

Notice of Proposed Rule Making

Temporary and Disability Assistance, Office of
(SUBMITTING AGENCY)

- Approval has been granted by Executive Chamber to propose this rule making.
- This rule making does not require Executive Chamber approval.

NOTE: Typing and submission instructions are at the end of this form. Please be sure to COMPLETE ALL ITEMS. Incomplete forms will be cause for rejection of this notice.

1. A. <i>Proposed action:</i>		
Amendment of	§ 358-5.3(a) and (e) of	Title <u>18</u> NYCRR
_____	_____	Title _____ NYCRR
_____	_____	Title _____ NYCRR
_____	_____	Title _____ NYCRR
_____	_____	Title _____ NYCRR
_____	_____	Title _____ NYCRR

- B. This is a consensus rule making. A statement is attached setting forth the agency's determination that no person is likely to object to the rule as written [SAPA §202(1)(b)(i)].
- C. This rule was previously proposed as a consensus rule making under I.D. No. [REDACTED]. Attached is a brief description of the objection that caused/is causing the prior notice to be withdrawn [SAPA §202(1)(e)].
- D. This rule is proposed pursuant to [SAPA §207(3)], 5-Year Review of Existing Rules (see also item 16).

2. *Statutory authority under which the rule is proposed:*
 7 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 273.15(c)(4); Social Services Law §§ 20(3)(d), 22(8), and 34(3)(f); Chapter 41 of the Laws of 2012

3. *Subject of the rule:*
 Good cause adjournment of fair hearings concerning the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP)

4. *Purpose of the rule:*

See attached Addendum

5. *Public hearings* (check box and complete as applicable):
- A public hearing is not scheduled. (*SKIP TO ITEM 8*)
 - A public hearing is required by law and is scheduled below. (**Note:** first hearing date must be at least 60 days **after** publication of this notice unless a different time is specified in statute.)
 - A public hearing is not required by law, but is scheduled below.

Time:	Date:	Location:

6. *Interpreter services* (check only if a public hearing is scheduled):

Interpreter services will be made available to hearing impaired persons, at no charge, upon written request to the agency contact designated in this notice.

7. *Accessibility* (check appropriate box only if a public hearing is scheduled):

All public hearings have been scheduled at places reasonably accessible to persons with a mobility impairment.

Attached is a list of public hearing locations that are **not** reasonably accessible to persons with a mobility impairment. An explanation is submitted regarding diligent efforts made to provide accessible hearing sites.

8. *Terms of rule* (SELECT ONE SECTION):

A. The full text of the rule is attached because it does not exceed 2,000 words.

B. A summary of the rule is attached because the full text of the rule exceeds 2,000 words.

Full text is posted on the following State website. [Pursuant to SAPA §202(7)(d), provide sufficient information to enable the public to access the full text without extensive searching. For example, provide a URL or a title to either a webpage or a specific section of the website where the full text is posted]:

C. Pursuant to SAPA §202(7)(b), the agency elects to print a description of the subject, purpose and substance of the rule as defined in SAPA §102(2)(a)(ii) [Rate Making]. Web posting of full text of such rule is not required [SAPA §202(1)(a)].

9. *The text of the rule and any required statements and analyses may be obtained from:*

Agency contact Richard P. Rhodes, Jr.

Agency Name New York State Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance

Office address 40 North Pearl Street, 16C

Albany, NY 12243-0001

Telephone (518) 486-7503 *E-mail:* richard.rhodesjr@otda.ny.gov

10. *Submit data, views or arguments to* (complete only if different than previously named agency contact):

Agency contact _____

Agency name _____

Office address _____

Telephone _____ *E-mail:* _____

11. *Public comment will be received until:*

60 days after publication of this notice (MINIMUM public comment period).

5 days after the last scheduled public hearing required by statute (MINIMUM, with required hearing).

Other: (specify) _____.

12. A prior emergency rule making for this action was previously published in the _____ issue of the *Register*, I.D. No. _____.

13. *Expiration date* (check only if applicable):

This proposal will not expire in 365 days because it is for a "rate making" as defined in SAPA §102(2)(a)(ii).

14. *Additional matter required by statute*:

Yes (include below material required by statute).

No additional material required by statute.

15. *Regulatory Agenda* (See SAPA §202-d[1]):

This rule was a Regulatory Agenda item for this agency in the following issue of the *State Register*:
01/31/2024

This rule was not under consideration at the time this agency submitted its Regulatory Agenda for publication in the *Register*.

Not applicable.

16. **Review of Existing Rules** (ALL ATTACHMENTS MUST BE 2,000 WORDS OR LESS)

This rule is proposed pursuant to SAPA §207 (item 1D applies) (check applicable boxes):

Attached is a statement setting forth a reasoned justification for modification of the rule. Where appropriate, include a discussion of the degree to which changes in technology, economic conditions or other factors in the area affected by the rule necessitate changes in the rule.

Attached is an assessment of public comments received by the agency in response to its publication of a list of rules to be reviewed.

An assessment of public comments is not attached because no comments were received.

Not applicable.

17. **Regulatory Impact Statement (RIS)**

(SELECT AND COMPLETE ONE; ALL ATTACHMENTS MUST BE 2,000 WORDS OR LESS, EXCLUDING SUMMARIES OF STUDIES, REPORTS OR ANALYSES [Needs and Benefits]):

A. The attached RIS contains:

The full text of the RIS.

A summary of the RIS.

Full text is posted on the following State website. [Pursuant to SAPA §202(7)(d), provide sufficient information to enable the public to access the full text without extensive searching. For example, provide a URL or a title to either a webpage or a specific section of the website where the full text is posted]:

A consolidated RIS, because this rule is one of a series of closely related and simultaneously proposed rules or is virtually identical to rules proposed during the same year.

B. A RIS is **not attached**, because this rule is:

subject to a consolidated RIS printed in the *Register* under I.D. No.: _____; issue date: _____.

exempt, as defined in SAPA §102(2)(a)(ii) [Rate Making].

exempt, as defined in SAPA §102(11) [Consensus Rule Making].

C. A **statement is attached** claiming exemption pursuant to SAPA § 202-a (technical amendment).

18. **Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (RFA) for small businesses and local governments**

(SELECT AND COMPLETE ONE; ALL ATTACHMENTS MUST BE 2,000 WORDS OR LESS):

A. The attached RFA contains:

The full text of the RFA.

A summary of the RFA.

Full text is posted on the following State website. [Pursuant to SAPA §202(7)(d), provide sufficient information to enable the public to access the full text without extensive searching. For example, provide a URL or a title to either a webpage or a specific section of the website where the full text is posted]:

A consolidated RFA, because this rule is one of a series of closely related rules.

B. A **statement is attached** explaining why a RFA is not required. This statement is in scanner format and explains the agency's finding that the rule will not impose any adverse economic impact or reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements on small businesses or local governments and the reason(s) upon which the finding was made, including any measures used to determine that the rule will not impose such adverse economic impacts or compliance requirements.

C. A RFA is **not** attached, because this rule:

is subject to a consolidated RFA printed in the *Register* under I.D. No.: _____ - _____ ;
issue date: _____ .

is exempt, as defined in SAPA §102(2)(a)(ii) [Rate Making].

is exempt, as defined in SAPA §102(11) [Consensus Rule Making].

19. **Rural Area Flexibility Analysis (RAFA)**

(SELECT AND COMPLETE ONE; ALL ATTACHMENTS MUST BE 2,000 WORDS OR LESS):

A. The attached RAFA contains:

The full text of the RAFA.

A summary of the RAFA.

Full text is posted on the following State website. [Pursuant to SAPA §202(7)(d), provide sufficient information to enable the public to access the full text without extensive searching. For example, provide a URL or a title to either a webpage or a specific section of the website where the full text is posted]:

A consolidated RAFA, because this rule is one of a series of closely related rules.

B. A **statement is attached** explaining why a RAFA is not required. This statement is in scanner format and explains the agency's finding that the rule will not impose any adverse impact on rural areas or reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements on public or private entities in rural areas and the reason(s) upon which the finding was made, including what measures were used to determine that the rule will not impose such adverse impact or compliance requirements.

C. A RAFA is **not attached**, because this rule:

is subject to a consolidated RAFA printed in the *Register* under I.D. No.: _____ - _____ ;
issue date: _____ .

is exempt, as defined in SAPA §102(2)(a)(ii) [Rate Making].

is exempt, as defined in SAPA §102(11) [Consensus Rule Making].

20. Job Impact Statement (JIS)

(SELECT AND COMPLETE ONE; ALL ATTACHMENTS MUST BE 2,000 WORDS OR LESS):

A. The attached JIS contains:

 The full text of the JIS. A summary of the JIS.

Full text is posted on the following State website. [Pursuant to SAPA §202(7)(d), provide sufficient information to enable the public to access the full text without extensive searching. For example, provide a URL or a title to either a webpage or a specific section of the website where the full text is posted]:

 A consolidated JIS, because this rule is one of a series of closely related rules.

B. A **statement is attached** explaining why a JIS is not required. This statement is in scanner format and explains the agency's finding that the rule will not have a substantial adverse impact on jobs and employment opportunities (as apparent from its nature and purpose) and explains the agency's finding that the rule will have a positive impact or no impact on jobs and employment opportunities; except when it is evident from the subject matter of the rule that it could only have a positive impact or no impact on jobs and employment opportunities, the statement shall include a summary of the information and methodology underlying that determination.

 A JIS/Request for Assistance [SAPA §201-a(2)(c)] is attached.C. A JIS is **not attached**, because this rule:

is subject to a consolidated JIS printed in the *Register* under I.D. No.: _____ - _____ issue date: _____.

 is exempt, as defined in SAPA §102(2)(a)(ii) [Rate Making]. is proposed by the State Comptroller or Attorney General.**AGENCY CERTIFICATION (To be completed by the person who PREPARED the notice.)**

I have reviewed this form and the information submitted with it. The information contained in this notice is correct to the best of my knowledge.

I have reviewed Article 2 of SAPA and Parts 260 through 263 of 19 NYCRR, and I hereby certify that this notice complies with all applicable provisions.

Name Richard P. Rhodes, Jr. Signature /s/ Richard P. Rhodes, Jr.

Address N.Y.S.O.T.D.A., 40 North Pearl Street, 16C, Albany, NY 12243-0001

Telephone (518) 486-7503 E-Mail richard.rhodesjr@otda.gov

Date 10/22/2024

Please read before submitting this notice:

1. Except for this form itself, all text must be typed in the prescribed format as described in the Department of State's Register procedures manual, *Rule Making in New York*.
2. Rule making notices, with any necessary attachments (in MS Word), should be e-filed via the Department of State website.

Justification for Consensus Rule Making

The Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance (OTDA) is proposing a rule to: (1) amend 18 NYCRR § 358-5.3(a) to conform with the corresponding federal regulation at *7 Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR) § 273.15(c)(4) relative to good cause adjournments of fair hearings concerning the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) and to reflect current OTDA policy and procedures regarding such adjournments; and (2) replace obsolete regulatory references to “food stamps” contained within existing 18 NYCRR § 358-5.3(e) of the State regulations with updated references to the “SNAP.”

Specifically, the proposed regulatory amendments would amend 18 NYCRR § 358-5.3(a) relative to adjourning fair hearings concerning SNAP. The proposed regulatory amendments would amend the referenced subdivision by codifying the entitlement of appellants in fair hearings concerning SNAP to request and receive one adjournment, not exceeding 30 days from the date of the scheduled hearing, without a showing of good cause. The proposed regulatory amendments would thereby conform the State regulations to the federal regulations governing fair hearings at 7 CFR § 273.15(c)(4) and existing OTDA policy and procedure. The proposed regulatory amendments would also amend existing § 358-5.3(e) of the State regulations to replace obsolete references to “food stamps” with updated references to the “SNAP” in accordance with Chapter 41 of the Laws of 2012.

OTDA does not anticipate that any person will object to the proposed regulatory amendments contained in this consensus rule making.

4. Purpose of the rule:

(1) To amend 18 NYCRR § 358-5.3(a) to conform with the corresponding federal regulation at 7 *CFR* § 273.15(c)(4) relative to good cause adjournments of fair hearings concerning the SNAP and to reflect current OTDA policy and procedure regarding such adjournments; and (2) to replace obsolete regulatory references to “food stamps” with updated “SNAP” references at 18 NYCRR § 358-5.3(e).

Subdivisions (a) and (e) of § 358-5.3 of Part 358 of Title 18 NYCRR are amended to read as follows:

(a) Upon request of either the appellant or a social services agency, the fair hearing may be rescheduled, upon a showing of good cause for requesting the delay. Provided, however, for a fair hearing concerning SNAP benefits or services, the appellant may request, and is entitled to receive, an adjournment not exceeding 30 days from the date of the scheduled hearing without a showing of good cause.

(e) If public assistance, medical assistance, [food stamp] and SNAP benefits or services are continued in accordance with section 358-3.6 of this Part and the fair hearing is rescheduled for the reasons set forth in subdivision (a) or (b) of this section, an appellant has the right to have public assistance, medical assistance, [food stamp] and SNAP benefits or services continued until the fair hearing decision is issued.

Statement in Lieu of a Job Impact Statement (JIS)

A JIS is not required for the proposed regulatory amendments to 18 NYCRR § 358-5.3(a) and (e), which would simply: (1) amend 18 NYCRR § 358-5.3(a) to conform with the corresponding federal regulation at 7 *Code of Federal Regulations* § 273.15(c)(4) relative to good cause adjournments of fair hearings concerning the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) and to reflect current OTDA policy and procedure regarding such adjournments; and (2) replace obsolete regulatory references to “food stamps” contained within existing 18 NYCRR § 358-5.3(e) with the updated term “SNAP.” It is apparent from the nature and purpose of the proposed regulatory amendments that they would neither have a substantial adverse impact on jobs and employment opportunities in the public and private sectors of the State, substantively affect the jobs of employees at the state or social services district (district) level, nor impose any new annual costs or administrative burdens upon the districts.