	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX						
	STATE SUMMARY						
1. Program r	1.2.3.4.Program nameSFYAppropriation LevelContract/Award Cycle						5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS2007-08\$291,711,615 (Amount equals \$654,000,000 appropriation, plus \$746,414 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$1,806,998 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$231,117,829 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$125,765,830 transferred to Title XX, \$3,802,286 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$328,536 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$213,3201010					10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation	
				1			8.
			6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcome	s As Of :''03/31/2009'' [7]	5. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Case I	Manager	ment. 30 p	roject(s) to serve 5,079 families.	*	30 project(s) served 13,120 families		\$344,042,028
* Trans	sitional C	Opportunit	es Program (TOP). 12 project(s) to serve 11,891 families.	*	12 project(s) served 44,170 families		
* Child	l Only Se	ervices. 10	project(s) to serve 4,793 families.	*	10 project(s) served 36,752 families		
* Dome	estic Vio	lence Enh	anced. 6 project(s) to serve 655 families.	*	6 project(s) served 1,021 families		
* Drug	and Alco	ohol Enha	nced. 9 project(s) to serve 8,496 families.	*	9 project(s) served 6,807 families		
* Emerg	gency/D	iversion. 1	8 project(s) to serve 12,614 families.	*	18 project(s) served 10,505 families		
* Healtl	* Healthy Families/Home Visiting. 9 project(s) to serve 2,305 families.			*	9 project(s) served 2,053 families		
* Life Skills/Mentoring. 17 project(s) to serve 4,150 families.		*	17 project(s) served 4,080 families				
* Specialized Services for Adults. 19 project(s) to serve 4,753 families.		*	19 project(s) served 3,879 families				
* Specia	* Specialized Services for Children. 27 project(s) to serve 7,971 families.		*	27 project(s) served 9,464 families			
* Other	* Other. 26 project(s) to serve 187,486 families.		*	26 project(s) served 2,234,316 families			
* Comp	ply with I	Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	16,430 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		
				*	2,231 individuals mandated to treatment - exemption	t from employment activities.[0]	
				*	1,150 individuals mandated to treatment- non-ex	empt from employment activities.[0]	
* Comp	ply with I	Domestic	Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	6,637 individuals indicated danger.		
				*	4,653 individuals granted a waiver from program	n requirements.	
* 50 %	Combin	ed "All Fa	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed	[6] 168,020	
				*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged E	y Activity[1]	
					30,996 Unsubsidized Employment		
					1,401 Subsidized Employment		
					7,223 Work Experience		_
					146 Community Service		_
					24 On-The-Job Training		
					3,350 Job Readiness Activities		
					981 Job Search		

6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of :''03/31/2009'' [7]	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
		3,805 Vocational Education	
		1,290 Job Skills Training	
		1,346 Education Related to Employment	
		352 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School	
		26 Other	
	*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 30,809	
	*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 37.5%	
	*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 43,435	
	*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 51.1%	

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

[7] Includes available data for NYC (April to December 2007)

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

	ROS SUMMARY					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. 4. Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle			5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$88,898,650 (Amount equals \$297,614,035 allocation, plus \$746,414 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$1,806,998 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$152,901,829 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$50,408,830 transferred to Title XX.					Allocation
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcor	nes As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Case Manag	ement. 30 p	roject(s) to serve 5,079 families.	*	30 project(s) served 13,120 families		\$92,098,497
* Transitional	Opportunit	ies Program (TOP). 12 project(s) to serve 11,891 families.	*	12 project(s) served 44,170 families		
* Child Only	Services. 10	project(s) to serve 4,793 families.	*	10 project(s) served 36,752 families		
* Domestic V	iolence Enh	anced. 6 project(s) to serve 655 families.	*	6 project(s) served 1,021 families		
* Drug and A	cohol Enha	nced. 9 project(s) to serve 8,496 families.	*	9 project(s) served 6,807 families		1
* Emergency/	Diversion.	7 project(s) to serve 6,614 families.	*	17 project(s) served 8,970 families		
* Healthy Fan	nilies/Home	Visiting. 9 project(s) to serve 2,305 families.	*	9 project(s) served 2,053 families		1
* Life Skills/N	Aentoring.	7 project(s) to serve 4,150 families.	*	17 project(s) served 4,080 families		1
* Specialized	Services for	Adults. 19 project(s) to serve 4,753 families.	*	19 project(s) served 3,879 families		1
* Specialized	Services for	Children. 25 project(s) to serve 1,738 families.	*	25 project(s) served 3,236 families		
* Other. 24 pr	oject(s) to s	erve 1,604 families.	*	24 project(s) served 3,142 families		1
* Comply with	n Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	10,832 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		
			*	1,398 individuals mandated to treatment - exemp	pt from employment activities.[0]	
			*	386 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exe	mpt from employment activities.[0]	
* Comply wit	n Domestic	Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	2,322 individuals indicated danger.		
			*	1,336 individuals granted a waiver from program	n requirements.	
* 50 % Comb	ined "All Fa	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed		_
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged I	By Activity[1]	_
				7,954 Unsubsidized Employment		
				326 Subsidized Employment		
				3,359 Work Experience		4
				146 Community Service		4
				24 On-The-Job Training		4
				1,288 Job Readiness Activities		_
				981 Job Search		_
				740 Vocational Education		1
				243 Job Skills Training		

		910 Education Related to Employment
		138 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School
		26 Other
	*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 7,554
	*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 31.5%
	*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 12,843
	*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 54.2%

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
			AL	BANY		
1.2.3.Program nameSFYAppropriation Level				4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS2007-08\$2,925,700 (Amount equals \$9,693,441 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Sun FFFS, less \$4,852,610 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,8 Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer			1 867 13	1 transferred to Title XX \$0 in additional Child	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
6. Performance/ Accountability Goals				7. Performance/ Accountability Outcome	es As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Specialized	Services fo	r Adults. 3 project(s) to serve 1,200 families.	*	3 project(s) served 1,096 families		\$3,198,015
* Comply wit	h Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	302 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		
			*	47 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from		
			*	40 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exemp	t from employment activities.[0]	
* Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		*	139 Individuals indicated danger.			
			*	45 individuals granted a waiver from program rec	uirements.	
* 50.% Comb	ined "All E	amilies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed	[6] 1 471	
* 50 % Comb	aned All Fa	imines Participation Rate	*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By		
				296 Unsubsidized Employment		
				0 Subsidized Employment		
				89 Work Experience		
				13 Community Service		
				0 On-The-Job Training		
				81 Job Readiness Activities		
				8 Job Search		
				37 Vocational Education		
				14 Job Skills Training		
				7 Education Related to Employment		
				14 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sc	hool	
				1 Other		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2		
			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)	[3] 27.9%	

* Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 448				
* Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 51.1%				
)] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.				
] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.				
2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.				
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.				
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):				
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.				
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.				
ote 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data availab or April to December 2007.				
ote 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported y federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.				
ote 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy amilies/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.				

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
			ALL	EGANY		
1. Program nam	Program name SFY Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle					5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$640,871 (Amount equals \$2,492,972 allocation, plus \$4,503 transferred in fror FFFS, less \$1,341,073 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$5 Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 tra	515.531	transferred to Title XX. \$0 in additional Child	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
6. Performance/ Accountability Goals				7. Performance/ Accountability Outcom	es As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
		erve 3 families.	*	1 project(s) served 740 families		\$640,871
* Comply v	vith Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	49 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		_
			*	2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from		_
* 6 1			*	0 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exempt 1 individuals indicated danger.	from employment activities.[0]	_
* Comply v	* Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		*	 * I individuals indicated danger. * 1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements. 		_
				i marviduais granted a warver nom program requ	incinents.	
* 50 % Cot	nbined "All F	Samilies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed	[6] 263	-
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged B	y Activity[1]	
				47 Unsubsidized Employment		
				0 Subsidized Employment		
				15 Work Experience		
				3 Community Service		
				0 On-The-Job Training		
				20 Job Readiness Activities		
				7 Job Search		
				4 Vocational Education		
			_	27 Job Skills Training		_
			_	0 Education Related to Employment	1	_
				1 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sch 0 Other	1001	_
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2	1 35	_
			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4]		
				Listing of the state of the sta		

Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 63.2%

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
			BR	OOME		
1. Program name					••	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS2007-08\$1,781,568 (Amount equals \$5,559,739 allocation, plus \$22,828 transferred in fro FFFS, less \$2,948,010 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$85 Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 trans				transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcome	s As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
-	-	pject(s) to serve 250 families.		1 project(s) served 907 families		\$1,781,571
		es Program (TOP). 1 project(s) to serve 450 families.		1 project(s) served 2,594 families		
* Comply with	n Domestic	Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		59 individuals indicated danger.	•	
			*	38 individuals granted a waiver from program requ	irements.	
* 50 % 51 1	1 11 4 11 15		*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed	61 878	_
* 50 % Comb	ined "All Fa	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By		_
			-	219 Unsubsidized Employment	//euvity[1]	-
				0 Subsidized Employment		-
				77 Work Experience		-
				9 Community Service		-
				4 On-The-Job Training		
				33 Job Readiness Activities		
				43 Job Search		
				25 Vocational Education		
				1 Job Skills Training		
				24 Education Related to Employment		
				1 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Scho	ool	
				0 Other		
				Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2]		
				Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[
				Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 3		_
			*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 52.8%	

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
		CA		ARAUGUS		
1.2.3.Program nameSFYAppropriation Level					4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS\$1,195,942 (Amount equals \$3,229,422 allocation, plus \$17,787 transferred in fro 09 FFFS, less \$1,680,871 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$ Child Care Transfer, \$3,694 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence an Contracts).			\$325,0	00 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
		6.	T	7.		8.
		Performance/ Accountability Goals		Performance/ Accountability Outcomes	As Of :''03/31/2009''	Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Child Only S	Services. 1	project(s) to serve 20 families.	*	1 project(s) served 0 families		\$1,195,942
* Other. 3 pro	ject(s) to se	rve 250 families.	*	3 project(s) served 671 families		
* Comply with	n Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	157 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		
			*	8 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from e	employment activities.[0]	
			*	3 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exempt from	om employment activities.[0]	
* Comply with	n Domestic	Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	14 individuals indicated danger.		-
		· · · · · ·	*	3 individuals granted a waiver from program require	ements.	
* 50 % Combi	ined "All Fa	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6]	607	
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By A	ctivity[1]	
				35 Unsubsidized Employment		
				3 Subsidized Employment		
			_	22 Work Experience		
			_	0 Community Service		_
			_	3 On-The-Job Training		_
			_	4 Job Readiness Activities		_
			_	4 Job Search		_
				3 Vocational Education		
			_	9 Job Skills Training 1 Education Related to Employment		
				4 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School	1	
			-	0 Other	1	
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 4	2	
			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3]		
				combined ratiopation Rate (1713) & SIV MOE/[5]] +1.070	

	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 62				
	* Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 66%				
[0] T	[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.				
[1] N	1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.				
[2] R	[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.				
[3] C	3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.				

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	CAYUGA					
1. Program name					4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS		\$673,872 (Amount equals \$2,936,170 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Sun FFFS, less \$1,323,914 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$31 Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$	15,000	transferred to Title XX, \$300,000 in additional	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
6. Performance/ Accountability Goals				7. Performance/ Accountability Outcome	es As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Case Manag	gement. 1 pr	oject(s) to serve 100 families.	*	1 project(s) served 66 families		\$673,872
* Drug and A	lcohol Enha	nced. 1 project(s) to serve 200 families.	*	1 project(s) served 235 families		
* Emergency/	Diversion. 1	project(s) to serve 70 families.	*	1 project(s) served 10 families		
* Specialized	Services for	Adults. 1 project(s) to serve 25 families.	*	1 project(s) served 3 families		
		Children. 1 project(s) to serve 15 families.	*	1 project(s) served 0 families		
		rve 40 families.	*	2 project(s) served 0 families		
* Comply wit	h Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	37 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		
				4 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from		
			*	1 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exempt	from employment activities.[0]	
* 50 % Comb	ined "All Fa	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By 45 Unsubsidized Employment	Activity[1]	_
				0 Subsidized Employment		_
				15 Work Experience		_
				1 Community Service		_
				0 On-The-Job Training		_
				6 Job Readiness Activities		_
				19 Job Search		
				4 Vocational Education		\dashv
<u> </u>				0 Job Skills Training		\dashv
				9 Education Related to Employment		-
				1 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sch	ool	-
				1 Other		-
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2	35	\neg

	*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 28.4%			
	*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 66			
	*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 54.5%			
[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having sub	bstar	nce abuse problems.			
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities co	onsis	tent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.			
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work a	activ	ities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.			
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with fed	leral	methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.			
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):					
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the per	riod o	divided by federal participation rate denominator.			
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were com- nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.	[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.				
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period for April to December 2007.	od A	April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available			
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.					
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.					
		0			

				CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		C	HAU	JTAUQUA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007.00	FFFS, less \$1,016,186 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$9	30,373 (Amount equals \$3,595,793 allocation, plus \$24,605 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 S, less \$1,016,186 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$969,740 transferred to Title XX, \$604,099 in additional d Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcom	es As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Child Only S	ervices. 1	roject(s) to serve 54 families.	*	1 project(s) served 171 families		\$1,030,373
* Emergency/I	Diversion.	project(s) to serve 65 families.	*	1 project(s) served 4 families		
* 50 % Combi	ned "All Fa	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged B	y Activity[1]	_
			_	230 Unsubsidized Employment		_
			_	0 Subsidized Employment 84 Work Experience		_
			_	8 Community Service		
				1 On-The-Job Training		
			_	13 Job Readiness Activities		_
			_	16 Job Search		_
				11 Vocational Education		_
			_	2 Job Skills Training		
				9 Education Related to Employment		_
				2 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sch	ool	-
				0 Other		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 173	-
			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 27.9%	7
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4]	332	
			*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)	[5] 53.6%	
			_			_

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

		PERFORMA	NCE AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			СН	EMUNG		
1. Program name	2. SFY		3. riation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08		315 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 her than Title XX, \$481,503 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcom	nes As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Specialized	Services fo	r Adults. 2 project(s) to serve 1792 families.	*	2 project(s) served 403 families		\$715,255
* Comply wit	h Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	230 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		
			*	29 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt f	rom employment activities.[0]	
			*	2 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exemp	t from employment activities.[0]	
* 50 % Comb	ined "All F	amilies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed	1 [6] 271	
		*	*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged H	3y Activity[1]	
				160 Unsubsidized Employment		
				0 Subsidized Employment		
				26 Work Experience		
				7 Community Service		
				0 On-The-Job Training		
				33 Job Readiness Activities		
				44 Job Search		
				8 Vocational Education		
				2 Job Skills Training		
				17 Education Related to Employment		
				0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sc	hool	
				0 Other	21.110	
			*			_
			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOI Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4		
			Ť	number of TAMP & SIN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 220	

* Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 55.9%
[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessment nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

		PERFORMA	NCE AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			CHE	CNANGO		
1. Program name	2. SFY	SFY Appropriation Level			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08		ransferred in from Summer Youth, less \$29,156 transferred to SFY 08- er than Title XX, \$515,150 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional ic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcom	es As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
						\$408,901
					rcl 100	
* 50 % Comb	ined "All Fa	amilies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed		_
				Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1] 6 Unsubsidized Employment		-
				2 Subsidized Employment		-
				9 Work Experience		
				4 Community Service		
				0 On-The-Job Training		
				20 Job Readiness Activities		
				10 Job Search		
				5 Vocational Education		
				1 Job Skills Training		
				3 Education Related to Employment		_
				6 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sch 0 Other	001	_
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2	113	
			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4]		
			*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)		

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

		PERFORMANCE AN	DA	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		CL	JNT	ON		
1. Program name					5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS					10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcome	es As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Other. 3 pro	ject(s) to se	ve 200 families.	*	3 project(s) served 512 families		\$572,535
* Comply wit	h Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	62 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		
			*	12 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from	om employment activities.[0]	
			*	3 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exempt	from employment activities.[0]	
* Comply wit	h Domestic	Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	20 individuals indicated danger.		
			*	14 individuals granted a waiver from program rec	juirements.	
* 50 % Comb	ined "All Fa	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed	[6] 402	
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged B	y Activity[1]	
				74 Unsubsidized Employment		_
				0 Subsidized Employment		_
				19 Work Experience 0 Community Service		_
				1 On-The-Job Training		_
				35 Job Readiness Activities		_
			+	11 Job Search		\neg
			+	4 Vocational Education		
			+	0 Job Skills Training		\neg
			1	1 Education Related to Employment		\neg
			1	4 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sch	ool	-
			1	12 Other		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 54	
			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)	[3] 22.6%	
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4]	121	7

Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 51.1%

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

		PERFORMANC	E AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			COI	LUMBIA		
1. Program name						5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08		tion, plus \$609 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, lfare Other than Title XX, \$520,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			Allocation
	1					
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcom	ues As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Specialized	Services for	Children. 2 project(s) to serve 320 families.	*	2 project(s) served 275 families		\$424,267
			4		5/10/1	
* 50 % Comb	ned "All Fa	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged B 24 Unsubsidized Employment	y Activity[1]	_
				0 Subsidized Employment		
				1 Work Experience		_
				6 Community Service		
				0 On-The-Job Training		_
				5 Job Readiness Activities		
				4 Job Search		
				1 Vocational Education		
				0 Job Skills Training		
				1 Education Related to Employment		
				3 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sch	nool	
				0 Other		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2		
			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE		_
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4]		_
			*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)	אָן 40.3%	

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

		PERFORMAN		CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			CORTI	LAND		
1. Program name	2. SFY		3. ation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS		less \$935,000 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX	,000 (Amount equals \$1,710,858 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, \$935,000 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$453,858 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care sfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcon	nes As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
						\$324,861
* 50 % Combi	ned "All Fa	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed		
			*	 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1] 59 Unsubsidized Employment 		
				0 Subsidized Employment		_
				12 Work Experience		
				4 Community Service		_
				0 On-The-Job Training		_
				31 Job Readiness Activities		
				0 Job Search		_
				5 Vocational Education		
				0 Job Skills Training		
	2 Education Related to Employment					
				1 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sc	hool	
				0 Other		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[
			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4]		_
			*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE	2)[3] 00.4%	

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

		PERFORMA		CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			DEL	AWARE		
1. Program name	2. SFY	Appropr	3. Appropriation Level			5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS		less \$260,078 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX	(Amount equals \$989,039 allocation, plus \$78 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, 078 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$600,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcor	nes As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
						\$152,080
* 50 % Combin	ned "All Fa	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Complete		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged I 5 Unsubsidized Employment	By Activity[1]	
				0 Subsidized Employment		
				7 Work Experience		
				0 Community Service		
				0 On-The-Job Training		
				2 Job Readiness Activities		
				2 Job Search		
				2 Vocational Education		
				0 Job Skills Training		
				0 Education Related to Employment		
				2 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary So	chool	
				1 Other		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases		_
			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOD		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4		_
			*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOR	2)[3] /0.8%	_

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

			PERFORMANCE AND A	ACC	COUNTABILITY MATRIX FO	OR	
				DUT	CHESS		
Pro	1. gram name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
	FFFS			ation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, are Other than Title XX, \$1,694,756 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care comestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			Allocation
			6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcon	nes As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
*	Case Manag	ement. 1 pr	pject(s) to serve 75 families.	*	1 project(s) served 169 families		\$1,550,428
*	Comply with	n Domestic	Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	52 individuals indicated danger.		
				*	49 individuals granted a waiver from program re	quirements.	
*	50 % Combi	ned "All Fa	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed		
				*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged E 76 Unsubsidized Employment	By Activity[1]	_
					0 Subsidized Employment		_
					16 Work Experience		_
					11 Community Service		_
				-	0 On-The-Job Training		
					16 Job Readiness Activities		
					10 Job Search		
					11 Vocational Education		
					2 Job Skills Training		
					12 Education Related to Employment		
					0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sc	hool	
					0 Other		
				*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[_
				*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4]		_
				*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE		_
					Comonieu Engagement Rate (TAMP & SN MOE	<i>/////////////////////////////////////</i>	
 							\neg

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

		PERFORMANCE AN	ND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ŀ	CRIE		
1. Program nan	e 2. SFY	3. 4. Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle				5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08		ation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$1,337 transferred to SFY 08-09 Welfare Other than Title XX, \$4,303,535 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional dential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcom	es As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Transitio	al Opportuni	ies Program (TOP). 1 project(s) to serve 1100 families.	*	1 project(s) served 17,595 families		\$15,759,450
* Drug and	Alcohol Enha	nced. 2 project(s) to serve 1585 families.	*	2 project(s) served 482 families		
* Comply	vith Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	662 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		
			*	336 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt		
			*	44 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exemption	pt from employment activities.[0]	
* Comply	vith Domestic	Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	510 individuals indicated danger.		
			*	334 individuals granted a waiver from program r	equirements.	
* 50 % Co	abinad "All F	amilies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed	[6] 5.535	-
30 % Col	ioned An I		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged B		-
				1,208 Unsubsidized Employment		
				223 Subsidized Employment		
				846 Work Experience		
				1 Community Service		
				1 On-The-Job Training		
				11 Job Readiness Activities		
				11 Job Search		
				13 Vocational Education		
				0 Job Skills Training		
				162 Education Related to Employment		
			_	7 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sch	nool	
				0 Other	21.004	
				Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2		_
			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 3/.8%	

	*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 2,016	
	*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 55.1%	

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

		PERFORMANCE AN	ND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			Ε	SSEX		
1. Program name					5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2007-08	\$459,759 (Amount equals \$1,218,788 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Su less \$442,684 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$316,345 t Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer	ransferr	ed to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
		· ·				8.
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcon	nes As Of :''03/31/2009''	Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Comply with	h Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	9 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		\$470,838
			*	1 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from	m employment activities.[0]	
			*	0 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exemp	t from employment activities.[0]	
* 50 % Comb	ined "All F	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged E	By Activity[1]	_
				11 Unsubsidized Employment		_
				0 Subsidized Employment		_
				9 Work Experience 1 Community Service		_
				0 On-The-Job Training		_
				0 Job Readiness Activities		_
				1 Job Search		_
				0 Vocational Education		
			+	3 Job Skills Training		
			+	0 Education Related to Employment		
			+	0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sc	hool	-
			+	0 Other		-
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 10	7
			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE	E)[3] 29.1%	
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4]	18	7
			*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 50.1%	
]

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX							
FRANKLIN								
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level C			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	2007-08	\$577,875 (Amount equals \$1,811,622 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in 1 less \$872,747 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$3 Transfer, \$25,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence ar	in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, \$336,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care			Allocation		
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcome	es As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''		
* Transitional	Opportunit	ies Program (TOP). 1 project(s) to serve 60 families.	*	1 project(s) served 328 families		\$577,875		
* Domestic V	* Domestic Violence Enhanced. 1 project(s) to serve 110 families.			1 project(s) served 622 families				
* Drug and A	* Drug and Alcohol Enhanced. 1 project(s) to serve 566 families.			project(s) served 750 families				
* Life Skills/Mentoring. 2 project(s) to serve 465 families.			*	2 project(s) served 541 families				
* Specialized	* Specialized Services for Adults. 2 project(s) to serve 96 families.			2 project(s) served 272 families				
* Specialized Services for Children. 1 project(s) to serve 30 families.			*	1 project(s) served 15 families	-			
* Other. 1 pro	ject(s) to se	rve 180 families.	*	1 project(s) served 468 families				
* 50 % Comb	ined "All Fa	amilies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed	[6] 101	-		
		-	*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity[1]				
				32 Unsubsidized Employment				
				3 Subsidized Employment				
				22 Work Experience				
	7 Community Service							
				0 On-The-Job Training				
				2 Job Readiness Activities				
				4 Job Search		_		
				2 Vocational Education		_		
				2 Job Skills Training		_		
				1 Education Related to Employment	1	_		
				1 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School O Other	001	_		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2]	1.27	_		
			Ŷ	Inumber of TAINF & SIN MOE Countable Cases[2]	37			

	*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 45.3%					
	*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 58					
	*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 70.1%					
[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.							
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.							
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.							
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.							
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):							
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.							
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.	ents that were comple	ed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC st	aff and does not include assessments				
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly av for April to December 2007.	verage for the period A	pril 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based	on monthly average data available				
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.							
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitiona Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Serv							
			0				

		PERFORMANCE	AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			FU	LTON		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation I	Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS2007-08\$554,830 (Amount equals \$2,394,982 allocation, plus \$8,152 transferred in from \$\$FFFS2007-08\$554,830 (Amount equals \$2,394,982 allocation, plus \$8,152 transferred in from \$\$Care Transfer, \$1,678,170 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$170 Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred in \$\$			X, \$170,134	transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcom	es As Of :"03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Case Manag	gement. 1 pi	oject(s) to serve 60 families.	*	1 project(s) served 195 families		\$611,130
* Comply wit	h Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.		43 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		
			*	1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt fro	m employment activities.[0]	
			*	0 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exempt	from employment activities.[0]	
* Comply wit	h Domestic	Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	27 individuals indicated danger.		
			*	1 individuals granted a waiver from program req	uirements.	
* 50 % Comb	ined "All F	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed	[6] 58	-
50 % 00110			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged B		
				13 Unsubsidized Employment		
				0 Subsidized Employment		
				15 Work Experience		
				0 Community Service		
				0 On-The-Job Training		
				2 Job Readiness Activities		
				7 Job Search		
				1 Vocational Education		_
				6 Job Skills Training		_
				3 Education Related to Employment	1	_
				1 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sch 0 Other	1001	_
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases	01 17	_
			*	Inumber of TAINF & SIN MOE Countable Cases[2	.] 1/	

	*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 46.7%]		
	*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 30			
	*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 81.5%			
[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed	sed as having substa	nce abuse problems.			
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent w	vork activities consi	stent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.			
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in c	countable work acti	vities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards t	he federal participation rate.		
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not cor	onsistent with federa	l methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.			
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work	k activities (both co	intable and not countable):			
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable case	es during the period	divided by federal participation rate denominator.			
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessment nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.	nts that were comple	ted by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff	and does not include assessments		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly aver for April to December 2007.	erage for the period	April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on	monthly average data available		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.					
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.					
			0		

		PERFORMAN	CE AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			GE	NESEE		
1. Program name					4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS\$384,061 (Amount equals \$1,540,612 allocation, plus \$9,382 transferred in from FFFS, less \$752,500 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$412 Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 tran		le XX, \$412,000 tr	ansferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation	
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcome	es As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Comply wit	h Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	46 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		\$384,062
			*	11 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt fro	m employment activities.[0]	
			*	1 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exempt	from employment activities.[0]	
* Comply wit	h Domestic	Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	0 individuals indicated danger.	licated danger.	
			*	0 individuals granted a waiver from program requ	irements.	
* 50 % Comb	ined "All Fa	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed	[6] 45	
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By	Activity[1]	
				22 Unsubsidized Employment		
				0 Subsidized Employment		
				9 Work Experience		_
				1 Community Service		_
				0 On-The-Job Training 14 Job Readiness Activities		_
				3 Job Search		_
				0 Vocational Education		_
				0 Job Skills Training		
				0 Education Related to Employment		
				0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sch	ool	
				0 Other		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2]	19	-
			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)	[3] 23.9%	

*	*]	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 41			
*		Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 50%			
[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having subs	stand	ze abuse problems.			
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities con-	nsist	ent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.			
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work ac	ctivi	ties for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal part	icipation rate.		
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with feder	eral 1	nethodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.			
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both co	coun	table and not countable):			
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the perio	od di	vided by federal participation rate denominator.			
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were comp nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.	plete	d by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not in	clude assessment		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period for April to December 2007.	od Aj	pril 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly avera	ge data available		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.					
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Pro Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children ar					

		PERFORMAN	CE AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			GR	EENE		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3 Appropria	8. tion Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08 \$364,000 (Amount equals \$2,011,666 allocation, plus \$7,452 transferred in FFFS, less \$1,328,118 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title X2 Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$			transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcome	es As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Drug and A	lcohol Enha	nced. 1 project(s) to serve 120 families.	*	1 project(s) served 66 families		\$381,456
		2 project(s) to serve 75 families.	*	2 project(s) served 152 families		
* Comply wit	h Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	47 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		
			*	2 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from	n employment activities.[0]	
			*	1 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exempt	from employment activities.[0]	-
* 50 % Comb	ined "All Fa	amilies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed	[6] 8	
		*	*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By	Activity[1]	
				40 Unsubsidized Employment		
				0 Subsidized Employment		
				3 Work Experience		
				0 Community Service		
				0 On-The-Job Training		
				2 Job Readiness Activities		
				7 Job Search		
				1 Vocational Education		
				0 Job Skills Training		
				0 Education Related to Employment		
				0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sch	ool	
				0 Other		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2]		
			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4]	46	

	* Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 43%							
[0] Th)] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.							
[1] Nı	Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.							
[2] Re	presents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.							
[3] Co	mbined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.							
[4] Re	presents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):							
[5] Co	mbined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.							
	e employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.							
	1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available oril to December 2007.							
	2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported leral or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.							
	3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy ies/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.							
	0							

		PERFORMANCE	AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
]	HAMIL	TON		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation L	evel		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08 \$27,652 (Amount equals \$150,832 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$22,530 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$100,650 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$0 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation	
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes	s As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Comply with	h Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	0 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		\$41,235
			*	0 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from	employment activities.[0]	
			*	0 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exempt f	rom employment activities.[0]	
* 50 % Comb	ined "All F	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6	6] 0	
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By	Activity[1]	
				1 Unsubsidized Employment		
				0 Subsidized Employment		
				0 Work Experience 0 Community Service		
				0 On-The-Job Training		
				0 Job Readiness Activities		
				0 Job Search		
				0 Vocational Education		
				0 Job Skills Training		
				0 Education Related to Employment		
				0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Scho	ool	7
				0 Other		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2]		
			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4]		
			*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 40%	

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

		PERFORMANCE AN	DA	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		HE	RKI	MER		
1. 2. 3. Program name SFY Appropriation Level FFFS 2007-08 \$358,773 (Amount equals \$1,857,494 allocation, plus \$9,118 transferred in from S 09 FFFS, less \$1,128,304 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$376 Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$077 Stransfer \$1,000 FFFS, less \$1,128,304 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$070 FFFS					4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
				00 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcom	ues As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Case Manag	gement. 1 pr	oject(s) to serve 16 families.	*	1 project(s) served 123 families		\$363,493
* Specialized	Services for	r Adults. 1 project(s) to serve 25 families.	*	1 project(s) served 0 families		
* Specialized	Services for	r Children. 1 project(s) to serve 26 families.	*	1 project(s) served 0 families		
* Comply wit	h Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	64 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		
			*	4 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt fro	m employment activities.[0]	
			*	0 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exempt	from employment activities.[0]	
* Comply wit	th Domestic	Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	1 individuals indicated danger.		
			*	1 individuals granted a waiver from program req	uirements.	
* 50.0/ Com	ined "All E	milios" Darticipation Data	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed	[6] 148	-
· 50 % Collic	onneu An Fa	amilies" Participation Rate	*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged B		-
				21 Unsubsidized Employment	y neuvry[1]	-
				0 Subsidized Employment		
				8 Work Experience		
				0 Community Service		
				0 On-The-Job Training		
				53 Job Readiness Activities		
				1 Job Search		
				1 Vocational Education		
				0 Job Skills Training		
				0 Education Related to Employment		
				1 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Scl	nool	
				0 Other		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2		
			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 21.4%	

	*]	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 73					
	* (Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 91.6%					
[0] This	his reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance	ce abuse problems.					
[1] Num	Jumber of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consiste	ent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.					
[2] Repr	epresents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activity	ties for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.					
[3] Com	combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal r	methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.					
[4] Repr	Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both coun	table and not countable):					
[5] Com	combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period di	ivided by federal participation rate denominator.					
	6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments or reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.						
for Apri	ote 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available or April to December 2007.						

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

		PERFORMANCE AN	D A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		JEF	FEF	RSON		
1. Program name						
FFFS	FFFS\$391,261 (Amount equals \$4,169,063 allocation, plus \$28,319 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$243,482 transferred to SFY 08- 09 FFFS, less \$2,160,535 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$652,104 transferred to Title XX, \$750,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).10/1/0					Allocation
			-			
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcome	es As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Case Manag	ement. 1 pr	oject(s) to serve 22 families.	*	1 project(s) served 163 families		\$391,261
* Transitional	Opportunit	ies Program (TOP). 1 project(s) to serve 384 families.	*	1 project(s) served 150 families		
* Specialized	Services for	Adults. 2 project(s) to serve 60 families.	*	2 project(s) served 88 families		
* Specialized	Services for	Children. 1 project(s) to serve 153 families.	*	1 project(s) served 132 families		
* Other. 5 pro	ject(s) to se	rve 200 families.	*	5 project(s) served 0 families		
* Comply with	n Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	85 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		
			*	5 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from	1 2 2 3	
			*	3 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exempt	from employment activities.[0]	
* Comply with	n Domestic	Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	4 individuals indicated danger.		
			*	3 individuals granted a waiver from program requ	irements.	
* 50 % Combi	ined "All Fa	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed	[6] 150	
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By	Activity[1]	
				20 Unsubsidized Employment		
				0 Subsidized Employment		
				11 Work Experience		_
				2 Community Service		_
├				0 On-The-Job Training		
				10 Job Readiness Activities		
				1 Job Search 0 Vocational Education		_
				1 Job Skills Training		
				0 Education Related to Employment		
├ ─- ├ ────				1 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sch	ool	
├ ─- ├ ────				0 Other	001	

*	*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 19	
*	*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 29.7%	
*	*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 37	
*	*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 60.1%	

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

		PERFORMANCE	E AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			LEW	IS		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	09 FFFS, less \$576,776 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title	286,672 (Amount equals \$1,053,866 allocation, plus \$3,944 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$33,362 transferred to SFY 08- 9 FFFS, less \$576,776 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$161,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child are Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			Allocation
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcome	es As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Case Manag	gement. 1 pr	oject(s) to serve 20 families.	*	1 project(s) served 202 families		\$304,352
* Healthy Fan	nilies/Home	Visiting. 1 project(s) to serve 20 families.	*	1 project(s) served 4 families		
* Specialized	Services fo	r Children. 2 project(s) to serve 125 families.	*	2 project(s) served 1082 families		
* 50 % Combi	ined "All F	amilies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By	Activity[1]	
				4 Unsubsidized Employment		
				0 Subsidized Employment		
				5 Work Experience		
				2 Community Service		
				0 On-The-Job Training		
				6 Job Readiness Activities 2 Job Search		
		0 Vocational Education			_	
				1 Job Skills Training		
				1 Education Related to Employment 0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sch	aal	
				0 Other	001	_
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2	16	
			*			
			*	-		
			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)	13	

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX							
	LIVINGSTON							
1. Program name					4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS		\$661,595 (Amount equals \$2,015,676 allocation, plus \$13,919 transferred in from FFFS, less \$997,000 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$371, Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 trans	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation				
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcom	nes As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''		
* Case Manag	ement. 1 pr	oject(s) to serve 30 families.	*	1 project(s) served 145 families		\$663,001		
* Emergency/	Diversion. 2	2 project(s) to serve 215 families.	*	2 project(s) served 934 families				
* Healthy Fan	nilies/Home	Visiting. 1 project(s) to serve 20 families.	*	1 project(s) served 10 families				
* Comply with	h Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	42 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.				
			*	7 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt fro	om employment activities.[0]			
			*	2 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exemp	ot from employment activities.[0]			
* Comply with	h Domestic	Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	6 individuals indicated danger.				
			*	0 individuals granted a waiver from program rec	quirements.			
* 50 % Comb	ined "All Fa	umilies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed	d [6] 78	-		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged I	By Activity[1]			
				18 Unsubsidized Employment				
				0 Subsidized Employment				
				6 Work Experience				
				0 Community Service				
				0 On-The-Job Training				
			<u> </u>	0 Job Readiness Activities		_		
				3 Job Search		_		
				1 Vocational Education		_		
				5 Job Skills Training		_		
				1 Education Related to Employment	hool	_		
├──			+	1 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sc 0 Other	11001			
<u>├</u>			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases	21 12	_		
<u>├</u> ─- ────				Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOI		_		
<u> </u>				Comonicu i articipation Rate (TANF & SN MOI	a)[J] 13.770			

	*	*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 25				
	*	*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 30.2%				
[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.							
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.							
[2] Re	resents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work ac	ctiv	ities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.				
[3] Co	bined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with feder	eral	methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.				
[4] Re	resents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both co	cour	ntable and not countable):				
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.							
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.							
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.							

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

0

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX						
		M	ADIS	SON			
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2007-08	\$405,676 (Amount equals \$1,841,907 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Sur less \$1,176,281 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$259,950 Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferre	rred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation		
						8.	
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcome	es As Of :''03/31/2009''	Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''	
* Domestic V	iolence Enh	anced. 1 project(s) to serve 25 families.	*	1 project(s) served 26 families		\$421,209	
* Other. 1 pro	ject(s) to se	ve 365 families.	*	1 project(s) served 282 families			
* Comply wit	n Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	9 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.			
			*	2 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from	n employment activities.[0]		
			*	0 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exempt	from employment activities.[0]		
* 50 % Comb	ined "All Fa	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed			
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By	Activity[1]	_	
			_	18 Unsubsidized Employment		_	
				0 Subsidized Employment		_	
			_	4 Work Experience		_	
				0 Community Service		_	
				0 On-The-Job Training		_	
				14 Job Readiness Activities		_	
				3 Job Search		_	
				3 Vocational Education		_	
				0 Job Skills Training		_	
				0 Education Related to Employment		_	
				0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sch	001	_	
			-1-	0 Other	1.1.4	_	
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2		_	
			* •	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)			
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4]	30		

* Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 75.7%						
)] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.						
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.						
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.						
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.						
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):						
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.						
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.						
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.						
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.						
ote 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy amilies/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.						
0						

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX							
	MONROE							
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	2007-08	\$7,362,200 (Amount equals \$22,697,482 allocation, plus \$109,852 transferred in f 09 FFFS, less \$12,808,039 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, Child Care Transfer, \$171,133 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation				
			-					
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcom	es As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''		
* Child Only	Services. 1	project(s) to serve 2700 families.	*	1 project(s) served 33,180 families		\$7,630,077		
* Emergency/	Diversion.	project(s) to serve 2000 families.	*	1 project(s) served 1,699 families				
* 50 % Comb	ined "All Fa	milies" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed				
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged B 1,415 Unsubsidized Employment	y Activity[1]	_		
				74 Subsidized Employment		_		
				420 Work Experience		_		
				1 Community Service		_		
				3 On-The-Job Training				
				140 Job Readiness Activities				
				27 Job Search				
				83 Vocational Education				
				21 Job Skills Training				
				126 Education Related to Employment				
				22 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Second	chool			
				3 Other				
				Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2				
				Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE				
				Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4]				
			*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 42.9%	'		

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX							
	MONTGOMERY							
1. Program name					4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	2007-08	FFFS, less \$696,054 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$252,	hals \$1,460,312 allocation, plus \$8,070 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 udgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$252,000 transferred to Title XX, \$200,000 in additional 0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).					
			T					
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcon	nes As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''		
* Emergency	Diversion.	project(s) to serve 88 families.	*	1 project(s) served 765 families		\$320,328		
* Specialized	Services for	Adults. 1 project(s) to serve 825 families.	*	1 project(s) served 584 families				
* Comply wit	h Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	164 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.				
			*	4 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from	m employment activities.[0]			
			*	3 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exemp				
* Comply wit	h Domestic	Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	0 individuals indicated danger.				
			*	0 individuals granted a waiver from program req	uirements.			
* 50 % Comb	ined "All Fa	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed	[6] 45			
		*	*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged E	By Activity[1]			
				29 Unsubsidized Employment				
				0 Subsidized Employment				
				4 Work Experience				
				0 Community Service				
				0 On-The-Job Training				
				4 Job Readiness Activities				
				9 Job Search				
				0 Vocational Education				
				0 Job Skills Training		_		
				1 Education Related to Employment		_		
				1 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sc	hool	_		
				0 Other	21.10	_		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[_		
			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE		_		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4]	41			

Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 43.2%

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX							
	NASSAU							
1. Program name					4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	2007-08	\$2,575,000 (Amount equals \$16,403,817 allocation, plus \$61,921 transferred in fror FFFS, less \$8,805,738 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$4,200 additional Child Care Transfer, \$10,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Vi Contracts).	transferred to Title XX, \$875,000 in	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation			
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes A	As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''		
* Comply with	n Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	2,951 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		\$3,297,766		
			*	15 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from				
			*	3 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exempt fro	om employment activities.[0]			
* Comply with	* Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		*	121 individuals indicated danger.				
			*	66 individuals granted a waiver from program requir	rements.			
* 50 % Comb	ned "All Fa	milies" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6]				
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By A 411 Unsubsidized Employment	ctivity[1]	_		
<u> </u>				0 Subsidized Employment		_		
				113 Work Experience				
				0 Community Service				
				0 On-The-Job Training		-		
				43 Job Readiness Activities				
				181 Job Search				
				73 Vocational Education				
				1 Job Skills Training				
				9 Education Related to Employment				
				1 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School	1			
				0 Other				
				Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 4		_		
			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 73	-	4		
				number of TAMP & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] /3	4			

Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 52.1%

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX						
	NIAGARA						
1. Program name					4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS2007-08\$2,179,108 (Amount equals \$6,409,931 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Suless \$3,230,823 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,000,000 Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred				erred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation	
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcom	nes As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''	
* Transitional	l Opportunit	ies Program (TOP). 1 project(s) to serve 220 families.	*	1 project(s) served 4,413 families		\$2,183,810	
* Life Skills/	Mentoring. 1	project(s) to serve 160 families.	*	1 project(s) served 196 families			
* Specialized	Services for	Adults. 1 project(s) to serve 75 families.	*	1 project(s) served 870 families			
-		Children. 1 project(s) to serve 120 families.	*	1 project(s) served 286 families			
* Comply wit	h Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	133 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.			
				27 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt fr			
			*	5 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exempt	from employment activities.[0]		
* Comply wit	h Domestic	Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	50 individuals indicated danger.			
			*	16 individuals granted a waiver from program re-	quirements.		
* 50 % Comb	ined "All Fa	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed	[6] 575		
		Ĩ	*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged B	y Activity[1]		
				207 Unsubsidized Employment			
				3 Subsidized Employment			
				84 Work Experience			
				0 Community Service			
				1 On-The-Job Training			
				9 Job Readiness Activities			
				27 Job Search		_	
				19 Vocational Education		_	
↓				0 Job Skills Training		_	
				8 Education Related to Employment	acal		
				4 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sch 0 Other	1001		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases	21 102		
				Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE	-	-	
				Comonicu i arucipation Rate (TAINF & SN MOE	J[J] 27.170		

		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 318				
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 49.2%				
[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.							
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.							
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.							
[3] Co	pined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with feder	eral	methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.				
[4] Re	esents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both	cou	ntable and not countable):				
[5] Co	[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.						
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.							
	Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.						

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX FOR						
			0	NEI	DA		
	1.2.3.4.Program nameSFYAppropriation LevelContract/Award Cycle					5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS2007-08\$2,935,900 (Amount equals \$8,844,995 allocation, plus \$28,767 transferred in fro 09 FFFS, less \$4,145,953 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$ Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0					147 transferred to Title XX \$0 in additional	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
				—			8.
			6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcome	es As Of :''03/31/2009''	o. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Cas	se Manage	ement. 1 pr	oject(s) to serve 200 families.	*	1 project(s) served 2,385 families		\$2,964,085
* Tra	ansitional	Opportunit	ies Program (TOP). 1 project(s) to serve 1,700 families.	*	1 project(s) served 3,319 families		
* Spe	ecialized S	Services for	Adults. 2 project(s) to serve 470 families.	*	2 project(s) served 410 families		
* Spe	ecialized S	Services for	Children. 1 project(s) to serve 276 families.	*	1 project(s) served 343 families		
* Cor	mply with	Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	210 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		
				*	28 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from	om employment activities.[0]	
				*	19 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exemp	t from employment activities.[0]	
* Cor	mply with	Domestic	Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	80 individuals indicated danger.		
	1,5			*	16 individuals granted a waiver from program req	uirements.	-
* 50 9	% Combin	ned "All Fa	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed		
				*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By	/ Activity[1]	_
					270 Unsubsidized Employment		
					3 Subsidized Employment		_
				_	92 Work Experience 3 Community Service		_
$\left - \right $					0 On-The-Job Training		
┝──┼──					108 Job Readiness Activities		
\vdash					0 Job Search		-
$ \vdash \vdash $					7 Vocational Education		
\vdash					1 Job Skills Training		
					36 Education Related to Employment		
┣──╂──					6 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sch	ool	
					0 Other	001	-
┝──┼──				*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2]	1 240	
┣──╂──				*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)		
					comonice rancipation Rate (Trivi & SiVMOE)	[5] 5170	I

		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 426					
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 55.1%					
[0] Th)] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.							
[1] Nı	1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.							
[2] Re	2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.							
[3] Co	nbined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal	methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.					
[4] Re	[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):							
[5] Co	nbined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period	divided by federal participation rate denominator.					
	6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.							
	Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available or April to December 2007.							
	2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.							
	te 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy nilies/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.							

		PERFORMANCE A	ND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		0	NOND	AGA		
1. 2. 3.					4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	FFFS\$7,028,741 (Amount equals \$14,724,289 allocation, plus \$62,656 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$5,815,795 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,942,409 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).10/1/06-3/31/10					Allocation
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes	s As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Transition	al Opportunit	ies Program (TOP). 1 project(s) to serve 7,064 families.	*	1 project(s) served 7,147 families		\$7,123,591
* Child Onl	y Services. 1	project(s) to serve 356 families.	*	1 project(s) served 338 families		
* Domestic	Violence Enh	anced. 1 project(s) to serve 145 families.	*	1 project(s) served 142 families		
* Drug and	Alcohol Enha	nced. 3 project(s) to serve 6,010 families.	*	3 project(s) served 5,186 families		
* Emergenc	y/Diversion.	1 project(s) to serve 237 families.	*	1 project(s) served 82 families		
* Healthy F	amilies/Home	visiting. 1 project(s) to serve 1,679 families.	*	* 1 project(s) served 872 families		
* Life Skills	/Mentoring. 2	2 project(s) to serve 2,330 families.	*	2 project(s) served 1612 families		
* Specialize	d Services for	r Adults. 1 project(s) to serve 134 families.	*	1 project(s) served 75 families		
* Specialize	d Services fo	r Children. 1 project(s) to serve 46 families.	*	1 project(s) served 50 families		
* Other. 2 p	roject(s) to se	rve 119 families.	*	2 project(s) served 314 families		
* Comply w	ith Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	1,434 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		
			*	18 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from	n employment activities.[0]	
			*	16 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exempt	from employment activities.[0]	
* Comply w	ith Domestic	Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	69 individuals indicated danger.		
			*	54 individuals granted a waiver from program requ	irements.	
* 50 % Con	bined "All Fa	amilies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By	Activity[1]	
				424 Unsubsidized Employment		_
				0 Subsidized Employment		_
				254 Work Experience		
				1 Community Service		
				3 On-The-Job Training		
				53 Job Readiness Activities		
				43 Job Search		

		49 Vocational Education
		90 Job Skills Training
		33 Education Related to Employment
		11 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School
		0 Other
	*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 452
	*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 37.1%
	*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 677
	*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 55.6%

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

		PERFORMANCE	E AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ONTA	RIO		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level Co			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	\$1,076,066 (Amount equals \$2,442,998 allocation, plus \$10,336 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$787,268 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$390,000 transferred to Title XX, \$200,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
						8.
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcom	es As Of :''03/31/2009''	Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Other. 2 proj	ect(s) to se	rve 170 families.	*	2 project(s) served 143 families		\$1,101,011
* Comply with	n Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	42 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		
			*	6 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities.[0]		
			*	1 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exempt	from employment activities.[0]	
* Comply with	n Domestic	Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	40 individuals indicated danger.		
			*	16 individuals granted a waiver from program rec	juirements.	
* 50 % Combi	ned "All Fa	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed	[6] 287	-
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged B	y Activity[1]	
				66 Unsubsidized Employment		
				0 Subsidized Employment		
				11 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service			
				0 On-The-Job Training		
				15 Job Readiness Activities		
				40 Job Search		
				3 Vocational Education		_
				5 Job Skills Training		_
				4 Education Related to Employment 0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sch	ool	
				0 Other	1001	
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2	170	-
			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)		

	*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 122					
	*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 67.6%					
	-1						
[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having su							
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities c	consis	tent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.					
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work	activ	ities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation r	ate.				
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with fee	deral	methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.					
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both	h cou	ntable and not countable):					
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the per	eriod o	livided by federal participation rate denominator.					
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.							
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.							
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.							
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.							
			0				

		PERFORMAN	CE AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ORAN	IGE		
1. Program name	2. SFY	Appropriat	3. Appropriation Level			5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	026,942 (Amount equals \$9,207,025 allocation, plus \$31,046 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FS, less \$4,521,226 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,689,903 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child re Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcome	es As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Case Manag	ement. 7 pr	oject(s) to serve 489 families.	*	7 project(s) served 4,863 families		\$3,027,052
* Child Only S	ervices. 1	project(s) to serve 24 families.	*	1 project(s) served 24 families		
* Comply with	Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	67 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		
			*	22 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from	m employment activities.[0]	
			*	13 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exemp	t from employment activities.[0]	
* Comply with	Domestic	Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	115 individuals indicated danger.		_
			*	50 individuals granted a waiver from program req	uirements.	
* 50 % Combi	ned "All Es	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed	[6] 916	
50 % Combi			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By		<u> </u>
				264 Unsubsidized Employment		_
				1 Subsidized Employment		
				68 Work Experience		
				0 Community Service		
				1 On-The-Job Training		
				46 Job Readiness Activities		
				1 Job Search		
				17 Vocational Education		
				2 Job Skills Training		
				33 Education Related to Employment		
				2 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sch	ool	
				1 Other		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2	244	

	*	* (Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 39.9%				
	*		Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 343				
	*	* (Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 56.2%				
[0] This 1	ects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having subs	stand	ce abuse problems.				
[1] Num	of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities con-	nsiste	ent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.				
[2] Repre	nts the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work ac	ctivi	ties for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal	participation rate.			
[3] Com	ed participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with feder	eral 1	nethodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.				
[4] Repre	nts the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both co	coun	table and not countable):				
[5] Com	[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.						
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.							
	Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.						
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported							
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.							
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy							
Families	Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.						
				0			

		PERFORMA	NCE AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ORLE	ANS		
1. 2. 3. Program name SFY Appropriation Level FFFS 2007-08 \$518,079 (Amount equals \$1,250,756 allocation, plus \$7,230 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-0 FFFS 2007-08 \$518,079 (Amount equals \$1,250,756 allocation, plus \$7,230 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-0 Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$196,190 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).				4.		5. Procurement Methodology
				ransferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
	-					-
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcon	nes As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Comply wit	h Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	32 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		\$518,079
			*	4 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from	om employment activities.[0]	
			*	3 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exemp	t from employment activities.[0]	
* 50 % Comb	ined "All Fa	amilies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed	1 [6] 205	-
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged E	By Activity[1]	
				38 Unsubsidized Employment		
				0 Subsidized Employment		
				34 Work Experience		
				2 Community Service		
				0 On-The-Job Training 8 Job Readiness Activities		
				0 Job Search		
				7 Vocational Education		
				0 Job Skills Training		
				0 Education Related to Employment		
				2 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sc	hool	
				0 Other		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 36	
1			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE		-1
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4]	-	
			*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE	2)[5] 63.8%	
						_
						_

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

		PERFORMANCE AN	ND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		0	SWE	GO		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS		\$1,223,049 (Amount equals \$5,051,079 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from S FFFS, less \$2,889,237 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$8 Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 tra	385,000	transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals	Τ	7. Performance/ Accountability Outcome	s As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Transitional	Opportunit	es Program (TOP). 1 project(s) to serve 280 families.	*	1 project(s) served 2,147 families		\$1,432,618
* Child Only	Services. 1	project(s) to serve 55 families.	*	1 project(s) served 557 families		
* Specialized	Services for	Children. 2 project(s) to serve 120 families.	*	2 project(s) served 238 families		
* Comply wit	h Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	102 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		
				23 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt fro		
			*	2 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exempt	from employment activities.[0]	
* Comply wit	h Domestic	Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		38 individuals indicated danger.		
			*	29 individuals granted a waiver from program req	uirements.	_
* 50 % Comb	ined "All Ea	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 263	
50 /0 Comb			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By		_
				60 Unsubsidized Employment		
				3 Subsidized Employment		
				28 Work Experience		
				1 Community Service		
				0 On-The-Job Training		
				45 Job Readiness Activities		
				47 Job Search		
			_	11 Vocational Education		_
				1 Job Skills Training		_
				2 Education Related to Employment	1	_
				2 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School 0 Other	001	_
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2]	54	_
			·	rumber of TANE & SN MOE Countable Cases[2]	J 1	

	*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 24.5%				
	*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 138				
	*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 62.4%				
[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as havir	ig substa	nce abuse problems.				
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activit	les consi	stent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.				
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable w	ork acti	vities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the	federal participation rate.			
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	h federa	l methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.				
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities	both co	intable and not countable):				
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during th	e period	divided by federal participation rate denominator.				
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.	e comple	ted by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and	d does not include assessments			
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the for April to December 2007.	period	April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on mo	onthly average data available			
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.						
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunit	ies Prog	ram, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emerge	ency/Diversion, Healthy			
			С			

		PERFORMANCE AN	D A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		O'	TSE	GO		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	FFFS2007-08\$503,144 (Amount equals \$2,055,816 allocation, plus \$9,000 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$1,211,672 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$350,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation	
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcom	nes As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Case Mana	gement. 2 pr	oject(s) to serve 58 families.	*	2 project(s) served 5 families		\$509,696
* Emergency	Diversion.	project(s) to serve 13 families.	*	1 project(s) served 27 families		
* Healthy Fai	milies/Home	Visiting. 1 project(s) to serve 458 families.	*	1 project(s) served 734 families		
* Life Skills/	Mentoring. 1	project(s) to serve 14 families.	*	1 project(s) served 22 families		
		Adults. 1 project(s) to serve 18 families.	*	1 project(s) served 37 families		
* Specialized	Services for	Children. 2 project(s) to serve 190 families.	*	2 project(s) served 218 families		
* Comply wi	th Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	85 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		
			*	1 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt fro		
			*	0 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exemp	t from employment activities.[0]	
* Comply wi	th Domestic	Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	2 individuals indicated danger.		
			*	1 individuals granted a waiver from program rec	juirements.	
* 50 % Com	vined "All Fe	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed	1 [6] 19	-
50 % Collic	All Pa		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged H		
				6 Unsubsidized Employment		
				0 Subsidized Employment		
				1 Work Experience		
				0 Community Service		
				0 On-The-Job Training		
				2 Job Readiness Activities		
				1 Job Search		
				0 Vocational Education		
				0 Job Skills Training		
				0 Education Related to Employment		
				0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sc	hool	

			0 Other					
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 4					
		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 30.2%					
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 7					
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 59.7%					
[0] Th	reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having sub-	bstar	nce abuse problems.					
[1] Nı	ber of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities co	onsis	stent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.					
[2] Re	resents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work a	activ	vities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.					
[3] Co	bined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with fed	leral	methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.					
[4] Re	resents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both	cou	ntable and not countable):					
[5] Co	bined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the per-	riod o	divided by federal participation rate denominator.					
	employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were com- sessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.	nplet	ted by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessmen					
for Ap	Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.							
	Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.							
	Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.							
	0							

		PERFORMA		ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			PUTN	NAM		
1. Program name	2. SFY	Арргори	3. riation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$275,585 (Amount equals \$1,063,044 allocation, plus \$2,184 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$433,843 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$355,800 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child		10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation		
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcor	nes As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Case Manag	ement. 1 pr	oject(s) to serve 85 families.	*	1 project(s) served 238 families		\$277,706
* Comply with	n Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	9 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		
			*	2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt fro	om employment activities.[0]	
			*	0 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exemp	ot from employment activities.[0]	
					1521.54	
* 50 % Combi	ined "All Fa	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged I		
				6 Unsubsidized Employment		
				0 Subsidized Employment		_
				2 Work Experience		
				0 Community Service		
				0 On-The-Job Training		
				3 Job Readiness Activities		
				3 Job Search		
				0 Vocational Education		
				0 Job Skills Training		
				1 Education Related to Employment		
				0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sc	chool	
				0 Other		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases		
			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOI		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4		
			*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOR	E)[5] 90.1%	

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

		PERFORMANCE AN	ND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		REN	ISSE	LAER		
1. Program name						5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	FFFS\$721,256 (Amount equals \$4,414,583 allocation, plus \$19,474 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09FFFS, less \$2,390,301 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$582,500 transferred to Title XX, \$740,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).				10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
			T			_
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcome	es As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Transitional	l Opportuni	ties Program (TOP). 1 project(s) to serve 434 families.	*	1 project(s) served 4,887 families		\$833,968
* Comply wit	h Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	134 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		
			*	18 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt fro	m employment activities.[0]	
			*	1 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exempt	from employment activities.[0]	
* Comply wit	h Domestic	Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	* 0 individuals indicated danger.			
			*	* 0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements.		
* 50 % Comb	ined "All F	amilies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed	[6] 518	
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By	Activity[1]	
				195 Unsubsidized Employment		
				0 Subsidized Employment		
			_	33 Work Experience		
				5 Community Service		_
			_	1 On-The-Job Training		_
			_	6 Job Readiness Activities		_
				86 Job Search 40 Vocational Education		_
			_	2 Job Skills Training		_
				3 Education Related to Employment		
				2 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Scho		_
				2 Other	<i>J</i> 01	
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2]	187	
			*			
			*	-		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4]	[3] 31.7%	

Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 54.8%

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

		I ENFORMAN	CE AND A ROCKI	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
1. Program name	2. SFY	3 Appropria	•		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS		2007-08 \$2,180,865 (Amount equals \$6,799,988 allocation, plus \$16,243 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$3,235,366 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,400,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation		
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcome	es As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''	
						\$2,180,865	
* 50 % Combi	ned "All Fa	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed			
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By 72 Unsubsidized Employment	Activity[1]		
				0 Subsidized Employment			
				7 Work Experience		_	
				1 Community Service		-	
				0 On-The-Job Training			
				5 Job Readiness Activities		_	
				17 Job Search			
				3 Vocational Education			
				0 Job Skills Training			
				1 Education Related to Employment			
				0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sch	bol	_	
			*	1 Other	51	_	
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)		_	
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4]			
			*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)			

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

		PERFORMANCE AN	D A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		ST. L	AW	RENCE		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08		ation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$35,322 transferred to SFY 08-09 d Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,096,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child tial Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcome	es As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Specialized	Services fo	Children. 4 project(s) to serve 120 families.	*	4 project(s) served 218 families		\$1,525,956
* Comply with	n Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	26 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		
			*	2 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from	n employment activities.[0]	7
			*	1 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exempt	from employment activities.[0]	
* Comply with	n Domestic	Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	3 individuals indicated danger.		
			*	0 individuals granted a waiver from program requ	irements.	
* 50 % Combi	ned "All Fa	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [[6] 573	
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By	Activity[1]	
			_	85 Unsubsidized Employment		_
			_	1 Subsidized Employment		
			_	45 Work Experience 13 Community Service		
			_	2 On-The-Job Training		_
			_	13 Job Readiness Activities		_
				1 Job Search		
			+	10 Vocational Education		
				1 Job Skills Training		
			1	14 Education Related to Employment		
				1 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Scho	pol	
				0 Other		7
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2]	78	
			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)	[3] 31%	

*	* 1	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 148				
*	* (Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 58.7%				
[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having subs	stanc	ze abuse problems.				
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities con	nsiste	ent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.				
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work ac	ctivit	ties for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.				
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with feder	ral n	nethodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.				
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both c	count	table and not countable):				
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period	od di	vided by federal participation rate denominator.				
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were comp nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.	plete	d by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessment				
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period for April to December 2007.	d Ap	oril 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available				
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.						
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.						

		PERFORMANO	CE AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			SARAT	OGA		
1. Program name					4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	FFFS\$264,000 (Amount equals \$3,491,042 allocation, plus \$10,558 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$2,787,600 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$450,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation	
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcom	es As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Case Manag	ement. 1 pr	oject(s) to serve 1,000 families.	*	1 project(s) served 1,144 families		\$360,969
* Child Only	Services. 1	project(s) to serve 1,400 families.	*	1 project(s) served 1,895 families		
		l project(s) to serve 1,000 families.	*	1 project(s) served 1,226 families		
* Comply with	h Domestic	Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	* 3 individuals indicated danger.		
			*	0 individuals granted a waiver from program requ	irements.	
* 50.0/ Comb	1		*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed	[6] 60	_
* 50 % Comb	ined "All Fa	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged B		
				3 Unsubsidized Employment	Acuvity[1]	
				0 Subsidized Employment		
				1 Work Experience		
				0 Community Service		
				0 On-The-Job Training		
				1 Job Readiness Activities		
				0 Job Search		
				0 Vocational Education		
				0 Job Skills Training		
				0 Education Related to Employment		
				0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sch	ool	
				0 Other		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2		_
			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4]		
			*			_
			*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)	[3] 33.2%	

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

		PERFORMANCE AN	D A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		SCH	ENE	CTADY		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	FS\$909,698 (Amount equals \$5,695,432 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$3,734,221 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,051,513 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation	
		6.		7.		8. Spending As Of:
		Performance/ Accountability Goals		Performance/ Accountability Outcom	es As Of :"03/31/2009"	"03/31/2009"
* Comply with	Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	443 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		\$1,133,068
			*	9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from		
			*	1 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exempt	from employment activities.[0]	
* Comply with	Domestic	Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	81 individuals indicated danger.		
			*	52 individuals granted a waiver from program rea	quirements.	
* 50 % Combi	ned "All Fa	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed	[6] 384	
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged B	y Activity[1]	
			_	93 Unsubsidized Employment		
				0 Subsidized Employment		
			_	68 Work Experience		
				8 Community Service		
			_	0 On-The-Job Training		
				11 Job Readiness Activities		
				19 Job Search 7 Vocational Education		_
				0 Job Skills Training		_
				7 Education Related to Employment		_
				1 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sch	nool	
			+	1 Other	1001	
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2	2] 90	
			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE		—
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4]		
			*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)		

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

		PERFORMANCE AN	D A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		SCH	HOH	ARIE		
1. Program name					4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS2007-08\$443,582 (Amount equals \$1,494,485 allocation, plus \$5,543 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$20,489 transferred to SFY 08- 09 FFFS, less \$629,794 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$395,735 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$10,428 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).					10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcome	es As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Case Manag	gement. 1 pr	oject(s) to serve 50 families.	*	1 project(s) served 238 families		\$447,667
* Life Skills/	Mentoring. 2	project(s) to serve 52 families.	*	2 project(s) served 59 families		
* Specialized	Services for	Adults. 1 project(s) to serve 15 families.	*	1 project(s) served 41 families		
* Specialized	Services for	Children. 1 project(s) to serve 10 families.	*	1 project(s) served 10 families		
* Comply wit	h Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	35 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		
			*	0 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from	n employment activities.[0]	
			*	0 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exempt	from employment activities.[0]	
* Comply wit	h Domestic	Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	0 individuals indicated danger.		
			*	1 individuals granted a waiver from program requ	irements.	
* 50 % Comb	ined "All Fa	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed	[6] 12	
		Ĩ	*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By	Activity[1]	
				5 Unsubsidized Employment		
				0 Subsidized Employment		
				3 Work Experience		
				0 Community Service		
				0 On-The-Job Training		
				4 Job Readiness Activities		
				0 Job Search		
				0 Vocational Education		
				3 Job Skills Training		
				0 Education Related to Employment		
				0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sch	ool	
				2 Other		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 5	

	*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 50.4%				
	*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 10				
	*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 106.2%				
[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having sub	bstar	nce abuse problems.				
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities co	onsis	tent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.				
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work a	activ	ities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.				
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with fed	leral	methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.				
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both	cou	ntable and not countable):				
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period	riod o	divided by federal participation rate denominator.				
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were com- nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.	nplet	ted by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments				
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period for April to December 2007.	od A	April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available				
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.						
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.						
		0				

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX							
	SCHUYLER						
1. Program name	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology				
FFFS	Amount equals \$783,209 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less udgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$205,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, d for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation				
	6.7.formance/ Accountability GoalsPerformance/ Accountability Outcomes As	Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''				
* Domestic Vie	pject(s) to serve 10 families. * 1 project(s) served 0 families		\$257,434				
* Drug and Alc	ect(s) to serve 15 families. * 1 project(s) served 88 families						
* Emergency/E	to serve 30 families. * 2 project(s) served 70 families						
* Healthy Fami	project(s) to serve 5 families. * 1 project(s) served 7 families						
* Specialized S		* 3 project(s) served 34 families					
* Comply with							
	* 0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	•					
	* 0 individuals mandated to treatment– non-exempt from e	employment activities.[0]					
* Comply with	amily Violence Option (FVO) * 8 individuals indicated danger.						
	* 6 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents.					
* 50 % Combin	icipation Rate * Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 9						
	* Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity[1]					
	13 Unsubsidized Employment						
	0 Subsidized Employment						
	2 Work Experience						
	0 Community Service		_				
	0 On-The-Job Training 0 Job Readiness Activities		_				
	0 Job Readiness Activities 0 Job Search		_				
	0 Vocational Education		_				
	0 Job Skills Training		-				
			-				
			_				
	0 Education Related to Employment 0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary School 0 Other						

*	*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 8	
*	*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 19.2%	
*	*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 14	
*	*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 32.6%	

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

		PERFORMA	NCE AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			SENE	CA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	Арргорі	3. riation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS		\$362,567 (Amount equals \$1,931,006 allocation, plus \$5,888 trans FFFS, less \$1,261,173 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other thar Care Transfer, \$31,621 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic V	n Title XX, \$281,533	transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcom	es As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* 50 % Combi	ined "All Fa	amilies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed		\$373,538
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged B	y Activity[1]	
				7 Unsubsidized Employment		_
				0 Subsidized Employment 3 Work Experience		_
				2 Community Service		_
				0 On-The-Job Training		
				0 Job Readiness Activities		_
				1 Job Search		_
				1 Vocational Education		_
				0 Job Skills Training		_
				0 Education Related to Employment		_
				1 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sch	nool	_
				0 Other		-
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2	2] 7	
			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 23.4%	-
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4]	11	
			*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE))[5] 38.3%	

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX							
		ST	EUI	BEN				
1. Program name								
FFFS		\$1,532,600 (Amount equals \$3,966,399 allocation, plus \$19,465 transferred in fr FFFS, less \$1,968,264 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$4 Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 trar	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation				
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcome	es As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''		
* Case Manag	gement. 1 pr	oject(s) to serve 2,420 families.	*	1 project(s) served 1,890 families		\$1,557,097		
* Emergency/	Diversion. 2	2 project(s) to serve 1,500 families.	*	2 project(s) served 1,896 families				
* Healthy Far	nilies/Home	Visiting. 2 project(s) to serve 50 families.	*	2 project(s) served 167 families				
* Specialized	Services for	Children. 1 project(s) to serve 150 families.	*	1 project(s) served 179 families				
* Comply wit	h Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	279 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.				
			*	2 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from	n employment activities.[0]			
			*	1 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exempt	from employment activities.[0]			
* Comply wit	h Domestic	Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	18 individuals indicated danger.		_		
			*	10 individuals granted a waiver from program rec	uirements.			
* 50 % Comb	ined "All Fa	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed	[6] 235			
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged B	Activity[1]			
				94 Unsubsidized Employment				
				0 Subsidized Employment				
				31 Work Experience				
				4 Community Service				
				0 On-The-Job Training				
				3 Job Readiness Activities				
				29 Job Search				
				9 Vocational Education				
				1 Job Skills Training				
				1 Education Related to Employment				
				4 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sch	ool			
				0 Other		_		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 68			

	*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 26.1%				
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 137				
		Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 52.4%				
[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having sub	bstan	nce abuse problems.				
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities co	onsis	tent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.				
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work a	activ	ities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.				
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with fed	leral	methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.				
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both	cou	ntable and not countable):				
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the per	iod d	divided by federal participation rate denominator.				
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were com- nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.	nplet	ed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessmen				
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period for April to December 2007.	od A	April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available				
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State	and	local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported				
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.						
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.						

		PERFORMANC	E AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			SUFF	OLK		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	FFFS2007-08\$6,849,712 (Amount equals \$25,377,057 allocation, plus \$84,843 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$77,620 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$14,133,188 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$4,399,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$2,380 transferred to State Administered Contracts).					Allocation
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcom	es As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Comply with	Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	641 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		\$6,887,619
			*	35 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt fro		
			*	14 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exemp	ot from employment activities.[0]	
* Comply with	* Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		*	189 individuals indicated danger.		
			*	130 individuals granted a waiver from program re	equirements.	
* 50 % Combi	ned "All F	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed	[6] 4,264	_
			*			
				616 Unsubsidized Employment		
				8 Subsidized Employment		
				208 Work Experience		
				11 Community Service		
				1 On-The-Job Training		
				31 Job Readiness Activities		
				105 Job Search		
				75 Vocational Education		
				7 Job Skills Training		
				12 Education Related to Employment		
				10 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sc	chool	_
				0 Other	1 < 40	_
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2		_
			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4]		_
			*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)	10] 39.8%	

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX							
			SULLI	VAN				
1.2.3.Program nameSFYAppropriation Level					4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	FFFS2007-08\$813,852 (Amount equals \$2,179,881 allocation, plus \$2,707 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$49,407 transferred to SFY 08- 09 FFFS, less \$387,142 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$794,000 transferred to Title XX, \$133,187 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$5,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).					Allocation		
		6.		7.		8. Spending As Of:		
		Performance/ Accountability Goals		Performance/ Accountability Outcome	es As Of :"03/31/2009"	"03/31/2009"		
* Transitio	nal Opportuni	ties Program (TOP). 1 project(s) to serve 65 families.	*	1 project(s) served 80 families		\$870,878		
* Healthy	Families/Hom	e Visiting. 1 project(s) to serve 57 families.	*	1 project(s) served 92 families				
* Comply	with Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	94 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.				
			*	7 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from				
			*	1 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exempt	from employment activities.[0]			
* Comply	with Domestic	Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	0 individuals indicated danger.				
			*	0 individuals granted a waiver from program requ	irements.			
* 50 % Co	mbined "All F	amilies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed	[6] 160			
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By	y Activity[1]			
				48 Unsubsidized Employment				
				0 Subsidized Employment				
				14 Work Experience				
				0 Community Service				
				0 On-The-Job Training				
				8 Job Readiness Activities				
				2 Job Search				
				6 Vocational Education				
				0 Job Skills Training				
				1 Education Related to Employment	1			
┝──┼───				6 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sch 0 Other	001			
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2	1/1			
├ ─- ├ ──			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)				
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4]				
				Inumber of TAME & SIN MOE Engaged Cases[4]	/ 1			

*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 40.4%

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

		PERFORMANCE .	AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			TIO	GA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Le	evel		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	FFFS, less \$828,049 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX,	Amount equals \$1,496,909 allocation, plus \$6,786 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 \$828,049 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$184,025 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child fer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcor	nes As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Emergency/	Diversion.	project(s) to serve 1,296 families.	*	2 project(s) served 2,085 families		\$501,452
* Life Skills/N	Aentoring.	project(s) to serve 24 families.	*	1 project(s) served 20 families		
* Specialized	Services fo	Children. 1 project(s) to serve 16 families.	*	1 project(s) served 156 families		_
* 50 % Comb	ined "All Fa	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Complete		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged I	By Activity[1]	
				22 Unsubsidized Employment		_
				0 Subsidized Employment 13 Work Experience		
				1 Community Service		
				0 On-The-Job Training		_
				4 Job Readiness Activities		
				16 Job Search		
				0 Vocational Education		
				0 Job Skills Training		-
				0 Education Related to Employment		_
				3 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Se	chool	
				0 Other		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases		
			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MO		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4		
			*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOI	E)[5] 46.9%	

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

		PERFORMANCE AN	DA	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
		TO	MPI	KINS			
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS		FFFS, less \$1,294,131 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$76	als \$2,754,524 allocation, plus \$22,621 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$764,760 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child eted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).				
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcon	nes As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''	
* Comply with	n Domestic	Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	0 individuals indicated danger.		\$736,889	
			*	0 individuals granted a waiver from program req	uirements.		
* 50 % Combi	* 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate * Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 264						
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged B	By Activity[1]		
				58 Unsubsidized Employment			
				0 Subsidized Employment			
				20 Work Experience			
				1 Community Service			
				0 On-The-Job Training			
			_	11 Job Readiness Activities			
				0 Job Search			
				6 Vocational Education		_	
				1 Job Skills Training		_	
				2 Education Related to Employment	1 1	_	
				1 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sc 0 Other	ΠΟΟΙ	_	
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2	21 / 2		
			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE			
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4]			
			*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE			
				Comonieu Engagement Rate (TAINF & SN MOE	JJJ 43.0%		

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX							
		-	ULST	ER				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3 Appropria	•		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	2007-08 \$944,960 (Amount equals \$5,657,959 allocation, plus \$16,301 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$3,574,172 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,150,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$5,128 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).				10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation		
		6.		7.		8.		
		Performance/ Accountability Goals		Performance/ Accountability Outcome	s As Of :''03/31/2009''	Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''		
* Transitional	Opportunit	ies Program (TOP). 1 project(s) to serve 87 families.	*	1 project(s) served 1,063 families		\$947,321		
		anced. 1 project(s) to serve 285 families.	*	1 project(s) served 92 families				
		l project(s) to serve 950 families.	*	1 project(s) served 1,164 families				
* Comply with	h Domestic	Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	10 individuals indicated danger.				
			*	4 individuals granted a waiver from program requi	rements.			
* 50 % Comb	ined "All Fa	amilies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 1,211	-		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By	Activity[1]			
				99 Unsubsidized Employment				
				0 Subsidized Employment				
				10 Work Experience				
				2 Community Service				
				3 On-The-Job Training				
				36 Job Readiness Activities				
				5 Job Search				
				22 Vocational Education				
				3 Job Skills Training				
				0 Education Related to Employment				
				5 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Scho	ool			
				0 Other				
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2]		_		
			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)		_		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4]	150			

* Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 47.4%							
0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.							
] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.							
2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.							
3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.							
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):							
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.							
6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessment or reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.							
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.							
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.							
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.							

0

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX FOR										
WARREN										
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology				
FFFS	2007-08	\$215,525 (Amount equals \$1,419,068 allocation, plus \$8,050 transferrer FFFS, less \$1,208,964 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Titl Transfer, \$2,629 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation						
				_		8.				
6. Performance/ Accountability Goals				7. Performance/ Accountability Outcomes As Of :''03/31/2009''		Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''				
* Case Manag	ement. 3 pr	pject(s) to serve 119 families.	*	3 project(s) served 138 families		\$216,536				
* Domestic Vi	* Domestic Violence Enhanced. 1 project(s) to serve 80 families.			1 project(s) served 139 families						
* Comply with	n Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	42 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.						
			*	2 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from						
				1 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exemp	ot from employment activities.[0]					
* Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)				3 individuals indicated danger.						
			*	0 individuals granted a waiver from program rec	quirements.					
* 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate			*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed	d [6] 40	-				
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged I	By Activity[1]	-				
				9 Unsubsidized Employment	· · · ·					
				0 Subsidized Employment						
				3 Work Experience						
				0 Community Service						
			0 On-The-Job Training							
			17 Job Readiness Activities							
				5 Job Search						
				0 Vocational Education		_				
				4 Job Skills Training		_				
				2 Education Related to Employment	shool	_				
				0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary So 0 Other	211001					
				0 Outer						

		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 7					
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 31.2%					
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 22					
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 94.6%					
[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.								
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.								
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.								
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.								
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):								
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.								
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments								
nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.								
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available								
for April to December 2007.								
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported								
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.								
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy								
Famili	Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.							

0

		PERFORMANCE AN	D A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		WAS	HIN	GTON		
1. Program name					4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	FFFS2007-08\$870,267 (Amount equals \$1,427,485 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 04 less \$320,128 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$210,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Ch Transfer, \$27,090 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			ed to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care	10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
			-			
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcom	nes As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Case Manag	gement. 5 pr	oject(s) to serve 85 families.	*	5 project(s) served 249 families		\$878,115
* Healthy Fan	nilies/Home	Visiting. 1 project(s) to serve 16 families.	*	1 project(s) served 167 families		
* Life Skills/N	Mentoring. 2	project(s) to serve 30 families.	*	2 project(s) served 193 families		
* Specialized	Services for	Adults. 1 project(s) to serve 18 families.	*	1 project(s) served 0 families		
* Comply wit	h Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	35 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		
			*	6 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt fro	m employment activities.[0]	
			*	0 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exemption	t from employment activities.[0]	
* Comply wit	h Domestic	Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	22 individuals indicated danger.		
			*	16 individuals granted a waiver from program re	quirements.	
* 50 % Comb	ined "All Fa	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed	[6] 48	-
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged B		-
				26 Unsubsidized Employment		
				0 Subsidized Employment		
				5 Work Experience		
				4 Community Service		
				0 On-The-Job Training		
				10 Job Readiness Activities		
				5 Job Search		
				1 Vocational Education		
				0 Job Skills Training		
				1 Education Related to Employment		
				0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sch	hool	
				0 Other		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2	2] 22	

	*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 37.4%
	*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 41
	*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 67.7%
[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having sub	ostar	nce abuse problems.
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities con	onsis	tent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work a	activ	ities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with feder	leral	methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both	cou	ntable and not countable):
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the peri	iod o	divided by federal participation rate denominator.
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were com nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.	nplet	ted by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period for April to December 2007.	od A	April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State a by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	and	local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Pr Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children a		am, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
		0

		PERFORMANCE A	ND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			WAY	NE		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Lev			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	993,439 (Amount equals \$2,802,986 allocation, plus \$12,383 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$309,250 transferred to SFY 08- 9 FFFS, less \$1,812,626 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$300,054 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional hild Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcome	s As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Child Only S	Services. 1	project(s) to serve 130 families.	*	1 project(s) served 241 families		\$393,439
* Other. 3 pro	ject(s) to se	rve 65 families.	*	3 project(s) served 0 families		
* 50 % Combi	ined "All Fa	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 113	
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By	Activity[1]	
				32 Unsubsidized Employment		
				0 Subsidized Employment		
				17 Work Experience		
				1 Community Service		
				0 On-The-Job Training		
				7 Job Readiness Activities		
				2 Job Search		
				3 Vocational Education		
				1 Job Skills Training		
				0 Education Related to Employment		
				0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Scho	ool	_
				0 Other		_
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2]		_
			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)		_
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4]		_
			*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 48%	

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

				CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		WEST	ГСН	ESTER		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08 \$7,265,934 (Amount equals \$27,519,471 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$16,177,887 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$4,075,650 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).				10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcome	s As Of :"03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Child Only	Services. 2	project(s) to serve 54 families.	*	2 project(s) served 346 families		\$7,877,540
* Other. 1 pro	ject(s) to se	ve 12 families.	*	1 project(s) served 12 families		
* Comply wit	h Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	416 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		
			*	109 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt fro	om employment activities.[0]	
			*	34 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exempt	from employment activities.[0]	
* Comply wit	h Domestic	Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	107 individuals indicated danger.		
			*	145 individuals granted a waiver from program rec	quirements.	
* 50 % Comb	ined "All F	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6]	61 1.526	_
50 % Comb	med 7m1		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By		-
				578 Unsubsidized Employment		
				0 Subsidized Employment		
				430 Work Experience		
				1 Community Service		
				1 On-The-Job Training		
				224 Job Readiness Activities		
				82 Job Search		
				146 Vocational Education		
				23 Job Skills Training		
				360 Education Related to Employment		
				3 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Scho	ool	_
				0 Other		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2]	780	

*	· C	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 41.1%	
*	· N	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 1,269	
*	· C	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 66.9%	
is reflects the number of individuals, on success during the reporting period that were accessed as having subst			

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

		PERFORMANO	CE AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			WYOM	IING		
1. Program name	2. SFY		3. Appropriation Level			5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$642,683 (Amount equals \$1,434,214 allocation, plus \$6,885 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$5,282 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$648,321 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$108,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child			10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation	
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcome	es As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Transitional	Opportunit	ies Program (TOP). 1 project(s) to serve 47 families.	*	1 project(s) served 447 families		\$644,772
* Life Skills/N	Aentoring.	2 project(s) to serve 20 families.	*	2 project(s) served 121 families		
* Comply with	n Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	27 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		
			*	2 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from	n employment activities.[0]	
			*	1 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exempt	from employment activities.[0]	
* Comply with	n Domestic	Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	6 individuals indicated danger.		
			*	2 individuals granted a waiver from program requ	irements.	
* 50 % Comb	ined "All E	amilies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [6] 90	-
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By		
				18 Unsubsidized Employment		
				0 Subsidized Employment		
				9 Work Experience		
				2 Community Service		
				1 On-The-Job Training		
				2 Job Readiness Activities		
				5 Job Search		_
				0 Vocational Education		
				0 Job Skills Training		
				0 Education Related to Employment		_
				1 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Scho	ool	_
				0 Other		

		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2] 15	
		*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[3] 31.2%	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4] 29	
		*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 60.4%	
[0] Thi	reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having su	bstan	ce abuse problems.	
[1] Nu	per of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities co	onsist	tent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[2] Rej	esents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work a	activi	ities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the	federal participation rate.
[3] Co	pined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with fee	deral	methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[4] Rej	esents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both	n cour	ntable and not countable):	
[5] Co	bined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the per	riod d	livided by federal participation rate denominator.	
	mployment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were con essments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.	nplete	ed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff an	d does not include assessments
	Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the peri to December 2007.	iod A	pril 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on m	onthly average data available
	Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State l or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	e and	local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children rec	eiving assistance supported
	The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities P. Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children	-		

				CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		Y	AT	ES		
1. Program name	A name SFY Appropriation Level \$44,270 (Amount equals \$742,625 allocation, plus \$1,106 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$150,249 transferred to SFY 08-09 FFFS, less \$415,517 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$133,695 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS				10/1/06-3/31/10	Allocation	
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcome	es As Of :''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Emergency/	Diversion.	2 project(s) to serve 100 families.		2 project(s) served 172 families		\$49,222
* Comply wit	h Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.		3 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		
				0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from		
			*	0 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exempt	from employment activities.[0]	
* 50 % Comb	ined "All Fa	milies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed		
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By	v Activity[1]	
				6 Unsubsidized Employment		
				0 Subsidized Employment		
				1 Work Experience		
				0 Community Service		
				0 On-The-Job Training		_
				4 Job Readiness Activities		_
				2 Job Search		
			 	0 Vocational Education		_
			<u> </u>	0 Job Skills Training		_
				0 Education Related to Employment		\dashv
			 	0 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary Sch	ool	_
				0 Other	1.2	_
				Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases[2]		_
				Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE)		_
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4]	9	

* Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE)[5] 41.5%
[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.
[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):
[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessment nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

0

		PERFORMA	NCE AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX	-	
			NYC-I	HRA		
1. Program name	2. 3. 4.				4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2007-08	FFFS, less \$78,216,000 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other that	965 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, less \$0 transferred to SFY 08-09ds Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$75,357,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additionalon- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			
		6. Performance/ Accountability Goals		7. Performance/ Accountability Outcome	s As Of :''03/31/2009'' [7]	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2009''
* Emergency	Diversion.	1 project(s) to serve 6,000 families.	*	1 project(s) served 1,535 families		\$251,943,531
* Specialized	Services for	or Children. 2 project(s) to serve 6,233 families.	*	2 project(s) served 6,228 families		
* Other. 2 pro	oject(s) to s	erve 185,882 families.	*	2 project(s) served 2,231,174 families		
* Comply wit	th Drug and	Alcohol Requirements.	*	5,598 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol.		
			*	833 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt		
			*	764 individuals mandated to treatment- non-exe		
* Comply wit	th Domestic	Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	*	4,315 individuals indicated danger.		
			*	3,317 individuals granted a waiver from program	n requirements.	
* 50 % Comb	oined "All F	amilies" Participation Rate	*	Number of Employment Assessments Completed	d [6] 135,850	
		•	*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged I	By Activity[1]	
				23,042 Unsubsidized Employment		
				1,075 Subsidized Employment		
				3,864 Work Experience		
				0 Community Service		
				0 On-The-Job Training		
				2,062 Job Readiness Activities		
				0 Job Search		
				3,065 Vocational Education		
				1,047 Job Skills Training		
				436 Education Related to Employment		
				214 Satisfactory Attendance in Secondary	School	
				0 Other		_
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases		_
			*	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOI		4
			*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases[4		4
			*	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOR	E)[5] 53.4%	

[0] This reflects the number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period that were assessed as having substance abuse problems.

[1] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[2] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[3] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[4] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable):

[5] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

[6] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS.

[7] Includes available data for NYC (April to December 2007)

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2007 to March 2008. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April to December 2007.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects (Case Management, Transitional Opportunities Program, Child Only Services, Domestic Violence Enhanced, Drug and Alcohol Enhanced, Emergency/Diversion, Healthy Families/Home Visiting, Life Skills/Mentoring, Specialized Services for Adults, Specialized Services for Children and Other) are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.