		PERFORMANCE	CAND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			STATE SUMMARY		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2016-17	\$384,436,437 (Amount equals \$964,000,000 allocation, plus \$695,175 tra in from SFY 15-16 FFFS, less \$406,069,431 budgeted towards Child Wel XX, \$2,568,203 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$435,101 budgeted for transferred to State Administered Contracts).	lfare Other than Title XX, \$180,466,610 transferred to Title	04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	110 0	500 C 11			\$377,657,547
		vices: 8 project(s) to serve 508 families ect(s) to serve 0 families	8 project(s) served 836 families 0 project(s) served 0 families		
		: 11 project(s) to serve 2,609 families	11 project(s) served 2,655 families		
		Reunification Services: 29 project(s) to serve 1,188 families	29 project(s) served 2,055 families		
		ly Formation: 3 project(s) to serve 412 families	3 project(s) served 530 families		
		t Development: 2 project(s) to serve 256 families	2 project(s) served 179 families		
		project(s) to serve 4,099 families	13 project(s) served 5,345 families		
		x Pregnancies: 0 project(s) to serve 0 families	0 project(s) served 0 families		
Services for Childr	en and You	th: 26 project(s) to serve 8,753 families	26 project(s) served 12,255 families		
		ect(s) to serve 8,497 families	29 project(s) served 10,328 families		
TANF Services No	n-Recurrer	tt Costs: 16 project(s) to serve 1,023,114 families	16 project(s) served 496,780 families		_
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	13,764 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		-
			0 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from emplo	oyment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	ployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	astic Viele	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	6,501 individuals indicated danger		_
Comply with Dome			4,334 individuals granted a waiver from program require	ments	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b]		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [c]	
			8,504 Unsubsidized Employment		
			133 Subsidized Employment		
			2,348 Work Experience		
			90 Community Service		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/17"	8. Spending As Of: "06/30/17"
	6 On the Job Training	
	1,297 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	477 Job Search	
	311 Vocational Education	
	303 Job Skills Training	
	378 Education Related to Employment	
	50 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	26 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 6,033	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 12,074	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 42.5%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2015 to December 2015, NYC data April 2015 to December 2015)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2015 to March 2016.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

			ROS SUMMARY		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation	nn Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2016-17	\$125,564,261 (Amount equals \$413,722,053 allocation, plus \$695,175 in from SFY 15-16 FFFS, less \$232,754,313 budgeted towards Child V	transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$9,232,318 transferred Welfare Other than Title XX, \$62,178,297 transferred to Title	04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcor	nes As Of : "3/31/17"	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
					\$118,785,371
		vices: 8 project(s) to serve 508 families	8 project(s) served 836 families		
		ect(s) to serve 0 families	0 project(s) served 0 families		
		n: 11 project(s) to serve 2,609 families Reunification Services: 29 project(s) to serve 1,188 families	11 project(s) served 2,655 families 29 project(s) served 3,748 families		
		ily Formation: 3 project(s) to serve 412 families	3 project(s) served 530 families		
		to Development: 2 project(s) to serve 256 families	2 project(s) served 179 families		_
		project(s) to serve 4,099 families	13 project(s) served 5,345 families		
		k Pregnancies: 0 project(s) to serve 0 families	0 project(s) served 0 families		
		uth: 25 project(s) to serve 5,248 families	25 project(s) served 8,437 families		
		iect(s) to serve 7,451 families nt Costs: 14 project(s) to serve 12,693 families	28 project(s) served 9,664 families		
TANF Services Inc	on-Recurren	nt Costs: 14 project(s) to serve 12,093 families	14 project(s) served 14,729 families		_
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	9,447 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		-
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	2,509 individuals indicated danger		
			1,625 individuals granted a waiver from program require	rements	
50 % Combined "	All Familia	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b]		_
50 % Combined 7			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			8,504 Unsubsidized Employment		
			133 Subsidized Employment		
			2,348 Work Experience		
			90 Community Service		
			6 On the Job Training		
			1,297 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			477 Job Search		\neg
			311 Vocational Education		
			303 Job Skills Training		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/17"	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	378 Education Related to Employment	
	50 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	26 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 6,033	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 12,074	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 42.5%	
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that we	re completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC	C staff using NYCWAY and does not
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Up [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activi [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent w	pstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016) ities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	-
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that we include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upple) [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activited [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent w [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities	 pstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016) ities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year. (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours. 	-
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Up [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activi [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent w	 pstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016) ities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year. (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours. 	-

			ALBANY		
1. Program name	2. SFY	Appropria	3. tion Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodolog
FFFS	2016-17	\$4,545,667 (Amount equals \$13,786,052 allocation, plus \$8,494 transfer 15-16 FFFS, less \$7,452,835 budgeted towards Child Welfare additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Do Contracts).	Other than Title XX, \$2,157,835 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in	04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: "06/30/17 \$3,417,200
apportive Service	es: 1 proje	ct(s) to serve 350 families	1 project(s) served 958 families		φ3,417,200
omply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	248 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl 0 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from er		
omply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	29 individuals indicated danger 3 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ts	
% Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	-
			246 Unsubsidized Employment	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1
			0 Subsidized Employment 3 Work Experience		_
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		_
			29 Job Readiness Training Activities 22 Job Search		-1
			6 Vocational Education		-
			6 Job Skills Training		
			12 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		4
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 130 Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 15	5.20/	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 298	0.2%	
			Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 34		 I

6.	7.	8.
Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/17"	Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were co	ompleted by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff u	sing NYCWAY and does not
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstat	te data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)	
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2015 to March 2016.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l	ALLEGANY		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2016-17	\$811,440 (Amount equals \$3,256,955 allocation, plus \$37 transferred in from Su 16 FFFS, less \$1,837,275 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, Child Care Transfer, \$14,999 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence a	\$611,001 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
		vices: 1 project(s) to serve 20 families Reunification Services: 1 project(s) to serve 2 families	1 project(s) served 249 families 1 project(s) served 0 families		\$808,559
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	 298 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emploied individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from employed individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from employe		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	3 individuals indicated danger3 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity 27 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment 4 Work Experience 9 Community Service	ity [c]	
			0 On the Job Training 2 Job Readiness Training Activities 4 Job Search 2 Vocational Education 30 Job Skills Training 0 Education Related to Employment 0 Secondary School or Equivalent 0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17'
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 14	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 12.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 53	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 48.4%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and who	om were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonex	empt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Ups [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activit	state data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)	C staff using NYCWAY and does not
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable v		wards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	th federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities ((both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during th	ne period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the data available April 2015 to March 2016.	e reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent ch	

		PERFORMANCE AN	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			BROOME		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2016-17	\$3,484,077 (Amount equals \$8,363,149 allocation, plus \$31,391 transferred in SFY 15-16 FFFS, less \$3,573,797 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than additional Child Care Transfer, \$25,000 budgeted for Non-Residential Domesti Contracts).	Title XX, \$1,346,670 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in	04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
					\$3,153,927
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	128 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl		_
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	_
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	111 individuals indicated danger		-
			80 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	"Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			230 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			47 Work Experience		
			4 Community Service		
			1 On the Job Training		
			31 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			26 Job Search		
			6 Vocational Education		
			1 Job Skills Training		
			13 Education Related to Employment		
			2 Secondary School or Equivalent		_
			1 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 121		_
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 1	3%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 326	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 34.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexemp	t employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were conclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate		aff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	k activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case toward	ds the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re data available April 2015 to March 2016.	porting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for N	YC is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent childre	en receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ed across the months during the year or among projects.	
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 - 03/31/2016	5	

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		СА	TTARAUGUS		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2016-17	\$1,860,171 (Amount equals \$4,294,921 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from St 15-16 FFFS, less \$1,624,735 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title X Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0	XX, \$1,073,730 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
Fatherhood and 2 1 Financial Educatio Home Visiting Pro Services for Childr	Parent Fami n and Asset gram: 2 pr ren and You	teunification Services: 3 project(s) to serve 154 families y Formation: 1 project(s) to serve 46 families Development: 1 project(s) to serve 200 families oject(s) to serve 112 families th: 2 project(s) to serve 186 families t Costs: 1 project(s) to serve 48 families	3 project(s) served 717 families 1 project(s) served 217 families 1 project(s) served 75 families 2 project(s) served 274 families 2 project(s) served 915 families 1 project(s) served 258 families		\$1,834,155
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	l Requirements	 122 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emploied individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from emploied individuals mandated individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from emploied individuals mandated individuals mandate		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	11 individuals indicated danger 11 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	' Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity 29 Unsubsidized Employment 2 Subsidized Employment 7 Work Experience 0 Community Service 1 On the Job Training 0 Job Readiness Training Activities 1 Job Search 3 Vocational Education 3 Job Skills Training	ity [c]	

o. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17'
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	-
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 23	7
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 16.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 39	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 28.6%	
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate	e data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)	using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	0
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work		
	k activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work	k activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards tederal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with f	k activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year. h countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with f [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bot	k activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year. h countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	

data available April 2015 to March 2016. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMAN	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			CAYUGA		
1. Program name	\$1,713,096 (Amount equals \$3,884,497 allocation, plus \$927 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$844,636 transferred in from SFY 15-16 FFFS, less \$2,681,964 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$335,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS			04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/17"	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	D · · ·				\$1,189,129
		: 1 project(s) to serve 30 families Reunification Services: 1 project(s) to serve 100 families	1 project(s) served 0 families 1 project(s) served 155 families		
		roject(s) to serve 45 families	1 project(s) served 237 families		
		th: 1 project(s) to serve 150 families	1 project(s) served 103 families		
		ct(s) to serve 60 families	1 project(s) served 209 families		
TANF Services No	on-Recurrer	t Costs: 1 project(s) to serve 100 families	1 project(s) served 80 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	63 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violei	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	22 individuals indicated danger		-
			25 individuals granted a waiver from program requiren	ients	
50 % Combined "4	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		-
		- and particulated	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]	
			56 Unsubsidized Employment	• - •	\neg
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			21 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			16 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	0 Job Skills Training	
	6 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 34	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 15%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 76	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 33.4%	
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY.	(Upstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)	staff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work ac	tivities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in counta	ble work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow	ards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consister	nt with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activit	ties (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases durin	ng the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for	or the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for	NYC is based on monthly average
data available April 2015 to March 2016. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable	e to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent chil	dran receiving assistance supported

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE AND	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		СНА	UTAUQUA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	FFS2016-17\$1,907,687 (Amount equals \$5,402,577 allocation, plus \$22,684 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 15-16 FFFS, less \$3,157,574 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$360,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : "3/31/17"	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
					\$1,907,687
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	of requirements	2 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp		
		0	individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	mployment activities [a]	_
Comply with Dom	estic Violei	nce Family Violence Option (FVO) 60) individuals indicated danger		-
1.2			7 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families		umber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		
		N	umber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	/ity [c]	
			211 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			91 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			35 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			46 Job Search		
			3 Vocational Education		
			2 Job Skills Training		
			16 Education Related to Employment		
			2 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			umber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 136		_
			ombined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 1	8.4%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 338	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 45.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	mployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were coinclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstat		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the red data available April 2015 to March 2016.	eporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	C is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ed across the months during the year or among projects.	
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 - 03/31/2016	6	

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX CHEMUNG				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$1,328,963 (Amount equals \$4,617,181 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$4,804 transferred in from SFY 15- 16 EEES less \$3,122,195 budgeted towards Child Walfare Other than Title XX, \$170,827 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional		04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "3/31/17"	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
					\$1,327,134
0	<u> </u>	oject(s) to serve 2,300 families	1 project(s) served 1,951 families		
Supportive Service	es: 1 projec	et(s) to serve 601 families	1 project(s) served 609 families		_
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	129 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
10 0		*	0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	oyment activities [a]	_
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	25 individuals indicated danger		_
			18 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	"Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		-
		•	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [c]	
			20 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			7 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			12 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			9 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		_
			0 Job Skills Training		_
			0 Education Related to Employment		_
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			18 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17'
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 9	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 8.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 33	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 31.7%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whether the second seco		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that we include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Up	pstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)	stan using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activi	ities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable	work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towa	ards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent w	vith federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities	(both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during t	the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for th data available April 2015 to March 2016. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds. Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not undupl	o State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent child	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 - 03/31/2	2016	

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	CHENANGO					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2016-17	 \$744,173 (Amount equals \$2,358,885 allocation, plus \$8,456 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$75,053 transferred in from SFY 15-16 FFFS, less \$1,483,363 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$214,858 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts). 		04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''	
					\$744,173	
		: 1 project(s) to serve 24 families	1 project(s) served 5 families			
Home Visiting Pro	gram: I p	roject(s) to serve 81 families	1 project(s) served 164 families		_	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	40 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
17 0		Ĭ	0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	15 individuals indicated danger		_	
			15 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	"Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		-	
		1	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			22 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			10 Work Experience			
			1 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			9 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			1 Job Search		_	
			1 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			5 Secondary School or Equivalent		\neg	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 11	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 12.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 42	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 47.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whor	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexem	pt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were of include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate of the total number of total number of the total number of to		taff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	ork activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towa	rds the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the r	reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016 Participation and angagement related data for l	NVC is based on monthly average
data available April 2015 to March 2016.	epotting period of April 2015 to Materi 2010. Tarterpation and engagement related data for f	average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St	tate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent child	ren receiving assistance supported
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period $04/01/2015 - 03/31/201$	6	

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
		(CLINTON		
1. Program name	gram name SFY Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle		5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	FFS 2016-17 $\begin{cases} $1,024,464 \text{ (Amount equals $3,386,094 allocation, plus $0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus $54,909 transferred in from SFY} \\ 15-16 FFFS, less $1,737,023 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, $679,516 transferred to Title XX, $0 in additional Child Care Transfer, $0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and $0 transferred to State Administered Contracts). \\ 04/01/16-03/31/2000 + 00000000000000000000000000000000$		04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	137				\$966,263
Services for Childr	en and You	th: 1 project(s) to serve 120 families	project(s) served 117 families		_
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements 5	7 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from employed	oyment activities [a]	
		0	individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO) 7	individuals indicated danger		_
			individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Jumber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		-
- //		•	Jumber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			22 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			1 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			29 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			3 Job Search		_
			0 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			3 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17'
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 12	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 9.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 51	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 40.7%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whor	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	mployability status.
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the		

		PERFORMANCE	CAND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			COLUMBIA		
1. Program name	state SFY Appropriation Level \$1,538,740 (Amount equals \$3,330,333 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$15,475 transferred in from SFY 15-16 EEES less \$974 485 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX \$832 583 transferred to Title XX \$0 in additional		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS			04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : "3/31/17"	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
					\$1,538,740
		Reunification Services: 4 project(s) to serve 170 families	4 project(s) served 701 families		_
		oject(s) to serve 0 families th: 1 project(s) to serve 40 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		
		t(s) to serve 25 families	1 project(s) served 107 families	1 project(s) served 371 families	
	or i proje				—
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	60 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	17 individuals indicated danger		
			16 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0	·	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ 26 Unsubsidized Employment		\neg
			0 Subsidized Employment		_
			0 Work Experience		_
			0 Community Service		\neg
			0 On the Job Training		\dashv
			4 Job Readiness Training Activities		\neg
			12 Job Search		\neg
			0 Vocational Education		\dashv
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		\neg

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 18	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 13.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 38	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 30.2%	
	·	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c		

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2015 to March 2016.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	CORTLAND				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2016 17	 \$323,467 (Amount equals \$2,404,022 allocation, plus \$10,267 transferred in from Summ 16 FFFS, less \$1,490,822 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$600,00 Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer 	0 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outco	mes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
					\$306,182
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho		ividuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			viduals mandated to treatment - exempt from em		
		0 indi	viduals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	_
Comply with Dome	estic Violen	ce Family Violence Option (FVO) 33 inc	ividuals indicated danger		-
		24 in	lividuals granted a waiver from program requirer	nents	
50 % Combined "A	Il Families	" Participation Rate Numb	er of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		-
		Numb	er of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Act	ivity [c]	
			38 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			1 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			4 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			4 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education		_
			0 Job Skills Training 0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
		Numb	er of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 19		
			ined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e]		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 45	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 32.2%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously an	d whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonex	kempt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY.		C staff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work a	ctivities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in counta	able work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to	owards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consiste	nt with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activity	ities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases duri	ing the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for data available April 2015 to March 2016.	or the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data f	for NYC is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributab	le to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent cl	hildren receiving assistance supported
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unvolved 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	duplicated across the months during the year or among projects.	

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	DELAWARE					
1. Program name			5. Procurement Methodology			
FFFS	FS\$416,028 (Amount equals \$1,584,197 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 15-16 FFFS, less \$553,500 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$614,669 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).04/01/16-03/31/17 *			04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''	
					\$416,028	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	6 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	1 / / // 57	_	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp 0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e		_	
			o individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt nom e	imployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	5 individuals indicated danger		-	
r y			5 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	ents		
0 % Combined "A	Il Families	"Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]		
			9 Unsubsidized Employment		_	
			0 Subsidized Employment 5 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service		_	
			0 On the Job Training			
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities		_	
			0 Job Search		-	
			0 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 6		_	
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	22.8%		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 12	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 44.3%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and who	om were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexe	empt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upst		C staff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activitie	es consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable w	vork activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to	wards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	h federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (b	both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	e period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the data available April 2015 to March 2016.	reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for	or NYC is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to S by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent ch	ildren receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplic Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	cated across the months during the year or among projects.	
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 - 03/31/20	016	

		PERFORMANCE AND	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	DUTCHESS					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	FFS2016-17\$538,104 (Amount equals \$8,695,437 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 15-16 FFFS, less \$6,372,872 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,784,461 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).04/01/16-03/31/17 *			04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''	
					\$538,104	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh) individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl		_	
		0	individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	mployment activities [a]	_	
	· • • •) individuals indicated danger		_	
Comply with Dome	estic violei		 individuals indicated danger individuals granted a waiver from program requirement 	ente	-	
			individuals granted a warver nom program requirem			
50 % Combined "A	All Families	"Participation Rate	umber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		-	
	in r unines	i unterpution i tutte	umber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	-	
			31 Unsubsidized Employment	• = =		
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			2 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			54 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			7 Job Search			
			1 Vocational Education		_	
			1 Job Skills Training		_	
			3 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		_	
			0 Other		_	
			umber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 20	1 40/	_	
			ombined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 1	1.4%		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17'
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 86	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 48.2%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt	employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were coinclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstat		f using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re data available April 2015 to March 2016.	eporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NY	C is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	n receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 - 03/31/2016	6	

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ERIE		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$17,766,722 (Amount equals \$47,198,841 allocation, plus \$110,688 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$12,572 transferred in from SEX 15-16 EEES, less \$21,408,952 hudgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$8,035,739 transferred to Title XX			04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	mes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
					\$16,573,699
		ly Formation: 1 project(s) to serve 336 families th: 2 project(s) to serve 3,208 families	1 project(s) served 277 families 2 project(s) served 3,191 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	470 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from o	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	652 individuals indicated danger		
r y we h			352 individuals granted a waiver from program require	ements	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	"Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]	
			1,390 Unsubsidized Employment		
			108 Subsidized Employment 1,023 Work Experience		
			2 Community Service		_
			0 On the Job Training		
			33 Job Readiness Training Activities		_
			0 Job Search		
			38 Vocational Education		
			168 Job Skills Training		
			58 Education Related to Employment		
			2 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 1,618	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 35.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 2,248	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 49.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previous	y and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexe	empt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCW	that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC AY. (Upstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)	E staff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent we	rk activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in co	ountable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow	wards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not con	sistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work a	ctivities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases	during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly avera data available April 2015 to March 2016.	ge for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for	r NYC is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attrib by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	utable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent ch	ildren receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are no	t unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	00/01/0014	
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015	- 03/31/2016	

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	ESSEX					
1. Program name			••	5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	2016-17	\$144,561 (Amount equals \$1,663,870 allocation, plus \$1 transferred in from Sum FFFS, less \$810,310 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$709, Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transf	000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	mes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''	
					\$144,561	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	25 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	1 / / // ГЛ		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp 0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from o			
			o individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from a	employment activities [a]	_	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	6 individuals indicated danger			
comply with Donk			6 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	-	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0			
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]		
			2 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			1 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			6 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			0 Vocational Education		_	
			0 Job Skills Training		_	
			1 Education Related to Employment		_	
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		_	
			0 Other		_	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 1		_	
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e]	10.0%		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/17"	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 7	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 51.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the r data available April 2015 to March 2016.	eporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NY	C is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplica	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 03/31/201	6	
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 - 03/31/201	0	

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	FRANKLIN					
1. Program name	2. SFY			5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	2016-17 \$\$1,069,157 (Amount equals \$2,606,195 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 15-16 FFFS, less \$1,137,038 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$400,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts). 04/01/16-03/31/17 *			Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	ues As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''	
					\$1,069,157	
		ices: 1 project(s) to serve 3 families	1 project(s) served 15 families			
		Reunification Services: 3 project(s) to serve 73 families th: 1 project(s) to serve 20 families	3 project(s) served 457 families 1 project(s) served 71 families			
		t(s) to serve 62 families	3 project(s) served 435 families			
		t Costs: 1 project(s) to serve 5 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		_	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	48 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			0 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	_	
Comply with Dom	estic Violei	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger			
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	its		
50 % Combined "/	All Familia	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		_	
50 % Combined F	All Failines		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			34 Unsubsidized Employment		_	
			1 Subsidized Employment			
			5 Work Experience			
			1 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			9 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			0 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	3 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 16	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 12.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 51	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 39.5%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt er	nployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were conclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the	he federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2015 to March 2016.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANC	E AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			FULTON		
1. Program name	2. SFY	SFY Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle \$652,077 (Amount equals \$3,049,321 allocation, plus \$7,201 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 15- 16 FEFS, less \$2.087 000 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$317,445 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Contract/Award Cycle		5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2016-17			04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
Supportive Service	Supportive Services: 1 project(s) to serve 144 families		1 project(s) served 0 families		\$659,265
Supportive Service	<u>.s. i projec</u>				-
Comply with Drug	Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		13 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	_
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	20 individuals indicated danger		-
			1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ts	_
50 % Combined "A	All Families	"Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity	ity [c]	
			12 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			3 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			5 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			3 Job Search		_
			0 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training		\neg
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		\neg
			0 Other		\neg

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 11	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 23.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 18	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 40.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previou	sly and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonex	empt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessment include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCV	ts that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYO WAY. (Upstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)	C staff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent w	ork activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in a	countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to	wards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not co	nsistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work	activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable case	es during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly ave data available April 2015 to March 2016.	rage for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for	or NYC is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attribute by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	butable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent ch	nildren receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are n	not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015	5 - 03/31/2016	

		PERFORMANCE AND A	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		GH	ENESEE		
1. Program name	Am name SFY Appropriation Level \$338,416 (Amount equals \$2,143,237 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 15-16 FFFS, less \$1.358.821 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$446,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS			04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements			44 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		\$338,416
					_
			dividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp dividuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e		_
		0 18	daviduals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]	_
Complex with Down	omply with Domestic Violence Eamily Violence Option (EVO) 4 individuals indicated danger		_		
Comply with Dome	comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		4 individuals materiaed danger 4 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		-
			individuals graned a waiver from program requirement	AR5	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate Nu	nber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		-
	in i unines	1 autorpation fauto	nber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]	-
			20 Unsubsidized Employment	· · · ·	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			3 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training		
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			2 Job Search		
			2 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			mber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 13		
		Cor	nbined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e]	15.4%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 26	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 31%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whether the second s	hom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexen	npt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Up		staff using NYCWAY and does not
c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activi	ities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable	work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towa	ards the federal participation rate.
e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent w	with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities	s (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during t	the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for th	he reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for	NYC is based on monthly average
data available April 2015 to March 2016.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to	o State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent child	dren receiving assistance supported
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		dren receiving assistance supported
data available April 2015 to March 2016. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds. Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not undupl Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		dren receiving assistance supported

		PERFORMANCE ANI	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			GREENE		
1. Program name	\$548,500 (Amount equals \$2,507,587 allocation, plus \$6,648 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$2,686 transferred in from SFY 15-16 FFFS, less \$1,613,021 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$355,400 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS			04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
Supportive Service	Supportive Services: 2 project(s) to serve 230 families		2 project(s) served 555 families		\$548,500
Supportive Service	.s. 2 projec	A(s) to serve 250 families			
Comply with Drug	comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		124 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	ace Family Violence Option (FVO)	4 individuals indicated danger		-
			2 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	"Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			26 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			0 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			8 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			15 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education 3 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17'
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 13	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 14.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 44	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 47.6%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously a	and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexe	mpt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that	nat were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC	staff using NYCWAY and does not
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY	Y. (Upstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)	-
c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work a	activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
¹ dl Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in count	ntable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow	vards the federal participation rate.
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in count	ntable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow	vards the federal participation rate.
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in count[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent.		vards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent	stent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	vards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent. [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activity.	stent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	vards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent	stent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	vards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent. [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activity.	stent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	vards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent. [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activity.	itent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year. ivities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours. uring the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent. [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work active. [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during. Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.	etent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year. ivities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours. uring the period divided by federal participation rate denominator. e for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for	r NYC is based on monthly average
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work active [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work active [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work active [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work active [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work active [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work active [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work active [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work active [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in the period with an adult who is engaged in the period with a set [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable adult available April 2015 to March 2016.	etent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year. ivities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours. uring the period divided by federal participation rate denominator. e for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for	r NYC is based on monthly average
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consist. [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activ [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during [b] Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributate by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	e for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data fo able to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent chi	r NYC is based on monthly average
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent of [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work active [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work active [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work active [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work active [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work active [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work active [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work active [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work active [g] Combined engagement is based on the average number of state districts is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributed by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds. Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not under the period with the perio	e for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data fo able to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent chi	r NYC is based on monthly average
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consists [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activ [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributal	etent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year. ivities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours. uring the period divided by federal participation rate denominator. e for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for able to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent chi anduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.	r NYC is based on monthly average

		PERFORMANCE AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		HAI	MILTON		
1. Program name	rogram name SFY Appropriation Level Contract/Aw \$31,000 (Amount equals \$195,044 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$9,429 transferred in from SFY 15-16 FFFS, less \$173,473 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$0 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS			04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
					\$31,000
Comply with Drug	omply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		dividuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	1	
			dividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp dividuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e		_
		0 18	dividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]	_
Comply with Dom	omply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO) 0 individuals indicated danger		_		
Comply with Dome	estic violei		0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate Nur	nber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		
			nber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]	
			0 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			0 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		_
		NT	0 Other nber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 0		_
				00/	\neg
		Con	nbined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] (J70	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/17"	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 0	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 2.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	mployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstat		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards t	the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the red data available April 2015 to March 2016.	eporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	C is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 - 03/31/2010	6	

		PERFORMANCE AND	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		HE	CRKIMER		
1. Program name	am name SFY Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2016-17	2016-17 \$562,444 (Amount equals \$2,443,446 allocation, plus \$434 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 15-16 FFFS, less \$1,624,436 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$257,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
					\$562,444
			project(s) served 276 families project(s) served 498 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alash	al Requirements 34	individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements			0 individuals assessed for Drug/meener roberns 0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		-
		0	individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	tce Family Violence Option (FVO)	individuals indicated danger		_
			individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate N	umber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		-
		N	umber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	_
			22 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment		_
			5 Work Experience		_
			0 Community Service		-
			0 On the Job Training		
			10 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training		
			3 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		-
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 14	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 12.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 38	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 34.6%	
		· ·
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously	and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexe	empt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments to include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWA[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work	AY. (Upstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)	starr using NYCWAY and does not
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in cou	untable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to	wards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consi	istent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work ac	tivities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases of	during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases d	during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly averag		r NYC is based on monthly average
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly averag data available April 2015 to March 2016.	ge for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly averag data available April 2015 to March 2016. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attribu by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ge for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for atable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent ch	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly averag data available April 2015 to March 2016. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attribu by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds. Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not	ge for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for atable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent ch	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly averag data available April 2015 to March 2016. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attribu	ge for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for atable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent ch unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.	

		PERFORMANC	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			JEFFERSON		
1. Program name			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2016-17	 \$1,331,277 (Amount equals \$5,230,762 allocation, plus \$17,832 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$141,307 transferred in from SFY 15-16 FFFS, less \$2,278,974 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,779,650 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts). 		04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
					\$1,331,277
		vices: 1 project(s) to serve 45 families	1 project(s) served 88 families		_
Assessment/Servic	e Provision	: 1 project(s) to serve 33 families	1 project(s) served 31 families		_
Comply with Drug	Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		116 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	oyment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violei	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	9 individuals indicated danger		_
			8 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	"Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		-
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ity [c]	
			61 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			24 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			51 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			3 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education		_
			0 Job Skills Training		_
			0 Education Related to Employment		_
			6 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/17"	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17'
	0 Other	
		-
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 30	4
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 11.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 136	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 51.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whor	m were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	mployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were of include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate the total number of t		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	ork activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the r	reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NY	C is based on monthly average
data available April 2015 to March 2016.	-f	
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St	tate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported
		receiving assistance supported
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds. Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplica		

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	LEWIS					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2016-17 \$356,827 (Amount equals \$1,337,997 allocation, plus \$4,141 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$11,726 transferred in from SFY 15-16 FFFS, less \$706,037 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$291,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''	
					\$336,688	
		Reunification Services: 3 project(s) to serve 83 families	3 project(s) served 487 families		_	
TANF Services No	n-Recurren	t Costs: 1 project(s) to serve 25 families	1 project(s) served 18 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	24 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]			
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	11 individuals indicated danger		-	
			7 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ts		
50 % Combined "A	Il Families	"Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		-	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			2 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			1 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			8 Job Readiness Training Activities 1 Job Search			
			0 Vocational Education		_	
			0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other		\neg	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/17"	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 1	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 10.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 7	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 66.4%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	m were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt	t employability status.
 [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were conclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstal [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work 	ate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016) s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the redata available April 2015 to March 2016. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX LIVINGSTON				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$1,076,900 (Amount equals \$2,815,057 allocation, plus \$8,466 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 15- 16 FFFS, less \$1,007,623 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$569,000 transferred to Title XX, \$170,000 in		04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
					\$916,832
		1 project(s) to serve 24 families	1 project(s) served 10 families		
		oject(s) to serve 51 families t(s) to serve 276 families	1 project(s) served 10 families 2 project(s) served 141 families		_
~~	- F- J-				
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	131 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	_
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	8 individuals indicated danger		-
			1 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts	
			Number of Environment According to Complete J (b) (
50 % Combined "A	All Families	Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity	ity [a]	
			48 Unsubsidized Employment		—
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			6 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			7 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			4 Job Search		
			2 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			4 Education Related to Employment		
			3 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 21	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 9.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 68	1
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 30.5%	1
		•
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt en	mployability status.
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstal [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards t federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	he federal participation rate.
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re data available April 2015 to March 2016. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	MADISON					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Let		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2016-17	731,911 (Amount equals \$2,216,590 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$1,107 transferred in from SFY 15-16 FFFS, less \$1,228,153 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$257,633 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additionalChild Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''	
					\$731,911	
TANF Services No	on-Recurren	t Costs: 1 project(s) to serve 190 families	1 project(s) served 158 families		_	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	93 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		-	
comply with prog			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]			
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]		
Complexed the Dom	4' - V ' - 1	E-mile Vision Onting (EVO)	2 individuals indicated danger			
Comply with Dom	estic violei	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	2 individuals indicated danger 2 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		—	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	"Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			14 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			3 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities		_	
			5 Job Search		_	
			0 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment		\neg	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		\neg	
			0 Other		\neg	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17'
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 9	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 10%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 18	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 20.2%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexer	npt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities.	te data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016) s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo		arus me recerar participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the red data available April 2015 to March 2016. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds. Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent chil	

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	MONROE					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$8,678,154 (Amount equals \$28,961,477 allocation, plus \$94,904 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 15-16 FFFS, less \$18,212,732 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,998,681 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in		04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/17"	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''	
A (/S	D	: 1 project(s) to serve 400 families			\$8,683,537	
		th: 1 project(s) to serve 30 families	1 project(s) served 401 families 1 project(s) served 26 families			
		ct(s) to serve 1,640 families	1 project(s) served 2.6 families			
a			3,456 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
Comply with Drug	Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]			
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt nom emp 0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	_		
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	218 individuals indicated danger		_	
comply with Donk			90 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		_	
		- multiment	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ			
			1,377 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			437 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			1 On the Job Training			
			355 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			89 Vocational Education 8 Job Skills Training			
			74 Education Related to Employment		_	
			74 Education Related to Employment			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	2 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 860	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 2,107	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 45%	
 [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta) 	completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff	

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2015 to March 2016.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	MONTGOMERY				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2016-17 \$\$521,359 (Amount equals \$1,835,140 allocation, plus \$6,266 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 15- 16 FFFS, less \$956,347 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$282,388 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$81,312 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
					\$466,224
Assessment/Servic	e Provision	1 project(s) to serve 1,920 families	roject(s) served 1,854 families		_
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	al Requirements 27 in	27 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_
		-	dividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]	
		0 inc	dividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer		6 individuals indicated danger		
		6 in	ndividuals granted a waiver from program requiremer	its	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate Nun	nber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		_
		-	nber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	-
			59 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			2 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			6 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			11 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		_
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		_
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 28	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 16%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 76	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 44.2%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and w	hom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexemp	t employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that we include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Up	pstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)	aff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activi		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable	work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case toward	ds the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent w	with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities	(both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during t	the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for th data available April 2015 to March 2016.	he reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for N	YC is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	o State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent childr	en receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not undup	licated across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 - 03/31/2	2016	

		PERFORMANCE AND A	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	NASSAU					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2016-17	\$6,865,000 (Amount equals \$23,532,682 allocation, plus \$69,092 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 15-16 FFFS less \$12,136,774 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX \$3,900,000 transferred to Title XX \$700,000 in		04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : "3/31/17"	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''	
					\$6,865,000	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho		individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			ndividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	5 23		
		0 11	ndividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	_	
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	5 individuals indicated danger		_	
1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,			52 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements			
50 % Combined "A	Il Families	1 underpaired france	mber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		_	
		Nu	mber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ 555 Unsubsidized Employment		_	
			2 Subsidized Employment			
			92 Work Experience			
			19 Community Service			
			1 On the Job Training			
			11 Job Readiness Training Activities	_		
			66 Job Search		_	
			27 Vocational Education			
			6 Job Skills Training			
			8 Education Related to Employment			
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			
			mber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 413			
		Col	mbined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	7.5%		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/17"	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 688	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 45.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and who	om were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonex	empt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate of the total number of total number of the total number of total number of the total number of total number		C staff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activitie	es consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable w	vork activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to	wards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent wit	h federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (h	both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	e period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the data available April 2015 to March 2016.	reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data f	or NYC is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to S by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent ch	nildren receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplic	cated across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 - 03/31/20)16	

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			NIAGARA		
1. Program name	am name SFY Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle			5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2016-17	 \$2,364,961 (Amount equals \$8,778,589 allocation, plus \$9,402 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$3,838 transferred in from SFY 15-16 FFFS, less \$4,694,868 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,732,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts). 			Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals			7. Performance/Accountability Outco	omes As Of : "3/31/17"	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
					\$2,364,961
Family Support/Pro	eservation/I	Reunification Services: 1 project(s) to serve 130 families	1 project(s) served 67 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alash	1 Deguingments	348 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
Comply with Drug	and Alcono	n Requirements	0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	plovment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	117 individuals indicated danger		
			33 individuals granted a waiver from program requirer	nents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Act	ivity [c]	
			229 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			24 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training 41 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			1 Job Search		
			7 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		\neg
			14 Education Related to Employment		\neg
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		\neg
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 12-	4	
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e]	15.7%	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 295		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17'
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 37.5%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexe	empt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta		C staff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow	wards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re data available April 2015 to March 2016.	eporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for	or NYC is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent ch	ildren receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 - 03/31/2016

		PERFORMANCE AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		01	NEIDA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. 4. Appropriation Level Contract/Away			5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2016-17 \$\frac{\\$2,769,982 (Amount equals \\$12,185,964 allocation, plus \\$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \\$0 transferred in from SFY 15-16 FFFS, less \\$6,969,888 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \\$2,446,094 transferred to Title XX, \\$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \\$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \\$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts). \$\begin{bmatrix} 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/01/16-03/31/17 * 04/0			04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals			7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : "3/31/17"	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
					\$2,769,982
Assessment/Service	e Provision	1 project(s) to serve 25 families	oject(s) served 0 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	al Paquiraments 209	individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_
Comply with Drug	and Alcono		lividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	ovment activities [a]	_
			lividuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en		-
			*		
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO) 24 in	dividuals indicated danger		
		11 i	ndividuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families		ber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		
		Nun	ber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	_
			449 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			71 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		_
			0 On the Job Training 30 Job Readiness Training Activities		_
			10 Job Search		_
			3 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			51 Education Related to Employment		
			6 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
		Nun	ber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 286		
		Con	bined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	1.2%	
		Nun	ber of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 551		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17'
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 40.7%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt	employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta		f using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the red data available April 2015 to March 2016.	eporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NY	C is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	n receiving assistance supported

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX						
	ONONDAGA						
1. Program name			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology			
FFFS	FFS2016-17\$8,774,928 (Amount equals \$21,640,331 allocation, plus \$63,974 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 15-16 FFFS, less \$9,886,968 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,942,409 transferred to Title XX, \$1,100,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''		
					\$8,715,288		
		: 1 project(s) to serve 58 families	1 project(s) served 22 families		_		
Supportive Service	s: I projec	et(s) to serve 107 families	1 project(s) served 58 families				
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	518 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems				
17 0			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]			
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]	_		
Comply with Dome	Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		106 individuals indicated danger		-		
			88 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents			
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		-		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]			
			600 Unsubsidized Employment				
			0 Subsidized Employment				
			40 Work Experience				
			0 Community Service		_		
			0 On the Job Training				
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities 8 Job Search		_		
			17 Vocational Education		_		
			40 Job Skills Training				
			33 Education Related to Employment				
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent				
			0 Other				

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 249	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 11.3%	7
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 681	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 30.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and who	m were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt	employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were of include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate) and upper of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities.	ate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)	Fusing NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activitie		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	ork activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	n federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the	reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NY	C is based on monthly average

		PERFORMANCE ANI	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	ONTARIO					
1. Program name	n name SFY Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	FFS 2016-17 \$\begin{bmatrix} \$1,788,000 (Amount equals \$3,286,819 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$121,588 transferred in from SFY 15-16 FFFS, less \$1,030,407 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$590,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''	
					\$1,728,882	
		th: 1 project(s) to serve 70 families	1 project(s) served 96 families			
Supportive Service	s: I projec	et(s) to serve 16 families	1 project(s) served 165 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	al Requirements	117 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_	
		1	0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	31 individuals indicated danger		-	
			23 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		_	
		1	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			55 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			4 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			4 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			3 Job Search			
			1 Vocational Education			
			2 Job Skills Training			
			12 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			1 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 30	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 71	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 40.4%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previous	sly and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonex	empt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessment include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCW	ts that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYWAY. (Upstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)	C staff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent we	ork activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in c	ountable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to	wards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not cor	sistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work a	activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases	s during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly aver data available April 2015 to March 2016.	age for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data f	or NYC is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attrib by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	butable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent ch	nildren receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are no	ot unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015	- 03/31/2016	

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
		0	DRANGE			
1. Program name			5. Procurement Methodology			
FFFS	2016-17	016-17\$5,593,655 (Amount equals \$12,837,295 allocation, plus \$38,100 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$153,570 transferred in from SFY 15-16 FFFS, less \$5,272,798 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2,162,512 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).04/01/16-03/31/17 *		Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''	
					\$5,236,527	
			project(s) served 1,288 families project(s) served 694 families			
			project(s) served 094 families			
			project(s) served 3,624 families			
			PJ(-)			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	22 DI Requirements	26 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
		0	0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]			
		0	individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	1 individuals indicated danger		_	
		6.	2 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate N	umber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		-	
So /o Combined 1	in i unines	T underpution Tutte	umber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	-	
			327 Unsubsidized Employment	•		
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			35 Work Experience			
			4 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			49 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			8 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			10 Education Related to Employment		-1	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17'
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 199	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 26.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 380	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50.9%	
	this that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC	
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessment include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCW	nts that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC WAY. (Upstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)	
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessment include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCW [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent we	nts that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC WAY. (Upstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)	C staff using NYCWAY and does not
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessment include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCW [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent we	nts that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC WAY. (Upstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016) work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	C staff using NYCWAY and does not
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessment include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCW [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent we [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in c	the text text were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC WAY. (Upstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016) work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	C staff using NYCWAY and does not

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2015 to March 2016.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE AND	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		0	RLEANS		
1. Program name			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	FFFS2016-17\$367,100 (Amount equals \$1,706,269 allocation, plus \$5,335 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$13,605 transferred in from SFY 15-16 FFFS, less \$1,093,109 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$265,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
					\$355,004
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	1	individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_
			ndividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl		_
		01	ndividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	mployment activities [a]	_
Comply with Dom	astia Violar	ce Family Violence Option (FVO) 2 i	ndividuals indicated danger		_
Comply with Doni	estic violei		1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		-
		•	marriadais granted a warrer from program requirement		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate Nu	umber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		
		·	umber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	rity [c]	
			51 Unsubsidized Employment	-	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			13 Work Experience 1 Community Service 1 On the Job Training		
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			1 Job Skills Training		
		2 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		_
			0 Other		_
			umber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 36		_
			ombined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	4.7%	

Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 60	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 41.4%	
Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previousl	ly and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or none:	xempt employability status.
The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments ude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCW	s that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NY /AY. (Upstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)	C staff using NYCWAY and does not
Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent wo	ork activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in co	ountable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to	owards the federal participation rate.
Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not con	sistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work a	activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases	s during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
e 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly avera a available April 2015 to March 2016.	age for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data	for NYC is based on monthly average
*	putable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent c	hildren receiving assistance supported
ederal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
e 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are no	ot unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.	
e 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Accept as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015		

		PERFORMAN	NCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	OSWEGO				
1. Program name	2. SFY	Appropri	3. jation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$4,872,273 (Amount equals \$6,448,985 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$3,013,057 transferred in from SEX 15-16 FEES, less \$3,667,068 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$919,500 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in			04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outco	mes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
					\$2,753,818
		vices: 2 project(s) to serve 245 families	2 project(s) served 484 families		
		ath: 2 project(s) to serve 436 families	2 project(s) served 77 families		
TANF Services No	on-Recurren	nt Costs: 1 project(s) to serve 65 families	1 project(s) served 310 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	176 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
1, 0		*	0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	bloyment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from a	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	actic Viele	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	30 individuals indicated danger		
Comply with Dom			27 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	nents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]	
			93 Unsubsidized Employment		
			5 Subsidized Employment		
			10 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			32 Job Readiness Training Activities		\neg
			12 Job Search		\neg
			4 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			4 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 42	-
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 8.6%	-
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 146	-
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 29.9%	
 [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate districts) 	completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff	
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re	eporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	is based on monthly average

data available April 2015 to March 2016.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANC	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	OTSEGO					
1. Program name			••	5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	2016-17	2016-17 \$497,917 (Amount equals \$2,411,179 allocation, plus \$13,212 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$65,787 transferred in from SFY 15-16 FFFS, less \$1,850,739 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$141,522 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts). 04/01/16-03/31/17 *			Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''	
					\$415,155	
		1 project(s) to serve 40 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		_	
		Reunification Services: 2 project(s) to serve 35 families	2 project(s) served 0 families			
		roject(s) to serve 90 families ath: 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families 1 project(s) served 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		
		ct(s) to serve 158 families	5 project(s) served 0 families			
		nt Costs: 1 project(s) to serve 14 families	1 project(s) served 0 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	46 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	-		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	3 individuals indicated danger		-	
			1 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts		
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0			
		I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]	_	
			4 Unsubsidized Employment	-		
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			0 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			5 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			1 Job Search			
			0 Vocational Education			

6.	7.	8.
Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/17"	Spending As Of: ''06/30/17'
	0 Job Skills Training	
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 3	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 10.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 9	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 32.1%	
	n countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to	wards the federal participation rate.
	consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in wo	rk activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable ca	ases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly a	verage for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for	or NYC is based on monthly average
data available April 2015 to March 2016.		
	tributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent ch	ildren receiving assistance supported
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are	e not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.	

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 - 03/31/2016

		PERFORMANCE ANI	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	PUTNAM				
1. Program name				4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2016-17	\$365,667 (Amount equals \$1,584,240 allocation, plus \$4,853 transferred in from \$ 16 FFFS, less \$943,106 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$25 Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfe	80,320 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	es As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
Home Visiting Pro	gram: 1 pi	oject(s) to serve 196 families	1 project(s) served 195 families		\$365,667
Comply with Drug		-	28 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl 0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en		
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	 individuals indicated danger individuals granted a waiver from program requirement 	ıts	_
50 % Combined "A	All Families	' Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ 2 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment 0 Work Experience	ity [c]	
			0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training 4 Job Readiness Training Activities 3 Job Search 0 Vocational Education 0 Job Skilla Training		
			0 Job Skills Training 0 Education Related to Employment 0 Secondary School or Equivalent 0 Other Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 2		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 16.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 7	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 69.4%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexen	ipt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c	completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC s	staff using NYCWAY and does not
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta	te data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)	
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towa	ards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re	aparting pariod of April 2015 to March 2016 Participation and approximate related data for	NVC is based on monthly every
data available April 2015 to March 2016.	eporting period of April 2015 to Match 2016. Farticipation and engagement related data for	NTC is based on monuny average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent child	dren receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplica	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 - 03/31/201	6	

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX RENSSELAER				
1. Program name	ram name SFY Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle			5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$430,000 (Amount equals \$5,412,457 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 15-16 FFFS, less \$4,392,457 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$590,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child			04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcor	nes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
					\$430,000
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho		individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	1	_
			ndividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp ndividuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e		_
		01	narviduals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	imployment activities [a]	_
Comply with Dom	astia Violar	ce Family Violence Option (FVO) 65	individuals indicated danger		-
Comply with Donie			individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	nents	_
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate Nu	Imber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		
			umber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			277 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			6 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			15 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			9 Job Search		
			8 Vocational Education		_
			1 Job Skills Training		_
			2 Education Related to Employment		_
			4 Secondary School or Equivalent 0 Other		
		N,	umber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 161		
			ombined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 307	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 45.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexen	npt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta		staff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towa	ards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the r data available April 2015 to March 2016.	eporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for	NYC is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent child	dren receiving assistance supported
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplica	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 - 03/31/201	6	
Except as contained in role 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 - 05/51/201	0	

		PERFORMANC	E AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	ROCKLAND				
1. Program name				5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2016-17	\$2,628,470 (Amount equals \$10,969,137 allocation, plus \$27,912 transl 15-16 FFFS, less \$6,368,579 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other tha Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violen	an Title XX, \$2,000,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
E:1 6					\$2,628,447
Family Support/Pro	eservation/I	Reunification Services: 1 project(s) to serve 73 families	1 project(s) served 199 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	0 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
1, 0		•	0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	oyment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	21 individuals indicated danger		
			20 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		-
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ity [c]	
			79 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			9 Work Experience		
			2 Community Service		_
			0 On the Job Training 18 Job Readiness Training Activities		_
			13 Job Search		_
			6 Vocational Education		
			1 Job Skills Training		-1
			0 Education Related to Employment		-1
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 67	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 35.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 108	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 57.4%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and w	hom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexemp	t employability status.
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Up [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activ [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent w [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities	ities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case toward with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	ls the federal participation rate.
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the		
	the period divided by rederal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the	he reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for N	YC is based on monthly average
data available April 2015 to March 2016.		,
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	o State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent childre	en receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not undup	licated across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 - 03/31/	2016	

		PERFORMANCE AND A	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	ST. LAWRENCE				
1. Program name				••	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$822,929 (Amount equals \$5,801,690 allocation, plus \$27,428 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$24 transferred in from SFY 15- 16 FFES less \$4 211 213 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX \$795,000 transferred to Title XX \$0 in additional			04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: "06/30/17"
					\$822,929
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho		individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			ndividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl		_
		0 11	ndividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dome	estic Violen	ice Family Violence Option (FVO)	ndividuals indicated danger		-
1.2			ndividuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
50 % Combined "A	Il Families	" Participation Rate Nu	mber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		-
			mber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			79 Unsubsidized Employment		
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			38 Work Experience		
			2 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			46 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			3 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			1 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		_
			mber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 60		_
		Со	mbined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 1	8.8%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: "06/30/17"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 149	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 47.2%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	mployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were conclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re data available April 2015 to March 2016.	eporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	C is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 - 03/31/2016	6	

		PERFORMANCE AND	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		S	ARATOGA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2016-17	\$437,663 (Amount equals \$4,425,168 allocation, plus \$14,833 transferred in from 16 FFFS, less \$3,062,368 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$9 Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 t	939,970 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
					\$437,663
Services for Child	ren and You	th: 1 project(s) to serve 1 families	1 project(s) served 1 families		_
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	44 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_
i j j i j		-	0 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]	
			7 individuals indicated danger		_
Comply with Dom	estic Violei	ter runnig (rotenee option (r + o)	2 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremer	ts	_
50 % Combined "A	All Families	"Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			5 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			1 Work Experience		_
			0 Community Service		_
			0 On the Job Training 0 Job Readiness Training Activities		_
			0 Job Reach		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			1 Education Related to Employment		-
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		-
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/17"	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 2	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 6.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 6	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 17%	
	•	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt	employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstated [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work	te data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016) consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the red data available April 2015 to March 2016. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds. Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	

		PERFORMANCE AND A	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	SCHENECTADY					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2016-17 \$\begin{bmatrix} \$1,890,822 (Amount equals \$7,312,752 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$343,264 transferred in from SFY 15-16 FFFS, less \$5,009,499 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$755,695 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17'	
Services for Childr	en and You	th: 1 project(s) to serve 30 families 1 p	roject(s) served 85 families		\$1,890,822	
Comply with Drug		ol Requirements 144 0 ir	individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from er			
Comply with Dom	estic Violer		individuals indicated danger individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	ents		
50 % Combined "A	All Families		mber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0 mber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ 158 Unsubsidized Employment	ity [c]		
			1 Subsidized Employment 3 Work Experience		_	
			3 Community Service 0 On the Job Training		\neg	
			1 Job Readiness Training Activities 30 Job Search		_	
			5 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training			
			14 Education Related to Employment 0 Secondary School or Equivalent		7	
		NT NT	1 Other		4	
		Con	mber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 93 mbined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17 mber of TANE & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 100	7.3%	4	
			mber of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 199 mbined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 37	.1%		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''			
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.					
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were conclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate		using NYCWAY and does not			
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.				
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	k activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards t	he federal participation rate.			
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.				
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.				
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.				
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re	norting paried of April 2015 to March 2016 Participation and approximant related data for NVC	is based on monthly every			
data available April 2015 to March 2016.	porting period of April 2015 to Match 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NTC	. is based on monuny average			
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	te and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported			
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ed across the months during the year or among projects.				
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 - 03/31/2016	5				

		PERFORMANCE AN	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
			SCHOHARIE			
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2016-17	\$484,644 (Amount equals \$1,871,781 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Su 16 FFFS, less \$920,754 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, S Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 tran	\$467,945 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	ues As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''	
					\$482,015	
		Reunification Services: 1 project(s) to serve 69 families	1 project(s) served 209 families			
Services for Childr	en and You	th: 1 project(s) to serve 20 families	1 project(s) served 67 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	13 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
		*	0 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	4 individuals indicated danger		_	
			4 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		_	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			13 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			0 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			9 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			0 Vocational Education			
			1 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			2 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/17"	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 6	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 21	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 65.5%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt	employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta	te data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)	f using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the red data available April 2015 to March 2016.	eporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NY	C is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 - 03/31/201	6	

		PERFORMANCE AN	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			SCHUYLER		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2016-17	\$224,794 (Amount equals \$994,923 allocation, plus \$1,264 transferred in from 15-16 FFFS, less \$566,585 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title X. Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$	X, \$240,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/17"	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
Home Visiting Pro	ogram: 1 p	roject(s) to serve 14 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		\$224,794
TANF Services No	on-Recurren	t Costs: 1 project(s) to serve 10 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	13 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	_
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	1 individuals indicated danger		-
			1 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	"Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		_
		r h	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			12 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			1 Work Experience		_
			0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training		_
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities		_
			0 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 5 Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 11.8% Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 13 Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 29.1% [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status. [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not findude assessments nor reassessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016) [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participation rouncable work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours. [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the verage number of countable and not countable is during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both counta	6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 13 Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 29.1% [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status. [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed by not context on too the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data – April 2015 to March 2016) [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal fiscal year. [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year. [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participati		Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 5	
Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 29.1% [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status. [b] The employment assessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY, (Upstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016) [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate. [e] Combined participation rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable work activities (both countable) for any number of hours. [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable) for any number of hours. [g] Combined engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Note 2: Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Note Store for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by foderal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds. Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects. Note 4: Some activities and outcomes as supported by prior year funds.		Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 11.8%	
(a) Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status. (b) The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016) (c) Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. (d) Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate. (e) Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year. (f) Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours. (g) Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Note 2: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Note 2: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly		Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 13	
(b) The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016) [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participation rate. [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year. [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours. [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator. Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal o		Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 29.1%	
(b) The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016) [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participation rate. [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year. [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours. [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator. Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal o			
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016) [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate. [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year. [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours. [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator. [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average by federal	[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously	and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexe	mpt employability status.
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate. [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year. [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours. [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator. Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds. Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects. Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWA	AY. (Upstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)	staff using NYCWAY and does not
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year. [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours. [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator. [g] Combined engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds. Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects. Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.			
[r] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours. [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator. [g] Combined engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016. Note 1: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds. Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects. Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in cou	intable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow	ards the federal participation rate.
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator. Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds. Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects. Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not const	stent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds. Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects. Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work ac	tivities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
data available April 2015 to March 2016. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds. Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects. Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases of	luring the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
data available April 2015 to March 2016. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds. Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects. Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.			
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds. Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects. Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		ge for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for	NYC is based on monthly average
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects. Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attribu	table to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent chil	ldren receiving assistance supported
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	•		
		unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.	
		03/31/2016	

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	SENECA					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Leve		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$507,443 (Amount equals \$2,264,410 allocation, plus \$1,492 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$11,473 transferred in from SFY 15-16 FEFS, less \$1,243,942 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX_\$398,120 transferred to Title XX_\$95,000 in			04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''	
					\$507,138	
		Reunification Services: 1 project(s) to serve 45 families	1 project(s) served 23 families			
		ly Formation: 1 project(s) to serve 30 families	1 project(s) served 36 families			
Services for Childr	en and You	th: 1 project(s) to serve 80 families	1 project(s) served 98 families			
Comply with Drug	Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		14 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
· · ·			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	oyment activities [a]		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dome	estic Viole	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger		_	
comply with Donk			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts		
50 % C 1: 1 #	11 5 11		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		-	
50 % Combined P	III Failines	Participation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ity [c]		
			16 Unsubsidized Employment		_	
			1 Subsidized Employment			
			2 Work Experience		_	
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			0 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 9	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 16.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 19	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 33.7%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2015 to March 2016.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
			STEUBEN			
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation L		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2016-17	\$1,909,399 (Amount equals \$5,277,479 allocation, plus \$14,044 transferred SFY 15-16 FFFS, less \$2,649,178 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other the additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Contracts).	han Title XX, \$783,440 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in	04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''	
					\$1,895,913	
		roject(s) to serve 150 families th: 3 project(s) to serve 523 families	1 project(s) served 337 families 3 project(s) served 2,269 families			
		tt(s) to serve 1,403 families	1 project(s) served 2,209 families			
		t Costs: 2 project(s) to serve 8,222 families	2 project(s) served 9,059 families		—	
Comply with Drug	and Alcohe	ol Requirements	126 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	40 individuals indicated danger			
			16 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	_	
			Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		-	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate		:tr. [_]		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ 52 Unsubsidized Employment		\neg	
			0 Subsidized Employment		\neg	
			13 Work Experience		\neg	
			1 Community Service		\neg	
			0 On the Job Training		\neg	
			29 Job Readiness Training Activities		\neg	
			23 Job Search		\neg	
			2 Vocational Education		\neg	
			1 Job Skills Training			
			2 Education Related to Employment		\neg	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''		
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent			
	2 Other			
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 31			
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 16.5%			
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 94			
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50.5%			
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt er	nployability status.		
b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)				

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2015 to March 2016.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
SUFFOLK					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2016-17\$11,705,500 (Amount equals \$40,156,025 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 15- 16 FFFS, less \$22,663,510 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$5,394,500 transferred to Title XX, \$392,515 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).04/01/16-03/31/17 *			04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
					\$11,705,500
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	415 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	1 r n	_
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp 0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e		
			o individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	mpioyment activities [a]	_
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	216 individuals indicated danger		_
			139 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "A	Il Families	"Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			713 Unsubsidized Employment		_
			10 Subsidized Employment		
			161 Work Experience		
			34 Community Service		_
			0 On the Job Training		
			54 Job Readiness Training Activities 19 Job Search		
			35 Vocational Education		
			17 Job Skills Training		
			8 Education Related to Employment		
			4 Secondary School or Equivalent		\neg
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 605		\neg
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 3	2.4%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 912	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 48.9%	1
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the red data available April 2015 to March 2016.	eporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NY	C is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds. Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplica Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 - 03/31/201	6	

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	SULLIVAN					
1. Program name	e SFY 3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	\$1,003,024 (Amount equals \$3,112,267 allocation, plus \$4,339 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$718,954 transferred in from SFY 15-16 FFFS, less \$2,490,536 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$342,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in			04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''	
					\$1,003,024	
		roject(s) to serve 110 families	1 project(s) served 889 families			
		th: 1 project(s) to serve 40 families ct(s) to serve 165 families	1 project(s) served 65 families 2 project(s) served 227 families			
	proje					
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	142 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]			
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	42 individuals indicated danger		-	
r r J			31 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents		
50 % Combined "/	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		_	
	in r unines		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	—	
			41 Unsubsidized Employment	• • •		
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			9 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			13 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			3 Job Search		\neg	
			1 Vocational Education			
			1 Job Skills Training			
			4 Education Related to Employment			
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17'
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 29	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 61	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 42.5%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previou	busly and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexe	mpt employability status.
	ents that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC	staff using NYCWAY and does not
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYC	CWAY. (Upstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)	
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent v		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent v		vards the federal participation rate.
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent w [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in	work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	vards the federal participation rate.
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent w [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in	work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. In countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	vards the federal participation rate.
 [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent v [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not concurrent v 	work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. In countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towe consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year. Exactivities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	vards the federal participation rate.
 [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent w [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not co [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work 	work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. In countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towe consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year. Exactivities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	vards the federal participation rate.
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent w [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not co [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable case	work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. In countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towe consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year. Exactivities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours. ses during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent w [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not co [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable case Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average	work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. In countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towe consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year. Exactivities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent v [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not co [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable case Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016.	work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. In countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towe consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year. Exactivities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours. Sees during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator. Perage for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for	r NYC is based on monthly average
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent v [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not co [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable case Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attr	work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. In countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towe consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year. Exactivities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours. ses during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	r NYC is based on monthly average
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent v [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not co [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable case Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attrr by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. In countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towe consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year. Exactivities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours. Sees during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator. Ferage for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for tributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent chi	r NYC is based on monthly average
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent v [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not co [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable case Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2016. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attr	work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. In countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towe consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year. Exactivities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours. sees during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator. Prerage for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for tributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent chi	r NYC is based on monthly average

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	TIOGA					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$1,081,294 (Amount equals \$2,114,083 allocation, plus \$6,819 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$451,693 transferred in from SFY 15-16 FFFS, less \$1,273,166 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$218,135 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in			04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''	
					\$649,450	
			roject(s) served 56 families roject(s) served 235 families			
			roject(s) served 235 families			
			roject(s) served 1,184 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	- Trequinements	44 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
		0 i	ndividuals mandated to treatment - exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]		
		0 i	ndividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]	_	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer		ndividuals indicated danger			
		5	ndividuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts		
50 % Combined "A	All Families		mber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0			
		Nu	mber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti 19 Unsubsidized Employment	vity [c]		
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			6 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training		_	
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities		_	
			6 Job Search			
			1 Vocational Education		_	
			0 Job Skills Training		_	
			0 Education Related to Employment			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/17"	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	3 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 11	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 16.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 25	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 37.8%	
a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whor	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt er	nployability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2015 to March 2015 to March 2016.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	TOMPKINS					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$375,656 (Amount equals \$3,538,105 allocation, plus \$33,949 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 15- 16 FFFS, less \$3,196,398 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$0 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care			04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''	
					\$375,656	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho		individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_	
			ndividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emploit ndividuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from en		_	
		0 If	idividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	mpioyment activities [a]	_	
Complexed to Dom	t' - V ' - 1	- E-mile Vislance Ortice (EVO)	ndividuals indicated danger		_	
Comply with Dome	estic violen		ndividuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nte	-	
			narriddais graned a warver nom program requiremen			
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate Nu	mber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0			
		1 al del parton 1 tare	mber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	—	
			39 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			4 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			9 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			3 Vocational Education			
			1 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			
			mber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 22			
		Con	mbined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 1	3.7%		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: "06/30/17"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 50	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 31.3%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	mployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were considered assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate assessments are completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY.)		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re data available April 2015 to March 2016.	eporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	C is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 - 03/31/2016	6	

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
ULSTER					
2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
2016-17	FFFS, less \$4,978,777 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,1	150,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation	
	6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''	
				\$725,000	
and Alcoh	ol Requirements				
				_	
		o individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	npioyment activities [a]		
estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	13 individuals indicated danger		-	
		6 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements			
All Families	"Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0			
			ity [c]		
		-			
		-		_	
		ç		_	
				_	
		— ·			
		-			
			1 704		
	SFY 2016-17 and Alcoho estic Violen	2. 3. SFY Appropriation Level 2016-17 \$725,000 (Amount equals \$6,853,777 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Sum FFFS, less \$4,978,777 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,1 Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer 6.	Image: state of the second s	J Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle 2016-17 S725,000 (Arount equals 56,853,777 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from STV 15-16 (401/16-03/31/17 *) 2016-17 Gree Transfer, S0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and 80 transferred to State Administered Contracts). (401/16-03/31/17 *) 6. 7. Performance/Accountability Goals 7. 9. Performance/Accountability Goals 7. 9. 0 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 0 0 individuals mandated to treatment – excempt from employment activities [a] 0 0 9. 0 individuals mandated to treatment – onexempt from employment activities [a] 10 9. 0 individuals mandated to treatment – excempt from employment activities [a] 10 9. 0 individuals mandated to treatment – onexempt from employment activities [a] 11 9. 0 individuals findicated danger 12 9. 13 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements 9. 0 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c] 11 9. 14 0 Subsidized Employment 9. 0 O	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 137	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 37.7%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt	employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta		ff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case toward	s the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the red data available April 2015 to March 2016.	eporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NY	YC is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent childre	n receiving assistance supported
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. * Exact as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period $04/01/2015$, $03/31/201$	6	
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 - 03/31/201	U	

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	WARREN					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2016-17	\$589,874 (Amount equals \$1,893,639 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer FFFS, less \$1,103,465 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$200,30 Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferre	00 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcor	nes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''	
					\$589,874	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho		individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	1		
			ndividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp ndividuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e			
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO) 9 i	ndividuals indicated danger		_	
compry with Donk		ter runnig (rotenee option (r + o)	5 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements			
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate Nu	mber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		-	
		-	mber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]		
			8 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			0 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			1 On the Job Training			
			10 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			1 Job Search			
			0 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		\dashv	
			0 Other		_	
			mber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 6		_	
		Co	mbined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 1	0.0%		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/17"	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 16	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 43.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexer	mpt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were consider assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate assessments are completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY.)		staff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	k activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow	vards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	ederal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bot	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	eriod divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re	porting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for	r NYC is based on monthly average
data available April 2015 to March 2016.	r9 f	······································
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	te and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent chil	ldren receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ed across the months during the year or among projects.	
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period $04/01/2015 - 03/31/2016$	5	

	3.	ASHINGTON						
SFY				WASHINGTON				
	Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology				
	\$694,256 (Amount equals \$1,907,740 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Sum FFFS, less \$747,776 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$455, Care Transfer, \$10,708 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0	000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation				
	6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''				
				\$694,256				
				_				
Recurrent	Costs: 1 project(s) to serve 40 families	1 project(s) served 38 families		_				
d Alcoho	l Requirements	113 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems						
	*	0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]					
		0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]					
ic Violen	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	33 individuals indicated danger		-				
		16 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents					
Families'	'Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		-				
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]					
		19 Unsubsidized Employment						
		0 Subsidized Employment						
		2 Work Experience						
		-						
		_						
				_				
				-				
				-				
R d	ecurrent Alcoho		Performance/Accountability Goals Performance/Accountability Outcom 1 project(s) to serve 600 families 1 project(s) served 522 families ecurrent Costs: 1 project(s) to serve 40 families 1 project(s) served 38 families ecurrent Costs: 1 project(s) to serve 40 families 1 project(s) served 38 families Alcohol Requirements 113 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp 0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp 2 Violence Family Violence Option (FVO) 33 individuals indicated danger amilies" Participation Rate Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Active 19 Unsubsidized Employment 19 Unsubsidized Employment	Performance/Accountability GoalsPerformance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/17"I project(s) to serve 600 familiesI project(s) served 522 familiesI project(s) served 38 familiesI project(s) served 38 familiesecurent Costs: I project(s) to serve 40 familiesI project(s) served 38 familiesAlcohol RequirementsI individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]00 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]102Violence Option (FVO)33 individuals granted a waiver from program requirementsamilies" Participation RateNumber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0119 Ursubsidized Employment119 Ursubsidized Employment2Violence April participation Rate10 Community Service219 Ursubsidized Employment230 Job Readmess Training Activities330 Job Readmess Training Activities331 Job Search311 Vocational Education40 Aduction Related to Employment				

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 12	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 46	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 75.2%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt	employability status.
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstat [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with the	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate.
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period with an addit who is engaged in work activities (b)		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re	eporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NY	C is based on monthly average
data available April 2015 to March 2016.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 - 03/31/2010	6	

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
	WAYNE				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Le		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2016-17	\$1,531,610 (Amount equals \$3,435,384 allocation, plus \$10,734 transferred SFY 15-16 FFFS, less \$1,667,000 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other th additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic V Contracts).	an Title XX, \$261,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in	04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
					\$1,425,214
		ices: 3 project(s) to serve 195 families	3 project(s) served 0 families		
		the content of the serve 134 families the serve 134 families the serve 136 families the ser	5 project(s) served 0 families 1 project(s) served 0 families		
		t(s) to serve 134 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		
		t Costs: 1 project(s) to serve 50 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	l Requirements	33 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employed		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	mployment activities [a]	_
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	34 individuals indicated danger		
			10 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	_
			15 Unsubsidized Employment		
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			5 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			4 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			10 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/17"	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 12	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 8.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 26	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 19.6%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt er	mployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards t	he federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re	eporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	is based on monthly average

data available April 2015 to March 2016.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 - 03/31/2016

		PERFORMANCE AND	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	WESTCHESTER				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2016-17	\$9,283,546 (Amount equals \$39,656,870 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Su SFY 15-16 FFFS, less \$26,661,533 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Ti additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violenc Contracts).	tle XX, \$5,908,249 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in	04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outco	mes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
					\$9,283,546
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh		314 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	1 / / // ГЛ	_
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp 0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from o		_
			o individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from o	employment activities [a]	_
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	86 individuals indicated danger		_
comply with Doni			186 individuals granted a waiver from program require	ements	-
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		
		-	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]	
			434 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			81 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			135 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			30 Job Search		
			21 Vocational Education		
			7 Job Skills Training		
			18 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		_
			0 Other	-	_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 275		_
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e]	15.2%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17'
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 676	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 37.5%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonex	empt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c	completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYG	C staff using NYCWAY and does not
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta	te data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016)	
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	ork activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to	wards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re	eporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for	or NYC is based on monthly average
data available April 2015 to March 2016.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St	tate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent ch	ildren receiving assistance supported
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplical Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	across the months during the year or among projects.	
For the activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		

* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 - 03/31/2016

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
	WYOMING				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$676,402 (Amount equals \$1,777,431 allocation, plus \$5,699 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 15- 16 FFFS less \$825,531 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$181,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child		04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
					\$665,640
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh		individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_
			ndividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl ndividuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from er		_
		0	individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from ef	npioyment activities [a]	_
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	ndividuals indicated danger		-
			individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate N	umber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		
		N	umber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			10 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			5 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		_
			27 Job Readiness Training Activities		_
			0 Job Search		_
			0 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
		N	umber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 6		
			ombined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 1	2.1%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 34	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 74%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexe	mpt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstated assessments and the completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY.)		staff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow	vards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the red data available April 2015 to March 2016.	eporting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for	r NYC is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent chi	ldren receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat	ed across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 - 03/31/2016	6	

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX YATES				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3 Appropria	tion Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2016-17	\$257,070 (Amount equals \$965,718 allocation, plus \$3,857 transferr 15-16 FFFS, less \$510,964 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other th Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Vio	an Title XX, \$238,500 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''
Financial Educatio	n and Asse	t Development: 1 project(s) to serve 56 families	1 project(s) served 104 families		\$237,414
	ii anu Asse	t Development. I project(s) to serve 50 fammes			-
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	0 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger		_
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	its	_
50 % Combined "A	All Families	"Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0		_
		•	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			2 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			1 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			6 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			3 Job Search		_
			0 Vocational Education 3 Job Skills Training		\neg
			0 Education Related to Employment		—
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		\neg
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/17''	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17'
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 1	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 3.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 8	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 46.4%	1
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexemp	t employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstal [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work	te data - April 2015 to March 2016, NYC data April 2015 to March 2016) s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the red data available April 2015 to March 2016. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent childr	
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2015 - 03/31/2010		

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	NYC-HRA					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2016-17	\$258,872,176 (Amount equals \$550,277,947 allocation, plus \$0 transferr SFY 15-16 FFFS, less \$173,315,118 budgeted towards Child Welfare Ot additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domest	her than Title XX, \$118,288,313 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in	04/01/16-03/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	mes As Of : "3/31/17"	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17''	
					\$258,872,176	
		th: 1 project(s) to serve 3,505 families	1 project(s) served 3,818 families			
		t(s) to serve 1,046 families t Costs: 2 project(s) to serve 1,010,421 families	1 project(s) served 664 families		<u> </u>	
TANF Services INC	on-Recurren	t Costs: 2 project(s) to serve 1,010,421 families	2 project(s) served 482,051 families		_	
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoho	ol Requirements	4,317 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
	·	*	0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	mployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violen	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	3,992 individuals indicated danger			
			2,709 individuals granted a waiver from program requir	ements		
			Number of Employment Assessments Completed [h] 0.		_	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 0	4 F-1		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ 0 Unsubsidized Employment		_	
			0 Subsidized Employment		_	
			0 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service		-	
			0 On the Job Training			
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search		-	
			0 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 0			
			#DIV/0!			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/17"	8. Spending As Of: ''06/30/17'
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 0	
	#DIV/0!	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom v	were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employed	oyability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were con include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate		ng NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities co	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work	activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the	federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with fee	deral methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both	n countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the per	eriod divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the repo available April 2015 to March 2016.	orting period of April 2015 to March 2016. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is	based on monthly average data
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	e and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children rece	iving assistance supported by
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	d across the months during the year or among projects.	
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period $04/01/2015 - 03/31/2016$		