

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

STATE SUMMARY

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$291,711,615 (Amount equals \$654,000,000 appropriation, plus \$746,414 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$1,806,998 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$231,117,829 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$125,765,830 transferred to Title XX, \$3,802,286 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$328,536 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$213,320 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals	7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009" [7]	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
		* Case Management. 30 project(s) to serve 5,079 families.	* 30 project(s) served 13,120 families	\$344,042,028
		* Transitional Opportunities Program (TOP). 12 project(s) to serve 11,891 families.	* 12 project(s) served 44,170 families	
		* Child Only Services. 10 project(s) to serve 4,793 families.	* 10 project(s) served 36,752 families	
		* Domestic Violence Enhanced. 6 project(s) to serve 655 families.	* 6 project(s) served 1,021 families	
		* Drug and Alcohol Enhanced. 9 project(s) to serve 8,496 families.	* 9 project(s) served 6,807 families	
		* Emergency/Diversion. 18 project(s) to serve 12,614 families.	* 18 project(s) served 10,505 families	
		* Healthy Families/Home Visiting. 9 project(s) to serve 2,305 families.	* 9 project(s) served 2,053 families	
		* Life Skills/Mentoring. 17 project(s) to serve 4,150 families.	* 17 project(s) served 4,080 families	
		* Specialized Services for Adults. 19 project(s) to serve 4,753 families.	* 19 project(s) served 3,879 families	
		* Specialized Services for Children. 27 project(s) to serve 7,971 families.	* 27 project(s) served 9,464 families	
		* Other. 26 project(s) to serve 187,486 families.	* 26 project(s) served 2,234,316 families	
		* Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	* 16,430 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.	
			* 2,231 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]	
			* 1,150 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]	
		* Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	* 6,637 individuals indicated danger.	
			* 4,653 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.	
		* 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	* Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 168,020	
			* Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]	
			30,996 Unsubsidized Employment	
			1,401 Subsidized Employment	
			7,223 Work Experience	
			146 Community Service	
			24 On-The-Job Training	
			3,350 Job Readiness Activities	
			981 Job Search	

6. Performance/ Accountability Goals	7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009" [7]	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
	3,805 Vocational Education	
	1,290 Job Skills Training	
	1,346 Education Related to Employment	
	352 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School	
	26 Other	
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 30,809	
	* Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 37.5%	
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 43,435	
	* Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 51.1%	

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

[7] Includes available data for NYC (April to December 2007)

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ROS SUMMARY

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$88,898,650 (Amount equals \$297,614,035 allocation, plus \$746,414 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$1,806,998 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$152,901,829 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$50,408,830 transferred to Title XX, \$3,802,286 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$328,536 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$213,320 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation

6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
*	Case Management. 30 project(s) to serve 5,079 families.	*	30 project(s) served 13,120 families	\$92,098,497
*	Transitional Opportunities Program (TOP). 12 project(s) to serve 11,891 families.	*	12 project(s) served 44,170 families	
*	Child Only Services. 10 project(s) to serve 4,793 families.	*	10 project(s) served 36,752 families	
*	Domestic Violence Enhanced. 6 project(s) to serve 655 families.	*	6 project(s) served 1,021 families	
*	Drug and Alcohol Enhanced. 9 project(s) to serve 8,496 families.	*	9 project(s) served 6,807 families	
*	Emergency/Diversion. 17 project(s) to serve 6,614 families.	*	17 project(s) served 8,970 families	
*	Healthy Families/Home Visiting. 9 project(s) to serve 2,305 families.	*	9 project(s) served 2,053 families	
*	Life Skills/Mentoring. 17 project(s) to serve 4,150 families.	*	17 project(s) served 4,080 families	
*	Specialized Services for Adults. 19 project(s) to serve 4,753 families.	*	19 project(s) served 3,879 families	
*	Specialized Services for Children. 25 project(s) to serve 1,738 families.	*	25 project(s) served 3,236 families	
*	Other. 24 project(s) to serve 1,604 families.	*	24 project(s) served 3,142 families	
*	Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	*	10,832 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.	
		*	1,398 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]	
		*	386 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]	
*	Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	2,322 individuals indicated danger.	
		*	1,336 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.	
*	50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 32,170	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]	
			7,954 Unsubsidized Employment	
			326 Subsidized Employment	
			3,359 Work Experience	
			146 Community Service	
			24 On-The-Job Training	
			1,288 Job Readiness Activities	
			981 Job Search	
			740 Vocational Education	
			243 Job Skills Training	

			910 Education Related to Employment
			138 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School
			26 Other
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 7,554
		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 31.5%
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 12,843
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 54.2%

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ALBANY

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$2,925,700 (Amount equals \$9,693,441 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$48,000 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$4,852,610 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,867,131 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
*	Specialized Services for Adults. 3 project(s) to serve 1,200 families.	*	3 project(s) served 1,096 families	\$3,198,015
*	Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	*	302 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.	
		*	47 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]	
		*	40 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]	
*	Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	139 individuals indicated danger.	
		*	45 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.	
*	50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 1,471	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]	
			296 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			89 Work Experience	
			13 Community Service	
			0 On-The-Job Training	
			81 Job Readiness Activities	
			8 Job Search	
			37 Vocational Education	
			14 Job Skills Training	
			7 Education Related to Employment	
			14 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School	
			1 Other	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 244	
		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 27.9%	

		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 448	
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 51.1%	

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ALLEGANY

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$640,871 (Amount equals \$2,492,972 allocation, plus \$4,503 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$1,341,073 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$515,531 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation

6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
*	Other. 1 project(s) to serve 3 families.	*	1 project(s) served 740 families	\$640,871
*	Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	*	49 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.	
		*	2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]	
		*	0 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]	
*	Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	1 individuals indicated danger.	
		*	1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.	
*	50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 263	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]	
			47 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			15 Work Experience	
			3 Community Service	
			0 On-The-Job Training	
			20 Job Readiness Activities	
			7 Job Search	
			4 Vocational Education	
			27 Job Skills Training	
			0 Education Related to Employment	
			1 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School	
			0 Other	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 35	
		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 27.8%	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 80	

	*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 63.2%
[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.		
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):		
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

BROOME

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$1,781,568 (Amount equals \$5,559,739 allocation, plus \$22,828 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$2,948,010 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$852,989 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
*	Case Management. 1 project(s) to serve 250 families.	*	1 project(s) served 907 families	\$1,781,571
*	Transitional Opportunities Program (TOP). 1 project(s) to serve 450 families.	*	1 project(s) served 2,594 families	
*	Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	59 individuals indicated danger.	
		*	38 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.	
*	50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 878	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]	
			219 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			77 Work Experience	
			9 Community Service	
			4 On-The-Job Training	
			33 Job Readiness Activities	
			43 Job Search	
			25 Vocational Education	
			1 Job Skills Training	
			24 Education Related to Employment	
			1 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School	
			0 Other	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 179	
		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 27.4%	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 345	
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 52.8%	

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.			
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.			
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.			
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.			
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):			
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.			
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.			
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.			
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.			
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.			

**PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX
CATTARAUGUS**

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$1,195,942 (Amount equals \$3,229,422 allocation, plus \$17,787 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$31,702 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$1,680,871 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$325,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$3,694 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$10,000 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation



6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
* Child Only Services. 1 project(s) to serve 20 families.	* 1 project(s) served 0 families	\$1,195,942		
* Other. 3 project(s) to serve 250 families.	* 3 project(s) served 671 families			
* Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	* 157 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.			
	* 8 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]			
	* 3 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]			
* Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	* 14 individuals indicated danger.			
	* 3 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.			
* 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	* Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 607			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]			
	35 Unsubsidized Employment			
	3 Subsidized Employment			
	22 Work Experience			
	0 Community Service			
	3 On-The-Job Training			
	4 Job Readiness Activities			
	4 Job Search			
	3 Vocational Education			
	9 Job Skills Training			
	1 Education Related to Employment			
	4 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School			
	0 Other			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 42			
	* Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 44.8%			

		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 62
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 66%

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

CAYUGA

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$673,872 (Amount equals \$2,936,170 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$290,744 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$1,323,914 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$315,000 transferred to Title XX, \$300,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$32,640 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation



6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
* Case Management. 1 project(s) to serve 100 families.	* 1 project(s) served 66 families	\$673,872		
* Drug and Alcohol Enhanced. 1 project(s) to serve 200 families.	* 1 project(s) served 235 families			
* Emergency/Diversion. 1 project(s) to serve 70 families.	* 1 project(s) served 10 families			
* Specialized Services for Adults. 1 project(s) to serve 25 families.	* 1 project(s) served 3 families			
* Specialized Services for Children. 1 project(s) to serve 15 families.	* 1 project(s) served 0 families			
* Other. 2 project(s) to serve 40 families.	* 2 project(s) served 0 families			
* Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	* 37 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.			
	* 4 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]			
	* 1 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]			
* 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	* Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 154			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]			
	45 Unsubsidized Employment			
	0 Subsidized Employment			
	15 Work Experience			
	1 Community Service			
	0 On-The-Job Training			
	6 Job Readiness Activities			
	19 Job Search			
	4 Vocational Education			
	0 Job Skills Training			
	9 Education Related to Employment			
	1 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School			
	1 Other			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 35			

		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 28.4%
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 66
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 54.5%

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

CHAUTAUQUA

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$1,030,373 (Amount equals \$3,595,793 allocation, plus \$24,605 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$1,016,186 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$969,740 transferred to Title XX, \$604,099 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
*	Child Only Services. 1 project(s) to serve 54 families.	*	1 project(s) served 171 families	\$1,030,373
*	Emergency/Diversion. 1 project(s) to serve 65 families.	*	1 project(s) served 4 families	
*	50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 1,019	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]	
			230 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			84 Work Experience	
			8 Community Service	
			1 On-The-Job Training	
			13 Job Readiness Activities	
			16 Job Search	
			11 Vocational Education	
			2 Job Skills Training	
			9 Education Related to Employment	
			2 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School	
			0 Other	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 173	
		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 27.9%	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 332	
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 53.6%	

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
0

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

CHEMUNG

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$713,145 (Amount equals \$3,589,863 allocation, plus \$16,315 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$2,411,530 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$481,503 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
* Specialized Services for Adults. 2 project(s) to serve 1792 families.	* 2 project(s) served 403 families	\$715,255		
* Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	* 230 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.			
	* 29 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]			
	* 2 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]			
* 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	* Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 271			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]			
	160 Unsubsidized Employment			
	0 Subsidized Employment			
	26 Work Experience			
	7 Community Service			
	0 On-The-Job Training			
	33 Job Readiness Activities			
	44 Job Search			
	8 Vocational Education			
	2 Job Skills Training			
	17 Education Related to Employment			
	0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School			
	0 Other			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 110			
	* Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 26.9%			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 228			

	* Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 55.9%
[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.	
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.	
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):	
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.	
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.	
0	

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

CHENANGO

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2007-08	\$379,390 (Amount equals \$1,993,849 allocation, plus \$9,488 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$29,156 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$1,079,641 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$515,150 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation	
6. Performance/ Accountability Goals			7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
					\$408,901
	*	50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 129	
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]	
				6 Unsubsidized Employment	
				2 Subsidized Employment	
				9 Work Experience	
				4 Community Service	
				0 On-The-Job Training	
				20 Job Readiness Activities	
				10 Job Search	
				5 Vocational Education	
				1 Job Skills Training	
				3 Education Related to Employment	
				6 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School	
				0 Other	
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 13	
			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 31.9%	
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 36	
			*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 84.8%	

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.			
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.			
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.			
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.			
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):			
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.			
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.			
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.			
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.			
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.			
			0

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

CLINTON

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$572,535 (Amount equals \$2,288,459 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$292,543 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$1,119,853 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$303,528 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation



6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
* Other. 3 project(s) to serve 200 families.	* 3 project(s) served 512 families	\$572,535		
* Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	* 62 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.			
	* 12 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]			
	* 3 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]			
* Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	* 20 individuals indicated danger.			
	* 14 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.			
* 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	* Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 402			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]			
	74 Unsubsidized Employment			
	0 Subsidized Employment			
	19 Work Experience			
	0 Community Service			
	1 On-The-Job Training			
	35 Job Readiness Activities			
	11 Job Search			
	4 Vocational Education			
	0 Job Skills Training			
	1 Education Related to Employment			
	4 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School			
	12 Other			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 54			
	* Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 22.6%			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 121			

	* Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 51.1%	
[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.		
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):		
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
		0

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

COLUMBIA

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$418,518 (Amount equals \$2,758,476 allocation, plus \$609 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$1,820,567 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$520,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
*	Specialized Services for Children. 2 project(s) to serve 320 families.	*	2 project(s) served 275 families	\$424,267
*	50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 241	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]	
			24 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			1 Work Experience	
			6 Community Service	
			0 On-The-Job Training	
			5 Job Readiness Activities	
			4 Job Search	
			1 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			1 Education Related to Employment	
			3 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School	
			0 Other	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 16	
		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 20.1%	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 36	
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 46.3%	

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

**PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX
CORTLAND**

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$322,000 (Amount equals \$1,710,858 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$935,000 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$453,858 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation



6. Performance/ Accountability Goals	7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
		\$324,861
* 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	* Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 149	
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]	
	59 Unsubsidized Employment	
	0 Subsidized Employment	
	12 Work Experience	
	4 Community Service	
	0 On-The-Job Training	
	31 Job Readiness Activities	
	0 Job Search	
	5 Vocational Education	
	0 Job Skills Training	
	2 Education Related to Employment	
	1 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School	
	0 Other	
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 48	
	* Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 33.3%	
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 86	
	* Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 60.4%	

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

DELAWARE

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2007-08	\$129,039 (Amount equals \$989,039 allocation, plus \$78 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$260,078 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$600,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation	
6. Performance/ Accountability Goals			7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
					\$152,080
* 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate			* Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 12		
			* Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]		
			5 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			7 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On-The-Job Training		
			2 Job Readiness Activities		
			2 Job Search		
			2 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			2 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School		
			1 Other		
			* Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 9		
			* Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 51.1%		
			* Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 13		
			* Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 70.8%		

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
0

**PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX FOR
DUTCHESS**

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$1,412,425 (Amount equals \$6,967,280 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$3,860,099 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,694,756 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation

--	--	--	--	--

6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
* Case Management. 1 project(s) to serve 75 families.	* 1 project(s) served 169 families	\$1,550,428		
* Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	* 52 individuals indicated danger.			
	* 49 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.			
* 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	* Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 332			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]			
	76 Unsubsidized Employment			
	0 Subsidized Employment			
	16 Work Experience			
	11 Community Service			
	0 On-The-Job Training			
	16 Job Readiness Activities			
	10 Job Search			
	11 Vocational Education			
	2 Job Skills Training			
	12 Education Related to Employment			
	0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School			
	0 Other			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 68			
	* Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 26%			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 125			
	* Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 47.4%			

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.			
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.			
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.			
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.			
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):			
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.			
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.			
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.			
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.			
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.			
			0

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ERIE

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$15,759,450 (Amount equals \$32,896,654 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$1,337 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$12,832,332 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$4,303,535 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation

--	--	--	--	--

6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
* Transitional Opportunities Program (TOP). 1 project(s) to serve 1100 families.	* 1 project(s) served 17,595 families	\$15,759,450		
* Drug and Alcohol Enhanced. 2 project(s) to serve 1585 families.	* 2 project(s) served 482 families			
* Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	* 662 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.			
	* 336 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]			
	* 44 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]			
* Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	* 510 individuals indicated danger.			
	* 334 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.			
* 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	* Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 5,535			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]			
	1,208 Unsubsidized Employment			
	223 Subsidized Employment			
	846 Work Experience			
	1 Community Service			
	1 On-The-Job Training			
	11 Job Readiness Activities			
	11 Job Search			
	13 Vocational Education			
	0 Job Skills Training			
	162 Education Related to Employment			
	7 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School			
	0 Other			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 1,384			
	* Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 37.8%			

		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 2,016
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 55.1%
[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.			
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.			
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.			
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.			
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):			
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.			
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.			
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.			
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.			
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.			
			0

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ESSEX

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$459,759 (Amount equals \$1,218,788 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$442,684 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$316,345 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation



6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
*	Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	*	9 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.	\$470,838
			1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]	
*	50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 127	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]	
			11 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			9 Work Experience	
			1 Community Service	
			0 On-The-Job Training	
			0 Job Readiness Activities	
			1 Job Search	
			0 Vocational Education	
			3 Job Skills Training	
			0 Education Related to Employment	
			0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School	
			0 Other	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 10	
		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 29.1%	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 18	
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 50.1%	

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.			
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.			
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.			
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.			
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):			
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.			
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.			
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.			
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.			
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.			
			0

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

FRANKLIN

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$577,875 (Amount equals \$1,811,622 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$872,747 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$336,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$25,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
*	Transitional Opportunities Program (TOP). 1 project(s) to serve 60 families.	*	1 project(s) served 328 families	\$577,875
*	Domestic Violence Enhanced. 1 project(s) to serve 110 families.	*	1 project(s) served 622 families	
*	Drug and Alcohol Enhanced. 1 project(s) to serve 566 families.	*	1 project(s) served 750 families	
*	Life Skills/Mentoring. 2 project(s) to serve 465 families.	*	2 project(s) served 541 families	
*	Specialized Services for Adults. 2 project(s) to serve 96 families.	*	2 project(s) served 272 families	
*	Specialized Services for Children. 1 project(s) to serve 30 families.	*	1 project(s) served 15 families	
*	Other. 1 project(s) to serve 180 families.	*	1 project(s) served 468 families	
*	50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 101	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]	
			32 Unsubsidized Employment	
			3 Subsidized Employment	
			22 Work Experience	
			7 Community Service	
			0 On-The-Job Training	
			2 Job Readiness Activities	
			4 Job Search	
			2 Vocational Education	
			2 Job Skills Training	
			1 Education Related to Employment	
			1 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School	
			0 Other	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 37	

		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 45.3%
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 58
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 70.1%

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

FULTON

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology			
FFFS	2007-08	\$554,830 (Amount equals \$2,394,982 allocation, plus \$8,152 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$1,678,170 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$170,134 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation			
6. Performance/ Accountability Goals			7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"		
* Case Management. 1 project(s) to serve 60 families.			* 1 project(s) served 195 families	\$611,130			
* Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.			* 43 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.				
			* 1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]				
			* 0 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]				
* Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)			* 27 individuals indicated danger.				
			* 1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.				
* 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate			* Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 58				
			* Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]				
			13 Unsubsidized Employment				
			0 Subsidized Employment				
			15 Work Experience				
			0 Community Service				
			0 On-The-Job Training				
			2 Job Readiness Activities				
			7 Job Search				
			1 Vocational Education				
			6 Job Skills Training				
			3 Education Related to Employment				
			1 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School				
			0 Other				
			* Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 17				

		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 46.7%
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 30
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 81.5%

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

GENESEE

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2007-08	\$384,061 (Amount equals \$1,540,612 allocation, plus \$9,382 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$1,433 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$752,500 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$412,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation	
6. Performance/ Accountability Goals			7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
*	Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.		*	46 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.	\$384,062
			*	11 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]	
			*	1 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]	
*	Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		*	0 individuals indicated danger.	
			*	0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.	
*	50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 45	
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]	
				22 Unsubsidized Employment	
				0 Subsidized Employment	
				9 Work Experience	
				1 Community Service	
				0 On-The-Job Training	
				14 Job Readiness Activities	
				3 Job Search	
				0 Vocational Education	
				0 Job Skills Training	
				0 Education Related to Employment	
				0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School	
				0 Other	
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 19	
			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 23.9%	

		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 41
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 50%

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

GREENE

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$364,000 (Amount equals \$2,011,666 allocation, plus \$7,452 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$1,328,118 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$327,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
* Drug and Alcohol Enhanced. 1 project(s) to serve 120 families.	* 1 project(s) served 66 families		\$381,456	
* Life Skills/Mentoring. 2 project(s) to serve 75 families.	* 2 project(s) served 152 families			
* Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	* 47 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.			
	* 2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]			
	* 1 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]			
* 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	* Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 8			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]			
	40 Unsubsidized Employment			
	0 Subsidized Employment			
	3 Work Experience			
	0 Community Service			
	0 On-The-Job Training			
	2 Job Readiness Activities			
	7 Job Search			
	1 Vocational Education			
	0 Job Skills Training			
	0 Education Related to Employment			
	0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School			
	0 Other			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 28			
	* Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 26.1%			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 46			

	* Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 43%	
[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.		
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):		
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.		
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p>		
		0

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

HAMILTON

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$27,652 (Amount equals \$150,832 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$22,530 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$100,650 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$0 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation



6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
* Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	* 0 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.	\$41,235		
	* 0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]			
	* 0 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]			
* 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	* Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 0			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]			
	1 Unsubsidized Employment			
	0 Subsidized Employment			
	0 Work Experience			
	0 Community Service			
	0 On-The-Job Training			
	0 Job Readiness Activities			
	0 Job Search			
	0 Vocational Education			
	0 Job Skills Training			
	0 Education Related to Employment			
	0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School			
	0 Other			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 1			
	* Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 40%			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 1			
	* Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 40%			

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.			
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.			
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.			
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.			
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):			
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.			
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.			
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.			
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.			
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.			
			0

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

HERKIMER

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$358,773 (Amount equals \$1,857,494 allocation, plus \$9,118 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$49,535 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$1,128,304 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$330,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation



6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
* Case Management. 1 project(s) to serve 16 families.	* 1 project(s) served 123 families	\$363,493		
* Specialized Services for Adults. 1 project(s) to serve 25 families.	* 1 project(s) served 0 families			
* Specialized Services for Children. 1 project(s) to serve 26 families.	* 1 project(s) served 0 families			
* Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	* 64 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.			
	* 4 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]			
	* 0 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]			
* Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	* 1 individuals indicated danger.			
	* 1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.			
* 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	* Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 148			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]			
	21 Unsubsidized Employment			
	0 Subsidized Employment			
	8 Work Experience			
	0 Community Service			
	0 On-The-Job Training			
	53 Job Readiness Activities			
	1 Job Search			
	1 Vocational Education			
	0 Job Skills Training			
	0 Education Related to Employment			
	1 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School			
	0 Other			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 17			
	* Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 21.4%			

		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 73
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 91.6%
[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.			
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.			
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.			
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.			
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):			
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.			
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.			
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.			
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.			
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.			
			0

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

JEFFERSON

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$391,261 (Amount equals \$4,169,063 allocation, plus \$28,319 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$243,482 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$2,160,535 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$652,104 transferred to Title XX, \$750,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation



6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
* Case Management. 1 project(s) to serve 22 families.	* 1 project(s) served 163 families	\$391,261		
* Transitional Opportunities Program (TOP). 1 project(s) to serve 384 families.	* 1 project(s) served 150 families			
* Specialized Services for Adults. 2 project(s) to serve 60 families.	* 2 project(s) served 88 families			
* Specialized Services for Children. 1 project(s) to serve 153 families.	* 1 project(s) served 132 families			
* Other. 5 project(s) to serve 200 families.	* 5 project(s) served 0 families			
* Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	* 85 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.			
	* 5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]			
	* 3 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]			
* Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	* 4 individuals indicated danger.			
	* 3 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.			
* 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	* Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 150			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]			
	20 Unsubsidized Employment			
	0 Subsidized Employment			
	11 Work Experience			
	2 Community Service			
	0 On-The-Job Training			
	10 Job Readiness Activities			
	1 Job Search			
	0 Vocational Education			
	1 Job Skills Training			
	0 Education Related to Employment			
	1 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School			
	0 Other			

		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 19
		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 29.7%
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 37
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 60.1%

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

LEWIS

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$286,672 (Amount equals \$1,053,866 allocation, plus \$3,944 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$33,362 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$576,776 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$161,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation

6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
*	Case Management. 1 project(s) to serve 20 families.	*	1 project(s) served 202 families	\$304,352
*	Healthy Families/Home Visiting. 1 project(s) to serve 20 families.	*	1 project(s) served 4 families	
*	Specialized Services for Children. 2 project(s) to serve 125 families.	*	2 project(s) served 1082 families	
*	50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 35	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]	
			4 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			5 Work Experience	
			2 Community Service	
			0 On-The-Job Training	
			6 Job Readiness Activities	
			2 Job Search	
			0 Vocational Education	
			1 Job Skills Training	
			1 Education Related to Employment	
			0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School	
			0 Other	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 6	
		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 40.8%	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 13	
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 86.2%	

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

LIVINGSTON

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$661,595 (Amount equals \$2,015,676 allocation, plus \$13,919 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$997,000 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$371,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation



6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
* Case Management. 1 project(s) to serve 30 families.	* 1 project(s) served 145 families	\$663,001		
* Emergency/Diversion. 2 project(s) to serve 215 families.	* 2 project(s) served 934 families			
* Healthy Families/Home Visiting. 1 project(s) to serve 20 families.	* 1 project(s) served 10 families			
* Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	* 42 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.			
	* 7 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]			
	* 2 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]			
* Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	* 6 individuals indicated danger.			
	* 0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.			
* 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	* Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 78			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]			
	18 Unsubsidized Employment			
	0 Subsidized Employment			
	6 Work Experience			
	0 Community Service			
	0 On-The-Job Training			
	0 Job Readiness Activities			
	3 Job Search			
	1 Vocational Education			
	5 Job Skills Training			
	1 Education Related to Employment			
	1 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School			
	0 Other			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 12			
	* Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 13.9%			

		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 25
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 30.2%
[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.			
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.			
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.			
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.			
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):			
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.			
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.			
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.			
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.			
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.			
			0

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

MADISON

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$405,676 (Amount equals \$1,841,907 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$1,176,281 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$259,950 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation



6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
*	Domestic Violence Enhanced. 1 project(s) to serve 25 families.	*	1 project(s) served 26 families	\$421,209
*	Other. 1 project(s) to serve 365 families.	*	1 project(s) served 282 families	
*	Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	*	9 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.	
		*	2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]	
		*	0 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]	
*	50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 69	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]	
			18 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			4 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			0 On-The-Job Training	
			14 Job Readiness Activities	
			3 Job Search	
			3 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			0 Education Related to Employment	
			0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School	
			0 Other	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 14	
		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 28.9%	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 36	

	*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 75.7%
[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.		
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):		
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
		0

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

MONROE

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$7,362,200 (Amount equals \$22,697,482 allocation, plus \$109,852 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$12,808,039 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2,297,662 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$171,133 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$168,300 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
*	Child Only Services. 1 project(s) to serve 2700 families.	*	1 project(s) served 33,180 families	\$7,630,077
*	Emergency/Diversion. 1 project(s) to serve 2000 families.	*	1 project(s) served 1,699 families	
*	50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 2,910	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]	
			1,415 Unsubsidized Employment	
			74 Subsidized Employment	
			420 Work Experience	
			1 Community Service	
			3 On-The-Job Training	
			140 Job Readiness Activities	
			27 Job Search	
			83 Vocational Education	
			21 Job Skills Training	
			126 Education Related to Employment	
			22 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School	
			3 Other	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 1,039	
		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 22.4%	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 1,989	
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 42.9%	

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

0

**PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX
MONTGOMERY**

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$320,328 (Amount equals \$1,460,312 allocation, plus \$8,070 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$696,054 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$252,000 transferred to Title XX, \$200,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation



6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
* Emergency/Diversion. 1 project(s) to serve 88 families.	* 1 project(s) served 765 families	\$320,328		
* Specialized Services for Adults. 1 project(s) to serve 825 families.	* 1 project(s) served 584 families			
* Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	* 164 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.			
	* 4 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]			
	* 3 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]			
* Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	* 0 individuals indicated danger.			
	* 0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.			
* 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	* Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 45			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]			
	29 Unsubsidized Employment			
	0 Subsidized Employment			
	4 Work Experience			
	0 Community Service			
	0 On-The-Job Training			
	4 Job Readiness Activities			
	9 Job Search			
	0 Vocational Education			
	0 Job Skills Training			
	1 Education Related to Employment			
	1 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School			
	0 Other			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 18			
	* Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 19.3%			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 41			

	*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 43.2%
[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.		
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):		
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
		0

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

NASSAU

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$2,575,000 (Amount equals \$16,403,817 allocation, plus \$61,921 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$8,805,738 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$4,200,000 transferred to Title XX, \$875,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$10,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation



6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
*	Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	*	2,951 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.	\$3,297,766
		*	15 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]	
		*	3 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]	
*	Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	121 individuals indicated danger.	
		*	66 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.	
*	50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 564	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]	
			411 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			113 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			0 On-The-Job Training	
			43 Job Readiness Activities	
			181 Job Search	
			73 Vocational Education	
			1 Job Skills Training	
			9 Education Related to Employment	
			1 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School	
			0 Other	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 444	
		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 31.6%	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 734	

	*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 52.1%
[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.		
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):		
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
		0

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

NIAGARA

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$2,179,108 (Amount equals \$6,409,931 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$3,230,823 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,000,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation

6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
*	Transitional Opportunities Program (TOP). 1 project(s) to serve 220 families.	*	1 project(s) served 4,413 families	\$2,183,810
*	Life Skills/Mentoring. 1 project(s) to serve 160 families.	*	1 project(s) served 196 families	
*	Specialized Services for Adults. 1 project(s) to serve 75 families.	*	1 project(s) served 870 families	
*	Specialized Services for Children. 1 project(s) to serve 120 families.	*	1 project(s) served 286 families	
*	Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	*	133 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.	
		*	27 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]	
		*	5 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]	
*	Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	50 individuals indicated danger.	
		*	16 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.	
*	50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 575	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]	
			207 Unsubsidized Employment	
			3 Subsidized Employment	
			84 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			1 On-The-Job Training	
			9 Job Readiness Activities	
			27 Job Search	
			19 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			8 Education Related to Employment	
			4 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School	
			0 Other	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 192	
		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 29.7%	

		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 318
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 49.2%
[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.			
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.			
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.			
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.			
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):			
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.			
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.			
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.			
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.			
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.			
			0

**PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX FOR
ONEIDA**

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$2,935,900 (Amount equals \$8,844,995 allocation, plus \$28,767 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$61,762 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$4,145,953 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,730,147 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation

6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
*	Case Management. 1 project(s) to serve 200 families.	*	1 project(s) served 2,385 families	\$2,964,085
*	Transitional Opportunities Program (TOP). 1 project(s) to serve 1,700 families.	*	1 project(s) served 3,319 families	
*	Specialized Services for Adults. 2 project(s) to serve 470 families.	*	2 project(s) served 410 families	
*	Specialized Services for Children. 1 project(s) to serve 276 families.	*	1 project(s) served 343 families	
*	Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	*	210 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.	
		*	28 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]	
		*	19 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]	
*	Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	80 individuals indicated danger.	
		*	16 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.	
*	50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 2,037	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]	
			270 Unsubsidized Employment	
			3 Subsidized Employment	
			92 Work Experience	
			3 Community Service	
			0 On-The-Job Training	
			108 Job Readiness Activities	
			0 Job Search	
			7 Vocational Education	
			1 Job Skills Training	
			36 Education Related to Employment	
			6 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School	
			0 Other	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 240	
		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 31%	

		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 426
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 55.1%
[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.			
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.			
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.			
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.			
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):			
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.			
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.			
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.			
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.			
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.			
			0

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ONONDAGA

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$7,028,741 (Amount equals \$14,724,289 allocation, plus \$62,656 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$5,815,795 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,942,409 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation



6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"	
* Transitional Opportunities Program (TOP). 1 project(s) to serve 7,064 families.	* 1 project(s) served 7,147 families	\$7,123,591			
* Child Only Services. 1 project(s) to serve 356 families.	* 1 project(s) served 338 families				
* Domestic Violence Enhanced. 1 project(s) to serve 145 families.	* 1 project(s) served 142 families				
* Drug and Alcohol Enhanced. 3 project(s) to serve 6,010 families.	* 3 project(s) served 5,186 families				
* Emergency/Diversion. 1 project(s) to serve 237 families.	* 1 project(s) served 82 families				
* Healthy Families/Home Visiting. 1 project(s) to serve 1,679 families.	* 1 project(s) served 872 families				
* Life Skills/Mentoring. 2 project(s) to serve 2,330 families.	* 2 project(s) served 1612 families				
* Specialized Services for Adults. 1 project(s) to serve 134 families.	* 1 project(s) served 75 families				
* Specialized Services for Children. 1 project(s) to serve 46 families.	* 1 project(s) served 50 families				
* Other. 2 project(s) to serve 119 families.	* 2 project(s) served 314 families				
* Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	* 1,434 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.				
	* 18 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]				
	* 16 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]				
* Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	* 69 individuals indicated danger.				
	* 54 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.				
* 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	* Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 1,700				
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]				
	424 Unsubsidized Employment				
	0 Subsidized Employment				
	254 Work Experience				
	1 Community Service				
	3 On-The-Job Training				
	53 Job Readiness Activities				
	43 Job Search				

			49 Vocational Education
			90 Job Skills Training
			33 Education Related to Employment
			11 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School
			0 Other
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 452
		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 37.1%
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 677
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 55.6%

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

**PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX
ONTARIO**

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$1,076,066 (Amount equals \$2,442,998 allocation, plus \$10,336 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$787,268 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$390,000 transferred to Title XX, \$200,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation

6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
* Other. 2 project(s) to serve 170 families.	* 2 project(s) served 143 families	\$1,101,011		
* Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	* 42 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.			
	* 6 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]			
	* 1 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]			
* Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	* 40 individuals indicated danger.			
	* 16 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.			
* 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	* Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 287			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]			
	66 Unsubsidized Employment			
	0 Subsidized Employment			
	11 Work Experience			
	1 Community Service			
	0 On-The-Job Training			
	15 Job Readiness Activities			
	40 Job Search			
	3 Vocational Education			
	5 Job Skills Training			
	4 Education Related to Employment			
	0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School			
	0 Other			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 70			
	* Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 38.6%			

		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 122
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 67.6%

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ORANGE

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$3,026,942 (Amount equals \$9,207,025 allocation, plus \$31,046 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$4,521,226 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,689,903 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation

6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
*	Case Management. 7 project(s) to serve 489 families.	*	7 project(s) served 4,863 families	\$3,027,052
*	Child Only Services. 1 project(s) to serve 24 families.	*	1 project(s) served 24 families	
*	Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	*	67 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.	
		*	22 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]	
		*	13 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]	
*	Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	115 individuals indicated danger.	
		*	50 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.	
*	50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 916	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]	
			264 Unsubsidized Employment	
			1 Subsidized Employment	
			68 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			1 On-The-Job Training	
			46 Job Readiness Activities	
			1 Job Search	
			17 Vocational Education	
			2 Job Skills Training	
			33 Education Related to Employment	
			2 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School	
			1 Other	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 244	

		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 39.9%
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 343
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 56.2%

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ORLEANS

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$518,079 (Amount equals \$1,250,756 allocation, plus \$7,230 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$543,717 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$196,190 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation



6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
* Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	* 32 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.	\$518,079		
	* 4 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]			
	* 3 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]			
* 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	* Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 205			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]			
	38 Unsubsidized Employment			
	0 Subsidized Employment			
	34 Work Experience			
	2 Community Service			
	0 On-The-Job Training			
	8 Job Readiness Activities			
	0 Job Search			
	7 Vocational Education			
	0 Job Skills Training			
	0 Education Related to Employment			
	2 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School			
	0 Other			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 36			
	* Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 32%			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 71			
	* Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 63.8%			

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
0

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

OSWEGO

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$1,223,049 (Amount equals \$5,051,079 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$53,793 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$2,889,237 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$885,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation



6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
*	Transitional Opportunities Program (TOP). 1 project(s) to serve 280 families.	*	1 project(s) served 2,147 families	\$1,432,618
*	Child Only Services. 1 project(s) to serve 55 families.	*	1 project(s) served 557 families	
*	Specialized Services for Children. 2 project(s) to serve 120 families.	*	2 project(s) served 238 families	
*	Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	*	102 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.	
		*	23 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]	
		*	2 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]	
*	Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	38 individuals indicated danger.	
		*	29 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.	
*	50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 263	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]	
			60 Unsubsidized Employment	
			3 Subsidized Employment	
			28 Work Experience	
			1 Community Service	
			0 On-The-Job Training	
			45 Job Readiness Activities	
			47 Job Search	
			11 Vocational Education	
			1 Job Skills Training	
			2 Education Related to Employment	
			2 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School	
			0 Other	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 54	

		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 24.5%
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 138
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 62.4%

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy

0

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

OTSEGO

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$503,144 (Amount equals \$2,055,816 allocation, plus \$9,000 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$1,211,672 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$350,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation



6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
* Case Management. 2 project(s) to serve 58 families.	* 2 project(s) served 5 families	\$509,696		
* Emergency/Diversion. 1 project(s) to serve 13 families.	* 1 project(s) served 27 families			
* Healthy Families/Home Visiting. 1 project(s) to serve 458 families.	* 1 project(s) served 734 families			
* Life Skills/Mentoring. 1 project(s) to serve 14 families.	* 1 project(s) served 22 families			
* Specialized Services for Adults. 1 project(s) to serve 18 families.	* 1 project(s) served 37 families			
* Specialized Services for Children. 2 project(s) to serve 190 families.	* 2 project(s) served 218 families			
* Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	* 85 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.			
	* 1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]			
	* 0 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]			
* Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	* 2 individuals indicated danger.			
	* 1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.			
* 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	* Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 19			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]			
	6 Unsubsidized Employment			
	0 Subsidized Employment			
	1 Work Experience			
	0 Community Service			
	0 On-The-Job Training			
	2 Job Readiness Activities			
	1 Job Search			
	0 Vocational Education			
	0 Job Skills Training			
	0 Education Related to Employment			
	0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School			

			0 Other
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 4
		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 30.2%
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 7
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 59.7%

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

PUTNAM

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$275,585 (Amount equals \$1,063,044 allocation, plus \$2,184 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$433,843 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$355,800 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation

6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
* Case Management. 1 project(s) to serve 85 families.	* 1 project(s) served 238 families	\$277,706		
* Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	* 9 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.			
	* 2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]			
	* 0 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]			
* 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	* Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 54			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]			
	6 Unsubsidized Employment			
	0 Subsidized Employment			
	2 Work Experience			
	0 Community Service			
	0 On-The-Job Training			
	3 Job Readiness Activities			
	3 Job Search			
	0 Vocational Education			
	0 Job Skills Training			
	1 Education Related to Employment			
	0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School			
	0 Other			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 6			
	* Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 57.9%			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 9			
	* Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 90.1%			

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
0

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

RENSSELAER

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$721,256 (Amount equals \$4,414,583 allocation, plus \$19,474 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$2,390,301 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$582,500 transferred to Title XX, \$740,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation



6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
* Transitional Opportunities Program (TOP). 1 project(s) to serve 434 families.	* 1 project(s) served 4,887 families	\$833,968		
* Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	* 134 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.			
	* 18 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]			
	* 1 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]			
* Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	* 0 individuals indicated danger.			
	* 0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.			
* 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	* Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 518			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]			
	195 Unsubsidized Employment			
	0 Subsidized Employment			
	33 Work Experience			
	5 Community Service			
	1 On-The-Job Training			
	6 Job Readiness Activities			
	86 Job Search			
	40 Vocational Education			
	2 Job Skills Training			
	3 Education Related to Employment			
	2 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School			
	2 Other			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 187			
	* Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 31.7%			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 323			

	*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 54.8%
[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.		
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):		
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
		0

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ROCKLAND

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$2,180,865 (Amount equals \$6,799,988 allocation, plus \$16,243 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$3,235,366 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,400,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation

6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
				\$2,180,865
*	50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 561	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]	
			72 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			7 Work Experience	
			1 Community Service	
			0 On-The-Job Training	
			5 Job Readiness Activities	
			17 Job Search	
			3 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			1 Education Related to Employment	
			0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School	
			1 Other	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 51	
		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 28.8%	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 96	
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 54%	

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
0

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ST. LAWRENCE

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$1,525,956 (Amount equals \$3,845,645 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$35,322 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$1,188,367 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,096,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation

6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
*	Specialized Services for Children. 4 project(s) to serve 120 families.	*	4 project(s) served 218 families	\$1,525,956
*	Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	*	26 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.	
		*	2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]	
		*	1 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]	
*	Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	3 individuals indicated danger.	
		*	0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.	
*	50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 573	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]	
			85 Unsubsidized Employment	
			1 Subsidized Employment	
			45 Work Experience	
			13 Community Service	
			2 On-The-Job Training	
			13 Job Readiness Activities	
			1 Job Search	
			10 Vocational Education	
			1 Job Skills Training	
			14 Education Related to Employment	
			1 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School	
			0 Other	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 78	
		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 31%	

		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 148
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 58.7%

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

SARATOGA

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$264,000 (Amount equals \$3,491,042 allocation, plus \$10,558 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$2,787,600 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$450,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation



6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
* Case Management. 1 project(s) to serve 1,000 families.	* 1 project(s) served 1,144 families	\$360,969		
* Child Only Services. 1 project(s) to serve 1,400 families.	* 1 project(s) served 1,895 families			
* Emergency/Diversion. 1 project(s) to serve 1,000 families.	* 1 project(s) served 1,226 families			
* Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	* 3 individuals indicated danger.			
	* 0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.			
* 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	* Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 60			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]			
	3 Unsubsidized Employment			
	0 Subsidized Employment			
	1 Work Experience			
	0 Community Service			
	0 On-The-Job Training			
	1 Job Readiness Activities			
	0 Job Search			
	0 Vocational Education			
	0 Job Skills Training			
	0 Education Related to Employment			
	0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School			
	0 Other			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 2			
	* Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 16.6%			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 4			
	* Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 35.2%			

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

SCHENECTADY

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$909,698 (Amount equals \$5,695,432 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$3,734,221 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,051,513 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation



6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
*	Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	*	443 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.	\$1,133,068
		*	9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]	
		*	1 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]	
*	Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	81 individuals indicated danger.	
		*	52 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.	
*	50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 384	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]	
			93 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			68 Work Experience	
			8 Community Service	
			0 On-The-Job Training	
			11 Job Readiness Activities	
			19 Job Search	
			7 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			7 Education Related to Employment	
			1 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School	
			1 Other	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 90	
		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 28.3%	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 170	
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 53.3%	

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

SCHOHARIE

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$443,582 (Amount equals \$1,494,485 allocation, plus \$5,543 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$20,489 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$629,794 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$395,735 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$10,428 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation



6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
*	Case Management. 1 project(s) to serve 50 families.	*	1 project(s) served 238 families	\$447,667
*	Life Skills/Mentoring. 2 project(s) to serve 52 families.	*	2 project(s) served 59 families	
*	Specialized Services for Adults. 1 project(s) to serve 15 families.	*	1 project(s) served 41 families	
*	Specialized Services for Children. 1 project(s) to serve 10 families.	*	1 project(s) served 10 families	
*	Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	*	35 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.	
		*	0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]	
		*	0 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]	
*	Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	0 individuals indicated danger.	
		*	1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.	
*	50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 12	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]	
			5 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			3 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			0 On-The-Job Training	
			4 Job Readiness Activities	
			0 Job Search	
			0 Vocational Education	
			3 Job Skills Training	
			0 Education Related to Employment	
			0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School	
			2 Other	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 5	

		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 50.4%
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 10
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 106.2%

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

0

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX
SCHUYLER

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$256,485 (Amount equals \$783,209 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$321,724 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$205,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation



6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
* Domestic Violence Enhanced. 1 project(s) to serve 10 families.	* 1 project(s) served 0 families	\$257,434		
* Drug and Alcohol Enhanced. 1 project(s) to serve 15 families.	* 1 project(s) served 88 families			
* Emergency/Diversion. 2 project(s) to serve 30 families.	* 2 project(s) served 70 families			
* Healthy Families/Home Visiting. 1 project(s) to serve 5 families.	* 1 project(s) served 7 families			
* Specialized Services for Children. 3 project(s) to serve 21 families.	* 3 project(s) served 34 families			
* Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	* 10 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.			
	* 0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]			
	* 0 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]			
* Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	* 8 individuals indicated danger.			
	* 6 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.			
* 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	* Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 9			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]			
	13 Unsubsidized Employment			
	0 Subsidized Employment			
	2 Work Experience			
	0 Community Service			
	0 On-The-Job Training			
	0 Job Readiness Activities			
	0 Job Search			
	0 Vocational Education			
	0 Job Skills Training			
	0 Education Related to Employment			
	0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School			
	0 Other			

		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 8
		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 19.2%
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 14
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 32.6%

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

SENECA

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$362,567 (Amount equals \$1,931,006 allocation, plus \$5,888 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$1,261,173 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$281,533 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$31,621 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation



6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
*	50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 57	\$373,538
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]	
			7 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			3 Work Experience	
			2 Community Service	
			0 On-The-Job Training	
			0 Job Readiness Activities	
			1 Job Search	
			1 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			0 Education Related to Employment	
			1 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School	
			0 Other	
			* Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 7	
			* Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 23.4%	
			* Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 11	
			* Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 38.3%	

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

STEBEN

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$1,532,600 (Amount equals \$3,966,399 allocation, plus \$19,465 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$1,968,264 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$485,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation



6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
*	Case Management. 1 project(s) to serve 2,420 families.	*	1 project(s) served 1,890 families	\$1,557,097
*	Emergency/Diversion. 2 project(s) to serve 1,500 families.	*	2 project(s) served 1,896 families	
*	Healthy Families/Home Visiting. 2 project(s) to serve 50 families.	*	2 project(s) served 167 families	
*	Specialized Services for Children. 1 project(s) to serve 150 families.	*	1 project(s) served 179 families	
*	Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	*	279 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.	
		*	2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]	
		*	1 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]	
*	Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	18 individuals indicated danger.	
		*	10 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.	
*	50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 235	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]	
			94 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			31 Work Experience	
			4 Community Service	
			0 On-The-Job Training	
			3 Job Readiness Activities	
			29 Job Search	
			9 Vocational Education	
			1 Job Skills Training	
			1 Education Related to Employment	
			4 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School	
			0 Other	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 68	

		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 26.1%
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 137
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 52.4%

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

0

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

SUFFOLK

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$6,849,712 (Amount equals \$25,377,057 allocation, plus \$84,843 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$77,620 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$14,133,188 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$4,399,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$2,380 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation

6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
* Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	* 641 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.	\$6,887,619		
	* 35 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]			
	* 14 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]			
* Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	* 189 individuals indicated danger.			
	* 130 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.			
* 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	* Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 4,264			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]			
	616 Unsubsidized Employment			
	8 Subsidized Employment			
	208 Work Experience			
	11 Community Service			
	1 On-The-Job Training			
	31 Job Readiness Activities			
	105 Job Search			
	75 Vocational Education			
	7 Job Skills Training			
	12 Education Related to Employment			
	10 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School			
	0 Other			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 648			
	* Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 42.7%			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 907			
	* Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 59.8%			

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

0

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

SULLIVAN

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$813,852 (Amount equals \$2,179,881 allocation, plus \$2,707 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$49,407 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$387,142 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$794,000 transferred to Title XX, \$133,187 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$5,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation

6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
* Transitional Opportunities Program (TOP). 1 project(s) to serve 65 families.	* 1 project(s) served 80 families	\$870,878		
* Healthy Families/Home Visiting. 1 project(s) to serve 57 families.	* 1 project(s) served 92 families			
* Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	* 94 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.			
	* 7 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]			
	* 1 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]			
* Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	* 0 individuals indicated danger.			
	* 0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.			
* 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	* Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 160			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]			
	48 Unsubsidized Employment			
	0 Subsidized Employment			
	14 Work Experience			
	0 Community Service			
	0 On-The-Job Training			
	8 Job Readiness Activities			
	2 Job Search			
	6 Vocational Education			
	0 Job Skills Training			
	1 Education Related to Employment			
	6 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School			
	0 Other			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 41			
	* Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 23.3%			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 71			

		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 40.4%	
[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.				
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.				
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.				
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.				
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):				
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.				
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.				
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.				
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.				
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.				
				0

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

TIOGA

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$491,621 (Amount equals \$1,496,909 allocation, plus \$6,786 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$828,049 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$184,025 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation



6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
*	Emergency/Diversion. 2 project(s) to serve 1,296 families.	*	2 project(s) served 2,085 families	\$501,452
*	Life Skills/Mentoring. 1 project(s) to serve 24 families.	*	1 project(s) served 20 families	
*	Specialized Services for Children. 1 project(s) to serve 16 families.	*	1 project(s) served 156 families	
*	50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 187	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]	
			22 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			13 Work Experience	
			1 Community Service	
			0 On-The-Job Training	
			4 Job Readiness Activities	
			16 Job Search	
			0 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			0 Education Related to Employment	
			3 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School	
			0 Other	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 18	
		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 22.7%	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 37	
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 46.9%	

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
0

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

TOMPKINS

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$718,254 (Amount equals \$2,754,524 allocation, plus \$22,621 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$1,294,131 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$764,760 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation

6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
*	Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	0 individuals indicated danger.	\$736,889
		*	0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.	
*	50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 264	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]	
			58 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			20 Work Experience	
			1 Community Service	
			0 On-The-Job Training	
			11 Job Readiness Activities	
			0 Job Search	
			6 Vocational Education	
			1 Job Skills Training	
			2 Education Related to Employment	
			1 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School	
			0 Other	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 43	
		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 20.8%	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 90	
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 43.8%	

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ULSTER

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$944,960 (Amount equals \$5,657,959 allocation, plus \$16,301 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$3,574,172 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,150,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$5,128 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation

6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
*	Transitional Opportunities Program (TOP). 1 project(s) to serve 87 families.	*	1 project(s) served 1,063 families	\$947,321
*	Domestic Violence Enhanced. 1 project(s) to serve 285 families.	*	1 project(s) served 92 families	
*	Life Skills/Mentoring. 1 project(s) to serve 950 families.	*	1 project(s) served 1,164 families	
*	Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	10 individuals indicated danger.	
		*	4 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.	
*	50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 1,211	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]	
			99 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			10 Work Experience	
			2 Community Service	
			3 On-The-Job Training	
			36 Job Readiness Activities	
			5 Job Search	
			22 Vocational Education	
			3 Job Skills Training	
			0 Education Related to Employment	
			5 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School	
			0 Other	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 66	
		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 20%	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 156	

	* Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 47.4%	
[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.		
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):		
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.		
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p>		
		0

**PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX FOR
WARREN**

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	2007-08	\$215,525 (Amount equals \$1,419,068 allocation, plus \$8,050 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$1,208,964 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$0 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$2,629 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation		
6. Performance/ Accountability Goals			7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"	
* Case Management. 3 project(s) to serve 119 families.	* 3 project(s) served 138 families		\$216,536			
* Domestic Violence Enhanced. 1 project(s) to serve 80 families.	* 1 project(s) served 139 families					
* Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	* 42 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.					
	* 2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]					
	* 1 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]					
* Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	* 3 individuals indicated danger.					
	* 0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.					
* 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	* Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 40					
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]					
	9 Unsubsidized Employment					
	0 Subsidized Employment					
	3 Work Experience					
	0 Community Service					
	0 On-The-Job Training					
	17 Job Readiness Activities					
	5 Job Search					
	0 Vocational Education					
	4 Job Skills Training					
	2 Education Related to Employment					
	0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School					
	0 Other					

		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 7
		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 31.2%
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 22
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 94.6%

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

WASHINGTON

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$870,267 (Amount equals \$1,427,485 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$320,128 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$210,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$27,090 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation



6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
* Case Management. 5 project(s) to serve 85 families.	* 5 project(s) served 249 families	\$878,115		
* Healthy Families/Home Visiting. 1 project(s) to serve 16 families.	* 1 project(s) served 167 families			
* Life Skills/Mentoring. 2 project(s) to serve 30 families.	* 2 project(s) served 193 families			
* Specialized Services for Adults. 1 project(s) to serve 18 families.	* 1 project(s) served 0 families			
* Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	* 35 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.			
	* 6 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]			
	* 0 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]			
* Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	* 22 individuals indicated danger.			
	* 16 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.			
* 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	* Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 48			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]			
	26 Unsubsidized Employment			
	0 Subsidized Employment			
	5 Work Experience			
	4 Community Service			
	0 On-The-Job Training			
	10 Job Readiness Activities			
	5 Job Search			
	1 Vocational Education			
	0 Job Skills Training			
	1 Education Related to Employment			
	0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School			
	0 Other			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 22			

		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 37.4%
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 41
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 67.7%

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

WAYNE

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$393,439 (Amount equals \$2,802,986 allocation, plus \$12,383 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$309,250 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$1,812,626 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$300,054 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
* Child Only Services. 1 project(s) to serve 130 families.	* 1 project(s) served 241 families	\$393,439		
* Other. 3 project(s) to serve 65 families.	* 3 project(s) served 0 families			
* 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	* Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 113			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]			
	32 Unsubsidized Employment			
	0 Subsidized Employment			
	17 Work Experience			
	1 Community Service			
	0 On-The-Job Training			
	7 Job Readiness Activities			
	2 Job Search			
	3 Vocational Education			
	1 Job Skills Training			
	0 Education Related to Employment			
	0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School			
	0 Other			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 27			
	* Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 24.5%			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 54			
	* Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 48%			

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

**PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX
WESTCHESTER**

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$7,265,934 (Amount equals \$27,519,471 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$16,177,887 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$4,075,650 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation

6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
* Child Only Services. 2 project(s) to serve 54 families.	* 2 project(s) served 346 families	\$7,877,540		
* Other. 1 project(s) to serve 12 families.	* 1 project(s) served 12 families			
* Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	* 416 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.			
	* 109 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]			
	* 34 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]			
* Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	* 107 individuals indicated danger.			
	* 145 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.			
* 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	* Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 1,526			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]			
	578 Unsubsidized Employment			
	0 Subsidized Employment			
	430 Work Experience			
	1 Community Service			
	1 On-The-Job Training			
	224 Job Readiness Activities			
	82 Job Search			
	146 Vocational Education			
	23 Job Skills Training			
	360 Education Related to Employment			
	3 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School			
	0 Other			
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 780			

		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 41.1%
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 1,269
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 66.9%

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

WYOMING

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$642,683 (Amount equals \$1,434,214 allocation, plus \$6,885 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$5,282 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$648,321 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$108,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$36,813 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation



6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
*	Transitional Opportunities Program (TOP). 1 project(s) to serve 47 families.	*	1 project(s) served 447 families	\$644,772
*	Life Skills/Mentoring. 2 project(s) to serve 20 families.	*	2 project(s) served 121 families	
*	Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	*	27 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.	
		*	2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]	
		*	1 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]	
*	Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	6 individuals indicated danger.	
		*	2 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.	
*	50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 90	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]	
			18 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			9 Work Experience	
			2 Community Service	
			1 On-The-Job Training	
			2 Job Readiness Activities	
			5 Job Search	
			0 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			0 Education Related to Employment	
			1 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School	
			0 Other	

		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 15
		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 31.2%
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 29
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 60.4%

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

YATES

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$44,270 (Amount equals \$742,625 allocation, plus \$1,106 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$150,249 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$415,517 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$133,695 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
*	Emergency/Diversion. 2 project(s) to serve 100 families.	*	2 project(s) served 172 families	\$49,222
*	Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	*	3 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.	
		*	0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]	
		*	0 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]	
*	50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 1	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]	
			6 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			1 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			0 On-The-Job Training	
			4 Job Readiness Activities	
			2 Job Search	
			0 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			0 Education Related to Employment	
			0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School	
			0 Other	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 3	
		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 14%	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 9	

	* Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 41.5%	
[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.		
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):		
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.		
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p>		
		0

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

NYC-HRA

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$202,812,965 (Amount equals \$356,385,965 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$78,216,000 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$75,357,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation

6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/2009" [7]		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2009"
*	Emergency/Diversion. 1 project(s) to serve 6,000 families.	*	1 project(s) served 1,535 families	\$251,943,531
*	Specialized Services for Children. 2 project(s) to serve 6,233 families.	*	2 project(s) served 6,228 families	
*	Other. 2 project(s) to serve 185,882 families.	*	2 project(s) served 2,231,174 families	
*	Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements.	*	5,598 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.	
		*	833 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]	
		*	764 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from employment activities.[0]	
*	Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	4,315 individuals indicated danger.	
		*	3,317 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.	
*	50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 135,850	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]	
			23,042 Unsubsidized Employment	
			1,075 Subsidized Employment	
			3,864 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			0 On-The-Job Training	
			2,062 Job Readiness Activities	
			0 Job Search	
			3,065 Vocational Education	
			1,047 Job Skills Training	
			436 Education Related to Employment	
			214 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School	
			0 Other	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 23,255	
		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 40.6%	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 30,592	
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 53.4%	

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.	
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.	
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):	
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.	
[7] Includes available data for NYC (April to December 2007)	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.	
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.	
	0