

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

SUMMARY

2. SFY:	3. ALLOCATION:	4. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	5. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
<u>2007-08</u>	<u>\$9,107,476.00</u>	4/1/2007 - 12/31/2008	District Allocation
<u>2006-07</u>	<u>\$127,973.00</u>		
<u>Total</u>	<u>\$9,235,449.00</u>		

6.	# noncompliant participants to be served	5797
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	1732

7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	18264	13141
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	12310	7145
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	7479	1861
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	6677	3562
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	7129	3179
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	1564	866
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	5027	5062
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	2550	2625
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	3688	2237
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	1630	393
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	1080	235
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	810	161
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	297	616
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	1996	432
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	362	50
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	543	80
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	1778	728

8. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:	
<u>2007-08:</u>	<u>\$9,107,476.00</u>
<u>2006-07:</u>	<u>\$127,973.00</u>
<u>Total:</u>	<u>\$9,235,449.00</u>

Note:

1. Summary does not include information for districts (Delaware, Hamilton, Putnam, St. Lawrence, Schuyler, Warren and Yates) that declined SFY 07-08 ICS funding.
2. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
3. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
4. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Albany

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$123,576.00	02/01/08-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	100
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	0

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	218	0
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	129	0
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	113	0
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	61	0
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	18	0
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	0	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	64	0
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	44	0
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	68	0
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	18	0
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	2	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	9	0
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	7	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	2	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	1	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	1	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	18	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$123,576.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Allegany

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$80,289.00	07/01/07-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	40
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	0

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	143	3
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	129	3
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	122	2
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	27	0
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	46	3
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	0	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	91	2
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	14	0
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	37	0
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	26	0
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	21	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	18	0
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	14	2
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	8	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	8	1
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	11	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	4	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$80,289.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Broome

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$132,861.00	12/01/07-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	80
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	50

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	709	58
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	445	51
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	720	152
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	1620	41
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	60	0
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	346	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	153	66
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	39	0
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	52	0
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	116	0
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	0	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	79	0
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	9	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	54	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	12	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	27	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	56	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$132,861.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Cattaraugus

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$0.00	07/01/07-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	77
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	75

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	75	432
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	75	432
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	68	419
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	33	255
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	20	52
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	18	109
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	36	150
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	7	152
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	9	13
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	29	94
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	0	13
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	5	16
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	4	22
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	5	28
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	6	21
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	4	45
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	1	7

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$0.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Cayuga

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$39,968.00	07/01/07-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	7
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	0

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	23	0
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	22	0
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	35	0
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	39	0
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	32	0
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	16	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	22	0
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	7	0
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	8	0
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	4	0
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	5	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	3	0
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	0	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	1	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	2	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	1	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	1	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$39,968.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Chautauqua

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$54,413.00	12/01/07-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	120
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	60

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	458	52
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	440	46
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	60	14
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	24	6
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	292	29
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	65	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	146	16
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	37	3
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	185	24
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	32	4
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	26	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	29	4
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	15	2
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	37	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	1	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	12	2
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	0	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$54,413.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Chemung

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$75,551.00	07/01/07-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	360
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	0

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	511	0
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	495	0
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	494	0
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	2	0
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	392	0
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	12	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	202	0
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	20	0
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	207	0
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	61	0
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	25	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	15	0
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	2	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	8	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	6	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	15	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	13	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$75,551.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Chenango

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$18,341.00	08/01/07-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	5
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	10

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	17	77
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	17	77
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	16	77
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	13	62
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	8	33
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	4	25
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	3	34
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	8	27
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	14	35
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	1	14
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	2	17
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	0	8
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	0	3
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	4	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	0	2
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	0	4
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	3	4

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$18,341.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Clinton

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$1,051.00	07/01/07-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	15
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	35

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	63	27
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	63	25
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	0	0
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	0	0
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	63	27
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	0	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	23	12
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	9	9
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	8	2
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	4	2
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	0	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	9	2
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	2	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	1	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	0	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	11	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	0	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$1,051.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Cortland

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$28,310.00	01/01/08-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	25
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	0

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	36	0
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	34	0
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	17	0
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	2	0
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	15	0
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	0	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	8	0
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	9	0
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	8	0
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	5	0
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	6	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	5	0
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	2	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	4	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	4	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	4	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	3	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$28,310.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Dutchess

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$64,027.00	10/01/07-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	27
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	7

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	60	0
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	60	0
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	7	0
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	11	0
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	5	0
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	28	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	34	0
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	26	0
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	4	0
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	7	0
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	0	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	4	0
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	0	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	0	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	0	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	0	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	0	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$64,027.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Erie

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$485,772.00	07/01/08-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	300
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	53

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	1097	140
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	915	156
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	47	30
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	638	25
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	1028	0
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	164	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	163	43
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	124	58
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	143	0
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	185	14
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	46	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	63	4
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	70	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	73	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	64	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	112	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	125	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$485,772.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Essex

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$20,459.00	01/01/08-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	40
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	0

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	84	0
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	70	0
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	79	0
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	3	0
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	0	0
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	0	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	29	0
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	8	0
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	11	0
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	0	0
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	12	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	0	0
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	0	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	1	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	0	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	0	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	0	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$20,459.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Franklin

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$36,554.00	07/1/07-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	40
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	15

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	125	84
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	110	72
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	64	40
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	83	77
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	107	62
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	0	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	22	22
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	34	28
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	28	6
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	19	13
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	6	5
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	12	8
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	2	2
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	10	1
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	1	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	3	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	12	3

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$36,554.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Fulton

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$1,277.00	06/01/08-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	40
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	10

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	47	19
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	40	16
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	0	0
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	0	0
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	49	12
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	0	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	30	11
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	13	3
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	0	0
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	10	2
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	5	3
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	3	0
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	0	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	1	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	0	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	0	1
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	5	1

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$1,277.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Genesee

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$7,890.00	03/01/08-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	26
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	4

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	45	2
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	37	2
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	28	2
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	21	2
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	22	1
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	13	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	20	2
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	2	0
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	17	0
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	11	2
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	9	1
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	10	2
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	0	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	3	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	0	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	4	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	3	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$7,890.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Greene

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$24,390.00	11/01/07-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	25
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	0

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	119	0
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	98	0
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	80	0
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	26	0
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	22	0
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	1	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	39	0
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	20	0
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	35	0
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	8	0
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	6	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	7	0
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	2	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	20	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	2	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	0	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	5	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$24,390.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Herkimer

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$17,598.00	11/01/07-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	30
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	15

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	72	0
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	48	0
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	70	0
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	41	0
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	48	0
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	20	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	3	0
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	5	0
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	16	0
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	6	0
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	1	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	3	0
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	2	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	2	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	0	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	3	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	17	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$17,598.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Jefferson

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$40,323.00	11/01/07-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	25
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	4

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	86	0
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	86	0
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	79	0
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	82	0
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	146	0
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	1	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	81	0
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	138	0
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	94	0
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	19	0
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	52	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	15	0
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	14	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	25	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	20	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	11	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	21	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$40,323.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Lewis

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$15,939.00	10/01/07-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	40
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	0

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	49	0
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	46	0
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	28	0
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	0	0
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	46	0
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	0	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	21	0
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	9	0
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	21	0
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	9	0
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	3	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	9	0
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	1	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	7	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	2	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	5	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	6	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$15,939.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Livingston

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$31,016.00	02/01/08-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	25
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	20

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	281	0
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	259	0
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	136	0
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	317	0
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	442	0
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	520	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	119	0
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	38	0
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	80	0
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	22	0
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	31	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	17	0
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	3	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	5	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	1	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	6	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	35	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$31,016.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Madison

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$9,600.00	07/01/07-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	120
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	0

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	166	0
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	166	0
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	79	0
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	16	0
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	1	0
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	61	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	114	0
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	29	0
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	23	0
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	20	0
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	105	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	16	0
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	4	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	2	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	0	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	0	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	2	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$9,600.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Monroe

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$317,623.00	07/01/08-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	200
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	230

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	502	408
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	390	311
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	369	311
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	98	194
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	143	0
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	0	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	94	136
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	69	7
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	284	39
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	49	42
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	99	84
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	15	39
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	1	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	58	2
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	6	15
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	0	2
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	12	31

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$317,623.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Montgomery

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$4,450.00	08/01/07-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	40
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	0

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	0	0
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	0	0
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	0	0
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	0	0
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	0	0
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	0	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	0	0
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	0	0
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	0	0
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	0	0
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	0	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	0	0
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	0	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	0	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	0	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	0	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	0	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$4,450.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Nassau

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$140,142.00	07/01/08-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	125
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	0

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	172	0
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	144	0
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	144	0
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	0	0
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	0	0
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	0	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	41	0
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	30	0
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	43	0
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	25	0
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	15	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	7	0
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	1	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	32	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	5	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	3	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	10	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$140,142.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

New York City

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$5,935,901.00	07/01/08-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	1500
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	975

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	6608	11070
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	2561	5204
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	384	367
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	1391	2507
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	1229	2771
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	0	517
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	893	4170
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	286	2113
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	568	2024
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	118	69
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	0	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	148	15
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	5	584
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	1134	396
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	65	4
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	73	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	686	639

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$5,935,901.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Niagara

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$108,546.00	09/01/08-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	60
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	0

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	258	0
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	231	0
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	182	0
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	7	0
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	4	0
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	2	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	139	0
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	3	0
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	88	0
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	9	0
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	3	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	6	0
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	16	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	3	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	0	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	2	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	23	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$108,546.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)
Oneida

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$93,184.00	08/01/07-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	806
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	0

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	1042	0
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	658	0
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	202	0
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	140	0
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	486	0
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	3	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	373	0
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	49	0
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	486	0
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	85	0
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	40	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	11	0
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	2	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	8	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	1	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	12	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	37	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$93,184.00

Note:

- | |
|--|
| 1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter |
|--|

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Onondaga

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$207,862.00	04/01/08-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	250
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	75

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	715	62
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	532	44
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	266	6
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	4	36
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	295	16
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	33	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	116	14
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	24	20
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	465	10
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	82	4
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	6	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	51	3
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	18	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	207	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	45	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	33	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	213	11

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$207,862.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Ontario

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$21,050.00	06/01/08-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	11
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	0

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	133	0
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	131	0
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	131	0
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	0	0
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	0	0
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	0	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	54	0
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	2	0
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	33	0
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	28	0
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	2	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	17	0
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	1	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	23	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	1	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	9	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	10	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$21,050.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Orange

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$85,588.00	08/01/07-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	48
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	12

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	117	18
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	95	20
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	90	4
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	23	6
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	84	19
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	31	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	33	15
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	9	0
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	44	5
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	26	12
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	0	2
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	3	1
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	12	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	10	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	1	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	1	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	4	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$85,588.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Orleans

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$24,257.00	10/01/07-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	30
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	0

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	75	13
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	52	11
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	58	7
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	3	0
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	3	5
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	0	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	35	4
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	10	0
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	18	2
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	12	0
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	11	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	5	0
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	1	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	7	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	0	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	3	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	20	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$24,257.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Oswego

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$53,831.00	07/01/07-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	60
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	0

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	138	0
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	128	0
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	128	0
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	111	0
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	32	0
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	0	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	80	0
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	15	0
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	49	0
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	11	0
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	27	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	5	0
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	0	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	55	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	4	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	16	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	8	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$53,831.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Otsego

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$3,359.00	11/01/07-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	8
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	4

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	11	7
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	8	7
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	3	3
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	1	0
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	5	4
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	0	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	1	3
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	1	3
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	3	3
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	4	1
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	0	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	1	3
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	0	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	2	1
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	1	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	1	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	3	1

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$3,359.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Rensselaer

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$58,330.00	08/01/08-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	212
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	0

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	574	0
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	471	0
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	553	0
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	77	0
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	195	0
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	14	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	254	0
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	67	0
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	186	0
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	164	0
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	68	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	53	0
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	23	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	25	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	1	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	42	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	67	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$58,330.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Rockland

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$61,689.00	07/01/07-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	80
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	20

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	152	11
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	152	11
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	142	11
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	3	2
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	82	4
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	0	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	82	7
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	41	2
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	13	2
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	29	0
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	17	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	18	0
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	6	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	2	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	0	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	7	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	14	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$61,689.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Saratoga

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$10,981.00	10/01/07-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	22
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	16

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	10	4
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	7	5
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	7	5
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	0	0
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	2	0
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	0	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	2	0
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	1	4
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	5	2
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	1	0
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	0	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	2	0
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	1	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	0	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	0	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	1	1
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	0	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$10,981.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Schenectady

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$0.00	04/01/08-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	88
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	0

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	454	0
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	432	0
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	457	0
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	286	0
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	91	0
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	57	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	228	0
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	887	0
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	7	0
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	49	0
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	65	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	19	0
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	3	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	0	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	29	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	3	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	18	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$0.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Schoharie

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$0.00	09/01/07-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	9
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	2

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	0	0
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	0	0
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	0	0
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	0	0
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	0	0
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	0	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	0	0
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	0	0
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	0	0
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	0	0
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	0	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	0	0
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	0	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	0	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	0	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	0	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	0	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$0.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Seneca

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$0.00	10/01/07-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	5
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	10

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	26	139
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	21	139
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	14	84
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	12	87
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	8	0
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	5	35
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	3	40
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	16	80
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	15	7
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	5	9
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	2	67
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	1	3
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	0	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	1	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	0	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	1	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	3	7

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$0.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Steuben

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$78,082.00	06/01/08-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	65
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	25

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	492	130
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	541	130
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	279	72
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	273	72
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	239	63
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	52	12
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	336	82
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	38	14
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	23	12
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	62	29
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	40	17
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	18	2
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	14	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	37	1
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	5	3
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	36	4
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	30	4

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$78,082.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Suffolk

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$272,051.00	12/01/07-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	180
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	0

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	809	0
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	693	0
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	749	0
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	235	0
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	488	0
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	0	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	149	0
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	209	0
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	30	0
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	145	0
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	76	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	11	0
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	16	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	54	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	37	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	36	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	159	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$272,051.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Tioga

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$34,123.00	07/01/07-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	15
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	0

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	113	0
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	92	0
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	45	0
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	15	0
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	24	0
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	18	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	60	0
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	13	0
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	27	0
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	3	0
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	0	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	10	0
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	6	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	0	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	0	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	0	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	8	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$34,123.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Tompkins

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$30,010.00	09/01/07-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	60
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	0

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	171	0
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	135	0
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	48	0
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	54	0
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	42	0
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	16	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	29	0
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	41	0
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	19	0
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	4	0
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	7	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	14	0
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	1	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	3	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	13	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	4	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	37	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$30,010.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Ulster

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$22,298.00	04/01/08-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	25
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	0

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	178	0
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	81	0
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	34	0
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	59	0
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	16	0
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	1	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	31	0
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	17	0
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	37	0
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	9	0
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	23	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	4	0
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	3	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	8	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	2	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	2	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	2	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$22,298.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Washington

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$15,610.00	10/01/07-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	20
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	0

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	27	1
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	25	1
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	10	1
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	7	0
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	2	0
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	6	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	8	0
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	8	1
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	4	0
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	11	0
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	1	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	8	0
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	0	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	3	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	9	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	3	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	7	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$15,610.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Wayne

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$24,937.00	02/01/08-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	35
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	0

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	126	147
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	123	145
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	34	33
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	15	16
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	62	67
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	15	28
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	54	111
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	16	45
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	36	12
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	27	15
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	26	22
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	8	4
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	3	1
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	4	1
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	1	1
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	2	1
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	7	3

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$24,937.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Westchester

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$309,478.00	10/01/07-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	266
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	0

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	779	0
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	730	0
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	730	0
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	730	0
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	730	0
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	12	0
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	465	0
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	44	0
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	109	0
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	27	0
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	187	0
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	21	0
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	11	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	47	0
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	4	0
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	14	0
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	59	0

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$309,478.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

1. Intensive Case Services (ICS)

Wyoming

2. ALLOCATION:	3. CONTRACT/ AWARD CYCLE:	4. PROCUREMENT METHODOLOGY:
\$12,862.00	01/01/08-12/31/08	District Allocation

5.	# noncompliant participants to be served	10
	# not fully engaged participants to be served	5

6. Performance/Accountability Outcomes reported for the period through December 31, 2008	Noncompliant Participants	Not Fully Engaged Participants
Participants Targeted		
1 - Number of participants targeted	98	237
2 - Number of targeted participants contacted(served)	93	237
Participants Successfully Contacted		
3 - Number of participants contacted by Letter/Call-in	78	221
4 - Number of participants contacted by Phone Call	74	174
5 - Number of participants contacted by Home Visit	5	11
6 - Number of participants contacted by Other	30	140
Engagement Outcomes		
7 - Number of participants successfully engaged following contact	44	122
8 - Number of participants successfully engaged in necessary treatment	14	56
9 - Number of participants that remain unengaged without good cause	28	39
10 - Number of participants with newly reported income	33	67
11 - Number of participants that remain engaged in work activities or necessary treatment in the quarter following placement	2	4
Cases Closed		
12 - Number of TA cases closed due to income from employment obtained during the reporting quarter	23	47
13 - Number of TA cases closed because district was unable to locate participants	0	0
14 - Number of TA cases closed for failure to respond to outreach (excludes households that district was unable to locate)	0	2
15 - Number of TA cases closed because of newly reported income obtained prior to reporting quarter	2	3
16 - Number of TA cases closed at the request of the participants	9	20
17 - Number of TA cases closed for any reason other than those listed	11	17

7. SPENDING as of December 31, 2008:
\$12,862.00

Note:

1. Performance outcomes may include outcomes supported by previous funding.
2. County specific information may be revised based on county plan approved by OTDA.
3. Data may include duplication to the extent that participants receive services during more than one quarter