

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

STATE SUMMARY

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$443,350,780 (Amount equals \$960,000,000 allocation, plus \$307,943 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$3,222,147 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$313,084,699 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$167,245,286 transferred to Title XX, \$39,020,120 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$511,534 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$317,671 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"		8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$440,439,569
Adult or Juvenile Justice/Criminal 7 project(s) to serve 729 families		7 project(s) served 855 families		
Case Management 44 project(s) to serve 109,537 families		44 project(s) served 12,826 families		
Child Only Services 9 project(s) to serve 32,532 families		9 project(s) served 33,154 families		
Child Well Being 19 project(s) to serve 1,569 families		19 project(s) served 2,097 families		
Enhanced Domestic Violence 11 project(s) to serve 2,077 families		11 project(s) served 2,190 families		
Enhanced Drug and Alcohol 3 project(s) to serve 0 families		3 project(s) served 933 families		
Non Recurrent Costs (Non-Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 9 project(s) to serve 1,207 families		9 project(s) served 13,348 families		
Healthy Families/Home Visiting 10 project(s) to serve 672 families		10 project(s) served 3,685 families		
Housing Services 16 project(s) to serve 4,887 families		16 project(s) served 8,915 families		
SSI Maximization 10 project(s) to serve 926 families		10 project(s) served 921 families		
Program Support and Training 2 project(s) to serve 550 families		2 project(s) served 809 families		
Transitional Benefits/Work Supports 11 project(s) to serve 10,620 families		11 project(s) served 22,941 families		
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 31 project(s) to serve 4,699 families		31 project(s) served 15,292 families		
Other 20 project(s) to serve 2,433,719 families		20 project(s) served 2,734,120 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		16,191 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		1,956 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		964 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		7,789 individuals indicated danger		
		5,150 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 201,177		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		30,956 Unsubsidized Employment		
		1,290 Subsidized Employment		
		6,996 Work Experience		
		92 Community Service		
		27 On the Job Training		
		967 Job Readiness Training Activities		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	5,004 Job Search	
	3,380 Vocational Education	
	1,251 Job Skills Training	
	1,638 Education Related to Employment	
	249 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	138 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 27,834	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 32.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 44,152	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 52.1%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
0		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ROS SUMMARY

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$157,639,626 (Amount equals \$409,484,630 allocation, plus \$307,943 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$3,222,147 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$184,757,483 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$62,768,286 transferred to Title XX, \$7,020,120 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$511,534 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$317,671 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
		\$154,728,415
Adult or Juvenile Justice/Criminal 7 project(s) to serve 729 families	7 project(s) served 855 families	
Case Management 43 project(s) to serve 12,206 families	43 project(s) served 12,826 families	
Child Only Services 9 project(s) to serve 32,532 families	9 project(s) served 33,154 families	
Child Well Being 19 project(s) to serve 1,569 families	19 project(s) served 2,097 families	
Enhanced Domestic Violence 10 project(s) to serve 856 families	10 project(s) served 930 families	
Enhanced Drug and Alcohol 3 project(s) to serve 0 families	3 project(s) served 933 families	
Non Recurrent Costs (Non-Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 8 project(s) to serve 0 families	8 project(s) served 12,016 families	
Healthy Families/Home Visiting 10 project(s) to serve 672 families	10 project(s) served 3,685 families	
Housing Services 16 project(s) to serve 4,887 families	16 project(s) served 8,915 families	
SSI Maximization 10 project(s) to serve 926 families	10 project(s) served 921 families	
Program Support and Training 2 project(s) to serve 550 families	2 project(s) served 809 families	
Transitional Benefits/Work Supports 11 project(s) to serve 10,620 families	11 project(s) served 22,941 families	
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 30 project(s) to serve 2,973 families	30 project(s) served 4,038 families	
Other 18 project(s) to serve 2,957 families	18 project(s) served 4,732 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	9,371 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	1,406 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	451 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	2,606 individuals indicated danger	
	1,537 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 37,554	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	8,366 Unsubsidized Employment	
	478 Subsidized Employment	
	4,142 Work Experience	
	92 Community Service	
	27 On the Job Training	
	967 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	1,026 Job Search	
	961 Vocational Education	
	512 Job Skills Training	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	803 Education Related to Employment	
	160 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	138 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 8,137	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 26.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 14,442	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 46.9%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

0

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ALBANY

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$5,535,129 (Amount equals \$13,786,196 allocation, plus \$18,199 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$5,918,395 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2,201,500 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$149,371 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"		8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$5,535,129
Housing Services 1 project(s) to serve 560 families		1 project(s) served 1,003 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		274 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		42 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		40 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		121 individuals indicated danger		
		8 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,510		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		277 Unsubsidized Employment		
		6 Subsidized Employment		
		246 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		58 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		2 Job Search		
		22 Vocational Education		
		72 Job Skills Training		
		29 Education Related to Employment		
		5 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 260		
		Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 25.4%		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 523		
		Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 51.1%		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ALLEGANY

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$1,284,122 (Amount equals \$3,189,573 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$146,468 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,426,594 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$594,930 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$30,395 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"		8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$1,284,122
Adult or Juvenile Justice/Criminal 1 project(s) to serve 30 families		1 project(s) served 0 families		
Child Well Being 1 project(s) to serve 100 families		1 project(s) served 241 families		
Enhanced Domestic Violence 1 project(s) to serve 100 families		1 project(s) served 139 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		124 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		4 individuals indicated danger		
		5 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 232		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		41 Unsubsidized Employment		
		3 Subsidized Employment		
		11 Work Experience		
		2 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		3 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		5 Job Search		
		5 Vocational Education		
		39 Job Skills Training		
		0 Education Related to Employment		
		1 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 28	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 73	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50.5%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
0		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

BROOME *

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$3,170,579 (Amount equals \$8,442,296 allocation, plus \$15,192 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$3,924,824 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,362,085 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$3,169,792
Case Management		1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements			110 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 39 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 15 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)			71 individuals indicated danger 56 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate			Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 829 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c] 285 Unsubsidized Employment 6 Subsidized Employment 85 Work Experience 1 Community Service 7 On the Job Training 32 Job Readiness Training Activities 61 Job Search 51 Vocational Education 5 Job Skills Training 40 Education Related to Employment 1 Secondary School or Equivalent 1 Other	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 249	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 25.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 500	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 52.1%	



[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

0

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

*This Social Services District has a Case Management project whose cost represents 100% of the federal share of the Case Management (RF2A, D1, Section 4, column 7) costs identified through the Random Moments Study which fall under TANF Program rather than administration, for federal reporting purposes. These projects do not require families served reporting.

**PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX
CATTARAUGUS**

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$2,122,733 (Amount equals \$4,240,371 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$654,317 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,337,362 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$930,000 transferred to Title XX, \$500,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$4,593 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
--	---	---------------------------------

		\$1,535,105
Adult or Juvenile Justice/Criminal 2 project(s) to serve 176 families	2 project(s) served 147 families	
Child Only Services 1 project(s) to serve 3 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
Child Well Being 3 project(s) to serve 204 families	3 project(s) served 153 families	
Enhanced Domestic Violence 1 project(s) to serve 182 families	1 project(s) served 73 families	
Healthy Families/Home Visiting 5 project(s) to serve 352 families	5 project(s) served 378 families	
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 224 families	1 project(s) served 224 families	
Other 1 project(s) to serve 500 families	1 project(s) served 560 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	46 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	4 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	5 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	13 individuals indicated danger	
	8 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 338	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	21 Unsubsidized Employment	
	5 Subsidized Employment	
	16 Work Experience	
	0 Community Service	
	1 On the Job Training	
	3 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	1 Job Search	
	1 Vocational Education	
	7 Job Skills Training	
	1 Education Related to Employment	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	3 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 25	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 31.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 39	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 48.6%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

CAYUGA

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$1,287,860 (Amount equals \$3,819,197 allocation, plus \$137 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$54,080 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,735,554 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$325,000 transferred to Title XX, \$525,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"		8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$1,184,716
Case Management 2 project(s) to serve 70 families		2 project(s) served 391 families		
Child Only Services 1 project(s) to serve 15 families		1 project(s) served 0 families		
SSI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 20 families		1 project(s) served 158 families		
Program Support and Training 1 project(s) to serve 0 families		1 project(s) served 803 families		
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 90 families		1 project(s) served 162 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		39 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		8 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		20 individuals indicated danger		
		20 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 127		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		38 Unsubsidized Employment		
		1 Subsidized Employment		
		19 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		0 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		0 Job Search		
		3 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		8 Education Related to Employment		
		0 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 29	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 58	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 37%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

CHAUTAUQUA *

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$2,130,566 (Amount equals \$5,373,656 allocation, plus \$11,648 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$315 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$2,902,963 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$352,090 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$2,130,566
Case Management		1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements			68 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			19 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			8 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)			13 individuals indicated danger	
			12 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate			Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 765	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			233 Unsubsidized Employment	
			1 Subsidized Employment	
			89 Work Experience	
			1 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			11 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			27 Job Search	
			6 Vocational Education	
			2 Job Skills Training	
			4 Education Related to Employment	
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			0 Other	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 140	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 14.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 341	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 35.2%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

0

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

*This Social Services District has a Case Management project whose cost represents 100% of the federal share of the Case Management (RF2A, D1, Section 4, column 7) costs identified through the Random Moments Study which fall under TANF Program rather than administration, for federal reporting purposes. These projects do not require families served reporting.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

CHEMUNG *

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$1,285,710 (Amount equals \$4,587,140 allocation, plus \$6,905 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$2,586,316 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$422,019 transferred to Title XX, \$300,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$1,285,710
		Adult or Juvenile Justice/Criminal 1 project(s) to serve 230 families	1 project(s) served 406 families	
		Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
		Other 1 project(s) to serve 100 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	180 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			22 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			5 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	19 individuals indicated danger	
			16 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 60	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			97 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			16 Work Experience	
			2 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			15 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			21 Job Search	
			3 Vocational Education	
			1 Job Skills Training	
			19 Education Related to Employment	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	1 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 58	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 133	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 48.4%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.</p> <p>*This Social Services District has a Case Management project whose cost represents 100% of the federal share of the Case Management (RF2A, D1, Section 4, column 7) costs identified through the Random Moments Study which fall under TANF Program rather than administration, for federal reporting purposes. These projects do not require families served reporting.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

CHENANGO

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$789,712 (Amount equals \$2,346,608 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,090,896 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$466,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$789,712
		Child Well Being 1 project(s) to serve 80 families	1 project(s) served 307 families	
		Non_Recurrent Costs (Non-Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 988 families	
		SSI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 40 families	1 project(s) served 6 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	75 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			6 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			4 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	32 individuals indicated danger	
			28 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 234	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			34 Unsubsidized Employment	
			1 Subsidized Employment	
			11 Work Experience	
			4 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			14 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			4 Job Search	
			9 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			2 Education Related to Employment	
			6 Secondary School or Equivalent	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 29	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 27.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 60	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 56.7%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

CLINTON

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$1,474,953 (Amount equals \$3,324,446 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,169,977 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$679,516 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$1,474,953
		Housing Services 2 project(s) to serve 89 families	2 project(s) served 77 families	
		Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 325 families	1 project(s) served 359 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	48 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			10 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			3 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	19 individuals indicated danger	
			13 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 361	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			61 Unsubsidized Employment	
			1 Subsidized Employment	
			16 Work Experience	
			2 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			29 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			15 Job Search	
			4 Vocational Education	
			1 Job Skills Training	
			5 Education Related to Employment	
			7 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			0 Other	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 43	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 113	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 45%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

COLUMBIA

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$450,973 (Amount equals \$3,258,836 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$2,550,353 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$257,510 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$422,673
		Other 1 project(s) to serve 70 families	1 project(s) served 309 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	76 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	8 individuals indicated danger 3 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 202 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c] 28 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment 2 Work Experience 3 Community Service 0 On the Job Training 4 Job Readiness Training Activities 8 Job Search 1 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training 1 Education Related to Employment 0 Secondary School or Equivalent 0 Other	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 18	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 13.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 44	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 31.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

CORTLAND *

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$348,228 (Amount equals \$2,384,826 allocation, plus \$5 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$201 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,487,304 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$549,500 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"		8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$348,228
Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 0 families		1 project(s) served 0 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		67 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		8 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		32 individuals indicated danger		
		13 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 84		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		61 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		7 Work Experience		
		1 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		4 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		0 Job Search		
		3 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		0 Education Related to Employment		
		0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 36	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 70	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 39.9%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

0

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

*This Social Services District has a Case Management project whose cost represents 100% of the federal share of the Case Management (RF2A, D1, Section 4, column 7) costs identified through the Random Moments Study which fall under TANF Program rather than administration, for federal reporting purposes. These projects do not require families served reporting.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

DELAWARE

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$440,401 (Amount equals \$1,470,967 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$570,897 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$459,669 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"		8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$440,401
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		24 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		15 individuals indicated danger		
		9 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 11		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		15 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		10 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		1 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		1 Job Search		
		3 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		0 Education Related to Employment		
		1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 14		
		Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 35.8%		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 24	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 59.5%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.		

**PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX
DUTCHESS**

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$2,440,184 (Amount equals \$8,478,172 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$3,883,422 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2,154,566 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$2,409,919
Case Management		2 project(s) to serve 737 families	2 project(s) served 0 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements			103 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			7 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			7 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)			42 individuals indicated danger	
			41 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate			Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 494	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			115 Unsubsidized Employment	
			2 Subsidized Employment	
			22 Work Experience	
			9 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			28 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			16 Job Search	
			1 Vocational Education	
			3 Job Skills Training	
			6 Education Related to Employment	
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			0 Other	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 73	
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17.3%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 176	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 41.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ERIE *

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$22,828,075 (Amount equals \$47,177,445 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$16,581,350 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$7,768,020 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$22,828,074
		Case Management 2 project(s) to serve 150 families	2 project(s) served 348 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	644 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 246 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 41 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	727 individuals indicated danger 386 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 7,775 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c] 1,056 Unsubsidized Employment 196 Subsidized Employment 1,169 Work Experience 2 Community Service 0 On the Job Training 1 Job Readiness Training Activities 0 Job Search 38 Vocational Education 105 Job Skills Training 61 Education Related to Employment 23 Secondary School or Equivalent 0 Other	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 1,576	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 41.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 2,166	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 57.1%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.</p> <p>*This Social Services District has a Case Management project whose cost represents 100% of the federal share of the Case Management (RF2A, D1, Section 4, column 7) costs identified through the Random Moments Study which fall under TANF Program rather than administration, for federal reporting purposes. These projects do not require families served reporting.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ESSEX

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$304,159 (Amount equals \$1,601,610 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$807,451 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$490,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$304,159
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	30 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	5 individuals indicated danger	
			5 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 103	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			7 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			3 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			1 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			0 Job Search	
			0 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			0 Education Related to Employment	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			0 Other	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 4	
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 16.2%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 10	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 38.7%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
0		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

FRANKLIN

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$1,109,255 (Amount equals \$2,469,601 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$30,898 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$921,244 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$420,000 transferred to Title XX, \$50,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$1,109,255
		Enhanced Domestic Violence 2 project(s) to serve 56 families	2 project(s) served 264 families	
		Enhanced Drug and Alcohol 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 119 families	
		Housing Services 1 project(s) to serve 30 families	1 project(s) served 165 families	
		Transitional Benefits/Work Supports 3 project(s) to serve 128 families	3 project(s) served 830 families	
		Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 4 project(s) to serve 125 families	4 project(s) served 499 families	
		Other 3 project(s) to serve 54 families	3 project(s) served 273 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	43 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger	
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b]	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			31 Unsubsidized Employment	
			2 Subsidized Employment	
			18 Work Experience	
			2 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			1 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			0 Job Search	
			2 Vocational Education	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	1 Job Skills Training	
	1 Education Related to Employment	
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 36	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 38.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 50	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 54.5%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

FULTON

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$981,402 (Amount equals \$3,024,622 allocation, plus \$4,028 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,729,886 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$317,362 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
		\$905,681
Housing Services 1 project(s) to serve 480 families	1 project(s) served 331 families	
Other 1 project(s) to serve 48 families	1 project(s) served 44 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	13 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	37 individuals indicated danger	
	0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 130	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	25 Unsubsidized Employment	
	0 Subsidized Employment	
	21 Work Experience	
	1 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	9 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	9 Job Search	
	1 Vocational Education	
	6 Job Skills Training	
	1 Education Related to Employment	
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 27	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 38%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 47	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 65.5%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

0

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

GENESEE

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$456,090 (Amount equals \$2,103,650 allocation, plus \$3,706 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,274,598 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$376,668 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$456,090
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	63 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			6 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	1 individuals indicated danger	
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 96	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			18 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			9 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			10 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			3 Job Search	
			2 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			0 Education Related to Employment	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			0 Other	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 14	
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.8%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 34	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 47%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

GREENE

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$806,340 (Amount equals \$2,502,601 allocation, plus \$3,450 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,377,111 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$322,600 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$806,340
		Enhanced Drug and Alcohol 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 708 families	
		Healthy Families/Home Visiting 1 project(s) to serve 50 families	1 project(s) served 62 families	
		Housing Services 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	126 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger	
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 7	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			55 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			7 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			7 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			15 Job Search	
			1 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			0 Education Related to Employment	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 33	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 73	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 46%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
0		
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

HAMILTON

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$49,020 (Amount equals \$180,251 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$32,959 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$148,508 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$15,682 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
		\$44,609

Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	0 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger	
	0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b]	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	1 Unsubsidized Employment	
	0 Subsidized Employment	
	0 Work Experience	
	0 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	0 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	0 Job Search	
	0 Vocational Education	
	0 Job Skills Training	
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 0	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 7%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 1	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 23.3%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
0		
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

HERKIMER *

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$899,371 (Amount equals \$2,388,605 allocation, plus \$2,205 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$103,472 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,212,911 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$257,000 transferred to Title XX, \$125,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$899,370
Case Management		1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
Child Well Being		1 project(s) to serve 20 families	1 project(s) served 108 families	
SSI Maximization		1 project(s) to serve 14 families	1 project(s) served 69 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements			20 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			4 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)			7 individuals indicated danger	
			6 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate			Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 168	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			30 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			9 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			14 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			0 Job Search	
			2 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			4 Education Related to Employment	
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 23	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 53	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 46%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.</p> <p>*This Social Services District has a Case Management project whose cost represents 100% of the federal share of the Case Management (RF2A, D1, Section 4, column 7) costs identified through the Random Moments Study which fall under TANF Program rather than administration, for federal reporting purposes. These projects do not require families served reporting.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

JEFFERSON *

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$1,073,005 (Amount equals \$5,187,198 allocation, plus \$11,453 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$2,435,420 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,690,226 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$1,073,005
		Adult or Juvenile Justice/Criminal 1 project(s) to serve 175 families	1 project(s) served 109 families	
		Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
		Child Well Being 1 project(s) to serve 100 families	1 project(s) served 129 families	
		SSI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 24 families	1 project(s) served 42 families	
		Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 90 families	1 project(s) served 280 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	75 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			5 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	13 individuals indicated danger	
			15 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 180	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			32 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			15 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			5 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			0 Job Search	
			1 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	2 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 23	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 49	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 40.4%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
0		
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.</p> <p>*This Social Services District has a Case Management project whose cost represents 100% of the federal share of the Case Management (RF2A, D1, Section 4, column 7) costs identified through the Random Moments Study which fall under TANF Program rather than administration, for federal reporting purposes. These projects do not require families served reporting.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

LEWIS

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$494,659 (Amount equals \$1,290,124 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$5,928 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$625,593 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$175,800 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$444,204
		Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 20 families	1 project(s) served 182 families	
		Healthy Families/Home Visiting 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
		Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 2 project(s) to serve 5 families	2 project(s) served 32 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	15 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	18 individuals indicated danger	
			9 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 9	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			3 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			1 Work Experience	
			1 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			7 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			1 Job Search	
			0 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			0 Education Related to Employment	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 2	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 11.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 10	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 64.7%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

LIVINGSTON

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$983,963 (Amount equals \$2,800,859 allocation, plus \$6,104 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,323,000 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$500,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
		\$983,963
Housing Services 1 project(s) to serve 212 families	1 project(s) served 345 families	
SSI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 78 families	1 project(s) served 204 families	
Transitional Benefits/Work Supports 1 project(s) to serve 246 families	1 project(s) served 195 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	53 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	12 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	17 individuals indicated danger	
	2 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	46 Unsubsidized Employment	
	0 Subsidized Employment	
	12 Work Experience	
	1 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	0 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	2 Job Search	
	2 Vocational Education	
	2 Job Skills Training	
	1 Education Related to Employment	
	7 Secondary School or Equivalent	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 38	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 24.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 59	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 38.2%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

0

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

MADISON *

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$839,861 (Amount equals \$2,143,215 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$574 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,050,896 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$253,032 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$839,861
Case Management		1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
Housing Services		1 project(s) to serve 350 families	1 project(s) served 1,567 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements			59 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			6 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			3 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)			2 individuals indicated danger	
			2 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate			Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 36	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			36 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			10 Work Experience	
			1 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			4 Job Search	
			4 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			2 Education Related to Employment	
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			1 Other	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 24	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 51	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 43%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

0

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

*This Social Services District has a Case Management project whose cost represents 100% of the federal share of the Case Management (RF2A, D1, Section 4, column 7) costs identified through the Random Moments Study which fall under TANF Program rather than administration, for federal reporting purposes. These projects do not require families served reporting.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

MONROE *

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$11,706,289 (Amount equals \$29,235,560 allocation, plus \$46,748 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$15,238,826 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,998,681 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$170,212 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$168,300 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"		8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$11,706,289
Case Management		1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
Child Only Services		1 project(s) to serve 32,049 families	1 project(s) served 32,049 families	
Housing Services		1 project(s) to serve 1,220 families	1 project(s) served 1,220 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		2,817 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		555 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		72 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		178 individuals indicated danger		
		80 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 3,327		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		1,348 Unsubsidized Employment		
		125 Subsidized Employment		
		502 Work Experience		
		10 Community Service		
		3 On the Job Training		
		164 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		0 Job Search		
		139 Vocational Education		
		84 Job Skills Training		
		173 Education Related to Employment		
		16 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	121 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 1,100	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 2,190	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 39.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.		
*This Social Services District has a Case Management project whose cost represents 100% of the federal share of the Case Management (RF2A, D1, Section 4, column 7) costs identified through the Random Moments Study which fall under TANF Program rather than administration, for federal reporting purposes. These projects do not require families served reporting.		

**PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX
MONTGOMERY**

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$944,464 (Amount equals \$1,852,507 allocation, plus \$3,590 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$173 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$616,356 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$295,450 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$799,270
Case Management		1 project(s) to serve 1,020 families	1 project(s) served 1,224 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements			28 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			3 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)			0 individuals indicated danger	
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate			Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 69	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			39 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			1 Work Experience	
			1 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			4 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			11 Job Search	
			0 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			1 Education Related to Employment	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			0 Other	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 21	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 11.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 52	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 29.6%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

0

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

NASSAU

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$7,367,746 (Amount equals \$22,848,375 allocation, plus \$31,665 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$10,650,000 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$3,862,294 transferred to Title XX, \$1,000,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$7,367,746
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	93 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			13 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	132 individuals indicated danger	
			60 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,137	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			505 Unsubsidized Employment	
			26 Subsidized Employment	
			218 Work Experience	
			13 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			45 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			128 Job Search	
			142 Vocational Education	
			3 Job Skills Training	
			7 Education Related to Employment	
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			1 Other	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 592	
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 25.6%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 949	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 41%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

NIAGARA

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$3,643,067 (Amount equals \$8,764,186 allocation, plus \$5,288 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$3,337,000 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,772,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$17,407 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$3,629,548
		Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
		Child Well Being 1 project(s) to serve 155 families	1 project(s) served 129 families	
		SSI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 34 families	
		Transitional Benefits/Work Supports 1 project(s) to serve 1,375 families	1 project(s) served 5,168 families	
		Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	152 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			47 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			7 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	33 individuals indicated danger	
			6 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 717	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			209 Unsubsidized Employment	
			3 Subsidized Employment	
			85 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			41 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			0 Job Search	
			19 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			19 Education Related to Employment	
			4 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			0 Other	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 182	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 23.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 344	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 44%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

0

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ONEIDA

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$6,141,886 (Amount equals \$11,968,244 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$3,350,303 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2,468,430 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$7,625 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"		8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$5,940,572
SSI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 275 families		1 project(s) served 275 families		
Transitional Benefits/Work Supports 1 project(s) to serve 2,682 families		1 project(s) served 2,682 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		254 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		21 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		17 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		49 individuals indicated danger		
		13 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,667		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		234 Unsubsidized Employment		
		1 Subsidized Employment		
		129 Work Experience		
		2 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		24 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		0 Job Search		
		15 Vocational Education		
		1 Job Skills Training		
		80 Education Related to Employment		
		5 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 197		
		Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 22.9%		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 374	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 43.4%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ONONDAGA

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$12,022,520 (Amount equals \$21,845,129 allocation, plus \$30,259 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$7,910,459 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,942,409 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
--	---	---------------------------------

		\$12,022,520
--	--	--------------

Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
Housing Services 1 project(s) to serve 300 families	1 project(s) served 381 families	
SSI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 350 families	1 project(s) served 34 families	
Transitional Benefits/Work Supports 1 project(s) to serve 5,700 families	1 project(s) served 7,042 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	1,110 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	13 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	34 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	104 individuals indicated danger	
	93 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 2,087	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	515 Unsubsidized Employment	
	14 Subsidized Employment	
	249 Work Experience	
	0 Community Service	
	11 On the Job Training	
	14 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	142 Job Search	
	88 Vocational Education	
	97 Job Skills Training	
	67 Education Related to Employment	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	22 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 409	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 922	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 46.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ONTARIO *

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2010-11	\$1,367,367 (Amount equals \$3,190,225 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,292,858 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$530,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation	
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"		8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"	
				\$1,367,367	
Case Management	1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families			
Other	2 project(s) to serve 40 families	2 project(s) served 282 families			
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		88 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
		6 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]			
		0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]			
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		33 individuals indicated danger			
		16 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements			
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 268			
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]			
		70 Unsubsidized Employment			
		0 Subsidized Employment			
		8 Work Experience			
		0 Community Service			
		0 On the Job Training			
		5 Job Readiness Training Activities			
		9 Job Search			
		3 Vocational Education			
		1 Job Skills Training			
		10 Education Related to Employment			
		1 Secondary School or Equivalent			
		2 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 45	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 23.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 94	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 49.3%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

0

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

*This Social Services District has a Case Management project whose cost represents 100% of the federal share of the Case Management (RF2A, D1, Section 4, column 7) costs identified through the Random Moments Study which fall under TANF Program rather than administration, for federal reporting purposes. These projects do not require families served reporting.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ORANGE

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$5,358,890 (Amount equals \$12,743,775 allocation, plus \$17,623 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$5,376,996 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2,025,512 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$5,358,890
		Case Management 2 project(s) to serve 2,220 families	2 project(s) served 2,426 families	
		Housing Services 2 project(s) to serve 1,010 families	2 project(s) served 3,455 families	
		Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 24 families	1 project(s) served 24 families	
		Other 3 project(s) to serve 890 families	3 project(s) served 1,126 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	35 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			12 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			44 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	182 individuals indicated danger	
			62 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,226	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			379 Unsubsidized Employment	
			8 Subsidized Employment	
			111 Work Experience	
			8 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			90 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			3 Job Search	
			35 Vocational Education	
			3 Job Skills Training	
			54 Education Related to Employment	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	2 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 285	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 27.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 543	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 52.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ORLEANS *

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$628,463 (Amount equals \$1,681,160 allocation, plus \$3,044 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$40,163 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$849,804 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$246,100 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$519,320
		Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	36 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			13 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			6 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	1 individuals indicated danger	
			1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 193	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			49 Unsubsidized Employment	
			1 Subsidized Employment	
			25 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			1 On the Job Training	
			4 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			0 Job Search	
			2 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			0 Education Related to Employment	
			7 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			0 Other	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 39	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 25.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 73	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 47.1%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

0

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

*This Social Services District has a Case Management project whose cost represents 100% of the federal share of the Case Management (RF2A, D1, Section 4, column 7) costs identified through the Random Moments Study which fall under TANF Program rather than administration, for federal reporting purposes. These projects do not require families served reporting.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

OSWEGO

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$2,703,379 (Amount equals \$6,455,665 allocation, plus \$1 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$440,511 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$3,307,798 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$885,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
--	---	---------------------------------

		\$2,360,889
--	--	-------------

Child Only Services 1 project(s) to serve 100 families	1 project(s) served 368 families	
Child Well Being 1 project(s) to serve 90 families	1 project(s) served 629 families	
Transitional Benefits/Work Supports 1 project(s) to serve 130 families	1 project(s) served 1,816 families	
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 50 families	1 project(s) served 140 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	86 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	25 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	10 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	74 individuals indicated danger	
	19 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 235	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	66 Unsubsidized Employment	
	6 Subsidized Employment	
	34 Work Experience	
	0 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	3 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	0 Job Search	
	2 Vocational Education	
	1 Job Skills Training	
	2 Education Related to Employment	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 54	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 103	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 33.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

OTSEGO

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$717,447 (Amount equals \$2,386,954 allocation, plus \$6,193 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$48 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,370,287 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$305,461 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$717,154
		Adult or Juvenile Justice/Criminal 1 project(s) to serve 24 families	1 project(s) served 193 families	
		Case Management 2 project(s) to serve 22 families	2 project(s) served 216 families	
		Child Well Being 3 project(s) to serve 48 families	3 project(s) served 156 families	
		Enhanced Drug and Alcohol 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 106 families	
		Non_Recurrent Costs (Non-Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 6 families	
		Healthy Families/Home Visiting 2 project(s) to serve 120 families	2 project(s) served 712 families	
		Housing Services 1 project(s) to serve 25 families	1 project(s) served 58 families	
		Other 1 project(s) to serve 100 families	1 project(s) served 119 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	60 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			3 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	1 individuals indicated danger	
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 39	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			8 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			1 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	3 Job Search	
	0 Vocational Education	
	0 Job Skills Training	
	2 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 6	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 13	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 47.8%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

0

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

PUTNAM

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$388,550 (Amount equals \$1,536,763 allocation, plus \$1,437 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$765,100 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$384,550 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$378,641
Case Management		2 project(s) to serve 93 families	2 project(s) served 272 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements			15 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			4 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)			0 individuals indicated danger	
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate			Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 31	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			2 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			5 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			8 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			5 Job Search	
			0 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			0 Education Related to Employment	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			0 Other	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 4	
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 25%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 13	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 89.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

RENSSELAER

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$1,813,368 (Amount equals \$5,452,477 allocation, plus \$9,378 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$3,060,487 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$588,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$1,813,059
		Transitional Benefits/Work Supports 1 project(s) to serve 259 families	1 project(s) served 5,079 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	152 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 24 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 3 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	16 individuals indicated danger 15 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 654 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c] 190 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment 20 Work Experience 0 Community Service 1 On the Job Training 5 Job Readiness Training Activities 33 Job Search 17 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training 6 Education Related to Employment 4 Secondary School or Equivalent 1 Other	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 141	
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 248	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 35.3%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ROCKLAND *

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$3,320,848 (Amount equals \$10,735,880 allocation, plus \$10,194 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$3,721,226 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2,504,000 transferred to Title XX, \$1,200,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$3,317,970
		Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
		Child Only Services 1 project(s) to serve 70 families	1 project(s) served 550 families	
		Enhanced Domestic Violence 1 project(s) to serve 12 families	1 project(s) served 18 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	25 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			9 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	63 individuals indicated danger	
			51 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 428	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			92 Unsubsidized Employment	
			1 Subsidized Employment	
			7 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			1 On the Job Training	
			10 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			10 Job Search	
			7 Vocational Education	
			1 Job Skills Training	
			0 Education Related to Employment	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			0 Other	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 52	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 119	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 39.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.		
*This Social Services District has a Case Management project whose cost represents 100% of the federal share of the Case Management (RF2A, D1, Section 4, column 7) costs identified through the Random Moments Study which fall under TANF Program rather than administration, for federal reporting purposes. These projects do not require families served reporting.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ST. LAWRENCE *

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$1,708,451 (Amount equals \$5,663,586 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$276,673 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,922,497 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,500,000 transferred to Title XX, \$800,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$9,311 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$1,546,514
		Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
		Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 4 project(s) to serve 0 families	4 project(s) served 0 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	24 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			4 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			8 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	9 individuals indicated danger	
			1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 671	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			89 Unsubsidized Employment	
			3 Subsidized Employment	
			39 Work Experience	
			2 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			13 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			1 Job Search	
			11 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			8 Education Related to Employment	
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			0 Other	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 79	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 25%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 148	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 47%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.		
*This Social Services District has a Case Management project whose cost represents 100% of the federal share of the Case Management (RF2A, D1, Section 4, column 7) costs identified through the Random Moments Study which fall under TANF Program rather than administration, for federal reporting purposes. These projects do not require families served reporting.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

SARATOGA

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$362,042 (Amount equals \$4,167,937 allocation, plus \$6,682 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$3,180,847 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$631,730 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$362,042
Child Only Services		1 project(s) to serve 25 families	1 project(s) served 22 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements			48 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			3 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)			0 individuals indicated danger	
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate			Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 56	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			6 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			3 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			1 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			0 Job Search	
			0 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			0 Education Related to Employment	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			0 Other	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 3	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 10.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 8	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 31.6%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.		

**PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX
SCHENECTADY**

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$1,992,549 (Amount equals \$7,340,197 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$10,911 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$4,422,864 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$935,695 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
		\$1,992,549
Child Well Being 1 project(s) to serve 140 families	1 project(s) served 52 families	
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 10 families	1 project(s) served 76 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	210 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	7 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	3 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	57 individuals indicated danger	
	39 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 519	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	108 Unsubsidized Employment	
	2 Subsidized Employment	
	59 Work Experience	
	5 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	4 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	65 Job Search	
	34 Vocational Education	
	0 Job Skills Training	
	18 Education Related to Employment	
	2 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 93	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 232	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50.5%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

SCHOHARIE

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$423,569 (Amount equals \$1,830,192 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$980,922 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$406,951 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$18,750 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$423,569
		Child Well Being 1 project(s) to serve 10 families	1 project(s) served 72 families	
		Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 20 families	1 project(s) served 85 families	
		Other 2 project(s) to serve 100 families	2 project(s) served 516 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	21 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			12 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	1 individuals indicated danger	
			1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 22	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			9 Unsubsidized Employment	
			1 Subsidized Employment	
			5 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			7 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			0 Job Search	
			1 Vocational Education	
			2 Job Skills Training	
			0 Education Related to Employment	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			5 Other	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 9	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 38.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 20	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 83.6%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

SCHUYLER *

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$339,505 (Amount equals \$953,556 allocation, plus \$1,644 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$1 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$325,696 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$290,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
--	---	---------------------------------

		\$339,505
Case Management 2 project(s) to serve 75 families	2 project(s) served 161 families	
Non_ Recurrent Costs (Non-Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 22 families	
Housing Services 1 project(s) to serve 6 families	1 project(s) served 1 families	
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 32 families	1 project(s) served 132 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	3 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	2 individuals indicated danger	
	1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 16	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	10 Unsubsidized Employment	
	0 Subsidized Employment	
	2 Work Experience	
	0 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	2 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	0 Job Search	
	0 Vocational Education	
	0 Job Skills Training	
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 3	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 6.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 13	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 25.9%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

0

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

*This Social Services District has a Case Management project whose cost represents 100% of the federal share of the Case Management (RF2A, D1, Section 4, column 7) costs identified through the Random Moments Study which fall under TANF Program rather than administration, for federal reporting purposes. These projects do not require families served reporting.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

SENECA

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$656,813 (Amount equals \$2,207,161 allocation, plus \$2,955 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,115,183 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$398,120 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$40,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
		\$560,977
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	1 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger	
	0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 52	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	8 Unsubsidized Employment	
	1 Subsidized Employment	
	2 Work Experience	
	0 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	0 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	0 Job Search	
	1 Vocational Education	
	0 Job Skills Training	
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 7	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 12	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 32.7%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
0		
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

STEUBEN

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$1,544,536 (Amount equals \$5,079,686 allocation, plus \$7,984 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$48,938 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$2,841,132 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$750,940 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$1,544,536
		Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 1,860 families	1 project(s) served 2,330 families	
		Non Recurrent Costs (Non-Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 8,300 families	
		Healthy Families/Home Visiting 1 project(s) to serve 150 families	1 project(s) served 2,533 families	
		Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 2 project(s) to serve 1,150 families	2 project(s) served 958 families	
		Other 1 project(s) to serve 325 families	1 project(s) served 618 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	158 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	66 individuals indicated danger	
			36 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 339	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			76 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			23 Work Experience	
			4 Community Service	
			1 On the Job Training	
			10 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			21 Job Search	
			15 Vocational Education	
			4 Job Skills Training	
			17 Education Related to Employment	
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	2 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 56	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 129	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50.5%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

0

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

SUFFOLK

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$15,282,700 (Amount equals \$39,169,615 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$18,949,915 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$4,937,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"		8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$15,282,700
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		602 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		57 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		22 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		134 individuals indicated danger		
		116 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 6,072		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		803 Unsubsidized Employment		
		41 Subsidized Employment		
		295 Work Experience		
		9 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		56 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		140 Job Search		
		120 Vocational Education		
		16 Job Skills Training		
		17 Education Related to Employment		
		14 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 909		
		Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 38.5%		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 1,306		
		Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 55.4%		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

SULLIVAN

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$1,527,318 (Amount equals \$3,071,917 allocation, plus \$5,308 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$676,907 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$828,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$45,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$1,490,679
		Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 65 families	1 project(s) served 14 families	
		Enhanced Domestic Violence 1 project(s) to serve 210 families	1 project(s) served 84 families	
		Housing Services 1 project(s) to serve 480 families	1 project(s) served 181 families	
		SSI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 50 families	1 project(s) served 55 families	
		Program Support and Training 1 project(s) to serve 550 families	1 project(s) served 6 families	
		Transitional Benefits/Work Supports 1 project(s) to serve 40 families	1 project(s) served 73 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	215 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			14 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	37 individuals indicated danger	
			22 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 141	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			57 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			14 Work Experience	
			1 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			26 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			3 Job Search	
			5 Vocational Education	
			5 Job Skills Training	
			1 Education Related to Employment	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	11 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 37	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 13.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 96	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 34.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

TIOGA

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$1,064,498 (Amount equals \$2,060,125 allocation, plus \$3,167 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$6,805 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$682,275 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$211,700 transferred to Title XX, \$100,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$11,624 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$1,034,364
		Child Well Being 2 project(s) to serve 498 families	2 project(s) served 0 families	
		Non Recurrent Costs (Non-Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 2,493 families	
		SSI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 75 families	1 project(s) served 44 families	
		Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 2 project(s) to serve 15 families	2 project(s) served 152 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	42 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	14 individuals indicated danger	
			11 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 122	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			23 Unsubsidized Employment	
			1 Subsidized Employment	
			15 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			5 Job Search	
			0 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			0 Education Related to Employment	
			2 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			2 Other	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 18	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 39	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 48%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

TOMPKINS

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$553,649 (Amount equals \$3,551,700 allocation, plus \$15,405 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$2,659,000 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$254,456 transferred to Title XX, \$100,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$553,649
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	72 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			12 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			8 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	3 individuals indicated danger	
			4 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 238	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			54 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			14 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			14 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			0 Job Search	
			6 Vocational Education	
			1 Job Skills Training	
			1 Education Related to Employment	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			0 Other	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 36	
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17.7%	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 80	
			Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 39.2%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ULSTER

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$1,652,119 (Amount equals \$6,918,639 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$4,116,520 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,150,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$1,652,119
		Case Management 2 project(s) to serve 625 families	2 project(s) served 0 families	
		Enhanced Domestic Violence 1 project(s) to serve 100 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	129 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			13 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			13 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	11 individuals indicated danger	
			2 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 802	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			113 Unsubsidized Employment	
			7 Subsidized Employment	
			19 Work Experience	
			4 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			12 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			4 Job Search	
			11 Vocational Education	
			4 Job Skills Training	
			0 Education Related to Employment	
			2 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			0 Other	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 67	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 14%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 152	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 31.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
0		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

WARREN

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$820,520 (Amount equals \$1,817,266 allocation, plus \$3,624 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$215,576 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$980,240 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$235,706 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"		8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$820,520
Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 30 families		1 project(s) served 17 families		
Enhanced Domestic Violence 1 project(s) to serve 30 families		1 project(s) served 146 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		70 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		4 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		3 individuals indicated danger		
		2 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 55		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		9 Unsubsidized Employment		
		1 Subsidized Employment		
		2 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		15 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		6 Job Search		
		0 Vocational Education		
		2 Job Skills Training		
		1 Education Related to Employment		
		1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 8		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 25	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 66.7%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not duplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.		

**PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX
WASHINGTON**

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$779,129 (Amount equals \$1,925,794 allocation, plus \$2,798 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$574,456 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$490,000 transferred to Title XX, \$50,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$35,007 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
--	---	---------------------------------

		\$779,129
Case Management 2 project(s) to serve 57 families	2 project(s) served 87 families	
Non_ Recurrent Costs (Non-Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 158 families	
Housing Services 1 project(s) to serve 125 families	1 project(s) served 131 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	39 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	19 individuals indicated danger	
	17 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 73	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	21 Unsubsidized Employment	
	0 Subsidized Employment	
	5 Work Experience	
	0 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	9 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	2 Job Search	
	1 Vocational Education	
	1 Job Skills Training	
	1 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 17	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 33.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 32	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 62.5%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

WAYNE

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$2,534,999 (Amount equals \$3,425,701 allocation, plus \$4,986 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$882,301 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$1,440,912 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$337,077 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$1,799,804
		Adult or Juvenile Justice/Criminal 1 project(s) to serve 94 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
		Case Management 4 project(s) to serve 132 families	4 project(s) served 87 families	
		Child Only Services 1 project(s) to serve 140 families	1 project(s) served 25 families	
		Child Well Being 3 project(s) to serve 124 families	3 project(s) served 121 families	
		Enhanced Domestic Violence 1 project(s) to serve 16 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
		Non_ Recurrent Costs (Non-Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 38 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	14 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			13 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			4 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	23 individuals indicated danger	
			5 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 107	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			27 Unsubsidized Employment	
			1 Subsidized Employment	
			11 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			5 Job Search	
			3 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			0 Education Related to Employment	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 21	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 15%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 41	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 28.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

WESTCHESTER *

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$13,448,550 (Amount equals \$39,395,872 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$17,846,173 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$5,908,249 transferred to Title XX, \$2,192,900 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$13,448,550
		Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 5,000 families	1 project(s) served 4,992 families	
		Child Only Services 2 project(s) to serve 130 families	2 project(s) served 140 families	
		Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 5 project(s) to serve 795 families	5 project(s) served 768 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	369 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			46 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			23 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	114 individuals indicated danger	
			204 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 2,376	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			592 Unsubsidized Employment	
			14 Subsidized Employment	
			409 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			110 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			233 Job Search	
			122 Vocational Education	
			40 Job Skills Training	
			137 Education Related to Employment	
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			0 Other	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 839	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 39.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 1,285	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 59.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.		
*This Social Services District has a Case Management project whose cost represents 100% of the federal share of the Case Management (RF2A, D1, Section 4, column 7) costs identified through the Random Moments Study which fall under TANF Program rather than administration, for federal reporting purposes. These projects do not require families served reporting.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

WYOMING

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$735,821 (Amount equals \$1,688,876 allocation, plus \$3,009 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$184,258 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$813,492 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$128,000 transferred to Title XX, \$77,220 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$121,610 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"		8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$676,929
Enhanced Domestic Violence 1 project(s) to serve 150 families		1 project(s) served 206 families		
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 18 families		1 project(s) served 147 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		17 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		3 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		11 individuals indicated danger		
		3 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 48		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		12 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		10 Work Experience		
		1 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		10 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		1 Job Search		
		1 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		0 Education Related to Employment		
		1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 11		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 27.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 27	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 69.5%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

YATES

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$502,224 (Amount equals \$947,845 allocation, plus \$1,929 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$86,577 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$308,127 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$226,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$415,408
		Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 30 families	1 project(s) served 79 families	
		Non_ Recurrent Costs (Non-Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 11 families	
		Transitional Benefits/Work Supports 1 project(s) to serve 60 families	1 project(s) served 56 families	
		Other 2 project(s) to serve 730 families	2 project(s) served 885 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	11 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger	
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 15	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			2 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			0 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			2 Job Search	
			0 Vocational Education	
			1 Job Skills Training	
			1 Education Related to Employment	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			0 Other	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 2	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 11.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 4	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 28.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
		0
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

NYC-HRA

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2010-11	\$285,711,154 (Amount equals \$550,515,370 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 09-10 FFFS, less \$128,327,216 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$104,477,000 transferred to Title XX, \$32,000,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/09-3/31/13 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"		8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
				\$285,711,154
Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 97,331 families		1 project(s) served 0 families		
Enhanced Domestic Violence 1 project(s) to serve 1,221 families		1 project(s) served 1,260 families		
Non_Recurent Costs (Non-Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 1,207 families		1 project(s) served 1,332 families		
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 1,726 families		1 project(s) served 11,254 families		
Other 2 project(s) to serve 2,430,762 families		2 project(s) served 2,729,388 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		6,820 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		551 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		513 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		5,183 individuals indicated danger		
		3,613 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 163,623		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		22,590 Unsubsidized Employment		
		812 Subsidized Employment		
		2,854 Work Experience		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/11"	8. Spending As Of: "3/31/13"
	0 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	0 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	3,978 Job Search	
	2,419 Vocational Education	
	739 Job Skills Training	
	835 Education Related to Employment	
	89 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 19,697	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 37.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 29,710	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 56.6%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2010 to March 2011, NYC data April 2010 to March 2011)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
0		
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2010 to March 2011. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2010 to March 2011.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2010-03/31/2011.</p>		