10/1/08-3/31/12 *	Allocation
		6.	7.		8.
		0. Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/2010''	o. Spending As Of: "6/30/2011"
					\$336,469,037
Enhanced Domest	ic Violence	1 project(s) to serve 2,400 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		
Non_Recurrent Co	osts (Non-P	ublic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 3,726 families	1 project(s) served 1,432 families		
Youth Supports/So	chool Com	nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 26,832 families	1 project(s) served 30,924 families		_
Other 2 project(s)			2 project(s) served 2,735,966 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	5,899 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_
	-		657 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
			561 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	n employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	5,407 individuals indicated danger		
			3,722 individuals granted a waiver from program requ	rements	
50.0% Countrie 1."	A 11 E	all Descheration Date	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1:	50.347	-
50 % Combined "	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Act		_
			21,375 Unsubsidized Employment		-
	963 Subsidized Employment				7
			3,884 Work Experience		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2010''	8. Spending As Of: ''6/30/2011'
	0 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	0 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	3,164 Job Search	_
	1,644 Vocational Education	_
	386 Job Skills Training	
	1,008 Education Related to Employment	
	44 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 19,222	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 34.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 27,748	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 49.2%	
a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously a	nd whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexem	pt employability status
b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments th nclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY		staff using NYCWAY and does not
c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work	activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in coun	table work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towa	ards the federal participation rate
e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consist	ent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activ	vities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases due	ring the period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
	for the period April 2000 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related date for NVC is base	

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2009 to March 2010. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average sample data available for April 2009 to March 2010.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

\*Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2009 - 03/31/2010