

George E. Pataki Governor

C . . 4 . . . 1

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF TEMPORARY AND DISABILITY ASSISTANCE 40 NORTH PEARL STREET ALBANY, NY 12243-0001

Robert Doar Commissioner

# Local Commissioners Memorandum

Section 1					
Transmittal:	05-LCM-14				
To:	Local District Commissioners				
Issuing Division/Office:	Division of Child Support Enforcement				
Date:	December 30, 2005				
Subject:	Child Support IncentivesFederal Fiscal Year 2004 (FFY 2004)				
Contact					
Person(s):	Kevin.Boyle@otda.state.ny.us				
Attachments:	Attachment #1 Adjustment of Actual to Reported IncentivesFFY 2004				
	Attachment #2 Estimated IncentivesFFY 2006				
Attachment Av	vailable On – Yes				
Line					

## Section 2

### I. Purpose

The purpose of this Local Commissioners Memorandum is to provide information about the method for allocating local district child support incentives and provide you with the reconciliation of incentives allocated to incentives claimed for federal fiscal year (FFY) 2004.

# **II.** Background

Beginning with FFY 2000, federal child support regulations at 45 CFR 305, Performance Measures, Standards, Financial Incentives and Penalties, significantly changed the method for allocating incentive payments to states. These changes were phased in over FFY 2000 (October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2000) and FFY 2001 (October 1, 2000 through September 30, 2001). During this two-year period, federal incentives were allocated to states using a combination of the old and the new incentive methodologies. Effective with FFY 2002, states' ability to earn federal incentives are based entirely upon the new federal method, a complex formula that takes into consideration several factors including:

• States' performances in the five key child support areas of paternity establishment, support order establishment, current collection percentage, percentage of cases with arrears collections and cost effectiveness. Percentages in each of the five areas are applied against a collection figure (determined by doubling the current and former assistance collections and adding never assistance collections), which is then summed to determine a state's collection base. States receive incentives based upon their respective percentage of the national

collection base as applied against the total "capped" amount of incentives available to all states.

- States' ability to earn incentives are now limited or "capped" at the federal level, which has the potential for reducing a state's individual allocation when compared to the previous incentive methodology.
- States are now subject to data reliability audits of their reported performance measures. Failure to meet the data reliability audit criteria for one or more performance measure has the potential for significantly reducing a state's individual allocation when compared to other states. In addition, failure to meet the data reliability audit criteria in a specific category for two consecutive years can result in a reduction of the TANF block grant.
- States are now required to reinvest an amount equal to the amount of incentive funds received. States must expend this amount to supplement, and not supplant, other funds used by states to carry out IV-D program activities or other approved activities that may contribute to improving the effectiveness or efficiency of a state's IV-D program. This reinvestment requirement also applies to political subdivisions, i.e., local social service districts. In meeting the reinvestment requirement, those entities receiving incentives must expend an amount of funds equal to its incentive amount received over and above a base expenditure level (FFY 1998 state and/or local expenditures or the average of FFYs' 1996-1998 state and/or local share expenditures).

Prior to these changes, incentives were distributed to states using a relatively predictable, cost benefit methodology that facilitated estimating incentives. This allowed local district planners to be reasonably confident about the estimated incentives claimed each month on the "Summary of Title IV-D Collections and Distributions" (Schedule A-1). Given the complex formula and inherent uncertainties of the new federal allocation methodology, there is insufficient information to accurately estimate the amount of incentives that New York State will receive. We published an allocation methodology in November 2000 that was based entirely on a local district's percentage of statewide collections. The estimated claiming amounts were intended to be conservative to ensure that local districts did not over-claim estimated incentives, which could potentially result in a negative adjustment.

# **III.** Program Implications

### ACTUAL INCENTIVE CALCULATION

The Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance (OTDA) has been notified that the actual IV-D child support incentives earned by New York State from the U. S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Child Support Enforcement, for FFY 2004 was \$26,298,854.

Of the \$26,298,854 statewide incentives received for FFY 2004, OTDA will allocate \$15,400,000 to local districts. Attachment #1, column 6, contains the adjusted incentive amounts for FFY 2004, which is the difference between estimated incentives reported on Schedule A-1 and actual incentives allocated. These amounts will be processed on an upcoming settlement for each district. Please do not submit a supplemental claim for these adjustments.

### ESTIMATED INCENTIVE CALCULATION

Attachment #2 is a schedule of estimated incentives for the remainder of FFY 2006. The county specific allocation is based upon actual FFY 2005 collection figures. Since, final incentive figures for FFY 2005 are not expected from federal OCSE for another year, we are providing a conservative

claiming figure (\$10 million in the aggregate) to avoid the potential for future negative adjustments. **Local districts should report the new monthly estimated incentives beginning with the December 2005 claim.** Until schedule A -1 is modified to accept only a total figure, local districts can enter any amounts in the TANF and Non TANF fields on line 16 of Schedule A -1, provided that the total amount does not exceed the monthly claiming amount in column 5 of attachment # 2. These amounts should be reported each month until new estimates are provided.

Although the incentive allocation to each local district is based on collections, attaining and maintaining high standards for each of the five federal performance measures is extremely important to ensure that New York State:

- Provides full services to its entire child support caseload.
- Maintains a consistent level of incentive revenue.
- Does not incur a federal program penalty for poor performance.
- Maximizes the statewide incentive amount, thereby maximizing the amount available for local districts.

DCSE program questions should be directed to Kevin Boyle, at (800) 343-8859, extension 40766 or (518) 474-0766. His e-mail address is <u>Kevin.Boyle@otda.state.ny.us</u>.

OTDA fiscal questions should be directed to:

Regions I-IV Carolyn Oleyourryk (518) 474-7549. Her e-mail address is Carolyn.Oleyourryk @otda.state.ny.us.

Region V Michael Borenstein (631) 854-9704. His e-mail address is Michael.Borenstein@otda.state.ny.us.

Region VI

Marian Borenstein (212) 961-8250. Her e-mail address is Marian.Borenstein@otda.state.ny.us.

Issued By: Name: Scott E. Cade Title: Deputy Commissioner and Director Division/Office: Child Support Enforcement

#### 05 LCM xx Attachment #1 ADJUSTMENT OF ACTUAL TO REPORTED INCENTIVES -- FFY 2004

1	2	3	4	5	6
			Actual		Adjustment
	Total Collections	District Percentage	Incentives Allocated	Total Reported	Actual to Reported
District	FFY 2004	to Total	(col. 3 x \$15.4M)	Incentives	(col. 4 - col. 5)
New York State	\$1,421,620,606	100.00%	\$15,400,000	\$9,646,189	\$5,753,811
New York City	525,984,587	37.00%	\$5,697,837	\$3,366,781	\$2,331,056
Rest of State	\$895,636,019	63.00%	\$9,702,163	\$6,279,408	\$3,422,755
Albany	25,700,333	1.81%	\$278,404	169,445	\$108,959
Allegany	5,747,111	0.40%	\$62,257	55,764	\$6,493
Broome	16,100,739	1.13%	\$174,415 \$106,216	108,108	\$66,307 \$37,000
Cattaraugus Cayuga	9,805,145 8,674,596	0.69% 0.61%	\$106,216 \$93,969	68,316 68,691	\$37,900 \$25,278
Chautauqua	14,647,646	1.03%	\$158,674	105,128	\$53,546
Chemung	9,426,638	0.66%	\$102,116	71,490	\$30,626
Chenango	5,450,624	0.38%	\$59,045	39,788	\$19,257
Clinton	8,519,275	0.60%	\$92,287	59,766	\$32,521
Columbia	5,690,139	0.40%	\$61,640	38,465	\$23,175
Cortland Delaware	4,929,540	0.35% 0.32%	\$53,400 \$48,608	15,136	\$38,264
Delaware	4,487,129 26,070,526	1.83%	\$48,608 \$282,414	32,656 166,630	\$15,952 \$115,784
Erie	61,409,467	4.32%	\$665,231	445,197	\$220,034
Essex	3,623,822	0.25%	\$39,256	27,732	\$11,524
Franklin	5,651,720	0.40%	\$61,223	38,511	\$22,712
Fulton	6,189,680	0.44%	\$67,051	44,208	\$22,843
Genesee	5,657,916	0.40%	\$61,291	40,202	\$21,089
Greene	4,969,352	0.35%	\$53,832	35,916	\$17,916
Hamilton Herkimer	476,221 6,715,603	0.03% 0.47%	\$5,159 \$72,748	3,577 49,584	\$1,582 \$23,164
Jefferson	12,188,954	0.86%	\$132,039	88,362	\$43,677
Lewis	2,737,689	0.19%	\$29,657	20,094	\$9,563
Livingston	6,755,765	0.48%	\$73,183	62,551	\$10,632
Madison	5,443,026	0.38%	\$58,963	40,236	\$18,727
Monroe	60,507,338	4.26%	\$655,458	431,160	\$224,298
Montgomery	5,299,324	0.37%	\$57,406	38,852	\$18,554
Nassau Niagara	80,020,533 18,139,078	5.63% 1.28%	\$866,839 \$196,495	548,276 138,108	\$318,563 \$58,387
Oneida	15,176,913	1.07%	\$164,407	100,678	\$63,729
Onondaga	42,015,585	2.96%	\$455,143	279,400	\$175,743
Ontario	10,652,585	0.75%	\$115,396	75,052	\$40,344
Orange	30,841,322	2.17%	\$334,095	216,906	\$117,189
Orleans	3,995,961	0.28%	\$43,287	26,152	\$17,135
Oswego	13,444,874	0.95%	\$145,644	96,948	\$48,696
Otsego Putnam	5,083,321 6,877,073	0.36% 0.48%	\$55,066 \$74,497	27,810 47,872	\$27,256 \$26,625
Rensselaer	16,082,403	1.13%	\$174,216	157,500	\$16,716
Rockland	22,362,004	1.57%	\$242,241	136,149	\$106,092
St.Lawrence	11,066,434	0.78%	\$119,879	78,826	\$41,053
Saratoga	17,461,289	1.23%	\$189,153	121,820	\$67,333
Schenectady	14,365,062	1.01%	\$155,613	95,957	\$59,656
Schoharie	3,692,020	0.26%	\$39,995	20,732	\$19,263
Schuyler Seneca	1,726,422 3,921,197	0.12% 0.28%	\$18,702 \$42,477	13,048 27,512	\$5,654 \$14,965
Steuben	9,461,220	0.67%	\$102,491	69,228	\$33,263
Suffolk	114,919,217	8.08%	\$1,244,886	802,606	\$442,280
Sullivan	6,673,149	0.47%	\$72,288	45,356	\$26,932
Tioga	4,611,833	0.32%	\$49,959	33,444	\$16,515
Tompkins	7,040,757	0.50%	\$76,270	49,356	\$26,914
Ulster	15,182,449	1.07%	\$164,467	96,441	\$68,026
Warren Washington	8,267,637 7 329 366	0.58% 0.52%	\$89,561 \$79,397	58,875 79,572	\$30,686 -\$175
Wayne	7,329,366 10,577,461	0.52%	\$79,397 \$114,583	79,572 73,840	\$40,743
Westchester	55,585,527	3.91%	\$602,142	355,777	\$246,365
Wyoming	3,921,432	0.28%	\$42,480	29,046	\$13,434
Yates	2,266,576	0.16%	\$24,553	11,556	\$12,997

#### 05 LCM xx Attachment #2 Estimated Incentives -- FFY 2006

1	2	3	4 Estimated	5 Estimated
District	Total Collections FFY 2005	District Percentage to Total	Estimated Annual Incentives (col. 3 X \$10M)	Monthly Incentives (col. 4 / 12)
New York State	1,515,835,108	100.00%	\$10,000,000	\$833,333
New York City	564,383,033	37.23%	\$3,723,248	
				\$310,271
Rest of State	951,452,074	62.77%	\$6,276,752	\$523,063
Albany Allegany	27,466,462 6,125,069	1.81% 0.40%	\$181,197 \$40,407	\$15,100 \$3,367
Broome	17,039,482	1.12%	\$112,410	\$9,367
Cattaraugus	10,402,984	0.69%	\$68,629	\$5,719
Cayuga	9,163,402	0.60%	\$60,451	\$5,038
Chautauqua Chemung	15,184,701 9,830,131	1.00% 0.65%	\$100,174 \$64,850	\$8,348 \$5,404
Chenango	5,686,761	0.38%	\$37,516	\$3,126
Clinton	9,038,753	0.60%	\$59,629	\$4,969
Columbia	5,987,241	0.39%	\$39,498	\$3,291
Cortland Delaware	5,174,976	0.34% 0.30%	\$34,139 \$30,280	\$2,845 \$2,523
Dutchess	4,589,879 27,771,781	1.83%	\$30,200	\$15,268
Erie	65,910,881	4.35%	\$434,816	\$36,235
Essex	3,947,722	0.26%	\$26,043	\$2,170
Franklin	5,804,997	0.38%	\$38,296	\$3,191
Fulton Genesee	6,517,519 5,880,608	0.43% 0.39%	\$42,996 \$38,795	\$3,583 \$3,233
Greene	5,140,470	0.34%	\$33,912	\$2,826
Hamilton	481,411	0.03%	\$3,176	\$265
Herkimer	7,006,473	0.46%	\$46,222	\$3,852
Jefferson	12,959,082	0.85%	\$85,491	\$7,124
Lewis Livingston	2,957,213 7,210,568	0.20% 0.48%	\$19,509 \$47,568	\$1,626 \$3,964
Madison	5,693,740	0.38%	\$37,562	\$3,130
Monroe	64,057,509	4.23%	\$422,589	\$35,216
Montgomery	5,539,454	0.37%	\$36,544	\$3,045
Nassau Niagara	86,848,014 18,753,578	5.73% 1.24%	\$572,938 \$123,718	\$47,745 \$10,310
Oneida	16,082,193	1.06%	\$106,095	\$8,841
Onondaga	43,860,886	2.89%	\$289,351	\$24,113
Ontario	11,117,690	0.73%	\$73,344	\$6,112
Orange Orleans	32,336,187	2.13%	\$213,323 \$28,044	\$17,777 \$2,227
Oswego	4,251,017 13,770,173	0.28% 0.91%	\$28,044 \$90,842	\$2,337 \$7,570
Otsego	5,405,583	0.36%	\$35,661	\$2,972
Putnam	6,888,454	0.45%	\$45,443	\$3,787
Rensselaer	17,425,597	1.15%	\$114,957	\$9,580
Rockland St.Lawrence	24,351,197 11,586,139	1.61% 0.76%	\$160,645 \$76,434	\$13,387 \$6,370
Saratoga	18,592,022	1.23%	\$122,652	\$10,221
Schenectady	15,543,484	1.03%	\$102,541	\$8,545
Schoharie	4,091,050	0.27%	\$26,989	\$2,249
Schuyler Seneca	1,944,869 4,068,093	0.13% 0.27%	\$12,830 \$26,837	\$1,069 \$2,236
Steuben	10,176,283	0.67%	\$67,133	\$5,594
Suffolk	122,792,066	8.10%	\$810,062	\$67,505
Sullivan	7,269,303	0.48%	\$47,956	\$3,996
Tioga Tompkins	4,905,328	0.32%	\$32,361 \$40,118	\$2,697 \$4,093
Tompkins Ulster	7,445,541 16,633,998	0.49% 1.10%	\$49,118 \$109,735	\$4,093 \$9,145
Warren	8,896,043	0.59%	\$58,687	\$4,891
Washington	7,499,484	0.49%	\$49,474	\$4,123
Wayne	11,270,506	0.74%	\$74,352	\$6,196
Westchester Wyoming	58,756,745 4,043,683	3.88% 0.27%	\$387,620 \$26,676	\$32,302 \$2,223
Yates	2,277,602	0.27%	\$20,070 \$15,025	\$2,223 \$1,252
	_,,		+ , - = 0	÷.,===