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The Claims Resolution Act of 2010 Reporting Mandate

This report is in fulfillment of Section 812 of the Claims Resolution Act (CRA) of 2010. The CRA amended General Section 411 of the Social Security Act to require states to collect specific work activity and expenditure data, and transmit that data to the US Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). In turn, HHS is required to provide two reports to Congress summarizing the received data, for the purpose of informing the upcoming reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) program. The first of these reports covered work activities and expenditures in the month of March 2011; the second is to cover the April to June 2011 period. The CRA also required that each state produce parallel reports covering the data gathered for their state, and post their resulting reports on their agency website. The present report is for the April to June 2011 period, and covers the work activities portion of the requirement for New York State. A previous report was issued covering the March 2011 period.

The CRA set specific requirements regarding both the data states needed to transmit to HHS and the contents of the report. Regarding work activities, states were required to report on whether each work eligible individual in a family receiving assistance in a TANF or a Separate State Maintenance of Effort (SSP-MOE) program (i.e., all cases that states are required to consider for inclusion in the calculation of their federal work participation rate) is engaging in an activity that helps move that individual towards attaining self-sufficiency. If so, the state was to report:

- Any activities that do not count as a work activity under federal work participation rules, but that are otherwise reasonably calculated to help the family move toward self-sufficiency. These are any activities beyond the 12 countable federal activities.
- Any activities that do count as a work activity under those rules but cannot be counted because:
  - The person did not engage in sufficient hours to meet the federal work participation standard;
  - The work eligible individual has reached the maximum time limit allowed for having the activity count toward the work participation rate (some activities such as job search, job readiness or vocational education are only countable for defined time periods); or,
  - The number of persons engaged exceeds the number of persons a state is allowed to enroll in a particular activity (federal rules put limitations on the number of persons that can be engaged in certain educational activities and still count toward work participation rates).
The CRA also allowed HHS to request additional information it deemed appropriate with respect to the above requirements. In response, HHS has asked states to provide information on:

- Reasons for non-participation in activities for those not engaged in any activity leading to self-sufficiency, such as exemptions from work requirements; and,
- Hours of participation not reported either because the documentation was insufficient to meet federal work verification standards or for some other reason.

The text of the Section 812 of the CRA is provided in Appendix A of this report.

Contents of the Report

This report is organized into the following sections:

- A synopsis of the federal work participation standards, the pre-existing reporting requirements surrounding work activities and the reasons for the additional reporting requirements.
- The methodology employed for obtaining the data contained in this report.
- The results of our analysis of the data.
- A discussion of the results, including the extent to which the information changes the measures of total engagement that are provided in the pre-existing federal reports and a narrative describing the most common activities engaged in that are not countable toward the current federal work participation rate, and reasons for non-participation.

Federal Work Participation Standards and the Need for Additional Reporting

One of the goals of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) program is to move recipients of cash assistance into employment. The chief federal policy mechanism for achieving this goal is the requirement that states have 50% of their federally-related cash assistance cases containing an adult or minor head of household participating in work activities, or face severe financial penalties. In New York, these federally-related cases are those in either our TANF program (mainly the Family Assistance program) or our Safety Net Maintenance of Effort (MOE) program, both of which serve households with children. New York State and its local social services districts invest substantial effort to engage cash assistance recipients in work activities, both to meet participation targets and, more importantly, to provide a means for such families to achieve economic stability.

How the Work Participation Rate Is Determined

The federal work participation rate (WPR) is very precisely defined in law and regulation, and in practice contains many complicated provisions. Here we will limit the presentation of the rate calculation to

---

1 In order to receive their full TANF block grant, states are required to maintain state expenditures on TANF-related programs at specified levels. This is referred to as the maintenance of effort requirement. Under federal rules, states can count expenditures toward their MOE requirement for ongoing assistance to families that would be TANF-eligible except for reaching TANF time limits or due to alien status (excluding undocumented aliens), but such cases must then be included in the state’s federal work participation rate. In New York, such cases are provided assistance in a case category referred to as Safety Net Assistance MOE.
those aspects most relevant to understanding the contents and purposes of this report. Thus, readers should be aware that some aspect of the actual calculation of the rate will be lost. In general, though, the work participation rate is the number of cases with an individual or individuals participating in work activities for the required number of hours, divided by the number of federally-related cases containing at least one work eligible individual that is not excluded or exempt from consideration. More specifically:

The Denominator – Cases with a Non-Excluded Work Eligible Individual (WEI)

In New York, a WEI is mainly any adult or minor head of household that is part of the cash assistance case. WEIs, and thus cases with a WEI, can be excluded from the denominator if the individual is:

- A single parent of a child in the household under one year of age, with the limitation that the parent can only use this exclusion for 12 months lifetime; or,
- Sanctioned for failure to comply with work requirements, with the limitation that this case-level exclusion can be used for only three months of the preceding 12.

A person that was otherwise a WEI will not be counted as a WEI when:

- The person is a full-time parent caretaker of a disabled family member; or,
- A parent in receipt of Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI) program or retroactively, a person who was an applicant for the Supplemental Security Income (SSI) or SSDI program at the time the rate was calculated, and subsequently became eligible (when on these programs, the SSI persons would generally not be an active member of the cash assistance case).

The Numerator – Cases with Persons Participating in Work Activities

Any case containing an adult or minor head of household participating in a federally-qualifying work activity for a specified number of hours per week will cause that case to be counted in the participation numerator. There are 12 allowable work activities divided into core and non-core activities. In general, a person must participate in a total of 30 hours of allowable work activities per week, with at least 20 of those hours in a core activity, to count in the numerator. For single parents with a child under six, the total participation requirement is 20 hours per week in a core activity. The allowable activities are:

- Core activities: unsubsidized employment, subsidized private sector and subsidized public sector employment, work experience, on-the-job training, job search and job readiness assistance, community service, vocational education and provision of child care for a person in a community service program.
- Non-core activities: Job skills training or education directly related to employment, and satisfactory attendance in secondary school or GED program.

---

2 Federal standards require a more complicated calculation of the numerator for cases with two non-disabled parents in the case. The rules for such cases are not summarized here because New York has opted to serve such cases in a Solely State Funded program, effectively removing the cases from the work participation calculation.
There are also limitations on when some of the work activities can be counted in the numerator. There are individual and state-level limitations:

- Individual limitations: job search and job readiness assistance (including treatment) is currently limited to 12 weeks, with no more than four weeks of these weeks being consecutive; vocational education is limited to 12 months lifetime.\(^3\)
- State-level limitations: the number of persons deemed to be participating on the basis of vocational education or school attendance is capped at 30 percent of all persons participating.

Finally, in addition to prescribing the types of activities, number of hours and limits on the use of certain activities, states cannot count activities engaged in unless they meet strict supervisory and documentation requirements. These requirements are too extensive to catalogue here, but include such things as the amount of time that can pass between employer verification of hours in unsubsidized employment, standards for documenting job search contacts or verifying attendance in activities, and requirements for direct supervision of activities.

Taking the foregoing into account, states submit quarterly reports to HHS containing all data items required to calculate the monthly work participation status for each case in their TANF and MOE assistance programs or, as is the case in New York, a valid sample of such cases. HHS then calculates each state’s work participation rate for each Federal Fiscal Year (FFY), which is the average rate for each of the months in that year, to see if the state has met the federal standard. For the most recent FFY (2010), New York’s Rate is estimated to be 34.9 percent.\(^4\)

**The Need for Additional Reporting on Work Activities**

While the intent of Congress in asking for the additional reporting is not specified in the CRA beyond noting that it is a pre-reauthorization report, the specific requirements will allow a more complete look at work participation and reasons for non-participation than is available from the regular federal reporting. Thus, the present report represents an opportunity to at least partially address some of the unanswered questions regarding work programs in New York, using a more expansive definition of engagement than the official work participation rate, and providing a more comprehensive look at the characteristics of those not engaged in an enumerated activity. It is hoped that this in turn will lead to a more informed discussion of the policy issues surrounding our state’s efforts in promoting family self-sufficiency.

---

\(^3\) The job search and job readiness limitation is usually six weeks, but states can expand that limit to 12 weeks under certain economic circumstances that qualify the state as a “needy state”. New York currently meets the standard for needy state status.

\(^4\) While the nominal work participation target is 50 percent, states are allowed to reduce their target by the percentage point decrease in their TANF and SSP-MOE caseload between FFY 2005 and the FFY previous to the year the rate is measured (assuming a decrease occurred), net of any changes in eligibility criteria for the programs instituted between the base and comparison year. States also can receive additional credit against the rate for MOE expenditures in excess of required levels. New York’s anticipated caseload reduction credit for FFY 2010 will allow us to meet our participation target.
**Methodology**

In February 2011, the Office of Family Assistance (OFA) within HHS issued TANF Program Instruction (PI) 2011-03, specifying the work-related data reporting requirements under the CRA. This guidance specified that states should collect and provide the additional data required under the CRA for each case with one or more WEIs in their regular TANF and SSP-MOE data reports used to establish the work participation rate (see above). New York’s total monthly non-services TANF and SSP-MOE sample is 510 cases, and is stratified by program type and whether the case is in the first month of assistance or not. As a result, observations need to be weighted to represent the number of persons with each characteristic in the full caseload, and the analyses below will report the weighted results. In addition, each month for the April through June report period is sampled independently, and thus the reports below will represent the three-month average of what was found in each of the sample months. The 510 sample cases for April, May and June yielded 420, 436 and 416 WEIs, respectively, for a monthly average of 424. There are fewer WEIs than cases because many cases, referred to as child-only cases, do not contain an active adult or WEI. The child only cases are primarily those where there is a disabled parent in the SSI program, the parent is precluded from assistance due to their immigration status, or the caretaker is a non-parent relative. After applying the weights, the 424 monthly average count represents 103,752 WEIs. All analyses below are at the individual level and represent monthly averages.

Because this is a three-month sample, and the sample size is designed to produce stable estimates of work rates over the course of an entire FFY, there may be some error in the estimates produced, but to a lesser degree than in the single month sample used for the report covering March 2011. Nonetheless, the results reported below are quite similar to those found in the March report in most respects (as do unreported monthly results), adding confidence to the estimates reported.

TANF PI 2011-03 also specified the data elements to be included in the transmission file, the definition of these data elements and instructions for completing the data protocol (see Appendix B for the PI and the reporting instructions). In addition to data elements used to identify the records and provide information regarding the sampling of the cases, the instructions asked for data on seven questions, for each WEI in the selected cases:

1. Was the person participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours for the family to count toward the work participation rate?
2. Did the work-eligible individual participate in a work activity that could count toward the work participation rate, but the State chose not to report such hours of participation in the regular data report? For this question, and questions 3, 4, 5 and 6, the state must specify the activity and the number of hours in that activity for any WEI where the response is affirmative, unless

---

5 Services TANF cases are Emergency Assistance for Families Foster Care cases, and consist entirely of children. They are classified as assistance authorized under prior law in federal parlance, and are not considered for work requirements. Such cases are reported from a separate sampling stratum.
the person was designated as counting toward the work participation rate in question one. In the latter instance, the state is precluded from specifying hours.

3. Did the work-eligible individual participate in a countable work activity that did not count toward the work participation rate, because the work-eligible individual was engaged for insufficient hours to meet the work requirements for counting toward the work participation rates?

4. Did the work-eligible individual participate in a countable work activity that did not count toward the work participation rates because the hours of participation in the activity are beyond a statutory limit for reporting the hours of participation as countable work activities?

5. Did the work-eligible individual participate in a countable work activity, but the State did not report such hours of participation in the regular data report because the State could not meet the Federal verification standards for including the hours of participation in the report?

6. If the work-eligible individual did not participate in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours for the family to count toward the overall work participation rate, did the work-eligible individual participate in activities that do not qualify as a countable work activity under federal law, but move the family toward self-sufficiency?

7. Is the work-eligible individual engaged in any countable work activity, or in any non-countable activity that moves the family toward self-sufficiency? If not, what is the principal reason for nonparticipation?

In order to collect the additional information on the sampled WEIs, the Bureau of Data Management and Analysis (BDMA) constructed a data spreadsheet containing space for each of the required data elements, instructions and, where possible, prefilled the responses with data available from OTDA data systems, including hours in work activities and reasons for non-participation. This spreadsheet was then provided to staff at the OTDA Bureau of Employment and Advancement Services (EAS) and the New York City Human Resources Administration Office of Data Reporting and Analysis (ODRA), who in turn examined systems and case record data to get a more full picture of the circumstances of the selected WEIs than could be determined from systems at the time of sample selection. In addition, BDMA performed a match of the sampled WEIs to the State Data Exchange (SDX) to determine if any were applicants for, or newly eligible for, the SSI disability program. Once gathered, these data were integrated into a single data set, then re-examined by EAS and BDMA staff for accuracy and consistency.

Results

Engagement in Work Activities

Overall Engagement Profile

Figure 1 provides a general engagement profile for the WEIs in the analysis. It is important to keep in mind that these results and those that follow are individual based, as called for in statute, not case based. Cases may have more than one WEI. Also, placing persons in one of the categories of engagement, or in a particular reason for non-engagement, required us to unduplicate across categories. This is because persons could have been counted in more than one place, for instance if
they were partially participating under federal rules, but were also engaged in non-federal activities. The unduplication followed a hierarchy represented in Figure 1, where the primary placement would be in the blue wedge at the top of the chart, and then proceeding clockwise. Each WEI would be placed in the first wedge that was appropriate. In the Activities not Countable section below we provide a complete listing of work activities engaged in, allowing for duplication across categories of activities.

**Figure 1: Engagement Profile of Work Eligible Individuals – April to June 2011**

- Not Engaged, 1.9%
- Counted as Participating under Federal Rules, 26.0%
- Engaged, but Insufficient Hours to Count, 17.2%
- Engaged, but in a Non-Federal Activity, 9.2%
- Engaged, but beyond a Statutory Limit, 0.8%
- Engaged, but Hours Did Not Meet Verification Standards, 3.2%
- Non-Participating with Reason, 41.8%

Figure 1 shows that 26 percent of the WEIs are engaged in federally-allowable activities for sufficient hours to meet the core and total requirements. Again, because this is an individual analysis, this 26 percent should not be interpreted as the official participation rate for the month, since that measure is case based, and the denominator would exclude many cases with a WEI. In fact, New York’s participation rate for the first three months of the current FFY is estimated to be 32.2 percent.

Proceeding clockwise on Figure 1, we see an additional 17.2 percent of the WEIs engaged in federally allowable activities but for insufficient hours to count, because they did not meet either the total hours or core hours requirements. Very few WEIs (0.8 percent) were engaged in federally allowable activities, but had exceeded a statutory limit. Nearly all persons in this category were participating in job search or job readiness activities beyond the 12 weeks total or four weeks consecutive limitation. An additional 3.2 percent were engaged in federally allowable activities, but documentation for those hours did not
meet verification standards. For the current time period, there were no WEIs engaged in activities where the hours were not included in our regular federal reporting.

Proceeding to those not engaged in federally allowable activities, we find 9.2 percent engaged in a variety of other, state-allowed activities. These will be further enumerated below, but consist mostly of educational activities and activities designed to prepare persons for engaging in work.

Taken together, 56.3 percent of the WEIs were found to have hours in some work activity, an increase of 117 percent over the 26 percent engagement rate as measured by the federal work participation standard. For most of the 43.7 percent without hours in a work activity (41.8 percent of all WEIs and 95.6 percent of the non-participating), there was a specific and legitimate reason for non-participation. This leaves 1.9 percent not engaged in the process.

Reasons for Non-Participation

Figure 2 provides a summary of the reasons for non-participation among the 41.8 percent in the “Non-Participating with Reason” group above.

**Figure 2: Reasons for Non-Participation in Work Activities for Work Eligible Individuals April to June 2011**

---

6 Because the statutory deadline for this report comes before our regular federal TANF and SSP-MOE reporting deadline for the April to June quarter, we are unable at this time to assess how that reporting will differ from what appears here, including the final number of WEIs and activities engaged in.
The most common reason for non-participation, comprising 39.7 percent of the non-participating with reason group, was where the WEI had a state exemption from work activities, but was not excludable from the participation rate denominator. Such exemptions include temporary incapacity/disability, advanced age, being an applicant for SSI, caring for another disabled household member, having a child under one year of age but exceeding the federal twelve-month lifetime limit for that exemption and domestic violence. An additional 3.8 percent were exempt because they are the parent of a child in the household under one year of age, but can be removed from the participation rate denominator because they have not exhausted the twelve-month limit. Because the persons disregarded for having a child under one are also state exempt, they increase the state exempt percentage of non-participants with reason to 43.6 percent, or 18.2 percent of all WEIs.7

The second most important reason for non-participation, constituting 37.8% of the non-participating with reason group, was where the WEI was engaged in the sanction process, but not excludable from the work participation denominator. These include WEIs either sanctioned for more than three of the last twelve months (the federal limit for exemption) or in the sanction process (referred for sanction, in conciliation or in fair hearings status) but not yet subject to a grant reduction8, or sanctioned for reasons other than failure to comply with work requirements. Another 9.9 percent of the WEIs were sanctioned within the three-month limit, and thus excludable from the participation denominator. When the 9.9 percent of sanctioned persons disregarded to the rate are added to the non-participating persons either sanctioned over the limit or in sanction process, we see that 47.7 percent of non-participation with reason is sanction-related. This represents 19.9 percent of WEIs overall.

Between those sanctioned within the three-month limit and those exempt for being a caretaker of a child under one within the 12-month lifetime limit, 13.8 percent of the non-engaged with reason WEIs are eligible to be disregarded from the participation rate denominator.

Finally, another 4.1 percent of the non-participating group had been assigned to an activity, but awaiting its start, and 3.8 percent were not assigned but were in their first month of assistance. Less than one percent of WEIs were not participating for miscellaneous reasons.

**Activities not Countable toward the State Participation Rate**

Table 1 summarizes the non-countable activities engaged in, by category of activity, for the 21,964 unduplicated WEIs with hours in federally-defined work activities. For this analysis, a person may be counted in more than one activity or category, and the total hours figure is the sum of hours in all activities when a person is engaged in more than one activity in the column.9 The federal activities

---

7 As with the engagement reasons, a person could in a very few instances have more than one reason for non-participation. Figure 2 is arranged clockwise, starting with the top blue wedge, in the order of unduplication of the reasons. Note the total state exempt and total disregarded percentages do not match Figure 2 due to rounding error.

8 Under federal rules, persons in process but without a grant reduction cannot be considered for exclusion from the participation denominator.

9 Note that because there is duplication in Table 1, the totals for each column will represent a percentage of persons higher than shown for the engagement analysis in Figure 1, where a hierarchy was applied.
where no person in the sample was found to be engaged (subsidized public sector employment and providing child care for a person engaged in community service) are not included on the table.

**Federal Activities**

The most common federal activities engaged in but not counting toward the participation rate are, in order of the number of persons engaged, unsubsidized employment, job search/job readiness, work experience, vocational education and job skills training. As mentioned above, most of the WEIs in federal activities but could not be counted as participating under federal rules were not countable because they had insufficient hours to meet the participation thresholds. These WEIs averaged 15 hours of participation per week, most (14) in core activities. For all categories, the weekly average number of hours of participation was 15.

**Table 1: Number of Work Eligible Individuals not Countable as Participating under Federal Rules but Engaged in Federal Activities with Weekly Average Number of Hours Engaged April to June 2011**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Insufficient Hours to Meet Rate</th>
<th>Over Federal Limit on Activity</th>
<th>Hours not Meeting Documentation Standards</th>
<th>Unduplicated Figures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unsubsidized Employment</td>
<td>8,580</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>9,083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsidized Private Employment</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Experience</td>
<td>3,640</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>3,692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Search/Readiness</td>
<td>5,536</td>
<td>2,174</td>
<td>1,959</td>
<td>8,642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Service</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational Education</td>
<td>1,138</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>1,770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Skills Training</td>
<td>1,717</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-the-Job Training</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Training</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>1,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School or Equivalent</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unduplicated Count</strong></td>
<td><strong>17,830</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,226</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,051</strong></td>
<td><strong>21,964</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average Weekly Hours</strong></td>
<td><strong>15</strong></td>
<td><strong>5</strong></td>
<td><strong>12</strong></td>
<td><strong>15</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Also note that Table 1, unlike Figure 1, allows a look at the total number of persons in each of the non-counting federal engagement categories, those with insufficient hours, those over a statutory limit and those whose hours did not meet documentation standards. These figures are available by reading across the Unduplicated Count line on the table. Here we see that there were 17,830 persons, representing 17.2 percent of all WEIs (30.5 percent of WEIs in a work activity), that were engaged in a federal activity but for insufficient hours to meet the federal standards.\(^\text{10}\) There were 2,226, or 2.1

---

\(^{10}\) Note that this percentage will match that in the original table (i.e., not be reduced by unduplication), because persons with insufficient hours cannot be placed in the only category previous to it in the hierarchy for that figure, “Counted as Participating under Federal Rules”. In fact no other engagement type can fall into the counted as participating category.
percent of WEIs (3.8% of WEIs in a work activity), engaged in a federal activity but over the statutory time limit for that activity. Finally, 4,051 WEIs, or 3.9 percent of all WEIs (6.9% of those in a work activity) had hours that did not meet the federal documentation standards.

**Other Non-Countable Activities**

Table 2 depicts the distribution of activities for the 11,645 WEIs engaged in work activities that are not among those federally countable. As with Table 1, persons may appear in more than one activity. The predominant activities here fall into the category of evaluation in preparation for assignment to other activities, either those in assessment (5,198 WEIs) or undergoing a medical evaluation to determine the extent to which medical issues may limit the ability to work (3,758 WEIs). Next most prevalent were those participating in high school or the equivalent, but not classified as a minor head of household (1,433 WEIs). Those remaining were engaging in an activity otherwise designed to prepare for engaging in countable work activities, including job placement.

**Table 2: Number of Work Eligible Individuals Engaged In Activities not Countable for Federal Purposes – April to June 2011**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assessment</td>
<td>5,198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Evaluation</td>
<td>3,758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School or Equivalent for Person Not a Minor Head of Household</td>
<td>1,433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment Activities</td>
<td>1,142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessing Work Supports</td>
<td>523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Placement</td>
<td>430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court or Child Welfare Mandated Activities</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Life Skills</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unduplicated Total</strong></td>
<td>11,645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average Weekly Hours</strong></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The 11,645 persons engaged in a non-federal activity represent 11.2 percent of all WEIs and 19.9 percent of WEIs engaged in a work activity.

**Discussion and Limitations of the Data**

Using the approach above, we see a picture of work activity in New York showing substantially more persons engaged in work activities than would be implied by a strict application of the federal work participation rate as a standard of engagement. Here, we found that the percentage of persons engaged in activities increased from 26 percent using the federal standard, to 56.3 percent when partial participation, or participation in activities either over the federal allowable time limits, not meeting documentation standards, or not allowed under federal statute, are considered (some have hours in more than one of these categories of activities). Further, for another 41.8 percent there is a specific reason for non-participation, primarily persons sanctioned or in the process of being sanctioned for
failure to engage in work activities, or those exempt from participation. Overall, only 1.9 percent of WEIs are not participating at all without an enumerable reason for their non-participation.

Again, the predominant federal activities engaged in by those not fully countable under the federal participation standard are unsubsidized employment, job search/job readiness and work experience. Non-federal activities engaged in fall into the category of evaluation in preparation for assignment to other activities (those in assessment or undergoing a medical evaluation to determine the extent to which medical issues may limit the ability to work), engaging in an activity otherwise designed to prepare for engaging in countable work activities, or engaged in non-countable educational activities.

It should be noted that the deadline for producing the report precluded the use of a number of tools employed in the regular sample reporting that are designed to more fully enumerate the activities WEIs are engaged in, determine which individuals are WEIs for federal purposes, and verify the characteristics and circumstances of the cases and persons sampled. These tools include use of the National Directory of New Hires data to identify persons engaged in employment, and the use of SSI data to eliminate persons accepted for that program from consideration as a WEI. Both of these activities result in substantial increases in the work participation rate by identifying more countable activity and further decreasing the participation denominator. They also identify persons engaging in activities but not countable for federal rate calculation purposes. The limited time for producing the report also precluded more thorough data gathering from social service offices, work activity providers, employers and recipients to uncover activity not normally available to our data systems or employment workers.

Another reason this report is potentially incomplete is because the findings are based on a limited sample of a single quarter’s data. For instance, some of the figures listed above are based on a single weighted observation, and activities that WEIs may be engaging in or circumstances they are experiencing may not appear here simply because no such individuals were sampled in the target quarter. Because of this, what we have presented here is intentionally limited to those findings we feel are necessary to comply with the reporting mandate.

As we noted above, the purpose of the present data gathering was presumed to be at least in part to guide Congress and others in the upcoming debate over the reauthorization of the TANF program. Besides the limitations of the data gathering noted above, it should also be clear that the results here represent how states are acting given the constraints that current federal rules impose on them, not how they would have acted had they been given greater flexibility than current rules allow. As it stands, the potential for penalties for failure to meet work participation rates creates disincentives for states to, say, assign persons to non-federal activities or to activities beyond the statutory limits such as job search, much less attempt to document those activities where they are occurring. Thus, readers should not interpret the data presented here on issues not previously included in the federal reporting as representing how New York would run their work programs in the absence of these constraints.

The reporting mandate also requires HHS to provide “recommendations for such administrative or legislative changes as the Secretary determines are necessary to require eligible States to report the information on a recurring basis”. For New York’s part, we concur with HHS’s conclusion in their March
report that any additional reporting requirements be blended with a full reauthorization exercise, and that any new required data reporting be based on what is necessary to implement the particular TANF program performance measurements that are agreed to at that time. We also agree with HHS that any such reporting should be unitary with preexisting requirements, and have realistic deadlines for both beginning the new reporting and the ongoing reporting schedule. Providing the data for this report required an extraordinary effort on the part of many states, including New York, and adding a requirement to provide this information on an ongoing basis at this time would require changes in systems and practices that we can ill-afford given current resource constraints. Further, for reasons mentioned above, further gathering of this information is unlikely to provide much extra information to inform the reauthorization debate, as state programmatic practices are unlikely to change such that a substantially different participation pattern would emerge in the near term in the absence of changes in federal rules.

Questions about the Report

If you have any questions regarding the production of this report or its contents, please contact Dave Dlugolecki at the OTDA Bureau of Data Management and Analysis at (518) 474-1192, or email your inquiry to bdma@otda.state.ny.us.
Appendix A – Section 812 of the Claims Reduction Act of 2010

SEC. 812. MODIFICATIONS TO TANF DATA REPORTING.

(a) In General- Section 411 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 611) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

‘(c) Pre-reauthorization State-by-state Reports on Engagement in Additional Work Activities and Expenditures for Other Benefits and Services-

‘(1) STATE REPORTING REQUIREMENTS-

‘(A) REPORTING PERIODS AND DEADLINES- Each eligible State shall submit to the Secretary the following reports:

‘(i) MARCH 2011 REPORT- Not later than May 31, 2011, a report for the period that begins on March 1, 2011, and ends on March 31, 2011, that contains the information specified in subparagraphs (B) and (C).

‘(ii) APRIL-JUNE, 2011 REPORT- Not later than August 31, 2011, a report for the period that begins on April 1, 2011, and ends on June 30, 2011, that contains with respect to the 3 months that occur during that period--

‘(I) the average monthly numbers for the information specified in subparagraph (B); and

‘(II) the information specified in subparagraph (C).

‘(B) ENGAGEMENT IN ADDITIONAL WORK ACTIVITIES-

‘(i) With respect to each work-eligible individual in a family receiving assistance during a reporting period specified in subparagraph (A), whether the individual engages in any activities directed toward attaining self-sufficiency during a month occurring in a reporting period, and if so, the specific activities--

‘(I) that do not qualify as a work activity under section 407(d) but that are otherwise reasonably calculated to help the family move toward self-sufficiency; or

‘(II) that are of a type that would be counted toward the State participation rates under section 407 but for the fact that--

‘(aa) the work-eligible individual did not engage in sufficient hours of the activity;

‘(bb) the work-eligible individual has reached the maximum time limit allowed for having participation in the activity counted toward the State's work participation rate; or

‘(cc) the number of work-eligible individuals engaged in such activity exceeds a limitation under such section.
(ii) Any other information that the Secretary determines appropriate with respect to the information required under clause (i), including if the individual has no hours of participation, the principal reason or reasons for such non-participation.

(C) EXPENDITURES ON OTHER BENEFITS AND SERVICES-

(i) Detailed, disaggregated information regarding the types of, and amounts of, expenditures made by the State during a reporting period specified in subparagraph (A) using--

(I) Federal funds provided under section 403 that are (or will be) reported by the State on Form ACF-196 (or any successor form) under the category of other expenditures or the category of benefits or services provided in accordance with the authority provided under section 404(a)(2); or

(II) State funds expended to meet the requirements of section 409(a)(7) and reported by the State in the category of other expenditures on Form ACF-196 (or any successor form).

(ii) Any other information that the Secretary determines appropriate with respect to the information required under clause (i).

(2) PUBLICATION OF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS OF ENGAGEMENT IN ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES-
Concurrent with the submission of each report required under paragraph (1)(A), an eligible State shall publish on an Internet website maintained by the State agency responsible for administering the State program funded under this part (or such State-maintained website as the Secretary may approve)--

(A) a summary of the information submitted in the report:

(B) an analysis statement regarding the extent to which the information changes measures of total engagement in work activities from what was (or will be) reported by the State in the quarterly report submitted under subsection (a) for the comparable period; and

(C) a narrative describing the most common activities contained in the report that are not countable toward the State participation rates under section 407.

(3) APPLICATION OF AUTHORITY TO USE SAMPLING- Subparagraph (B) of subsection (a)(1) shall apply to the reports required under paragraph (1) of this subsection in the same manner as subparagraph (B) of subsection (a)(1) applies to reports required under subparagraph (A) of subsection (a)(1).

(4) SECRETARIAL REPORTS TO CONGRESS-

(A) MARCH 2011 REPORT- Not later than June 30, 2011, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report on the information submitted by eligible States for the March 2011 reporting period under paragraph (1)(A)(i). The report shall include a State-by-State summary and analysis of such information, identification of any States with missing or incomplete reports, and recommendations for such administrative or legislative changes as the Secretary determines are necessary to require eligible States to report the information on a recurring basis.
(B) APRIL-JUNE, 2011 REPORT- Not later than September 30, 2011, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report on the information submitted by eligible States for the April-June 2011 reporting period under paragraph (1)(A)(ii). The report shall include a State-by-State summary and analysis of such information, identification of any States with missing or incomplete reports, and recommendations for such administrative or legislative changes as the Secretary determines are necessary to require eligible States to report the information on a recurring basis.

(5) AUTHORITY FOR EXPEDITIOUS IMPLEMENTATION- The requirements of chapter 5 of title 5, United States Code (commonly referred to as the ‘Administrative Procedure Act’) or any other law relating to rulemaking or publication in the Federal Register shall not apply to the issuance of guidance or instructions by the Secretary with respect to the implementation of this subsection to the extent the Secretary determines that compliance with any such requirement would impede the expeditious implementation of this subsection.

(b) Application of Penalty for Failure To File Report-

(1) IN GENERAL- Section 409(a)(2) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 609(a)(2)) is amended--

(A) by redesignating subparagraphs (A) and (B) as clauses (i) and (ii), respectively,

(B) by inserting before clause (i) (as redesignated by paragraph (1)), the following:

(A) QUARTERLY REPORTS- ‘;

(C) in clause (ii) of subparagraph (A) (as redesignated by paragraphs (1) and (2)), by striking ‘subparagraph (A)’ and inserting ‘clause (i)’; and

(D) by adding at the end the following:

(B) REPORT ON ENGAGEMENT IN ADDITIONAL WORK ACTIVITIES AND EXPENDITURES FOR OTHER BENEFITS AND SERVICES-

(i) IN GENERAL- If the Secretary determines that a State has not submitted the report required by section 411(c)(1)(A)(i) by May 31, 2011, or the report required by section 411(c)(1)(A)(ii) by August 31, 2011, the Secretary shall reduce the grant payable to the State under section 403(a)(1) for the immediately succeeding fiscal year by an amount equal to not more than 4 percent of the State family assistance grant.

(ii) RESCISSION OF PENALTY- The Secretary shall rescind a penalty imposed on a State under clause (i) with respect to a report required by section 411(c)(1)(A) if the State submits the report not later than--

(I) in the case of the report required under section 411(c)(1)(A)(i), June 15, 2011; and

(II) in the case of the report required under section 411(c)(1)(A)(ii), September 15, 2011.

(iii) PENALTY BASED ON SEVERITY OF FAILURE- The Secretary shall impose a reduction under clause (i) with respect to a fiscal year based on the degree of noncompliance.'
(2) APPLICATION OF REASONABLE CAUSE EXCEPTION- Section 409(b)(2) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 609(b)(2)) is amended by inserting before the period the following: ‘and, with respect to the penalty under paragraph (2)(B) of subsection (a), shall only apply to the extent the Secretary determines that the reasonable cause for failure to comply with a requirement of that paragraph is as a result of a one-time, unexpected event, such as a widespread data system failure or a natural or man-made disaster’.

(3) NONAPPLICATION OF CORRECTIVE COMPLIANCE PLAN PROVISIONS- Section 409(c)(4) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 609(c)(4)) is amended by inserting `(2)(B),’ after ‘paragraph’.
Appendix B – TANF-ACF-PI-2011

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families

Program Instruction

TO: State Agencies Administering the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) Program and Other Interested Parties

SUBJECT: Form ACF-812, the Report on Engagement in Additional Work Activities for Families Receiving Assistance under the TANF and SSP-MOE Programs.


PURPOSE: To transmit the form ACF-812 and instructions for completing the Report on Engagement in Additional Work Activities.

BACKGROUND: Section 812 of the Claims Resolution Act of 2010 modifies section 411 of the Social Security Act by requiring that each State agency, the District of Columbia, and the Territories submit a Report on Engagement in Additional Work Activities. The report must be submitted for two reporting periods. The first reporting period is from March 1 through March 31, 2011 and the reports are due no later than May 31, 2011. The second reporting period is from April 1 through June 30, 2011 and the reports are due no later than August 31, 2011. For the Report on Engagement, with respect to each work-eligible individual in a family receiving TANF or SSP-MOE assistance during the reporting period, the States must collect and report the following information: (1) whether the individual engages in any activities that are directed toward attaining self-sufficiency and (2) if yes, the specific activities that (a) do not qualify as a countable work activity, but are otherwise reasonably calculated to help the family move to self-sufficiency or (b) that could be a countable work activity, but for the fact that either the work-eligible has not engaged in such activities for a sufficient number of hours; the work-eligible individual has reached a maximum time limit allowed for having participation in the activity count; or the number of work-eligible individuals engaged in such activity exceeds a statutory limitation.
ACTION: Each State agency is required to collect the data specified on the Report on Engagement in Additional Work Activities (attached) and submit the report within the specified time frames.

ATTACHMENTS: Form ACF-812 and instructions for completing the form.

EFFECTIVE: Immediately.

INQUIRIES: Please direct inquiries to the TANF Program Manager in your Region.

/s/
Earl S. Johnson
Director
Office of Family Assistance
Report on Engagement in Additional Work Activities
For Families Receiving Assistance under the TANF and SSPMOE Programs

INSTRUCTIONS AND DEFINITIONS

General Instruction: Under H.R. 4783 (P.L. 111-29), the Claims Resolution Act of 2010, each State agency, the District of Columbia, and the Territories are required to submit this report for the month of March 2011 and for the months of April, May, and June, 2011. The March report is due no later than May 31, 2011. The April - June report is due no later than August 31, 2011.

The State agency should collect and report data for each family with one or more work-eligible individuals, as reported on the TANF Data Report – Section one (and, if applicable on the SSPMOE Data Report – Section one) for the report month. States should report data for each data element on this report, unless explicitly instructed to leave blank. The data must be complete and accurate.

For a State that submits the TANF Data Report (and, if applicable, the SSPMOE Data Report) based on a sample, the State must use the same selected cases for the report month in this report. The only exception to this rule is for a State that excessively oversamples (i.e., selects more than 400 cases per month) and does not stratify the TANF (or, if applicable SSPMOE) sample. Such a State may opt to sample using the same criteria described below for States that report based on the entire caseload.

For a State that submits the TANF Data Report (and, if applicable, the SSPMOE Data Report) for all cases receiving assistance for a report month, the State has the option to submit this report for the entire caseload or submit this report based on a sample. If a State opts to report based on a sample, a separate monthly sample must be selected for TANF cases and, if applicable, for SSPMOE cases each month. The monthly sample must meet the following criteria:

(A) The monthly sample frame must consist of all families receiving assistance with one or more work-eligible individuals as reported on the TANF Data Report and the SSPMOE Data Report for the report month;

(B) The monthly sample size must be 175 cases. If a State has both TANF and SSPMOE Programs, a separate monthly sample of 175 cases must be selected for each Program. If a State has less than 175 cases on the monthly sample frame, the State must complete this report for all cases on the monthly sample frame;

(C) The State must report the total number of cases on the monthly sample frame (See data element #6 below);

(D) The State must use either a simple random sample design or a systematic random sample design to select the monthly sample. (Note: stratification is not permitted.); and
(E) All sample cases must be assigned the same stratum code, which is the same stratum code the State used for the TANF Data Report or, if applicable, the SSPMOE Data Report.

**Family Level Section**

1. **State FIPS Code:** Enter your two-digit State code.

2. **Reporting Month:** Enter the four-digit year and two-digit month codes that identify the year and month for which the data are being reported.

3. **Stratum:**

   **Guidance:** All TANF and SSPMOE families selected in the sample from the same stratum must be assigned the same stratum code. Use the same stratum code for the family that was used in the TANF Data Report – Section one (or, if applicable, the SSPMOE Data Report) for the corresponding report month.

   **Instruction:** Enter the two-digit stratum code.

4. **Case Number:**

   **Guidance:** If the case number is less than the allowable eleven characters, a State should use lead zeros to fill in the number. This should be the same case number used in the TANF Data Report – Section one (or, if applicable, the SSPMOE Data Report – Section one) to report data on the family for the report month.

   **Instruction:** Enter the number assigned by the State agency to uniquely identify the case.

5. **Is the family receiving assistance under the TANF Program or the SSPMOE Program?**

   1 = TANF Program

   2 = SSPMOE Program

   3 = Both the TANF Program and the SSPMOE Program

6. **Number of families on the monthly sample frame**

   **A. TANF Program**  **B. SSPMOE Program**

   **Instructions:** For a State that submits the TANF Data Report (or the SSPMOE Data Report) for the entire caseload, but has opted to file this report based on a sample, enter the total number of families with one or more work-eligible individuals on the monthly sample frame for the TANF cases in item 6.A. and for the SSPMOE cases in item 6.B. If such a State does not have a SSPMOE Program, leave item 6.B. blank. Note: this number should be substantially smaller than the total caseload reported in Section three. For a State that submits the monthly TANF Data
Report (or, if applicable, the SSPMOE Data Report) based on a sample, the State should leave this data element blank.

**Work-Eligible Individual Engagement in Work Activities Section**

Complete this section for each work-eligible individual in the family, as reported on the TANF Data Report-Section one, data element #48 (or the SSPMOE Data Report – Section one, data element #41.) Coding is permitted for up to four work-eligible individuals. Do not complete this section for an individual who is not a work-eligible individual. In reporting the hours of participation in countable work activities in data elements 9 through 12, do not report the same hours of participation in more than one data element.

7. **Social Security Number:** Enter the nine-digit Social Security Number (SSN) for the work-eligible individual in the format nnnnnnnnnn. This should be the same SSN reported for this individual on the TANF Data Report, data element #33 (or, if applicable the SSPMOE Data Report, data element #29.)

8. **Participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours for the family to count toward the work participation rate**

   **Instruction:** If, for the work-eligible individual, the State reported a sufficient number of hours of participation in countable work activities for the report month such that the family counts toward the work participation rate (i.e., counts in the numerator of the rate), then enter code 1. Otherwise, enter code 0.

   0 = No

   1 = Yes, reported participation in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours for the family to count in the numerator of the overall work participation rate

9. **Did the work-eligible individual participate in a work activity that could count toward the work participation rate, but the State chose not to report such hours of participation in the TANF Data Report (or, if applicable, the SSPMOE Data Report)?**

   **Instructions:** If the work-eligible individual participated in a countable work activity for which the State chose not to report the hours of participation on the TANF Data Report – Section one (data elements #50 - #61) or the SSPMOE Data Report – Section one (data elements #43 - #54), then enter code “1” in 9.A. Otherwise, enter “0” in 9.A. For example, a family has two WEIs. The first WEI has sufficient hours for the family to count in the numerator of the work participation rate. The second WEI has unreported hours of participation in Vocational Educational Training, which the State did not report in order to preserve the hours in a time limited activity. The hours of participation referred to in this data element must meet the level of supervision and the Federal verification standards for countable work activities.
A. Unreported hours of participation in a countable work activity?

0 = No

1 = Yes

If data element 8 is coded with a “1” or data element 9.A. is coded with a “0,” then leave data elements 9.B. through 9.M. blank. If data element 8 is coded “0” and 9.A. is coded with a “1,” then, for each of the following countable work activities, in which the work-eligible individual participated during the report month and for which the State did not report the hours of participation, enter the total number of hours of participation.

B. Unsubsidized Employment (include self-employment)

C. Subsidized Private Sector Employment

D. Subsidized Public Sector Employment

E. Work Experience

F. On-the-job Training

G. Job Search and Job Readiness Assistance

H. Community Service

I. Vocational Educational Training

J. Job Skills Training Directly related to Employment

K. Education Directly Related to Employment

L. Satisfactory School Attendance

M. Providing Child Care Service for an Individual Participating in a Community Service Program

10. **Did the work-eligible individual participate in a countable work activity that did not count toward the work participation rate, because the work-eligible individual was engaged for insufficient hours to meet the work requirements for counting toward the work participation rates?**

**Instructions:** If the work-eligible individual participated in a countable work activity, but it did not count toward the overall work participation rates because the work-eligible individual was engaged in countable activities for insufficient hours, then enter code “1” in 10.A. Otherwise, enter “0” in 10.A. The hours of participation referred to in this data element must meet the level of supervision and the Federal verification standards for countable work activities.

A. Insufficient hours of participation in countable work activities?
0 = No
1 = Yes,

If data element 8 is coded with a “1” or data element 10.A. is coded with a “0,” then leave data elements 10.B. through 10.M. blank. If data element 8 is coded “0” and 10.A. is coded with a “1,” then, for each of the following countable work activities, in which the work-eligible individual participated during the report month, enter the total number of hours of participation.

B. Unsubsidized Employment (include self-employment)

C. Subsidized Private Sector Employment

D. Subsidized Public Sector Employment

E. Work Experience

F. On-the-job Training

G. Job Search and Job Readiness Assistance

H. Community Service

I. Vocational Educational Training

J. Job Skills Training Directly related to Employment

K. Education Directly Related to Employment

L. Satisfactory School Attendance

M. Providing Child Care Service for an Individual Participating in a Community Service Program

11. **Did the work-eligible individual participate in a countable work activity that did not count toward the work participation rates because the hours of participation in the activity are beyond a statutory limit for reporting the hours of participation as countable work activities?**

**Statutory Limitations:** For Job Search and Job Readiness Assistance (JS/JR), the statutory limits relevant to this data element are: (1) JS/JR may only count for 6 weeks in the preceding 12-month period; (2) An individual participation in JS/JR counts for no more than 4 consecutive weeks; (3) If the State’s total unemployment rate is at least 50 percent greater than the United States’ total unemployment rate or the State is a needy State (within the meaning of section 403 (b)(6)), then an individual’s participation in JS/JR counts for up to 12 weeks in the 12-month period; and (4) A State may count 3 or 4 days of JS/JR during a week as a full week of participation, but only once for any individual in a 12-month period. Vocational Educational Training has a 12 month lifetime limit. That is, for any individual Vocational Educational Training
may count as a countable work activity for a total of 12 months, whether or not consecutive. Note: the 30 percent limit on the number of families that can count as participating due to Vocational Educational Training is not relevant to this data element as there is no way of determining which families did not count in the numerator of the work participation rate.

**Instructions:** If the work-eligible individual participated in a countable work activity, but the hours of participation did not count toward the overall work participation rates because the hours of participation in the activity are beyond a statutory limit, then enter code “1” in 11.A. Otherwise, enter “0” in 11.A. For example, an individual who has participated in Vocational Educational Training in excess of 12 months is beyond the statutory limit. The hours of participation referred to in this data element must meet the level of supervision and the Federal verification standards for countable work activities.

A. Beyond statutory limit?

0 = No

1 = Yes,

If data element 8 is coded with a “1” or data element 11.A. is coded with a “0,” then leave data elements 11.B. and 11.C. blank. If data element 8 is coded “0” and 11.A. is coded with a “1,” then, for data elements 11.B. and 11.C. in which the work-eligible individual participated during the report month, enter the total number of hours of participation.

B. Job Search and Job Readiness Training

C. Vocational Educational Training

12. Did the work-eligible individual participate in a countable work activity, but the State did not report such hours of participation in the TANF Data Report (or, if applicable, the SSPMOE Data Report) because the State could not meet the Federal verification standards for including the hours of participation in the report?

**Instructions:** If the work-eligible individual participated in a countable work activity, but the State did not include the hours of participation in the TANF Data Report – Section one (data elements #50 - #61) or the SSPMOE Data Report – Section one (data elements #43 - #54) because the State could not meet the Federal verification standards for including the hours of participation in the report, then enter code “1” in 12.A. Otherwise, enter “0” in 12.A. The hours of participation referred to in this data element do not need to meet the level of supervision and the Federal verification standards for countable work activities. States may use self-reported hours of participation.

A. Hours of participation in a countable work activity do not meet verification standard?

0 = No
If data element 8 is coded with a “1” or data element 12.A is coded with a “0,” then leave data elements 12.B through 12.M blank. If data element 8 is coded “0” and 12.A is coded with a “1,” then, for each of the following countable work activities, in which the work-eligible individual participated during the report month, enter the total number of hours of participation. Do not duplicate reporting hours of participation in data elements 12.B through 12.M. that is reported in data elements 9, 10, or 11.

B. Unsubsidized Employment (include self-employment)

C. Subsidized Private Sector Employment

D. Subsidized Public Sector Employment

E. Work Experience

F. On-the-job Training

G. Job Search and Job Readiness Assistance

H. Community Service

I. Vocational Educational Training

J. Job Skills Training Directly related to Employment

K. Education Directly Related to Employment

L. Satisfactory School Attendance

M. Providing Child Care Service for an Individual Participating in a Community Service Program

13. If the work-eligible individual did not participate in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours for the family to count toward the overall work participation rate, did the work-eligible individual participate in activities that do not qualify as a countable work activity under section 407(d) of the Social Security Act, but move the family toward self-sufficiency?

Instructions: If the work-eligible individual did not participate in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours for the family to count toward the overall work participation rate, but participated in activities that move the family toward self-sufficiency, enter code “1” in 13.A. Otherwise, enter “0” in 13.A. The hours of participation referred to in this data element do not need to meet the level of supervision and the Federal verification standards. States may use self-reported hours of participation.

A. Participated in activities that do not qualify as countable activities, but move the family toward self-sufficiency
0 = No

1 = Yes,

If data element 8 is coded with a “1” or data element 13.A. is coded with a “0,” then leave data elements 13.B. through 13.I. blank. If data element 8 is coded “0” and 13.A. is coded with a “1,” then, for each of the following non-countable work activities (13.B. through 13.H.), in which the work-eligible individual participated during the report month, enter the total number of hours of participation. For the educational activities (13B through 13.D.), homework may be included, where applicable. Note, the level of supervision and documentation for a countable work activity is not required for non-countable activities. Some of the non-educational activities listed below could be considered in the “Job Search and Job Readiness” categories reported in 9G, 10G, 11B, or 12G. If participation in such activities was reported in 9G, 10G, 11B, or 12G do not duplicate the reporting in this data element.

If the non-qualified activity that moves the family toward self-sufficiency is not included in the list below (data elements 13.B. through 13.H.) then enter the hours of participation in 13.I.i. and specify the activity in 13.I.ii.

B. High School or GED

C. Adult basic education/English as a second language

D. Post Secondary Education

E. Treatment Activities (e.g., physical or mental health, substance abuse treatment, domestic violence, physical or developmental disabilities, attending to physical or mental health conditions)

F. Family Life Skills Activities (e.g., teen parent skills, parenting programs, mentoring, personal development activities, organizational skills workshops, and budgeting skills) workshops)

G. Accessing Work Support Activities (e.g., finding and arranging childcare, obtaining a driver’s license)

H. In assessment

I. Other

   i. Hours of Participation

   ii. Specify what other activity

14. Is the work-eligible individual engaged in any countable work activity, or in any non-countable activity that moves the family toward self-sufficiency? If not, what is the principal reason for nonparticipation?
**Instructions:** If the work-eligible individual participated in a countable work activity, or in a non-countable activity that moves the family toward self-sufficiency, then enter code “1” in 14.A. Otherwise, enter “0” in 14.A.

A. Participating in a countable work activity, or a non-countable activity that moves family toward self-sufficiency?

   0 = No

   1 = Yes,

If 14.A. is coded with a “0,” then, enter the two-digit code that best describes the principal reason for non participation in 14.B.

B. Principal reason for non participation

01 = Family’s first month on assistance and no work activity assigned (first month on assistance means a new applicant as defined on line 10 of the instructions for the TANF Data Report – Section One)

02 = Individual assigned to a work activity that has not yet begun

03 = State/Local agency (or its contractor) has failed to engage individual

04 = Individual relocating from one local jurisdiction within State to another

05 = Work activities reports received too late for inclusion in report to HHS

06 = Family disregarded from participation rate (i.e., single custodial parent with child under age one; subject to a sanction for refusing to participate in work activities, but not subject to a sanction for more than 3 months in the preceding 12-month period; or participating in a Tribal work program)

07 = State exempt, single custodial parent with child under age 1, not disregarded due to 12-month limitation

08 = Individual in process of being sanctioned (including fair hearing process) or is subject to a sanction for refusing to work, not disregarded due to statutory or subject to a non-work sanction limitations

09 = State exempt, single custodial parent with child under age 6 and child care unavailable

10 = State exempt due to illness or disability of the WEI (including, but not limited to, in process of applying for SSI/SSDI)

11 = State exempt, due to illness or disability of child or other family member

12 = State exempt under a domestic violence waiver

28
13 = Good Cause Exemption
14 = Other State Exemption
99 = Other

If 14.B. is coded with “99” for other, then specify the principal reason in 14.C.

C. Specify Other Reason