	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	STATE SUMMARY					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Leve		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$443,350,780 (Amount equals \$960,000,000 allocation, plus \$307,943 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$3,222,147 transferred in from SEV 09-10 EFES, less \$313,084,600 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$167,245,286 transferred to Title			Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"	
					\$440,439,569	
		ninal 7 project(s) to serve 729 families	7 project(s) served 855 families		_	
		s(s) to serve 109,537 families	44 project(s) served 12,826 families		_	
		t(s) to serve 32,532 families	9 project(s) served 33,154 families			
		s) to serve 1,569 families	19 project(s) served 2,097 families			
		11 project(s) to serve 2,077 families	11 project(s) served 2,190 families			
		3 project(s) to serve 0 families ublic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 9 project(s) to serve 1,207 families	3 project(s) served 933 families 9 project(s) served 13,348 families			
		ing 10 project(s) to serve 672 families	10 project(s) served 3,685 families			
		s) to serve 4,887 families	16 project(s) served 8,915 families			
		s) to serve 4,667 families	10 project(s) served 921 families			
		g 2 project(s) to serve 550 families	2 project(s) served 809 families			
		upports 11 project(s) to serve 10,620 families	11 project(s) served 22,941 families			
		nunity Collaboration 31 project(s) to serve 4,699 families	31 project(s) served 15,292 families			
Other 20 project(s			20 project(s) served 2,734,120 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alach	al Daguiraments	16101: 1::1			
Compry with Drug	g and Alcon	or requirements	16,191 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	1		
 			1,956 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from			
			964 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from	i empioyment activities [a]	 	
Comply with Dom	nestic Violei	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	7,789 individuals indicated danger		_	
1 3		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	5,150 individuals granted a waiver from program requir	ements		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 20			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	rity [c]		
			30,956 Unsubsidized Employment			
			1,290 Subsidized Employment			
			6,996 Work Experience			
			92 Community Service		\neg	
			27 On the Job Training		7	
			967 Job Readiness Training Activities			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	5,004 Job Search	
	3,380 Vocational Education	
	1,251 Job Skills Training	
	1,638 Education Related to Employment	
	249 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	138 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 27,834	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 32.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 44,152	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 52.1%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	ROS SUMMARY					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Le		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$157,639,626 (Amount equals \$409,484,630 allocation, plus \$307,943 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$3,222,147 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFES, less \$184,757,483 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$62,768,286 transferred to Title			10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation	
		6.	7.		8.	
		Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/11"	Spending As Of: "3/31/13"	
A 1 1. T. 11.	I .: /C:	. 17	7		\$154,728,415	
		minal 7 project(s) to serve 729 families	7 project(s) served 855 families			
		t(s) to serve 12,206 families t(s) to serve 32,532 families	43 project(s) served 12,826 families 9 project(s) served 33,154 families			
		s) to serve 1,569 families	19 project(s) served 33,134 families			
		2 10 project(s) to serve 856 families	10 project(s) served 930 families			
Enhanced Domest	nd Alcohol	3 project(s) to serve 0 families	3 project(s) served 933 families		-	
		bublic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 8 project(s) to serve 0 families	8 project(s) served 12,016 families			
		ing 10 project(s) to serve 672 families	10 project(s) served 3,685 families			
		s) to serve 4,887 families	16 project(s) served 8,915 families			
SSI Maximization 10 project(s) to serve 926 families			10 project(s) served 921 families			
		g 2 project(s) to serve 550 families	2 project(s) served 809 families			
		upports 11 project(s) to serve 10,620 families	11 project(s) served 22,941 families			
		munity Collaboration 30 project(s) to serve 2,973 families	30 project(s) served 4,038 families			
Other 18 project(s	s) to serve 2.	,957 families	18 project(s) served 4,732 families			
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	9,371 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			1,406 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from			
			451 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from	n employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	2,606 individuals indicated danger			
			1,537 individuals granted a waiver from program requir	rements		
50 % Combined "	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 37	,554		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]		
			8,366 Unsubsidized Employment	, ny [e]		
			478 Subsidized Employment			
			4,142 Work Experience			
			92 Community Service			
			27 On the Job Training			
			967 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			1,026 Job Search		_	
			961 Vocational Education			
			512 Job Skills Training			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	803 Education Related to Employment	
	160 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	138 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 8,137	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 26.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 14,442	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 46.9%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORMAN	NCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		1 EM OMVIN	ALBANY	`	
1.	2.	3.		4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriat	ion Level	Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$5,535,129 (Amount equals \$13,786,196 allocation, plus \$18,199 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$5,918,395 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2,201,500 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$149,371 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation	
		6.	7.		8.
		Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of • "3/31/11"	Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
		Terrormance, recountaining doub	Terror manies, recounting outcom		\$5,535,129
Housing Services	1 project(s) to serve 560 families	1 project(s) served 1,003 families		φο,σσσ,12>
			274 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcol	nol Requirements	-		
			42 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	<u> </u>	
			40 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	ence Family Violence Option (FVO)	121 individuals indicated danger		
			8 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	nts	
50 % Combined ".	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,5		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ity [c]	
			277 Unsubsidized Employment		
			6 Subsidized Employment		<u> </u>
			246 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training 58 Job Readiness Training Activities		-
			2 Job Search		-
			22 Vocational Education		\dashv
			72 Job Skills Training		7
			29 Education Related to Employment		7
			5 Secondary School or Equivalent		7
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 260		
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 25	5.4%	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 523		
			Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 51.	.1%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt en	nployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were coinclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate		sing NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the	ne federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
		0
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reavailable April 2010 to March 2011.	eporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	is based on monthly average data
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Stafederal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children r	eceiving assistance supported by
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Twote 5. The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-05/51/2011.		

			ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ALLEGANY		
1. Program name	2. 3. Appropriation Level Contr		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2010-11	\$1,284,122 (Amount equals \$3,189,573 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$146,468 transferred in from SFY		10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
					\$1,284,122
Adult or Juvenile J	Justice/Crir	ninal 1 project(s) to serve 30 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		. , , ,
Child Well Being	1 project(s)	to serve 100 families	1 project(s) served 241 families		
Enhanced Domest	ic Violence	1 project(s) to serve 100 families	1 project(s) served 139 families		
			124 :- J:-:J:I		_
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	124 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	, ,: ::: F.1	_
			1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl		_
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	4 individuals indicated danger		
compry with Boni	iestie viole	neer uning violence option (1 vo)	5 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 232	2	
		•	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			41 Unsubsidized Employment		
			3 Subsidized Employment		
			11 Work Experience		
			2 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			5 Job Search		7
			5 Vocational Education		
			39 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 28	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 73	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50.5%	

- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

0

- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			BROOME *		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Le	vel	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$3,170,579 (Amount equals \$8,442,296 allocation, plus \$15,192 transferred 09-10 FFFS, less \$3,924,824 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Ti Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence a	tle XX, \$1,362,085 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6.	7.		8.
		Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of: "3/31/11"	Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
					\$3,169,792
Case Management	1 project(s	s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		
			110: 1: 1 1 16 15 (41 1 1 15 11		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	110 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	1	_
			39 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em		
			15 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	_
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	71 individuals indicated danger		
r y		7 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	56 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
0 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 82	9	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			285 Unsubsidized Employment		
			6 Subsidized Employment		
			85 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			7 On the Job Training		
			32 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			61 Job Search		
			51 Vocational Education		
			5 Job Skills Training		_
			40 Education Related to Employment		_
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		

1 Other

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 249	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 25.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 500	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 52.1%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

(

- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.
- *This Social Services District has a Case Management project whose cost represents 100% of the federal share of the Case Management (RF2A, D1, Section 4, column 7) costs identified through the Random Moments Study which fall under TANF Program rather than administration, for federal reporting purposes. These projects do not require families served reporting.

		PERFORMANO	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
			CATTARAUGUS			
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriati	ion Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$2,122,733 (Amount equals \$4,240,371 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$654,317 transferred in from SFY			10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"	
					\$1,535,105	
		ninal 2 project(s) to serve 176 families t(s) to serve 3 families	2 project(s) served 147 families 1 project(s) served 0 families		 	
		to serve 204 families	3 project(s) served 0 families			
		1 project(s) to serve 182 families	1 project(s) served 73 families			
		ng 5 project(s) to serve 352 families	5 project(s) served 378 families	5 project(s) served 378 families		
		nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 224 families	1 project(s) served 224 families			
Other 1 project(s)	to serve 50) families	1 project(s) served 560 families			
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	46 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 4 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo 5 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from en			
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	13 individuals indicated danger	iproyment activities [a]		
			8 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 338			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ity [c]		
			21 Unsubsidized Employment			
			5 Subsidized Employment			
			16 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			1 On the Job Training			
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			1 Job Search			
			1 Vocational Education			
			7 Job Skills Training			
	_		1 Education Related to Employment			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	3 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 25	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 31.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 39	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 48.6%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			CAYUGA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level \$1,287,860 (Amount equals \$3,819,197 allocation, plus \$137 transferred in fro		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	31,287,860 (Amount equals \$3,819,197 allocation, plus \$137 transferred in Irc 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,735,554 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Vi Contracts).	XX, \$325,000 transferred to Title XX, \$525,000 in	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
G. M.	2 : //	70.0 11	2		\$1,184,716
) to serve 70 families (s) to serve 15 families	2 project(s) served 391 families 1 project(s) served 0 families		-
		to serve 20 families	1 project(s) served 0 families 1 project(s) served 158 families		
		g 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 803 families		-
		nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 90 families	1 project(s) served 162 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	39 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 8 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl 2 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from en		
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	20 individuals indicated danger 20 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 12		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ 38 Unsubsidized Employment	ity [c]	
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			19 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training 0 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		_
			3 Vocational Education		\dashv
			0 Job Skills Training		┪
			8 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 29	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 58	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 37%	

- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

0

- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

			ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	1 2		UTAUQUA *		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	Appropriation Level \$2,130,566 (Amount equals \$5,373,656 allocation, plus \$11,648 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$315 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$2,902,963 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$352,090 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
					\$2,130,566
Taga Managamant	1 project(s	s) to serve 0 families	project(s) served 0 families		Ψ2,130,300
ase Management	1 project(s	s) to serve o families	project(s) served o families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements 68	B individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
<u>-</u>	,	-	o individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	ployment activities [a]	
		8	individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	3 individuals indicated danger		\dashv
			12 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
0 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	umber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 765	5	
		N	umber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			233 Unsubsidized Employment		
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			89 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			11 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			27 Job Search		
			6 Vocational Education 2 Job Skills Training		_
					_
			4 Education Related to Employment		_
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		_

0 Other

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 140	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 14.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 341	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 35.2%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORMANCE AND	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	CHEMUNG *					
1. Program name	2. SFY			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2010-11	\$1,285,710 (Amount equals \$4,587,140 allocation, plus \$6,905 transferred in from 10 FFFS, less \$2,586,316 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$4 additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violen Contracts).	122,019 transferred to Title XX, \$300,000 in	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"	
					\$1,285,710	
			1 project(s) served 406 families 1 project(s) served 0 families		_	
Other 1 project(s)			1 project(s) served 0 families 1 project(s) served 0 families		 	
pj(-/						
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	180 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			22 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]		
			5 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	19 individuals indicated danger		-	
			16 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	ents		
50 % Combined ".	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 60		-	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ity [c]		
			97 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			16 Work Experience			
			2 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			15 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			21 Job Search			
			3 Vocational Education			
			1 Job Skills Training			
			19 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	1 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 58	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 133	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 48.4%	

- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.

- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			CHENANGO		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Le		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$789,712 (Amount equals \$2,346,608 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from FFFS, less \$1,090,896 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0	X, \$466,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
					\$789,712
) to serve 80 families	1 project(s) served 307 families		
		Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 988 families		
SSI Maximization	1 project(s	t) to serve 40 families	1 project(s) served 6 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	nol Requirements	75 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			6 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
			4 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	ence Family Violence Option (FVO)	32 individuals indicated danger		
			28 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined "	All Familia	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 23	<u> </u>	
30 % Combined	7 tii T aiiiiiic	5 Tarticipation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ		
			34 Unsubsidized Employment	ity [e]	
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			11 Work Experience		
			4 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			14 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			4 Job Search		
			9 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			2 Education Related to Employment		
			6 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 29	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 27.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 60	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 56.7%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	CLINTON					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. 4. Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycles		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2010-11	\$1,474,953 (Amount equals \$3,324,446 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from S FFFS, less \$1,169,977 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$6 Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer, \$0 transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer,	79,516 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"	
					\$1,474,953	
		to serve 89 families munity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 325 families	2 project(s) served 77 families 1 project(s) served 359 families		_	
outh Supports/Se	cnool Com	nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 325 families	1 project(s) served 359 families		-	
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	48 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
T J	5	1	10 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	ployment activities [a]		
			3 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from ea	mployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	19 individuals indicated danger			
			13 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents		
0 % Combined ".	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 361			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Active 61 Unsubsidized Employment	rity [c]	_	
			1 Subsidized Employment			
			16 Work Experience		_	
			2 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training		- 	
			29 Job Readiness Training Activities		_	
			15 Job Search		 	
			4 Vocational Education		 	
			1 Job Skills Training			
			5 Education Related to Employment			
			7 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 43	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 113	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 45%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		1 ERFORMA	NCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX COLUMBIA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	Approp	3. riation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodolog
FFFS	2010-11	\$450,973 (Amount equals \$3,258,836 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10		10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6.	7.		8.
		Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "3/31/11"	Spending As Of: "3/31/13
					\$422,673
Other 1 project(s)	to serve 70	families	1 project(s) served 309 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alach	ol Doguiroments	76 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_
ompry with Drug	g and Alcon	or Requirements	2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	ovment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en		
		E TANK O CONTROL	8 individuals indicated danger		
Comply with Dom	nestic Violei	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)		3 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
			5 individuals granted a warver from program requirement	11.5	
0 % Combined ".	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 202		_
o // Comemed 1	THI THIMP	, Turio pulo i Nuc	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Active		
			28 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			2 Work Experience		
			3 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			4 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			8 Job Search		_
			1 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training		
			1 Education Related to Employment		

0 Secondary School or Equivalent

0 Other

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 18	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 13.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 44	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 31.8%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORMANO	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	CORTLAND *					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriati	on Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2010-11	\$348,228 (Amount equals \$2,384,826 allocation, plus \$5 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$201 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,487,304 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$549,500 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"	
					\$348,228	
Case Management	1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		_	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	67 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
comply with Brug	, una 1 110 011	2.204	8 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from er	mployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	32 individuals indicated danger			
			13 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	ents		
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 84		_	
		•	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			61 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			7 Work Experience			
			1 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training		_	
			4 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search 3 Vocational Education		\dashv	
			0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment		\dashv	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		\dashv	
			0 Other		7	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 36	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 70	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 39.9%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORMAN	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			DELAWARE		
1.	2.	3.		4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriati		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$440,401 (Amount equals \$1,470,967 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$570,897 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$459,669 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outco	mes As Of • "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
		1 error mance/Accountability Goals	1 error mance/Accountability Outcom	mes As O1 . 3/31/11	
					\$440,401
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	24 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	1	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from o	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	15 individuals indicated danger	15 individuals indicated danger	
1 3		7	9 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 11		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti 15 Unsubsidized Employment	vity [c]	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			10 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			1 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			1 Job Search		
			3 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 14		
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e]	35.8%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 24	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 59.5%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		I	OUTCHESS		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$2,440,184 (Amount equals \$8,478,172 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$3,883,422 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2,154,566 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
Case Management	2 project(s) to serve 737 families	2 project(s) served 0 families		\$2,409,919
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	103 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 7 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl 7 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from er		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	42 individuals indicated danger 41 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 494		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			115 Unsubsidized Employment 2 Subsidized Employment		
			22 Work Experience		_
			9 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			28 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			16 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education		
			3 Job Skills Training		
			6 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		_
			0 Other		_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 73 Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 1'	7.20/	_

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 176	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 41.8%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ERIE *		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Lev		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$22,828,075 (Amount equals \$47,177,445 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$16,581,350 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$7,768,020 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
Case Management	2 project(s) to serve 150 families	2 project(s) served 348 families		\$22,828,074
Comply with Drug			644 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 246 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from en 41 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from		
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	727 individuals indicated danger 386 individuals granted a waiver from program requirer	nents	
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 7,7 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ 1,056 Unsubsidized Employment 196 Subsidized Employment		
			1,169 Work Experience 2 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training 1 Job Readiness Training Activities		\exists
			0 Job Search 38 Vocational Education 105 Job Skills Training		
			61 Education Related to Employment 23 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 1,57	6	\dashv

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 41.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 2,166	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 57.1%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX	<u> </u>	
			ESSEX		
1.	2.	3.		4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Leve		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodolog
FFFS	2010-11	\$304,159 (Amount equals \$1,601,610 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from \$1 FFFS, less \$807,451 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$4 Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 to \$1.00 to	190,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6.	7.		8.
		Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outco	omes As Of : "3/31/11"	Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
					\$304,159
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	30 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	5 individuals indicated danger		
			5 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	_
50 % Combined ".	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1	03	-
,	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	- Land-panton Land	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Act	ivity [c]	
			7 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			3 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			1 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 4		

Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 16.2%

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 10	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 38.7%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

(

- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORMA	NCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
			FRANKLIN			
1.	2.		3.	4.	5.	
Program name	SFY		oriation Level	Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology	
			Ferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$30,898 transferred in from SFY			
FFFS	2010-11 09-10 FFFS, less \$921,244 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$420,000 transferred to Title XX, \$50,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"	
					\$1,109,255	
Enhanced Domest	ic Violence	2 project(s) to serve 56 families	2 project(s) served 264 families		Ψ1,1U7,4JJ	
		1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 119 families			
		to serve 30 families	1 project(s) served 119 families 1 project(s) served 165 families			
		upports 3 project(s) to serve 128 families	3 project(s) served 830 families			
		munity Collaboration 4 project(s) to serve 125 families		4 project(s) served 499 families		
Other 3 project(s)		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3 project(s) served 273 families			
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	nol Requirements	43 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]		
			1 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger			
comply with Bon	100010	neo z mini, violeneo opinon (z vo)	0 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts		
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	.:4 [-]	_	
			31 Unsubsidized Employment	vity [c]		
			2 Subsidized Employment			
			18 Work Experience			
			2 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			1 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			2 Vocational Education			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	1 Job Skills Training	
	1 Education Related to Employment	
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 36	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 38.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 50	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 54.5%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORMANCI	E AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			FULTON		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$981,402 (Amount equals \$3,024,622 allocation, plus \$4,028 transferred 10 FFFS, less \$1,729,886 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Ti Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence	tle XX, \$317,362 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
					\$905,681
Iousing Services Other 1 project(s)		to serve 480 families	1 project(s) served 331 families 1 project(s) served 44 families		_
mer i project(s)	to serve 40	lammes	1 project(s) served 44 families		
omply with Drug	g and Alcol	ol Requirements	13 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		•	2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Don	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	37 individuals indicated danger		
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ts	
0 % Combined ".	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 130		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Active 25 Unsubsidized Employment	ity [c]	
			0 Subsidized Employment		-
			21 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		_
			0 On the Job Training		
			9 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			9 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education		
			6 Job Skills Training		
			1 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 27	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 38%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 47	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 65.5%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORMAN	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			GENESEE		
1. Program name					5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$456,090 (Amount equals \$2,103,650 allocation, plus \$3,706 transfer 10 FFFS, less \$1,274,598 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence of the Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence of the Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence of the Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence of the Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence of the Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence of the Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence of the Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence of the Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence of the Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence of the Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence of the Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence of the Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence of the Child Care Transfer	Title XX, \$376,668 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
					\$456,090
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	63 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	, ,: ::: r 1	
			6 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplor 1 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from en		
			i manualed to treatment— nonexempt from en	ipioyment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	1 individuals indicated danger		
1 3			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts	
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 96		
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [c]	
			18 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			9 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		_
			10 Job Readiness Training Activities		_
			3 Job Search		_
			2 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training		-
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		$\overline{}$
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 14		
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18	3.8%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 34	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 47%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORMAN	NCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	GREENE					
1. Program name	2. SFY	Appropri	3. iation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2010-11	\$806,340 (Amount equals \$2,502,601 allocation, plus \$3,450 trans 10 FFFS, less \$1,377,111 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other th Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic V		10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"	
					\$806,340	
		1 project(s) to serve 0 families ing 1 project(s) to serve 50 families	1 project(s) served 708 families 1 project(s) served 62 families		 	
		to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 62 families 1 project(s) served 0 families			
riousing services	1 project(s)		2 project(s) served a lamines		- 	
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	126 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	126 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	oyment activities [a]	\neg	
			2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger			
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	its		
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 7		_	
		•	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ity [c]		
			55 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			7 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			7 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			15 Job Search			
			1 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 33	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 73	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 46%	

- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	HAMILTON					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Lev		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2010-11	\$49,020 (Amount equals \$180,251 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Sur FFFS, less \$148,508 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$ Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transf	15,682 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"	
					\$44,609	
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	0 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl			
			0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from er	npioyment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger			
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	nts		
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b]			
o yo comomica i		- Turusipanon Tant	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			1 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			0 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			0 Vocational Education		_	
			0 Job Skills Training		_	
			0 Education Related to Employment 0 Secondary School or Equivalent		_	
			0 Other		\dashv	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 0		\dashv	
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 7	%	\dashv	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 1	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 23.3%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORMANCE ANI	O ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	HERKIMER *					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2010-11	\$899,371 (Amount equals \$2,388,605 allocation, plus \$2,205 transferred in from \$ SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,212,911 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Tit additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violer Contracts).	le XX, \$257,000 transferred to Title XX, \$125,000 in	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"	
C M	. 1:) A	1		\$899,370	
		s) to serve 0 families to serve 20 families	1 project(s) served 0 families 1 project(s) served 108 families			
) to serve 14 families	1 project(s) served 100 families			
	1 3		1 3 17			
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	20 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			4 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from empl-	oyment activities [a]		
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	7 individuals indicated danger		_	
			6 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	its		
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 168		_	
		•	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			30 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			9 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training		\exists	
			14 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			2 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			4 Education Related to Employment			
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 23	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 53	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 46%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)

- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

U

- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.
- *This Social Services District has a Case Management project whose cost represents 100% of the federal share of the Case Management (RF2A, D1, Section 4, column 7) costs identified through the Random Moments Study which fall under TANF Program rather than administration, for federal reporting purposes. These projects do not require families served reporting.

		PERFORMAN	ICE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			JEFFERSON *		
1.	2.		3.	4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropria		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$1,073,005 (Amount equals \$5,187,198 allocation, plus \$11,453 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$2,435,420 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,690,226 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
					\$1,073,005
Adult or Juvenile .	Justice/Crir	ninal 1 project(s) to serve 175 families	1 project(s) served 109 families		
		s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		
		to serve 100 families	1 project(s) served 129 families		
) to serve 24 families	1 project(s) served 42 families		
Youth Supports/So	chool Comi	munity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 90 families	1 project(s) served 280 families		_
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	75 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]	
			5 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from er	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	13 individuals indicated danger		
			15 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	ents	
50 0/ C 1: 1"	A 11 E '11'	U.D. dei de D.	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 180		
50 % Combined A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ		
			32 Unsubsidized Employment	ity [C]	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			15 Work Experience		
<u> </u>			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			5 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	2 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 23	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 49	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 40.4%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.
- *This Social Services District has a Case Management project whose cost represents 100% of the federal share of the Case Management (RF2A, D1, Section 4, column 7) costs identified through the Random Moments Study which fall under TANF Program rather than administration, for federal reporting purposes. These projects do not require families served reporting.

		PERFORMAN	NCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			LEWIS		
1. Program name	2. SFY	Appropri	3. iation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$494,659 (Amount equals \$1,290,124 allocation, plus \$0 transferre 10 FFFS, less \$625,593 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other tha Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence	n Title XX, \$175,800 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
					\$444,204
		s) to serve 20 families	1 project(s) served 182 families		
		ing 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		
Youth Supports/So	chool Comi	munity Collaboration 2 project(s) to serve 5 families	2 project(s) served 32 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	nol Requirements	15 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from er	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	18 individuals indicated danger		
1 7		· · · · · ·	9 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
50 % Combined "	Δll Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 9		
30 % Combined	z m r dimire	1 articipation reac	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			3 Unsubsidized Employment	- V C- I	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			1 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			7 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			1 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 2	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 11.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 10	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 64.7%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

0

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORM	ANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			LIVINGSTON		
1.	2.		3.	4.	5.
Program name	SFY		opriation Level	Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11		er than Title XX, \$500,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional c Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
					\$983,963
Housing Services	1 project(s)	to serve 212 families	1 project(s) served 345 families		
SSI Maximization	1 project(s) to serve 78 families	1 project(s) served 204 families		
Transitional Benef	its/Work S	upports 1 project(s) to serve 246 families	1 project(s) served 195 families		
			52: 1: 1 1 16 15 (41 1 1 1 1 1 1		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		ol Requirements	53 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			12 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	17 individuals indicated danger		
			2 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	its	
50 % Cambinad "	All Esmilia	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1		
30 % Combined A	All Fallille	s Farticipation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ity [c]	
			46 Unsubsidized Employment	ny [e]	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			12 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			2 Job Search		
			2 Vocational Education		
			2 Job Skills Training		
			1 Education Related to Employment		
			7 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 38	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 24.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 59	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 38.2%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)

- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

0

- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORMANO	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			MADISON *		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$839,861 (Amount equals \$2,143,215 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in FFFS, less \$1,050,896 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Tit Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence a	le XX, \$253,032 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
					\$839,861
		s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		
lousing Services	1 project(s)	to serve 350 families	1 project(s) served 1,567 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	59 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
ompry wan Brag	g una rincor	or requirements	6 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	oyment activities [a]	
			3 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en		
Comply with Don	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	2 individuals indicated danger		
			2 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts	
0 % Combined ".	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 36		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ity [c]	
			36 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			10 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			4 Job Search		
			4 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			2 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			1 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 24	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 51	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 43%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

*This Social Services District has a Case Management project whose cost represents 100% of the federal share of the Case Management (RF2A, D1, Section 4, column 7) costs identified through the Random Moments Study which fall under TANF Program rather than administration, for federal reporting purposes. These projects do not require families served reporting.

		PERFORMA	ANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			MONROE *		
1.	2. SFY		3.	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5.
Program name	SFY		priation Level 48 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY	Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	09-10 FFFS, less \$15,238,826 budgeted towards Child Welfare additional Child Care Transfer, \$170,212 budgeted for Non- Res Administered Contracts).	Other than Title XX, \$1,998,681 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
			•		\$11,706,289
	t 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		Ψ11,700,207
		et(s) to serve 32,049 families	1 project(s) served 32,049 families		
) to serve 1,220 families	1 project(s) served 1,220 families		
C 1 '4 D	1 4 1 1	1D	2,817 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcon	noi Requirements	555 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	nlovment activities [a]	_
			72 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e.		
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	ence Family Violence Option (FVO)	178 individuals indicated danger		
Comply with Bon	iestie viole	The Tulin Y Tolence Option (T V O)	80 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
50 % Combined "	All Familia	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 3,32	7	_
30 % Combined 1	All Pallille	s i arucipation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi		
			1,348 Unsubsidized Employment	·) [4]	
			125 Subsidized Employment		
			502 Work Experience		
			10 Community Service		
			3 On the Job Training		
			164 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			139 Vocational Education		_
			84 Job Skills Training 173 Education Related to Employment		
			1/3 Education Related to Employment 16 Secondary School or Equivalent		_
			To secondary school of Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	121 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 1,100	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 2,190	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 39.9%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)

- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

(

- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.
- *This Social Services District has a Case Management project whose cost represents 100% of the federal share of the Case Management (RF2A, D1, Section 4, column 7) costs identified through the Random Moments Study which fall under TANF Program rather than administration, for federal reporting purposes. These projects do not require families served reporting.

			ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX VTGOMERY		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	NIGOWERI	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$944,464 (Amount equals \$1,852,507 allocation, plus \$3,590 transferred in from Su 10 FFFS, less \$616,356 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$295 Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer	,450 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
					\$799,270
Case Management	t 1 project(s) to serve 1,020 families	project(s) served 1,224 families		
Comply with Drug	1 A11-	2	8 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
Compry with Drug	g and Alcon	1	individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	lovment activities [a]	
			individuals mandated to treatment— nonexempt from en		
			, and a second s	1 3	
Comply with Don	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	individuals indicated danger		
		0	individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
50 % Combined "	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	umber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 69		
		N	umber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	rity [c]	
			39 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			1 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			4 Job Readiness Training Activities 11 Job Search		_
			0 Vocational Education		4
			0 Job Skills Training		\dashv
			1 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		

0 Other

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 21	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 11.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 52	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 29.6%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			NASSAU		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$7,367,746 (Amount equals \$22,848,375 allocation, plus \$31,665 transferred in 09-10 FFFS, less \$10,650,000 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Viole Contracts).	XX, \$3,862,294 transferred to Title XX, \$1,000,000 in	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
C	J A1 I-	I Di	93 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		\$7,367,746
Comply with Drug	and Alcon	or kequirements	13 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
			A	1 7 13	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	132 individuals indicated danger		
			60 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,1	37	-
		•	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			505 Unsubsidized Employment		
			26 Subsidized Employment		
			218 Work Experience		
			13 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			45 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			128 Job Search		
			142 Vocational Education		
			3 Job Skills Training		_
			7 Education Related to Employment		_
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent 1 Other		_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 592		_
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2		_

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 949	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 41%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

			AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX NIAGARA		
1.	2.	3.	NIAGAKA	4.	5,
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Le	vel	Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
		\$3,643,067 (Amount equals \$8,764,186 allocation, plus \$5,288 transferred in			
FFFS	2010-11	10 FFFS, less \$3,337,000 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,772,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional		10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
		·	•		\$3,629,548
Case Management	t 1 project(t) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		Ψ3,027,370
		to serve 155 families	1 project(s) served 0 families 1 project(s) served 129 families		\dashv
		to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 34 families		
		apports 1 project(s) to serve 1,375 families	1 project(s) served 5,168 families		
Youth Supports/S	chool Com	nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		
Comply with Drug	Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		152 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			47 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
			7 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	ployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	33 individuals indicated danger		
eomply with Boll	100110	to running violence equitor (1 + e)	6 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts	
			N. J. CE. J. A. C. J.		
50 % Combined ".	All Familie	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 717		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi 209 Unsubsidized Employment	ty [c]	-
			3 Subsidized Employment		_
			85 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			41 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			19 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			19 Education Related to Employment		
			4 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			•		

0 Other

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 182	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 23.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 344	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 44%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

0

- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ONEIDA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	FFFS 2010-11 \$6,141,886 (Amount equals \$11,968,244 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$3,350,303 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2,468,430 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$7,625 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
					\$5,940,572
		to serve 275 families	1 project(s) served 275 families		
Transitional Benef	fits/Work Su	apports 1 project(s) to serve 2,682 families	1 project(s) served 2,682 families		_
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	254 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		-
T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	9	1	21 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]	7
			17 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Cleith D		nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	49 individuals indicated danger		_
Comply with Dom	iestic violei	nce ranning violence Option (FVO)	13 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	-
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,6	567	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	\sqsupset
			234 Unsubsidized Employment		
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			129 Work Experience		
			2 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			24 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			15 Vocational Education		
			1 Job Skills Training		_
			80 Education Related to Employment		
			5 Secondary School or Equivalent		_
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 197		_
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	2.9%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 374	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 43.4%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

			AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX ONONDAGA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation I	_evel	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$12,022,520 (Amount equals \$21,845,129 allocation, plus \$30,259 transfe 09-10 FFFS, less \$7,910,459 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence	Title XX, \$1,942,409 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	4	0.5 11	10.5 11		\$12,022,520
		s) to serve 0 families to serve 300 families	1 project(s) served 0 families 1 project(s) served 381 families		
		to serve 350 families) to serve 350 families	1 project(s) served 34 families		
		apports 1 project(s) to serve 5,700 families	1 project(s) served 7,042 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		ol Requirements	1,110 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			13 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
			34 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	104 individuals indicated danger		
			93 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 2,08	37	_
		1	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [c]	
			515 Unsubsidized Employment	•	
			14 Subsidized Employment		
			249 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			11 On the Job Training		
			14 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			142 Job Search		
	•		88 Vocational Education		
			97 Job Skills Training		
			67 Education Related to Employment		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	22 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 409	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 922	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 46.9%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

0

- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		DEDECOMANICE				
	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX ONTARIO *					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation 1 \$1,367,367 (Amount equals \$3,190,225 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in	Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	FFFS, less \$1,292,858 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$530,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	nes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"	
					\$1,367,367	
		t) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families			
Other 2 project(s)	to serve 40	families	2 project(s) served 282 families			
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	88 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
Compry with Drug	g and 7 neon	of requirements	6 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e			
Comply with Don	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	33 individuals indicated danger			
• •		•	16 individuals granted a waiver from program requiren	nents		
50 % Combined ".	All Familie	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 26	8	-	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]		
			70 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			8 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			5 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			9 Job Search			
			3 Vocational Education 1 Job Skills Training			
			10 Education Related to Employment		_	
 I			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		\dashv	
1			1 Secondary School of Equivalent		—	

2 Other

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 45	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 23.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 94	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 49.3%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

*This Social Services District has a Case Management project whose cost represents 100% of the federal share of the Case Management (RF2A, D1, Section 4, column 7) costs identified through the Random Moments Study which fall under TANF Program rather than administration, for federal reporting purposes. These projects do not require families served reporting.

		PERFORMAN	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
4			ORANGE		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriate		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS		Appropriation Level \$5,358,890 (Amount equals \$12,743,775 allocation, plus \$17,623 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$5,376,996 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2,025,512 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
					\$5,358,890
		s) to serve 2,220 families	2 project(s) served 2,426 families		
		to serve 1,010 families	2 project(s) served 3,455 families		
		nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 24 families	1 project(s) served 24 families		
Other 3 project(s)	to serve 89) families	3 project(s) served 1,126 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		ol Requirements	35 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			12 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	ployment activities [a]	
			44 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from 6	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	182 individuals indicated danger		
			62 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	ents	
50 % Combined "	All Esmilia	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,22	26	
30 % Combined 7	All Pallille	a italicipation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ.		
			379 Unsubsidized Employment	ity [0]	
			8 Subsidized Employment		
			111 Work Experience		
			8 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			90 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			3 Job Search		
			35 Vocational Education		
			3 Job Skills Training		
			54 Education Related to Employment		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	2 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 285	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 27.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 543	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 52.8%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ORLEANS *		
1.	2.	3.	_	4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Let \$628,463 (Amount equals \$1,681,160 allocation, plus \$3,044 transferred in 1		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	09-10 FFFS, less \$849,804 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence	XX, \$246,100 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of • "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
		1 CHO mance/Accountability Coals	Terror mance/Accountaining Outcom	RS AS O1 . 3/31/11	\$519,320
Case Management	t 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		φ317,320
ouse management	o i project(9 00 002 10 0 1411111100			
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	36 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			13 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			6 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from er	mployment activities [a]	_
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	1 individuals indicated danger		
		• • •	1 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	nts	
0 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 193	3	_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			49 Unsubsidized Employment		_
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			25 Work Experience 0 Community Service		
			1 On the Job Training		
			4 Job Readiness Training Activities		_
			0 Job Search		\dashv
			2 Vocational Education		\dashv
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			7 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 39	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 25.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 73	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 47.1%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

*This Social Services District has a Case Management project whose cost represents 100% of the federal share of the Case Management (RF2A, D1, Section 4, column 7) costs identified through the Random Moments Study which fall under TANF Program rather than administration, for federal reporting purposes. These projects do not require families served reporting.

		PERFORMANCE AND	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		0	SWEGO		
1.	2.	3.		4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Level		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$2,703,379 (Amount equals \$6,455,665 allocation, plus \$1 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$440,511 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$3,307,798 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$885,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
					\$2,360,889
Child Only Service	es 1 project	t(s) to serve 100 families	project(s) served 368 families		
		to serve 90 families	project(s) served 629 families		
			project(s) served 1,816 families		
Youth Supports/So	chool Comn	nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 50 families	project(s) served 140 families		_
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements 86	5 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
	,	-	5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]	
		10	0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO) 74	4 individuals indicated danger		
		19	9 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	nents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	umber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 23	5	_
			umber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]	
			66 Unsubsidized Employment		
			6 Subsidized Employment		
			34 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			2 Vocational Education		
			1 Job Skills Training		

2 Education Related to Employment

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 54	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 103	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 33.8%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

			AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX OTSEGO		
1.	2.	3.		4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Level		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$717,447 (Amount equals \$2,386,954 allocation, plus \$6,193 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$48 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,370,287 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX \$305,461 transferred to Title XX \$0 in additional		10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
					\$717,154
		minal 1 project(s) to serve 24 families	1 project(s) served 193 families		
		s) to serve 22 families	2 project(s) served 216 families		
) to serve 48 families	3 project(s) served 156 families		
		1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 106 families		
		Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 6 families		
		ing 2 project(s) to serve 120 families	2 project(s) served 712 families		
) to serve 25 families	1 project(s) served 58 families		
Other 1 project(s)	to serve 10	0 families	1 project(s) served 119 families		_
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	nol Requirements	60 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			3 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	yment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from em	ployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	ence Family Violence Option (FVO)	1 individuals indicated danger		
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ts	
50 % Combined "/	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 39		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	tv [c]	
			8 Unsubsidized Employment	-y t-3	
			0 Subsidized Employment		7
			1 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		\neg
			0 On the Job Training		
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	3 Job Search	
	0 Vocational Education	
	0 Job Skills Training	
	2 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 6	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 13	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 47.8%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			PUTNAM		
1. Program name	2. SFY	11 1		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$388,550 (Amount equals \$1,536,763 allocation, plus \$1,437 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09- 10 FFFS, less \$765,100 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$384,550 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
G M	. 2 : ./	02.5	1979 6 17		\$378,641
		s) to serve 93 families	2 project(s) served 272 families		-
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	15 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			4 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl 1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en		
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger		
1 3			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
50 % Combined "	All Esmilia	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 31		_
50 % Combined 1	All Fallille	s Participation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	_
			2 Unsubsidized Employment	ny [e]	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			5 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			8 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			5 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 4		
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	5%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 13	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 89.8%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORMANCE AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		RENS	SELAER		
1. Program name	2. SFY	Y Appropriation Level Contract/Aw		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$1,813,368 (Amount equals \$5,452,477 allocation, plus \$9,378 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$3,060,487 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$588,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
Francitional Ranaf	its/Work S	apports 1 project(s) to serve 259 families 1 pro	ject(s) served 5,079 families		\$1,813,059
Comply with Drug		ol Requirements 152 i	ndividuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems dividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emividuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from en		
Comply with Dom	estic Viole		dividuals indicated danger ndividuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate Num	ber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 654	1	
		Num	ber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ 190 Unsubsidized Employment	ity [c]	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			20 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			1 On the Job Training		
			5 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			33 Job Search		
			17 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training		_
			6 Education Related to Employment		_
			4 Secondary School or Equivalent		\dashv
			1 Other		
		Num	ber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 141		
		Com	bined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	0%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 248	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 35.3%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORMANCE AND	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		RO	OCKLAND *		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$3,320,848 (Amount equals \$10,735,880 allocation, plus \$10,194 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY				Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
Child Only Service Enhanced Domesti	es 1 projec ic Violence	t(s) to serve 70 families 1 project(s) to serve 12 families	1 project(s) served 0 families 1 project(s) served 550 families 1 project(s) served 18 families		\$3,317,970
Comply with Drug			25 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl 9 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from en	oyment activities [a] mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole		63 individuals indicated danger 51 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	of authorpation rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 428 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Active 92 Unsubsidized Employment		
			1 Subsidized Employment 7 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service 1 On the Job Training		
			10 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			10 Job Search 7 Vocational Education		
			1 Job Skills Training 0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent 0 Other Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 52		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 119	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 39.8%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

*This Social Services District has a Case Management project whose cost represents 100% of the federal share of the Case Management (RF2A, D1, Section 4, column 7) costs identified through the Random Moments Study which fall under TANF Program rather than administration, for federal reporting purposes. These projects do not require families served reporting.

		PERFORMAN	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ST. LAWRENCE *		
1. Program name	2. SFY	Appropriat	ion Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$1,708,451 (Amount equals \$5,663,586 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$276,673 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,922,497 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,500,000 transferred to Title XX, \$800,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$9,311 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
Z M	. 1:	.) 4 0 f: !!:	1 project(s) served 0 families		\$1,546,514
		s) to serve 0 families nunity Collaboration 4 project(s) to serve 0 families	4 project(s) served 0 families		-
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	24 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			4 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl 8 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from er		
			o individuals mandated to treatment. Honexempt from en	inproviment detivities [d]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	9 individuals indicated danger		
	_		1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ıts	
0 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 671		_
		1	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			89 Unsubsidized Employment		
			3 Subsidized Employment		
			39 Work Experience		
			2 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			13 Job Readiness Training Activities		┪
			1 Job Search		
			11 Vocational Education		7
			0 Job Skills Training		
			8 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 79		\neg

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 25%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 148	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 47%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

0

- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.
- *This Social Services District has a Case Management project whose cost represents 100% of the federal share of the Case Management (RF2A, D1, Section 4, column 7) costs identified through the Random Moments Study which fall under TANF Program rather than administration, for federal reporting purposes. These projects do not require families served reporting.

		PERFORMA	NCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		1 LM ORWA	SARATOGA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	\$362,042 (Amount equals \$4,167,937 allocation, plus \$6,682 tran 10 FFFS, less \$3,180,847 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other t	sterred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-than Title XX, \$631,730 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	4. Contract/Award Cycle 10/1/09-3/31/13 *	5. Procurement Methodology Allocation
1115	2010 11	Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic V	Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07 5/51/15	Thiodaton
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
		25.0	1006		\$362,042
Child Only Service	es I projec	t(s) to serve 25 families	1 project(s) served 22 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	48 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		•	3 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger	0 individuals indicated danger	
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ats	
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 56		-
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			6 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			3 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training 1 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		_
			0 Vocational Education		_
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 3	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 10.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 8	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 31.6%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX SCHENECTADY 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.

Program name SFY STY Suppose State Appropriation Level STY Suppose State Administered in from Summer Youth, plus \$10,911 transferred in from SFY O9-10 FFFS, less \$4,422,864 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$935,695 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts). SFY Suppose State Administered in from SFY O9-10 FFFS, less \$4,422,864 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$935,695 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
09-10 FEFS, less \$4.422.864 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$935,695 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	Program name	SFY	Appropriation Level	Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
	FFFS		09-10 FFFS, less \$4.422.864 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX \$935.695 transferred to Title XX \$0 in additional	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
		\$1,992,549
Child Well Being 1 project(s) to serve 140 families	1 project(s) served 52 families	
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 10 families	1 project(s) served 76 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	210 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	7 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	3 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	57 individuals indicated danger	
	39 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 519	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	108 Unsubsidized Employment	
	2 Subsidized Employment	
	59 Work Experience	
	5 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	4 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	65 Job Search	
	34 Vocational Education	
	0 Job Skills Training	
	18 Education Related to Employment	
	2 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 93	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 232	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50.5%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"				
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.						
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were coinclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate		using NYCWAY and does not				
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.					
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards t	he federal participation rate.				
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.					
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.					
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.					
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the redata available April 2010 to March 2011.	eporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	C is based on monthly average				
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Staby federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported				
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat	red across the months during the year or among projects.					
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.						
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.						

		I ERI ORMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX SCHOHARIE	•	
1.	2.	3.	SCHOHARIE	4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Level		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodolog
FFFS	\$423,569 (Amount equals \$1,830,192 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$980,922 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$406,951 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$18,750 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outco	mes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
					\$423,569
		to serve 10 families	1 project(s) served 72 families		
outh Supports/So Other 2 project(s)		nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 20 families	1 project(s) served 85 families 2 project(s) served 516 families		
omer 2 project(s)	to serve roc	Tallinies	2 project(s) served 310 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	21 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			12 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from er		
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	1 individuals indicated danger		
somply with Boin		iso raining + rotonec option (2 + o)	1 individuals indicated danger 1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
0 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 22	2	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Act	ivity [c]	
			9 Unsubsidized Employment		
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			5 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			7 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education		
			2 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			5 Other		
					1

Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 9

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 38.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 20	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 83.6%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	SCHUYLER *					
1.					5.	
Program name	Appropriation Level \$339,505 (Amount equals \$953,556 allocation, plus \$1,644 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$1 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$325,696 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$290,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology		
FFFS			10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"	
					\$339,505	
Case Management	t 2 project(s	s) to serve 75 families	2 project(s) served 161 families			
Non_Recurrent Co	osts (Non-P	ublic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 22 families			
		to serve 6 families	1 project(s) served 1 families			
Youth Supports/So	chool Comr	nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 32 families	1 project(s) served 132 families			
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	3 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]			
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	nestic Violei	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	2 individuals indicated danger			
			1 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 16			
		.	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	_	
			10 Unsubsidized Employment	<i>y</i> E 3		
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			2 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			0 Vocational Education		_	
			0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment		_	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent			

0 Other

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 3	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 6.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 13	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 25.9%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

*This Social Services District has a Case Management project whose cost represents 100% of the federal share of the Case Management (RF2A, D1, Section 4, column 7) costs identified through the Random Moments Study which fall under TANF Program rather than administration, for federal reporting purposes. These projects do not require families served reporting.

		PERFORMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		1 ERI ORIVITIVEE	SENECA		
1. Program name	2. 3. Appropriation Level			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$656,813 (Amount equals \$2,207,161 allocation, plus \$2,955 transferred in 10 FFFS, less \$1,115,183 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title Child Care Transfer, \$40,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements			1 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl 0 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from er		\$560,977
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)			0 individuals indicated danger 0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ats	
0 % Combined "A	All Familie	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 52 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			8 Unsubsidized Employment 1 Subsidized Employment		
			2 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search 1 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 7	2.00/	_
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19 Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 12	9.2%	_
			Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 32	7%	_

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"			
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.					
The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were clude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstated)		using NYCWAY and does not			
Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activitie	es consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.				
Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable we	ork activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards to	the federal participation rate.			
Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	h federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.				
Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (b	ooth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.				
Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	e period divided by federal participation rate denominator.				
		0			
ote 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the state available April 2010 to March 2011.	reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	C is based on monthly average			
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported					
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.					
ote 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated as the families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated as the families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated as the families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated as the families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated as the families of the families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated as the families of	ated across the months during the year or among projects.				
ote 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. ote 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.					

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
1. Program name	STEUBEN 2. 3. Appropriation Level			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$1,544,536 (Amount equals \$5,079,686 allocation, plus \$7,984 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$48,938 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$2,841,132 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$750,940 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	mes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
					\$1,544,536
		s) to serve 1,860 families bublic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 2,330 families 1 project(s) served 8,300 families		
		ing 1 project(s) to serve 150 families	1 project(s) served 8,300 families 1 project(s) served 2,533 families		
		munity Collaboration 2 project(s) to serve 1,150 families	2 project(s) served 2,555 families		
Other 1 project(s) t			1 project(s) served 618 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	158 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp 1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e		
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	66 individuals indicated danger		
			36 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	nents	
60 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 33	9	
		•	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]	
			76 Unsubsidized Employment	•	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			23 Work Experience		
			4 Community Service		
			1 On the Job Training		_
			10 Job Readiness Training Activities 21 Job Search		_
			15 Vocational Education		_
			4 Job Skills Training		\dashv
			17 Education Related to Employment		\dashv
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	2 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 56	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 129	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50.5%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)

- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

0

- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORMAN	ICE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			SUFFOLK		
1.	2.				5.
Program name	SFY		ation Level	Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$15,282,700 (Amount equals \$39,169,615 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$18,949,915 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$4,937,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
					\$15,282,700
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	602 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			57 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em 22 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from		
			22 individuals mandated to treatment— nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	_
Comply with Dom	omply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		134 individuals indicated danger		
	_		116 individuals granted a waiver from program requiren	nents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 6,0	72	_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			803 Unsubsidized Employment		
			41 Subsidized Employment		
			295 Work Experience		
			9 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			56 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			140 Job Search		
			120 Vocational Education		
			16 Job Skills Training		
			17 Education Related to Employment		
			14 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 909		
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 3	8.5%	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 1,306		
			Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 55	.4%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"					
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.							
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were coinclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate		ising NYCWAY and does not					
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.						
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work	[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.						
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with f	ederal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.						
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bot	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.						
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	eriod divided by federal participation rate denominator.						
		0					
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds. Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects. Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.							

		PERFORMA	NCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			SULLIVAN		
1. Program name			5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	2010-11		ansferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09- an Title XX, \$828,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
					\$1,490,679
		s) to serve 65 families	1 project(s) served 14 families		
		e 1 project(s) to serve 210 families to serve 480 families	1 project(s) served 84 families 1 project(s) served 181 families		
) to serve 50 families	1 project(s) served 181 families		
		g 1 project(s) to serve 550 families		1 project(s) served 6 families	
		upports 1 project(s) to serve 40 families	1 project(s) served 73 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	nol Requirements	215 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			14 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em 1 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from en		
Comply with Don	nostia Viola	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)			
Compry with Don	ilestic viole	ince training violence Option (1. vo)	37 individuals indicated danger 22 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	ents	-
			22 individuals granted a warver from program requirem		
50 % Combined ".	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 141		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			57 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			14 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			26 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			3 Job Search		
			5 Vocational Education		
			5 Job Skills Training		
			1 Education Related to Employment		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	11 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 37	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 13.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 96	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 34.9%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

0

- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
			TIOGA			
1. Program name	2. SFY Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle			••	5. Procurement Methodolog	
FFFS	2010-11	\$1,064,498 (Amount equals \$2,060,125 allocation, plus \$3,167 transferred in SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$682,275 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than additional Child Care Transfer, \$11,624 budgeted for Non- Residential Dome Contracts).	Title XX, \$211,700 transferred to Title XX, \$100,000 in	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	400.6	10.5 11		\$1,034,364	
United Well Being	2 project(s) to serve 498 families Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	2 project(s) served 0 families 1 project(s) served 2,493 families			
		s) to serve 75 families	1 project(s) served 2,493 families 1 project(s) served 44 families			
		munity Collaboration 2 project(s) to serve 15 families	2 project(s) served 152 families			
			project(s) served real minimes			
Comply with Drug	omply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		42 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp			
			2 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]		
Comply with Don	nestic Viole	ence Family Violence Option (FVO)	14 individuals indicated danger		 	
r y		J. C.	11 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents		
50 % Combined ".	'All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 12	2		
		•	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]		
			23 Unsubsidized Employment	-		
			1 Subsidized Employment			
			15 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			5 Job Search			
			0 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			2 Secondary School or Equivalent		- 	
			2 Other			
					I	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 18	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 39	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 48%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORMANCE AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		TO	MPKINS		
1. Program name	2. SFY Appropriation Level \$553,649 (Amount equals \$3,551,700 allocation, plus \$15,405 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer		SFY Appropriation Level		5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	10 FFFS, less \$2,659,000 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$254, additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence Contracts).		10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements			ndividuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems ndividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em dividuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en		\$553,649
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	4 in	dividuals indicated danger dividuals granted a waiver from program requiremen		
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	a ratio part of ratio	nber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 238		
		Nun	nber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ 54 Unsubsidized Employment	ity [c]	_
			0 Subsidized Employment		\dashv
			14 Work Experience		-
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			14 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			6 Vocational Education		
			1 Job Skills Training		_
			Education Related to Employment Secondary School or Equivalent		_
			0 Other		\dashv
		Nun	aber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 36		_
			bined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 1	7.7%	\dashv
			nber of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 80		
		Con	nbined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 39	.2%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"					
a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.							
b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not neclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)							
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.						
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards t	he federal participation rate.					
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with f	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.						
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bot	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.						
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.						
		C					
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re data available April 2010 to March 2011. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds. Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children						

		PERFORMANCE AN	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ULSTER		
1.	2.	3.		4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Level		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$1,652,119 (Amount equals \$6,918,639 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$4,116,520 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,150,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
					\$1,652,119
		s) to serve 625 families	2 project(s) served 0 families		
Enhanced Domesti	c Violence	1 project(s) to serve 100 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	129 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		\dashv
17 0	<u>'</u>	*	13 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]	
			13 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from		
Comply with Dome	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	11 individuals indicated danger		_
			2 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 802	2	_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	-
			113 Unsubsidized Employment	, i	\neg
			7 Subsidized Employment		
			19 Work Experience		
			4 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			12 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			4 Job Search		
			11 Vocational Education		
			4 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			2 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 67

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 14%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 152	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 31.8%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORMA	NCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			WARREN		
1. Program name FFFS	2. SFY	Appropriation Level \$820,520 (Amount equals \$1,817,266 allocation, plus \$3,624 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$215,576 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$980,240 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX \$235,706 transferred to Title XX \$0 in		4. Contract/Award Cycle 10/1/09-3/31/13 *	5. Procurement Methodology Allocation
		Contracts). 6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	mes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
					\$820,520
		s) to serve 30 families 1 project(s) to serve 30 families	1 project(s) served 17 families 1 project(s) served 146 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements		70 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 4 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 2 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	3 individuals indicated danger 2 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	ents	=
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 55		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]	
			9 Unsubsidized Employment 1 Subsidized Employment		_
			2 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			15 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			6 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		_
			2 Job Skills Training 1 Education Related to Employment		_
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 8		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 25	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 66.7%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

(

- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

			D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX ASHINGTON		
1.	2.	3.		4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Level		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$779,129 (Amount equals \$1,925,794 allocation, plus \$2,798 transferred in from 10 FFFS, less \$574,456 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$Child Care Transfer, \$35,007 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence	490,000 transferred to Title XX, \$50,000 in additional	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13'
					\$779,129
		s) to serve 57 families	2 project(s) served 87 families		
		ublic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 158 families		
Housing Services	1 project(s)	to serve 125 families	1 project(s) served 131 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	39 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		•	2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	19 individuals indicated danger		_
		The second of th	17 individuals murcated danger 17 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	ents	
60 % Combined "/	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 73		_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			21 Unsubsidized Employment	7 . 3	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			5 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			9 Job Readiness Training Activities		\dashv
			2 Job Search		\dashv
			1 Vocational Education		\dashv
			1 Job Skills Training		
			1 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			1		

0 Other

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 17	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 33.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 32	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 62.5%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.

- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			WAYNE		
1.	2. 3.			4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Level		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$2,534,999 (Amount equals \$3,425,701 allocation, plus \$4,986 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$882,301 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,440,912 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$337,077 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	mes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
					\$1,799,804
Adult or Juvenile J	ustice/Crir	minal 1 project(s) to serve 94 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		Ψ1,722,004
		s) to serve 132 families	4 project(s) served 87 families		
		et(s) to serve 140 families	1 project(s) served 25 families		
Child Well Being 3	3 project(s)) to serve 124 families	3 project(s) served 121 families		
		e 1 project(s) to serve 16 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		
Non_Recurrent Co	sts (Non-P	Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 38 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	nol Requirements	14 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		-
		-	13 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from en	nployment activities [a]	
			4 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from o		
Comply with Dome	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	23 individuals indicated danger		_
1 3			5 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 10	07	
		·	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]	
			27 Unsubsidized Employment	•	
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			11 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			5 Job Search		
			3 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		

0 Education Related to Employment

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 21	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 15%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 41	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 28.9%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.

- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
		WE	STCHESTER *			
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. 4. Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle			5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$13,448,550 (Amount equals \$39,395,872 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$17,846,173 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$5,908,249 transferred to Title XX, \$2,192,900 in				Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"	
Child Only Service	es 2 projec	s) to serve 5,000 families t(s) to serve 130 families munity Collaboration 5 project(s) to serve 795 families	1 project(s) served 4,992 families 2 project(s) served 140 families 5 project(s) served 768 families		\$13,448,550	
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	369 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 46 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em 23 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from			
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	114 individuals indicated danger 204 individuals granted a waiver from program requirer	nents		
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 2,3 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Active 592 Unsubsidized Employment			
			14 Subsidized Employment 409 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training			
			110 Job Readiness Training Activities 233 Job Search		_	
			122 Vocational Education 40 Job Skills Training		\exists	
			137 Education Related to Employment 1 Secondary School or Equivalent		\exists	
			0 Other Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 839		7	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 39.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 1,285	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 59.9%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

(

- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.
- *This Social Services District has a Case Management project whose cost represents 100% of the federal share of the Case Management (RF2A, D1, Section 4, column 7) costs identified through the Random Moments Study which fall under TANF Program rather than administration, for federal reporting purposes. These projects do not require families served reporting.

		PERFORMANCE	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			WYOMING		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation \$735,821 (Amount equals \$1,688,876 allocation, plus \$3,009 transferred		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$813,492 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$128,000 transferred to Title XX, \$77,220 in			10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
71	:- 17:-1	1 150 f 150	1		\$676,929
		1 project(s) to serve 150 families nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 18 families	1 project(s) served 206 families 1 project(s) served 147 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	17 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	1	
			3 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp 2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e		
			2 marviations mandated to deathern—monexempt from e	inprovincial activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	11 individuals indicated danger		
			3 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	_
			Number of Employment Assessments Completed [h] 49		_
60 % Combined "A	All Familie	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 48		<u> </u>
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Active 12 Unsubsidized Employment	vity [c]	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			10 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		-
			0 On the Job Training		_
			10 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			_		
			1 Job Search		_
			1 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training		-
			0 Education Related to Employment		-
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		_
			0 Other		\dashv
					_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 11		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 27.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 27	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 69.5%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

0

- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			YATES		
1.	2. 3.		4.	5.	
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Le		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$502,224 (Amount equals \$947,845 allocation, plus \$1,929 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$86,577 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$308,127 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$226,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
					\$415,408
		s) to serve 30 families	1 project(s) served 79 families		
		Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 11 families		
		upports 1 project(s) to serve 60 families	1 project(s) served 56 families		
Other 2 project(s)	to serve 73	0 families	2 project(s) served 885 families		_
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	nol Requirements	11 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		•	1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e		
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger		
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 15		-
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			2 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			0 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			2 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education 1 Job Skills Training		
			1 Education Related to Employment 0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			o secondary school of Equivalent		_

0 Other

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 2	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 11.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 4	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 28.9%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

0

- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			NYC-HRA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Leve	el	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$285,711,154 (Amount equals \$550,515,370 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$128,327,216 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$104,477,000 transferred to Title XX, \$32,000,000 in		10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	*		\$285,711,154
Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 97,331 families Enhanced Domestic Violence 1 project(s) to serve 1,221 families Non_Recurrent Costs (Non-Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 1,207 families Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 1,726 families Other 2 project(s) to serve 2,430,762 families Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		1 project(s) to serve 1,221 families ablic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 1,207 families aunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 1,726 families 30,762 families	1 project(s) served 0 families 1 project(s) served 1,260 families 1 project(s) served 1,332 families 1 project(s) served 11,254 families 2 project(s) served 2,729,388 families 6,820 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 551 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em 513 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from 6		
Comply with Dom	estic Violen	ace Family Violence Option (FVO)	5,183 individuals indicated danger 3,613 individuals granted a waiver from program requires	ments	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 163,		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi 22,590 Unsubsidized Employment	ty [c]	
			812 Subsidized Employment 2,854 Work Experience		\dashv

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	0 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	0 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	3,978 Job Search	
	2,419 Vocational Education	
	739 Job Skills Training	
	835 Education Related to Employment	
	89 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 19,697	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 37.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 29,710	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 56.6%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

)

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.