			AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		S	STATE SUMMARY		
1.	. 2. 3.			4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation L		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$293,757,384 (Amount equals \$654,000,000 allocation, plus \$773,684 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$1,825,336 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$694,453 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$233,116,895 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than			10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes	As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
					\$293,757,384
Adult or Juvenile	Justice/Crin	ninal 3 project(s) to serve 438 families	3 project(s) served 766 families		
		(s) to serve 8,516 families	31 project(s) served 32,452 families		
Child Only Servic	es 10 proje	ct(s) to serve 5,298 families	10 project(s) served 22,147 families		
Child Well Being	10 project(s	s) to serve 721 families	10 project(s) served 611 families		
Enhanced Domest	nhanced Domestic Violence 6 project(s) to serve 294 families		6 project(s) served 526 families		
Inhanced Drug an	d Alcohol 3	B project(s) to serve 128 families	3 project(s) served 755 families		
		mergency/Diversion 7 project(s) to serve 5,294 families	7 project(s) served 6,091 families		
		ng 9 project(s) to serve 1,145 families	9 project(s) served 2,177 families		
Housing 13 projec	t(s) to serve	e 4,629 families	13 project(s) served 6,711 families		
) to serve 575 families	8 project(s) served 604 families		
		g 4 project(s) to serve 900 families	4 project(s) served 0 families		
		apports 13 project(s) to serve 5,707 families	13 project(s) served 16,538 families		
		nunity Collaboration 25 project(s) to serve 15,123 families	25 project(s) served 52,748 families		
Other 21 project(s)) to serve 2,	239,601 families	21 project(s) served 1,865,053 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	19,574 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			1,900 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from ea	mployment activities [a]	
			1,157 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	n employment activities [a]	
	37. 1	E TATALO S. (EVO)	7,498 individuals indicated danger		
omply with Dom	nestic Violei	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	_	monts	_
			5,214 individuals granted a waiver from program require	ments	_
in % Combined "	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 177,	968	
0 70 Combined 1	An Familles	a rancipanon nate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi		-
			promote of Tairi & Sir MOE Addits Eligaged by Activi	LY 101	i

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	1,009 Subsidized Employment	
	6,837 Work Experience	
	102 Community Service	
	35 On the Job Training	
	959 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	2,736 Job Search	
	2,421 Vocational Education	
	1,019 Job Skills Training	
	1,578 Education Related to Employment	
	242 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	110 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 25,457	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 32.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 39,124	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50.2%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX ROS SUMMARY 1. 2. 3. Program name SFY Appropriation Level CO Appropriation Level CO COUNTABILITY MATRIX

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Level	Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2008-09	\$90,944,419 (Amount equals \$297,614,035 allocation, plus \$773,684 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$1,825,336 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$694,453 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$154,900,895 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$50,282,424 transferred to Title XX, \$2,600,202 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$417,822 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$372,840 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
		\$90,944,419
dult or Juvenile Justice/Criminal 3 project(s) to serve 438 families	3 project(s) served 766 families	
Case Management 31 project(s) to serve 8,516 families	31 project(s) served 32,452 families	
Child Only Services 10 project(s) to serve 5,298 families	10 project(s) served 22,147 families	
Child Well Being 10 project(s) to serve 721 families	10 project(s) served 611 families	
nhanced Domestic Violence 6 project(s) to serve 294 families	6 project(s) served 526 families	
nhanced Drug and Alcohol 3 project(s) to serve 128 families	3 project(s) served 755 families	
Ion-Temporary Assistance Emergency/Diversion 6 project(s) to serve 2,570 families	6 project(s) served 5,403 families	
lealthy Families/Home Visiting 9 project(s) to serve 1,145 families	9 project(s) served 2,177 families	
Iousing 12 project(s) to serve 3,201 families	12 project(s) served 4,362 families	
SI Maximization 8 project(s) to serve 575 families	8 project(s) served 604 families	
rogram Support and Training 4 project(s) to serve 900 families	4 project(s) served 0 families	
ransitional Benefits/Work Supports 13 project(s) to serve 5,707 families	13 project(s) served 16,538 families	
outh Supports/School Community Collaboration 24 project(s) to serve 1,707 families	24 project(s) served 2,557 families	
Other 19 project(s) to serve 3,509 families	19 project(s) served 9,642 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	13,030 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	1,144 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	465 individuals mandated to treatment—nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	2,670 individuals indicated danger	
***	1,540 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
0 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 34,453	
o /o Comonico Tim I minimo I mucopinion Timo	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	7,230 Unsubsidized Employment	
	318 Subsidized Employment	
	3,596 Work Experience	
	89 Community Service	7
	35 On the Job Training	
	959 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	839 Job Search	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	835 Vocational Education	
	355 Job Skills Training	
	770 Education Related to Employment	
	145 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	110 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 7,008	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 28.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 12,203	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50.1%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09

		PERFORMANCE AND AC	COUNTABILITY MATRIX	· •	
		ALI	BANY		
1. Program name	2. SFY				5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$2,917,869 (Amount equals \$9,693,441 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$48,000 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$4,842,411 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,867,131 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$114,030 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			•	Allocation
		6.	7.		8.
		Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes	As Of: "03/31/2009"	Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010
					\$2,917,869
			(s) served 695 families		
Child Only Service	es 1 projec	et(s) to serve 350 families 1 project	(s) served 244 families		
Comply with Days	and Alach	nol Requirements 292 indiv	ideala accessida a Dana / Alaah al Danhlana		_
ompry with Drug	g and Aicon	*	292 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 41 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		_
			44 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		THE INCIVI	duals mandated to treatment—honexempt from e	improviment activities [a]	_
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	ence Family Violence Option (FVO) 226 indiv	226 individuals indicated danger		
1 7			26 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
0 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate Number	of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,77	17	\neg
		Number	of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ity [c]	
			271 Unsubsidized Employment		
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			122 Work Experience		_
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			47 Job Readiness Training Activities		_
			3 Job Search 43 Vocational Education		
			50 Job Skills Training		
			9 Education Related to Employment		
			7 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
		Number	of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 208		
			Training of The Common		

Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 22.7% Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 418 Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 45.6%

6.	7.	8.			
Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"			
a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status					
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were conclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY	completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff to	using NYCWAY and does not			
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan				
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	ork activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the	he federal participation rate			
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year				
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours				
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator				
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the p April 2008 to March 2009	period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on	monthly average data available for			
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by rederal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds					
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects					
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09					

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX ALLEGANY				
1. 2. 3. Appropriation Le				4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodolog
FFFS	2008-09	\$741,581 (Amount equals \$2,492,972 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,221,591 budgeted towards Child transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Reto State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes	: As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
					\$741,581
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ool Requirements 87 i	87 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		0 in	dividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO) 3 in	dividuals indicated danger		
comply with Bon	restre viole	nee I amily + Islance Spain (I + S)	ndividuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	its	
'0 v G 1' 1"	A 11 E '1'	Nur Nur	nber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 231		_
00 % Combined 12	All Families	o Turnorpuron Tune	nber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ		
		TUL	44 Unsubsidized Employment	ny [e]	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			12 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			8 Job Search		
			3 Vocational Education		
			37 Job Skills Training		_
			0 Education Related to Employment		_
			2 Secondary School or Equivalent		

0 Other

Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 28

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 73	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 56.2%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09

		BRO	OOME		
1.	2. 3.			4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Level		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$1,655,401 (Amount equals \$5,559,739 allocation, plus \$32,850 transferred in from Sur 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$3,084,199 budgeted towards transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Resito State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation	
					8.
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "03/31/2009"	Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
					\$1,655,401
	, v	,	ect(s) served 4,612 families		
Program Support a	and Trainin	g 1 project(s) to serve 0 families 1 proj	ect(s) served 0 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	or requirements	141 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			dividuals mandated to treatment - exempt from em		
		14 inc	dividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO) 56 inc	56 individuals indicated danger		
		44 in	dividuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined ".	All Families	s" Participation Rate Numb	per of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 94.	2	-
70 70 Combined	an rumme.		per of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ		
			195 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			93 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		7
			13 On the Job Training		7
			33 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			37 Job Search		7
			28 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			19 Education Related to Employment		
					—

2 Secondary School or Equivalent

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	1 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 166	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 23.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 349	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 49.7%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

		PERFORMANCI	E AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	CATTARAUGUS					
1. Program name	2. 3. Appropriation Level			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2008-09	\$931,661 (Amount equals \$3,229,422 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in f 08 FFFS, less \$706 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,950,387 bud transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$2,370 bud transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''	
Child Well Being Other 1 project(s)		to serve 150 families) families	1 project(s) served 65 families 1 project(s) served 0 families		\$931,661	
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	38 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 7 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]			
			2 individuals mandated to treatment—nonexempt from e	-		
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	17 individuals indicated danger 15 individuals granted a waiver from program requiren			
50 % Combined "/	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 48			
		- Turus puron Turio	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti 26 Unsubsidized Employment			
			2 Subsidized Employment 14 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			2 On the Job Training			
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities 2 Job Search		_	
			3 Vocational Education 6 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			

4 Secondary School or Equivalent

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 29	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 38.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 43	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 55.9%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

		PERFORMANCE AND ACC	COUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		CAY	UGA		
1. Program name	2. SFY			5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$873,069 (Amount equals \$2,936,170 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$290,744 transferred in from SFY 07-		10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation	
					0
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes	As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
					\$873,069
SSI Maximization	1 project(s)) to serve 40 families 1 project	t(s) served 0 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		ol Requirements 34 indiv	34 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_
somply with Brug	, una ricon	or requirements	duals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	yment activities [a]	
		2 individ	duals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from em	ployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO) 24 indiv	iduals indicated danger		
1 3			viduals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	.	of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 134		
		Number	of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [c]	
			38 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			17 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			1 Job Readiness Training Activities 1 Job Search		_
			3 Vocational Education		
			5 vocational Education		

1 Job Skills Training

0 Other

6 Education Related to Employment 1 Secondary School or Equivalent

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 30	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 24.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 51	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 41.9%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY

- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			HAUTAUQUA		
1. Program name	1. 2. 3. ram name SFY Appropriation Level Contract/A		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	FFFS \$\ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc		10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
		to serve 100 families to serve 25 families	1 project(s) served 127 families 1 project(s) served 7 families		\$1,068,614
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements			89 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 20 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 16 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	omply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO) 63 individuals indicated danger 12 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements			nents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1, Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti 197 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment		
			84 Work Experience 4 Community Service 1 On the Job Training 16 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			13 Job Search 6 Vocational Education 1 Job Skills Training 10 Education Related to Employment 2 Secondary School or Equivalent		

1 Other

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 142	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 22.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 298	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 47.5%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY

- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

			D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			CHEMUNG		
1.	2. 4.			5.	
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Level			Procurement Methodolog
FFFS	\$587,437 (Amount equals \$3,589,863 allocation, plus \$15,927 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$2,432,353 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$586,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation	
		6.	7.		8. G. W. A. Of
		Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes	s As Of: "03/31/2009"	Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
					\$587,437
		ninal 1 project(s) to serve 230 families	1 project(s) served 363 families		
Other 1 project(s)	to serve 100) families	1 project(s) served 0 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	147 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
compry with Drug	mply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements 147 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 22 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]				
			4 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en		
Comply with Dom	astia Viola	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	5 individuals indicated danger		_
Joinpry with Done	iestie violei	ice Failing Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
0 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 148		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ 124 Unsubsidized Employment	ity [c]	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			23 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			13 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			28 Job Search		
			9 Vocational Education		
			1 Job Skills Training		
			20 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 90	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 28%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 169	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 52.4%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX **CHENANGO** 1. 2. 3. Program name SFY **Appropriation Level** Contract/Award Cycle **Procurement Methodology** \$563,537 (Amount equals \$1,993,849 allocation, plus \$10,064 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$29,156 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,144,532 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, **FFFS** 10/1/07-3/31/11 * Allocation \$325,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts). 8. 6. 7. **Spending As Of:** Performance/Accountability Goals Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009" "03/31/2010" \$563,537 Child Well Being 1 project(s) to serve 60 families 1 project(s) served 35 families Non-Temporary Assistance Emergency/Diversion 1 project(s) to serve 156 families 1 project(s) served 123 families SSI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 20 families 1 project(s) served 15 families 36 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements 1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a] 6 individuals indicated danger Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO) 6 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 159 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c] 16 Unsubsidized Employment 2 Subsidized Employment 10 Work Experience 5 Community Service 0 On the Job Training 43 Job Readiness Training Activities 21 Job Search 4 Vocational Education 3 Job Skills Training

1 Education Related to Employment7 Secondary School or Equivalent

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 18	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 23.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 66	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 89.1%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX CLINTON

1. 2.	3.	4.	5.
Program name SFY	Appropriation Level	Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
	\$1,025,776 (Amount equals \$2,288,459 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$292,543 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,151,698 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$303,528 transferred to Title XX, \$100,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
		\$1,025,776
	40: 1: 1 1 1 1	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	49 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	10 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	6 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
	24 individuals indicated danger	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		
	23 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	_
	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 258	_
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c] 70 Unsubsidized Employment	_
	0 Subsidized Employment	
	18 Work Experience	
	0 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	33 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	10 Job Search	
	2 Vocational Education	
	0 Job Skills Training	
	1 Education Related to Employment	
	3 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	6 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 54	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: ''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 119	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 43.5%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX COLUMBIA				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	LUNBIA	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodolog
FFFS	2008-09	\$338,834 (Amount equals \$2,758,476 allocation, plus \$2,034 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-		10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes	s As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
					\$338,834
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	1	33 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			dividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	•	
		0 in	dividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO) 13 i	ndividuals indicated danger		
		11 :	individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate Nur	nber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 158	3	-
		•	nber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			21 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			1 Work Experience		
			4 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			5 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training		\dashv
			1 Education Related to Employment		\dashv
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			5 Secondary Sensor or Equivalent		⊣

0 Other

Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 14

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: ''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 14.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 31	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 32.3%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX CORTLAND

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Level	Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$258,820 (Amount equals \$1,710,858 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$933,838 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$518,200 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
		\$258,820
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	55 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	19 individuals indicated danger	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	6 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 132	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	46 Unsubsidized Employment	
	0 Subsidized Employment	
	8 Work Experience	
	2 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	19 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	0 Job Search	
	5 Vocational Education	
	0 Job Skills Training	
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 32	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 23.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 66	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 48.5%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09

			COUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		DELA	WARE		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$129,039 (Amount equals \$989,039 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$260,000 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$600,000		10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of:
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>		" 03/31/2010 " \$129,039
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	28 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			duals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
		0 indivi	duals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	_
Comply with Don	nestic Violei	nce Family Violence Option (FVO) 7 indivi	duals indicated danger		-
		0 indiv	iduals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	
50 % Combined "	All Esmilias	s" Participation Rate Number	of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 22		
% Combined .	An rannines	1	of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti		
			12 Unsubsidized Employment	, [-]	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			7 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			1 Job Search		
			3 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			o Education Kerateu to Employment		

2 Secondary School or Equivalent

Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 12

0 Other

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: ''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 42.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 19	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 67.3%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09

			ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		DU	TCHESS		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$1,417,616 (Amount equals \$6,967,280 allocation, plus \$28,514 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$3,883,422 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX \$1,694,756		10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
Case Management	ase Management 1 project(s) to serve 75 families 1 project(s) served 240 families				\$1,417,616
	T J				
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		or requirements	99 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			ndividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	<u> </u>	
		3 ir	ndividuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	_
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO) 64	individuals indicated danger		
		62	individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined ".	All Families	s" Participation Rate Nu	mber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 32-	1	-
20 70 Comomed		•	mber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	rity [c]	
			62 Unsubsidized Employment	-	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			21 Work Experience		
			9 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			12 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			18 Job Search		
			7 Vocational Education		
			2 Job Skills Training		
			9 Education Related to Employment		

0 Secondary School or Equivalent

0 Other

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 46	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 16.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 109	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 39.9%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

		PERFORMANCE AI	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ERIE		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
1 rogram name	SF I	\$12,248,748 (Amount equals \$32,896,654 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in fro		Contract/Award Cycle	1 rocurement wiethodolog
FFFS	2008-09	07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$16,129,243 budgete \$4,520,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 but transferred to State Administered Contracts).	d towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX,	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
		6.	7.		8.
		Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes	As Of: "03/31/2009"	Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
					\$12,248,748
ase Management	3 project(s	t) to serve 3,130 families	3 project(s) served 20,913 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Paguiraments	392 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
ompry with Drug	and Alcon	or requirements	273 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		_
			44 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from 6		
Comply with Dome	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	628 individuals indicated danger		
			367 individuals granted a waiver from program requiren	nents	-
0 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 6,14	48	-
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			1,093 Unsubsidized Employment		
			187 Subsidized Employment		
			837 Work Experience		_
			1 Community Service 0 On the Job Training		
			5 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			12 Job Search		\dashv
			71 Vocational Education		-
			45 Job Skills Training		
			102 Education Related to Employment		
			14 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 1,406

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 41.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 1,924	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 56.3%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX ESSEX				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodolog
FFFS	2008-09	\$179,228 (Amount equals \$1,218,788 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$545,310 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$494,250		10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
					8.
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "03/31/2009"	Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
					\$179,228
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	tol Requirements 19 indi	viduals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
Joinpry With Drug	, una meon	1	0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		1 indiv	iduals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	mployment activities [a]	
		To the			
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nee I minist violence opinion (I v o)	iduals indicated danger riduals granted a waiver from program requiremer	ato	
		4 mult	riduais granted a warver from program requiremen	Its	
50 % Combined "/	All Familie	s" Participation Rate Numbe	r of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 100)	_
io io comemica i			r of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	rity [c]	
			8 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			4 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			1 Job Readiness Training Activities		_
			0 Job Search 0 Vocational Education		_
			0 Job Skills Training		\dashv
			0 Education Related to Employment		\dashv
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		

0 Other

Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 6

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: ''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 11	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 38.9%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09

		PERFORMANCE AN	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			FRANKLIN		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. 4. Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle		5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2008-09	\$610,630 (Amount equals \$1,811,622 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$905,632 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$274,000		10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals			7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"		8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
E-11 D	·: - X 7: -1	2:	2 project(s) served 272 families		\$610,630
Enhanced Domestic Violence 2 project(s) to serve 56 families Enhanced Drug and Alcohol 1 project(s) to serve 20 families			1 project(s) served 2/2 families		-
Housing 2 project(s) to serve 55 families			2 project(s) served 212 families		
		apports 5 project(s) to serve 164 families	5 project(s) served 705 families		
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 5 project(s) to serve 135 families			5 project(s) served 765 families		_
Other 3 project(s) to serve 54 families			3 project(s) served 291 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements			86 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
2011pty Will 21 ag and 1 noonot requirements			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from 6		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)			4 individuals indicated danger		
			1 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	ents	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate			Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 36		
30 % Comonied An Families Fatterpation Rate			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		-
			28 Unsubsidized Employment		
			3 Subsidized Employment		
			23 Work Experience		
			4 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			1 Job Readiness Training Activities		

2 Job Search

2 Vocational Education

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	3 Job Skills Training	
	1 Education Related to Employment	
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 34	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 45%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 50	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 66.2%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

		PERFORMANCE AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		FU	LTON		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$537,500 (Amount equals \$2,394,982 allocation, plus \$8,417 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-			10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
Other 1 project(s) t	to serve 180	0 families 1 pro	oject(s) served 221 families		\$537,500
Comply with Drug	and Alach	al Paguiramenta 16 ir	dividuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
ompry with Drug	and Alcon	or requirements	lividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
		0 ind	lividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO) 27 in	dividuals indicated danger		_
			dividuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	
0 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate Num	ber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 84		-
			ber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			14 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			14 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			5 Job Readiness Training Activities 3 Job Search		_
			1 Vocational Education		
			6 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 15	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 40%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 29	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 77.2%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY

- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

		I ERFORMAN	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	T		GENESEE		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriat		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodolog
FFFS	2008-09	\$371,000 (Amount equals \$1,540,612 allocation, plus \$8,981 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$1,433 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$768,026 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$412,000		10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes	s As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
					\$371,000
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	al Requirements	48 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
ompry with Drug	and Alcond	of Requirements	7 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]	
			2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en	•	
3 1 31 D	4. 24. 1	E T. V. L. O. C. (EVO)	0 individuals indicated danger		
ompiy with Dom	estic violer	ace Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
0 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 70		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			21 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment 8 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			12 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			2 Job Search		
			4 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		_
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent 0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 22.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 38	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 51.5%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09

		PERFORMANCE AND AC	COUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		GRE	EENE		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$418,500 (Amount equals \$2,011,666 allocation, plus \$7,505 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-		10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
					\$418,500
			et(s) served 434 families		
lealthy Families/F lousing 1 project(et(s) served 30 families et(s) served 46 families	_	
iousnig i projecti	s) to serve	50 families 1 project	ct(s) served 40 families		_
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements 85 indi	viduals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
17	,		duals mandated to treatment - exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
		2 indivi	duals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO) 2 indivi	duals indicated danger		\dashv
			iduals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	
0 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate Numbe	r of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 3		
		Numbe	r of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	rity [c]	
			39 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			5 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			5 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			8 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education		_
			0 Job Skills Training		_
			0 Education Related to Employment		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 22	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 48	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 41.1%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

		PERFORMANCE AND ACC HAMI			
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodolog
FFFS	\$35,594 (Amount equals \$150,832 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$22,530 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$23,353 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$89,415 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$0 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$25,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010" \$35,594
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements			0 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		φ33,37 4
omply with Dom	nestic Violer	nee I amily + Islance option (I + o)	uals indicated danger duals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	
0 % Combined "A	All Families		of Employment Assessments Completed [b] of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ 3 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment	rity [c]	
			0 Work Experience 0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training 0 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search 0 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training 0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent 0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 22%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 3	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 36.6%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
			HERKIMER		
1. Program name	2. SFY	Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$923,4	ansferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$49,535 transferred in from SFY 494 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$319,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes	As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 20 families Child Well Being 1 project(s) to serve 22 families SSI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 14 families			1 project(s) served 12 families 1 project(s) served 46 families 1 project(s) served 110 families		\$669,858
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		nol Requirements		37 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 7 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 1 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domo	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger 0 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts	
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 185 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi 21 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment	ty [c]	
			9 Work Experience 0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training 24 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			1 Job Search 2 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training 1 Education Related to Employment 2 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 16	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 16.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 51	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 52.9%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

			CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	1 .		FERSON		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodolog
FFFS	\$341,505 (Amount equals \$4,169,063 allocation, plus \$28,023 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$243,482 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$2,260,000 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX,			10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010" \$341,505
					\$341,505
omply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements 95 in	dividuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
1 7 2			ividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]	
		3 ind	ividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO) 4 ind	ividuals indicated danger		_
			lividuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
0 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate Num	ber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 163	1	
		•	ber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			20 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			11 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			7 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training		_
			0 Education Related to Employment		\dashv
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		\dashv
			0 Other		\dashv

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: ''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 27.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 35	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 54.5%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09

			CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			EWIS		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodolog
FFFS	\$389,163 (Amount equals \$1,053,866 allocation, plus \$7,110 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$33,362 transferred in from SFY			10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes	s As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
					\$389,163
			ect(s) served 74 families		
•			ect(s) served 4 families ect(s) served 219 families		
Touth Supports/St	noor comm	liamity conaboration 2 project(s) to serve 130 rannines 2 proj	ect(s) served 217 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements 11 inc	11 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			viduals mandated to treatment - exempt from empl		
		0 indi	viduals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)			viduals indicated danger		
		3 ind	ividuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
0 % Combined "A	All Families		er of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 22		
		Numb	er of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			2 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment		
			6 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			7 Job Readiness Training Activities		_
			2 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		_
			0 Job Skills Training		
			1 Education Related to Employment		
					ightharpoonup

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 4	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 32.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 10	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 77%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

		DEDECDMANCE AND A			
			CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX NGSTON		
1.	2.	3.	NGSTON	4.	5.
Program name FFFS	\$618,653 (Amount equals \$2,015,676 allocation, plus \$13,977 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-			Contract/Award Cycle 10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Procurement Methodology Allocation
		6.	7.		8.
		Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes	s As Of : "03/31/2009"	Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
Housing 1 project(s) to serve 189 families SSI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 36 families Transitional Benefits/Work Supports 1 project(s) to serve 408 families			1 project(s) served 275 families 1 project(s) served 209 families 1 project(s) served 554 families		\$618,653
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements			ndividuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems lividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl lividuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from en		
Comply with Dome	estic Violer		lividuals indicated danger dividuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	Turitor purion Turit	aber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 67 aber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Active 27 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment	ity [c]	
			8 Work Experience 0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training 0 Job Readiness Training Activities 7 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education 10 Job Skills Training 0 Education Related to Employment		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 15	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 13.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 36	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 33.1%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

FFFS 2008-09 \$595,415 (Amount equals \$1,841,907 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$986,542 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$259,950 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	
FFFS. les \$ 50 ransferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$986,542 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$259,950 arasferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	5. curement Methodolog
Performance/Accountability Goals Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009" Housing 1 project(s) to serve 350 families	Allocation
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements 31 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 1 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from employment activities [a] 2 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from employment activities [a] 3 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from employment activities [a] 4 individuals indicated danger 5 individuals indicated danger 6 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements 6 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements 7 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements 8 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 9 individuals mandated to treatment – e	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements 31 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 1 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from employment activities [a] 2 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from employment activities [a] 3 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from employment activities [a] 4 individuals indicated danger 5 individuals indicated danger 7 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements 6 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate 8 Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 10 8 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c] 17 Unsubsidized Employment 9 Subsidized Employment 1 8 Work Experience 1 0 Community Service 1 0 On the Job Training	\$595,415
5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 1 individuals mandated to treatment—nonexempt from employment activities [a] 2 comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO) 1 individuals indicated danger 1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 10 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c] 17 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment 8 Work Experience 0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training	
I individuals mandated to treatment— nonexempt from employment activities [a] Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO) I individuals indicated danger I individuals granted a waiver from program requirements Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 10 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c] 17 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment 8 Work Experience 0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO) 1 individuals indicated danger 1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements 0 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 10 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c] 17 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment 8 Work Experience 0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training	
1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements 50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 10 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c] 17 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment 8 Work Experience 0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training	
1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements 80 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 10 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c] 17 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment 8 Work Experience 0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training	
Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c] 17 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment 8 Work Experience 0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training	
Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c] 17 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment 8 Work Experience 0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training	
17 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment 8 Work Experience 0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training	
8 Work Experience 0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training	
0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training	
0 On the Job Training	
· ·	
9 Job Readiness Training Activities	
4 Job Search	
4 Vocational Education	
0 Job Skills Training 1 Education Related to Employment	
1 Secondary School or Equivalent	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 10	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 13.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 36	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 47.8%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09

		DEDECOMANCE AND	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			MONROE		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$7,115,212 (Amount equals \$22,697,482 allocation, plus \$108,843 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$13,410,044 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,998,681 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$114,088 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$168,300 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	ssistance Er	mergency/Diversion 1 project(s) to serve 700 families	project(s) served 19,059 families project(s) served 0 families project(s) served 476 families		\$7,115,212
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements			2,361 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 310 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from er 54 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from		
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	The contract of the contract o	253 individuals indicated danger 15 individuals granted a waiver from program requirer	ments	
50 % Combined "A	All Families		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 3,1 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Active 1,278 Unsubsidized Employment 91 Subsidized Employment		
			503 Work Experience 1 Community Service 4 On the Job Training 182 Job Readiness Training Activities 5 Job Search		
			95 Vocational Education 46 Job Skills Training 199 Education Related to Employment		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	86 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 1,048	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 22.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 2,022	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 43.4%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY

- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

		PERFURMA	ANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX MONTGOMERY			
1.	2.		3.	4.	5.	
Program name	SFY	Approp	oriation Level	Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$451,394 (Amount equals \$1,460,312 allocation, plus \$8,135 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-			10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"	
					\$451,394	
Case Management	1 project(s	s) to serve 600 families	1 project(s) served 777 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alash	ol Dogwigamonta	529 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_	
Comply with Drug	g and Alcon	or Requirements	10 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from ea	-		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)			0 individuals indicated danger			
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 34			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ 30 Unsubsidized Employment	rity [c]		
			0 Subsidized Employment		_	
			4 Work Experience			
			1 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			5 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			14 Job Search			
			0 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			1 Education Related to Employment		_	
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 18	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 14%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 49	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 36.9%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			NASSAU		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$2,863,398 (Amount equals \$16,403,817 allocation, plus \$67,321 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY			Allocation
					·
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes	: As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
					\$2,863,398
Comply with Drug	and Alach	al Deguisaments	3,844 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_
ompry with Drug	g and Aicon	or requirements	13 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	olovment activities [a]	_
			2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from er	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)			147 individuals indicated danger		
			66 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	ents	
			N. I. CE. I. A. A. C. I. I. I. I. I. C.		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 569		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ 385 Unsubsidized Employment	ity [c]	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			156 Work Experience		
			3 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			10 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			157 Job Search		
			106 Vocational Education		
			2 Job Skills Training		
			15 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 25.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 705	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 45.9%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09

		PERFURMANCE	E AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
			NIAGARA			
1.	2.	3.		4.	5.	
Program name	SFY	Appropriation \$2 479 925 (Amount equals \$6 409 931 allocation plus \$16 973 transfer		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$2,479,925 (Amount equals \$6,409,931 allocation, plus \$16,973 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$2,946,979 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,000,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation	
					8.	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes	s As Of : "03/31/2009"	Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''	
					\$2,479,925	
		to serve 160 families	1 project(s) served 0 families			
		to serve 80 families	1 project(s) served 35 families			
		pports 1 project(s) to serve 200 families		1 project(s) served 2,502 families		
outh Supports/So	chool Comm	nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 75 families	1 project(s) served 0 families			
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements			140 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	140 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			33 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	ployment activities [a]		
			7 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from er	mployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	nestic Violen	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	34 individuals indicated danger			
		· · · · · ·	12 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	ents		
50 % Combined "/	All Families	' Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 697		-	
70 70 Combined 1	A THE I diffilles	1 articipation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ		_	
			182 Unsubsidized Employment	, [0]		
			4 Subsidized Employment			
			80 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			1 On the Job Training			
			17 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			3 Job Search			
			20 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			11 Education Related to Employment			

Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 158

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 24.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 293	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 44.8%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09

		PERFORMANCE AND AC	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		ON	EIDA		
1.				4. Contract/Award Cycle	5.
Program name	SFY	SFY Appropriation Level			Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$3,353,730 (Amount equals \$8,844,995 allocation, plus \$30,148 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$61,762 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$295 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$3,852,733 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,730,147 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).				Allocation
		6.	7.		8.
		Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes	As Of: "03/31/2009"	Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
					\$3,353,730
			ect(s) served 46 families		_
			ect(s) served 118 families		_
Transitional Benef	fits/Work S	apports 1 project(s) to serve 3,300 families 1 proj	ect(s) served 598 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements 271 in	dividuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		23 ind	ividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
		18 ind	ividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO) 65 ind	ividuals indicated danger		
* *			dividuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate Numb	er of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 2,04	2	
		Numb	er of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [c]	
			231 Unsubsidized Employment		
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			100 Work Experience		
			4 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			49 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			9 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			51 Education Related to Employment		
			6 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 24.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 357	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 45.6%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX **ONONDAGA** 3. 1. 2. Appropriation Level \$7,037,383 (Amount equals \$14,724,289 allocation, plus \$65,693 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY SFY Contract/Award Cycle **Procurement Methodology** Program name 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$5,810,190 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,942,409 10/1/07-3/31/11 * Allocation **FFFS** transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
		\$7,037,383
Adult or Juvenile Justice/Criminal 1 project(s) to serve 88 families	1 project(s) served 110 families	
Child Only Services 1 project(s) to serve 28 families	1 project(s) served 328 families	
Enhanced Drug and Alcohol 1 project(s) to serve 13 families	1 project(s) served 109 families	
Healthy Families/Home Visiting 1 project(s) to serve 500 families	1 project(s) served 1,221 families	
SSI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 75 families	1 project(s) served 117 families	
Transitional Benefits/Work Supports 3 project(s) to serve 1,465 families	3 project(s) served 4,909 families	
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 3 project(s) to serve 689 families	3 project(s) served 1,484 families	
Other 2 project(s) to serve 56 families	2 project(s) served 80 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	1,326 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	11 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	28 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	72 individuals indicated danger	
	65 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,758	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	401 Unsubsidized Employment	
	0 Subsidized Employment	
	259 Work Experience	
	2 Community Service	
	7 On the Job Training	
	13 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	71 Job Search	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	68 Vocational Education	
	71 Job Skills Training	
	22 Education Related to Employment	
	12 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 389	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 30.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 684	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 53.8%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

1. Program name	2.	ON			
	2	ON	TARIO		
		3.		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$1,076,000 (Amount equals \$2,442,998 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$976,998 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$390,000			10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
		6.	7.		8. Spending As Of:
		Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes	s As Of : "03/31/2009"	"03/31/2010"
					\$1,076,000
			ject(s) served 0 families		
Other 1 project(s) to	to serve 10	families 1 proj	ject(s) served 40 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements 48 inc	dividuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			ividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]	
		1 indi	ividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO) 47 inc	dividuals indicated danger		_
ompry with Dome			ndividuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	
0 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate Numb	ber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 219		-
		Numb	ber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			50 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			8 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			13 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			30 Job Search		
			4 Vocational Education		
			5 Job Skills Training		_
			7 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		_

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 48	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 29.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 100	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 61.6%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09

			CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
ORANGE						
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodolog	
FFFS	2008-09	\$3,285,588 (Amount equals \$9,207,025 allocation, plus \$35,301 transferred in from St 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$4,431,226 budgeted toward transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Re to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes	As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''	
					\$3,285,588	
			pject(s) served 109 families			
lousing 2 project(s			pject(s) served 2,289 families			
Other 2 project(s) t	o serve 2,0	50 families 2 pro	oject(s) served 3,132 families		_	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements			84 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
r y			ndividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]		
		24 iı	ndividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO) 165	individuals indicated danger			
		51 i	ndividuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts		
0 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate Nun	ber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,28	39		
		Nun	ber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [c]		
			276 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			104 Work Experience			
			1 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			62 Job Readiness Training Activities		_	
			0 Job Search		_	
			20 Vocational Education 4 Job Skills Training		\dashv	
			50 Education Related to Employment			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	1 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 243	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 35.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 392	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 57%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

		PERFORMANCE AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		OR	LEANS		
1.	2.	3.		4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Level	X 4 1 ¢0 (f 1; f CFX 07	Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodolog
FFFS	2008-09	\$511,103 (Amount equals \$1,250,756 allocation, plus \$6,868 transferred in from Sumn 08 FFFS, less \$60,839 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$459,377 budgeted towards transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Resto State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation	
					8.
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "03/31/2009"	Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
					\$511,103
		20.	1: 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
omply with Drug	and Alcoh		dividuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	1	
			lividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplividuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from a	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
		3 IIIu	inviduals mandated to treatment—nonexempt from the	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	lividuals indicated danger		
			dividuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	
0.0/ Carabin at 11/	A 11 E:1:	Num	ber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 21	16	
0 % Combined A	All Fallilles	- 11-11-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	ber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti		
		rum	42 Unsubsidized Employment	vity [e]	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			34 Work Experience		
			2 Community Service		
			3 On the Job Training		
			7 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		

Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 36

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 31.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 71	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 61.1%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09

			CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	_	OS	WEGO		
1.	2. 3.		4.	5.	
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Level		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodolog
FFFS	\$1,515,551 (Amount equals \$5,051,079 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$53,793 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$2,704,321 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$885,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation	
					8.
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "03/31/2009"	Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
					\$1,515,551
			oject(s) served 293 families		
			oject(s) served 334 families		
Transitional Benef	fits/Work Su	apports 1 project(s) to serve 120 families 1 pro	oject(s) served 1,734 families		
omply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements			84 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		16 ir	ndividuals mandated to treatment - exempt from em	ployment activities [a]	
		4 inc	dividuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO) 65 in	ndividuals indicated danger		
ry			ndividuals granted a waiver from program requiren	nents	
0 % Combined "	All Families	s" Participation Rate Num	aber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 26	9	-
0 70 Combined 7	MI I ammes	Turrerputon rute	nber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti		
		T. WILL	57 Unsubsidized Employment	v. r.1	
			3 Subsidized Employment		
			32 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			5 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			11 Job Search		
			6 Vocational Education		
			1 Job Skills Training		
			2 Education Related to Employment		
			10 10 10 10 10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 49	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 22.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 94	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 42.8%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY

- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

		PERFORMANCE AN	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			OTSEGO		
1.	2.	3.		4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Level		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$597,999 (Amount equals \$2,055,816 allocation, plus \$11,067 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$1,065 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,172,980 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$294,839		10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes	As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
					\$597,999
) to serve 72 families	2 project(s) served 70 families		
		to serve 30 families	1 project(s) served 20 families		
		ng 2 project(s) to serve 508 families	2 project(s) served 642 families		
Housing 2 project		1 project(s) to serve 0 families	2 project(s) served 55 families 1 project(s) served 0 families		
Other 1 project(s)			1 project(s) served 0 families 1 project(s) served 210 families		
other i project(s)	10 301 10 20.	Tammes	1 project(s) served 210 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	67 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
	-	-	1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo		
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en		
Comply with Don	nestic Viole	ice Family Violence Option (FVO)	2 individuals indicated danger		
		the same of the sa	2 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined ".	All Families	Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 44		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			4 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			1 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			1 Job Readiness Training Activities		

1 Job Search

0 Vocational Education

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	0 Job Skills Training	
	1 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 3	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 22.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 6	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 40.7%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

		PERFORMANCE	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			PUTNAM		
1. Program name	2. SFY			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$249,469 (Amount equals \$1,063,044 allocation, plus \$2,584 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-			10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
Case Management	t 1 project(s) to serve 77 families	1 project(s) served 246 families		\$249,469
Comply with Drug	g and Alcol	ol Requirements	16 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger 1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 30 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Active 4 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment	ity [c]	
			1 Work Experience 0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training		
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities 1 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training		
			1 Education Related to Employment 0 Secondary School or Equivalent 0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 3		\dashv

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: ''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 35.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 7	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 83%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09

			SELAER SELAER		
1. Program name	2. 3.			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodolog
FFFS	\$902,500 (Amount equals \$4,414,583 allocation, plus \$20,612 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$2,969,695 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$563,000		10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes	: As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010" \$902,500
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	or requirements	individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			ndividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp		
		2 inc	dividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		nce Family Violence Option (FVO) 0 inc	0 individuals indicated danger		
			dividuals granted a waiver from program requiremer	ats	
0 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate Nun	nber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 622		-
o /o Combined 1	III I unimes	- 11-11-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	nber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ		
			176 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			19 Work Experience		
			3 Community Service		
			1 On the Job Training		
			12 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			39 Job Search		
			41 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training 1 Education Related to Employment		
			3 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			o ouici		

Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 140

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: ''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 24.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 260	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 44.9%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09

			D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		I	ROCKLAND		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodolog
FFFS	2008-09	\$2,226,011 (Amount equals \$6,799,988 allocation, plus \$19,254 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$3,176,882 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,416,349 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes	As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
Other 2 project(s)	to same 74	familias	2 project(s) served 130 families		\$2,226,011
Other 2 project(s)	to serve 74	rannies	2 project(s) served 150 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	11 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	oyment activities [a]	
			4 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	71 individuals indicated danger		
comply was boss	100010 11010	to running violence option (1 + 0)	58 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 502		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			75 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment		_
			5 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		_
			6 Job Readiness Training Activities		\dashv
			8 Job Search		\dashv
			5 Vocational Education		\dashv
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		

1 Other

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 55	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 28.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 91	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 46.8%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX ST. LAWRENCE						
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodolog		
FFFS	2008-09	\$1,164,309 (Amount equals \$3,845,645 allocation, plus \$10,000 transferred in from \$1,200,000 transferred to \$1,200,000 tra	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation			
					8.		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes	As Of: "03/31/2009"	Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"		
V41- C	-h1 C	Callaboration Associated Associat	aniat(s) annud (0 familia		\$1,164,309		
touth Supports/SC	chool Comr	munity Collaboration 4 project(s) to serve 175 families 4 p	project(s) served 69 families				
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements			27 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems				
			ndividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo				
		1 ii	ndividuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	_		
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	individuals indicated danger		_		
			individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts			
50 % Combined "/	All Families	s" Participation Rate Nu	mber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 704		4		
70 70 Combined 7	An Families	of authorpation read	mber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [c]	_		
			95 Unsubsidized Employment	, , ,			
			3 Subsidized Employment				
			35 Work Experience				
			6 Community Service				
			0 On the Job Training				
			5 Job Readiness Training Activities				
			0 Job Search				
			8 Vocational Education 3 Job Skills Training				
			9 Education Related to Employment		_		
			9 Education Related to Employment				

0 Other

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 77	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 28.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 142	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 52.4%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09

			D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		S	ARATOGA		
1.	2. 3.			4.	5.
Program name			Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodolog	
FFFS	\$221,270 (Amount equals \$3,491,042 allocation, plus \$12,465 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$2,818,730 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$463,507 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
					1
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes	s As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
					\$221,270
Case Management	1 project(s	s) to serve 510 families	1 project(s) served 516 families		
		t(s) to serve 1,625 families	2 project(s) served 1,843 families		
Non-Temporary A	ssistance E	mergency/Diversion 1 project(s) to serve 300 families	1 project(s) served 365 families		_
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	22 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			3 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger		_
compry with Boni	legate violei	ter anny violence option (1 vo)	0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
60 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 43		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			5 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment		
			0 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		\dashv
			0 On the Job Training		\dashv
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities		\dashv
			1 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 4	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 7	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 39%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY

- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

		PERFORMANCE AND ACC			
		SCHENE	CTADY		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodolog
FFFS	\$1,710,954 (Amount equals \$5,695,432 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$3,078,783 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$905,695 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation	
		6.	7.		8.
		Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes	s As Of : "03/31/2009"	Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
					\$1,710,954
Child Well Being	l project(s)	to serve 140 families 1 project	t(s) served 0 families		_
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ool Requirements 282 indi	viduals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		-
17 0	comp.) with 21 ag and 1.14 conc. 1.14 and 1.15 conc.		5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		1 individ	luals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO) 75 indiv	75 individuals indicated danger		
		56 indiv	iduals granted a waiver from program requireme	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate Number	of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 483		-
		-	of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ		
			95 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			57 Work Experience		
			9 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			4 Job Readiness Training Activities 18 Job Search		\dashv
			12 Vocational Education		\dashv
			0 Job Skills Training		\dashv
			10 Education Related to Employment		
	·		1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
	·		0 Other	·	

Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 83

Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 25.7%

Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 166

Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 51.5%

6.	7	8.
Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt en	mployability status
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY	ompleted by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff	using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards t	he federal participation rate
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the p-for April 2008 to March 2009	eriod April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on	monthly average data available
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Staby federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat	red across the months during the year or among projects	

		PERFORMAN	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			SCHOHARIE		
1. Program name	2. SFY	SFY Appropriation Level \$378,630 (Amount equals \$1,494,485 allocation, plus \$6,315 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$20,489 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$592,241 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$316,657		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09			10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes	As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
Child Well Being 1 project(s) to serve 10 families Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 20 families Other 2 project(s) to serve 100 families			1 project(s) served 8 families 1 project(s) served 11 families 2 project(s) served 147 families		\$378,630
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		ol Requirements	14 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 0 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	nestic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	2 individuals indicated danger 0 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	2 individuals indicated danger 0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
60 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 30 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity 4 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment	ty [c]	
			7 Work Experience 0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training 6 Job Readiness Training Activities 0 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education 3 Job Skills Training 1 Education Related to Employment		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	4 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 7	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 51.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 13	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 91.3%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY

- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			SCHUYLER		
1. Program name	3.		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2008-09	\$216,961 (Amount equals \$783,209 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFES, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFES, less \$361,248 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX \$205,000		10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
					\$216,961
		to serve 71 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		
		nergency/Diversion 1 project(s) to serve 14 families ng 1 project(s) to serve 16 families	1 project(s) served 0 families 1 project(s) served 0 families		
Housing 1 project			1 project(s) served 0 families		
Youth Supports/So	chool Comr	nunity Collaboration 2 project(s) to serve 40 families	2 project(s) served 0 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	12 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	10 individuals indicated danger		_
r y			10 individuals granted a waiver from program requirer	ments	
			Number of Employment Assessments Completed III 17		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 7 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Act	_	
			11 Unsubsidized Employment	ivity [C]	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			1 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		

0 Job Skills Training

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 7	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 14.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 12	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 26.3%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY

- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

			CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX NECA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodolog
FFFS	\$359,054 (Amount equals \$1,931,006 allocation, plus \$415 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,178,501 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$360,100 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$33,766 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010" \$359,054
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements			7 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)			0 individuals indicated danger 0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
60 % Combined "A	All Families	Turrespured state	per of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 76 per of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti 10 Unsubsidized Employment 1 Subsidized Employment		
			5 Work Experience 1 Community Service 0 On the Job Training 0 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search 1 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training		
			Education Related to Employment Secondary School or Equivalent Other		

Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 9

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: ''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 28%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 16	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 49.5%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09

		PERFORMANCE AND ACC			
	_	STEU	JBEN		
1.	2.	3.		4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Level	A de la constant de l	Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodolog
FFFS	\$2,042,300 (Amount equals \$3,966,399 allocation, plus \$18,886 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,486,985 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$456,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation	
		6.	7.		8. Spending As Of:
		Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "03/31/2009"	"03/31/2010"
					\$2,042,300
Case Management	1 project(s	s) to serve 1,800 families 1 projec	t(s) served 1,362 families		
Non-Temporary A	ssistance E	mergency/Diversion 1 project(s) to serve 1,200 families 1 projec	t(s) served 2,732 families		
			t(s) served 210 families		
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 340 families			1 project(s) served 188 families		_
Other 1 project(s)	to serve 30	0 families 1 projec	t(s) served 5,056 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements 156 indi	156 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		4 individ	duals mandated to treatment - exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
		2 individ	duals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO) 60 indiv	iduals indicated danger		
r y			viduals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined "	All Familia	s" Participation Rate Number	of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 24	2.	-
70 70 Combined 1	All Fallines		of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ		
		Tullion	61 Unsubsidized Employment	J []	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			21 Work Experience		
			2 Community Service		\exists
			0 On the Job Training		
			7 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			5 Job Search		
			8 Vocational Education		
			C T 1 C1'11 /D ' '		I

6 Job Skills Training

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	15 Education Related to Employment	
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	6 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 43	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 101	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 46.9%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX SUFFOLK 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. Program name SFY Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle Frocurement Methodology \$7,619,098 (Amount equals \$25,377,057 allocation, plus \$90,040 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$77,620 transferred in from \$1,000 transferred in \$1,000 transferred

FFFS	SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$ \$4,475,812 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care \$3,400 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	13,446,407 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
	6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes	As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
Comply with Drug	and Alcohol Requirements	685 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		\$7,619,098
		39 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp 24 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from e	<u> </u>	
Comply with Dom	estic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	184 individuals indicated danger 150 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	nents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 5,27 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi 569 Unsubsidized Employment		
		14 Subsidized Employment 220 Work Experience 16 Community Service		

0 On the Job Training

104 Vocational Education 5 Job Skills Training

109 Job Search

0 Other

37 Job Readiness Training Activities

11 Education Related to Employment 8 Secondary School or Equivalent

Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 622

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 39.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 921	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 59%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09

		PERFORMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			SULLIVAN		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Lev		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$828,650 (Amount equals \$2,179,881 allocation, plus \$10,662 transferred in SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$759,487 bud \$615,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$25,6 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes	s As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
					\$828,650
) to serve 12 families	1 project(s) served 57 families		
Housing 1 project		18 families (1 project(s) to serve 900 families	1 project(s) served 40 families 1 project(s) served 0 families		
		apports 1 project(s) to serve 50 families	1 project(s) served 336 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	0 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			8 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl		
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	ace Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger		_
1 3			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
0 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 202		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			49 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			8 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			13 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			4 Job Search		
			2 Vocational Education		

0 Job Skills Training

2 Education Related to Employment

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	6 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 30	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 15.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 69	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 35.8%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09

		PERFORMANO	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		TEMORNANC	TIOGA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriatio		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$567,573 (Amount equals \$1,496,909 allocation, plus \$7,466 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$756,302 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$180,500			10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes	s As Of : ''03/31/2009''	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
Non-Temporary Assistance Emergency/Diversion 1 project(s) to serve 200 families Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 2 project(s) to serve 35 families			1 project(s) served 2,183 families 2 project(s) served 189 families		\$567,573
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements			12 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 0 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	20 individuals indicated danger 23 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 176 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ń	
			23 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment		
			14 Work Experience 1 Community Service 0 On the Job Training		
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities 12 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 20	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 24.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 37	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 46.4%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY

- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

			TOMPKINS		
1. Program name	2. SFY	Appropri	3. fation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$501,478 (Amount equals \$2,754,524 allocation, plus \$1,790 trans 08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,487,836 b transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 but to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes	As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
					\$501,478
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	nol Requirements	37 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl		
			5 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	6 individuals indicated danger		
			6 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts	
			Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 269		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ		
			52 Unsubsidized Employment	ity [c]	
			0 Subsidized Employment		\dashv
			15 Work Experience		
			3 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			13 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			6 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			2 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		_
			0 Other		•

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 81	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 41%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09

		PERFORMANCE AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		UL	STER		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$1,067,278 (Amount equals \$5,657,959 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$3,407,138 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,150,000		10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
Case Management 2 project(s) to serve 580 families Case Domestic Violence 1 project(s) to serve 30 families			ect(s) served 1,964 families ect(s) served 17 families		\$1,067,278
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements			101 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 22 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		7 indi	viduals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nee I minis + totellee option (1 + o)	lividuals indicated danger viduals granted a waiver from program requiremen	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	a i ai del parton Trace	er of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,0 er of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ		
			85 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment		
			13 Work Experience 0 Community Service		
			3 On the Job Training 29 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			9 Job Search		
			11 Vocational Education 3 Job Skills Training		
			Education Related to Employment Secondary School or Equivalent		
		-		-	•

0 Other

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 50	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 14.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 128	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 36.8%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09

			CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		WA	ARREN		
1.	2.	3.		4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Level \$257.514 (Amount equals \$1.419.068 allocation, plus \$8.012 transferred in from Sumr	mer Vouth plus \$0 transferred in from SEV 07	Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$257,514 (Amount equals \$1,419,068 allocation, plus \$8,012 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$975,364 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$0 transferred to Title XX, \$194,202 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
			7		8.
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes	As Of: "03/31/2009"	Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
					\$257,514
			oject(s) served 92 families		
Enhanced Domesti	c Violence	1 project(s) to serve 24 families 1 pro	oject(s) served 103 families		_
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements 37 it	ndividuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
compry with Drug	and Meon	of redundation	lividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	oyment activities [a]	
			lividuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en	-	
			1	<u> </u>	
Comply with Dome	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO) 3 inc	dividuals indicated danger		
		1 in	dividuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate Num	nber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 15		
		Nun	ber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ity [c]	
			12 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			4 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			20 Job Readiness Training Activities		_
			7 Job Search 0 Vocational Education		_
			7 Job Skills Training		\dashv
			0 Education Related to Employment		\dashv
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		\dashv
			1 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 10	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 26.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 32	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 86.8%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

		PERFURM	ANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX WASHINGTON		_
1.	2.	I	3.	4.	5.
Program name	SFY		opriation Level	Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$765,842 (Amount equals \$1,427,485 allocation, plus \$5,077 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$326,714 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$210,000			Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes	As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
					\$765,842
		minal 1 project(s) to serve 120 families	1 project(s) served 289 families		
		s) to serve 156 families	4 project(s) served 266 families		
		ing 1 project(s) to serve 16 families) to serve 10 families	1 project(s) served 70 families 1 project(s) served 0 families		<u> </u>
331 Wiaxiiiiizatioii	1 project(s) to serve 10 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	47 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	47 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			3 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	oyment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	24 individuals indicated danger		_
			21 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 77		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity	ty [c]	
			20 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			6 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		_
			0 On the Job Training		_
			8 Job Readiness Training Activities 3 Job Search		_
			1 Vocational Education 1 Job Skills Training		
					_
			0 Education Related to Employment		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 17	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 31.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 33	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 59.9%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09

		PERFORMANCE AND A	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		W	VAYNE		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$813,383 (Amount equals \$2,802,986 allocation, plus \$8,237 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$270,537 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$163,697 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,804,626 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX			10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 24 families Child Only Services 1 project(s) to serve 130 families Child Well Being 1 project(s) to serve 34 families Enhanced Domestic Violence 1 project(s) to serve 34 families			roject(s) served 69 families roject(s) served 74 families roject(s) served 10 families roject(s) served 14 families		\$813,383
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoho	8 in	21 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 8 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ree running violence option (r v o)	individuals indicated danger individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families		mber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 83 mber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ 25 Unsubsidized Employment 2 Subsidized Employment	ity [c]	
			12 Work Experience 0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training 3 Job Readiness Training Activities 4 Job Search		
			2 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training		

0 Education Related to Employment

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 21	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 40	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 36.2%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09

		PERFORMAN	NCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			WESTCHESTER		
1. Program name	2. SFY	Appropri	3. ation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$8,431,913 (Amount equals \$27,519,471 allocation, plus \$2 transfe FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$14,941,910 but transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 but transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
					\$8,431,913
		t(s) to serve 215 families munity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 30 families	2 project(s) served 179 families 1 project(s) served 14 families		
Touth Supports/St	enoor conn	numity Conaboration 1 project(s) to serve 30 families	1 project(s) served 14 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	583 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	583 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			81 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	81 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			23 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	103 individuals indicated danger		
			165 individuals granted a waiver from program requirer	nents	
50 % Combined "/	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,4	85	-
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	rity [c]	
			494 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			495 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			119 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			136 Job Search		
			102 Vocational Education 29 Job Skills Training		_
			178 Education Related to Employment		_
			176 Education Related to Employment		

2 Secondary School or Equivalent

0 Other

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 742	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 39.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 1,164	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 61.6%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09

		DEDECORAL SIGE ASID			
			ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX YYOMING		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	TOMING	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$529,124 (Amount equals \$1,434,214 allocation, plus \$7,395 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$5,282 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$78,495 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$649,344 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$113,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$76,928 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: "03/31/2010"
Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 55 families Enhanced Domestic Violence 1 project(s) to serve 150 families Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 18 families			1 project(s) served 312 families 1 project(s) served 120 families 1 project(s) served 26 families		\$529,124
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements			25 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dome	estic Violer		3 individuals indicated danger 3 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	Turverpunon rune	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 57 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Active 15 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment	ity [c]	
			10 Work Experience 0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training 2 Job Readiness Training Activities 3 Job Search		
			Vocational Education Use Skills Training Education Related to Employment		

1 Secondary School or Equivalent

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 12	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 30.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 24	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 62.8%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09

		I ERI GRIVANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			YATES		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Lev		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodolog
r rogram name	SF 1	\$278,777 (Amount equals \$742,625 allocation, plus \$73 transferred in from S		Contract/Award Cycle	Frocurement Wethodolog
FFFS	2008-09	08 FFFS, less \$1,423 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$504,579 budgeted transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes	As Of : "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
					\$278,777
	1 7 \	s) to serve 30 families	1 project(s) served 20 families		
		nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 20 families	1 project(s) served 8 families		
Other 2 project(s)	to serve 200) families	2 project(s) served 335 families		_
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	5 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl-	oyment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Don	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger		
Compry with Bon	iestie violei	ice raining violence option (1 vo)	0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
			o marvidual granted a warver from program requirement		
50 % Combined ".	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 13		7
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			3 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			1 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			5 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			2 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			1 Job Skills Training		
-			0.51 1 5 1 5 1		

0 Education Related to Employment0 Secondary School or Equivalent

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 2	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 8.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 7	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 41.4%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09

		PERFORMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			NYC-HRA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Lev	vel	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2008-09	\$202,812,965 (Amount equals \$356,385,965 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 07-08 FFFS, less \$0 transferred to SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$78,216,000 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$75,357,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/07-3/31/11 *	Allocation
					8.
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "03/31/2009"	o. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
					\$202,812,965
		mergency/Diversion 1 project(s) to serve 2,724 families	1 project(s) served 688 families		
Housing 1 project(s			1 project(s) served 2,349 families		<u> </u>
		nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 13,416 families	1 project(s) served 50,191 families		
Other 2 project(s) t	.o serve 2,2	50,092 families	2 project(s) served 1,855,411 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	6,539 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			756 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from er	nployment activities [a]	
			693 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	n employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dome	estic Viole	ice Family Violence Option (FVO)	4,828 individuals indicated danger		
comply with Bolhesde Proteine Fulling Violence option (FVO)		tee running violence option (1 vo)	3,674 individuals granted a waiver from program requir	rements	
			N. I. CF. I. A. A. G. I. IIIII	2.515	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 14		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			21,664 Unsubsidized Employment		<u> </u>
			691 Subsidized Employment		
			3,241 Work Experience		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/2009"	8. Spending As Of: ''03/31/2010''
	13 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	0 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	1,897 Job Search	
	1,586 Vocational Education	
	664 Job Skills Training	
	808 Education Related to Employment	
	97 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 18,449	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 34.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 26,921	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50.3%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the period April 2008 to March 2009. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available for April 2008 to March 2009

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects

* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/1/08-03/31/09