2. SFY	STA 3.	ATE SUMMARY		
SFY	3.			
	Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
	rogram nameSFYContract/Award CycleFFFS2011-12\$387,654,481 (Amount equals \$951,000,000 allocation, plus \$4,179,719 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$367,516,705 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$192,797,333 transferred to Title XX, \$6,206,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$600,829 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$404,371 transferred to State Administered Contracts).10/1/10-3/31/14 *		10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
	6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$383,625,440
stice/Crim	inal 4 project(s) to serve 556 families	4 project(s) served 677 families		
23 project((s) to serve 6,862 families	23 project(s) served 8,581 families		
8 project	(s) to serve 3,006 families	8 project(s) served 1,152 families		
project(s)) to serve 1,654 families	20 project(s) served 2,862 families		
Violence	9 project(s) to serve 895 families	9 project(s) served 1,698 families		
		3 project(s) served 662 families		
(FE) 3 pro	oject(s) to serve 47 families	3 project(s) served 25 families		
me Visitir	ng 11 project(s) to serve 1,025 families	11 project(s) served 2,047 families		
project(s)) to serve 4,217 families	16 project(s) served 6,770 families		
s (Non-Pu	ublic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 10 project(s) to serve 9,580 families	10 project(s) served 11,330 families		
ect(s) to se	erve 2,461,738 families	3 project(s) served 2,781,068 families		
o serve 2,1	181 families	12 project(s) served 2,497 families		
		3 project(s) served 539 families		
		9 project(s) served 13,419 families		
ool Comm	nunity Collaboration 27 project(s) to serve 5,760 families	27 project(s) served 21,015 families		_
nd Alcoho	ol Requirements	15,151 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		1,873 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from	n employment activities [a]	
		889 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt fro	m employment activities [a]	
tic Violen	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	7,483 individuals indicated danger		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4,828 individuals granted a waiver from program requ	irements	
l Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 2	05,173	-
unines		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Act		
	tice/Crim 3 project(8 project(8 project(s) Violence Alcohol 3 (FE) 3 pro- me Visiti project(s) s (Non-Pu ct(s) to sec o serve 2, 1 Training 0 project(s) /Work Su pol Comm nd Alcohol ic Violer	fransfer, \$600,829 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$40 6.	Inaster, So00,829 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$404,371 transferred to State Administered Contracts). 6. 7. Performance/Accountability Goals 7. Performance/Accountability Outcom 7. B. project(s) to serve 5,862 families 4 project(s) served 1,752 families 8 project(s) to serve 6,862 families 8 project(s) served 1,552 families 9 project(s) to serve 3,056 families 9 project(s) served 1,522 families Violence 9 project(s) to serve 2,862 families 9 project(s) served 1,522 families Violence 9 project(s) to serve 2,417 families 9 project(s) served 1,628 families Violence 9 project(s) to serve 2,417 families 3 project(s) served 2,862 families Woohd 3 project(s) to serve 2,417 families 3 project(s) served 2,862 families IP project(s) to serve 2,417 families 10 project(s) served 2,467 families Serve 2,418 families 10 project(s) served 1,470 families Serve 2,417 families 12 project(s) served 2,471 families Serve 2,418 families 12 project(s) served 1,470 families Serve 2,418 families 12 project(s) served 1,471 families Project(s) to serve 4,272 families 130 families Serve 2,418 families 140 project(s) served 1,4104 families <	Insister, 5000,829 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$404,3/1 transferred to State Administered Contracts). 6. 7. Performance/Accountability Goals 7. iteac-Criminal 4 project(s) to serve 556 families 4 project(s) served 677 families 3 project(s) to serve 5306 families 23 project(s) served 1,52 families 8 project(s) to serve 3006 families 20 project(s) served 1,52 families 9 project(s) to serve 306 families 20 project(s) served 1,52 families 9 project(s) to serve 306 families 9 project(s) served 1,52 families 9 project(s) to serve 306 families 9 project(s) served 1,52 families 9 project(s) to serve 4301 families 9 project(s) served 1,58 families 9 project(s) to serve 4306 families 9 project(s) served 2,802 families 10 project(s) to serve 47 families 10 project(s) served 2,407 families 11 project(s) to serve 4,717 families 10 project(s) served 3,710 families 11 project(s) to serve 4,717 families 10 project(s) served 3,710 families 12 project(s) to serve 4,717 families 10 project(s) serve 4,717 families 13 project(s) to serve 4,717 families 10 project(s) serve 4,717 families 14 project(s) to serve 1,435 families 10 project(s) serve 1,437 families 15 project(s) to

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	975 Subsidized Employment	
	7,953 Work Experience	1
	101 Community Service	1
	33 On the Job Training	-
	908 Job Readiness Training Activities	-
	6,112 Job Search	-
	2,936 Vocational Education	-
	1,425 Job Skills Training	
	1,224 Education Related to Employment	
	210 Secondary School or Equivalent	1
	97 Other	1
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 27,863	-
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 31.1%	-
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 45,560	-
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50.8%	1
a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and who	m were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	employability status.
b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstated assessments are completed by the total number of the total number of assessments that were completed by the total number of the total number of the total number of assessments that were completed by the total number of total number of the total number of total num		using NYCWAY and does not
c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activitie	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable we	ork activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate.
e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with		
F] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (b		
g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the relate available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to S by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	tate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported

by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds. Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects. Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

		R	COS SUMMARY		
1.	2.			5.	
Program name FFFS	\$124,146,315 (Amount equals \$405,645,712 allocation, plus \$4,179,719 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$213,958,896 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX_\$64,509,020 transferred to Title XX_\$6,206,000 in additional Child Care		Contract/Award Cycle 10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outco	mes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: ''12/31/13''
					\$120,117,274
		ninal 4 project(s) to serve 556 families	4 project(s) served 677 families		
		(s) to serve 6,862 families	23 project(s) served 8,581 families		
		(s) to serve 3,006 families	8 project(s) served 1,152 families		
) to serve 1,654 families 8 project(s) to serve 687 families	20 project(s) served 2,862 families 8 project(s) served 369 families		
		project(s) to serve 224 families	3 project(s) served 662 families		
2		oject(s) to serve 224 families	3 project(s) served 25 families		
		ng 11 project(s) to serve 1,025 families	11 project(s) served 2,047 families		
) to serve 4,217 families	16 project(s) served 6,770 families		
		ublic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 9 project(s) to serve 7,480 families	9 project(s) served 9,864 families		
Nutrition (N) 2 pro	oject(s) to se	erve 275 families	2 project(s) served 912 families		
Other 12 project(s)	, ,		12 project(s) served 2,497 families		
		g 3 project(s) to serve 740 families	3 project(s) served 539 families		
		s) to serve 1,143 families	10 project(s) served 733 families		
		apports 9 project(s) to serve 11,335 families	9 project(s) served 13,419 families		
Youth Supports/Sc	chool Comm	nunity Collaboration 25 project(s) to serve 2,426 families	25 project(s) served 3,656 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoho	ol Requirements	8,760 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	3	
			1,389 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from	m employment activities [a]	
			439 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	om employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violen	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	2,728 individuals indicated danger		
comply with Dom	lestie violen	the runny violence option (1 + 0)	1,575 individuals granted a waiver from program requ	uirements	
			Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 3	20.010	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate			<u> </u>
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Ac	tivity [c]	
			9,007 Unsubsidized Employment		<u> </u>
			290 Subsidized Employment		
			4,069 Work Experience		
			101 Community Service		
			33 On the Job Training		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	908 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	1,111 Job Search	
	883 Vocational Education	
	465 Job Skills Training	
	703 Education Related to Employment	
	139 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	97 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 8,164	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 24.6%	1
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 14,879	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 44.8%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

			IANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX ALBANY		
1. Program name	1. 2. 3.		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodolog	
FFFS	2011-12 \$5,261,571 (Amount equals \$13,656,950 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$6,008,173 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2,237,835 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$149,371 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: ''12/31/1
		Terrormance, recountability Could			\$5,261,571
Housing Services	1 project(s)	to serve 150 families	1 project(s) served 1,874 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	264 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			41 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em		_
			35 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	_
omply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	100 individuals indicated danger		_
comply with Dom			2 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	—
				1105	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,6	522	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			299 Unsubsidized Employment		
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			242 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		_
			0 On the Job Training		_
				51 Job Readiness Training Activities 1 Job Search	
			28 Vocational Education		
			65 Job Skills Training		
			22 Education Related to Employment 4 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 273		-
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 534		-
			Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 49	2.00/	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

6.	7.	8.	
Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	Spending As Of: "12/31/13"	
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not			
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)			
c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	ALLEGANY					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Lev		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2011-12	\$678,192 (Amount equals \$3,159,671 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Welfare Other than Title XX, \$611,001 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additio Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Cor	nal Child Care Transfer, \$12,000 budgeted for Non-	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: ''12/31/13''	
	1 .				\$678,192	
		(s) to serve 30 families to serve 100 families	1 project(s) served 7 families 1 project(s) served 295 families			
			104 in the lange of the Day (Alackal Decklare			
Comply with Drug	Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		104 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 3 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employed	wment activities [a]		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from empty			
			4 individuals indicated danger			
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	3 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 238			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activit	ty [c]		
			46 Unsubsidized Employment 1 Subsidized Employment			
			9 Work Experience			
			1 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			6 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			8 Job Search			
			5 Vocational Education			
			45 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment 0 Secondary School or Equivalent		_	
			0 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 29	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 16.6%	7
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 87	7
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50.1%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and who	m were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt	employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were of include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activitie	ate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)	f using NYCWAY and does not
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo		s the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the r data available April 2011 to March 2012.	reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NY	C is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	tate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	n receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated	ated across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

		PERFORMANCE	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	BROOME					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Le		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS		\$2,872,688 (Amount equals \$8,363,149 allocation, plus \$787 transferred in Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,346,670 transferred to Title XX, \$0 Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administe	in additional Child Care Transfer, \$27,001 budgeted for	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"	
					\$2,843,123	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	bl Requirements	134 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
comply with prog	,		43 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]		
			12 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violei	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	67 individuals indicated danger			
			44 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	_	
50 % Combined "/	All Equilion	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 943		_	
50 % Combined F	All Fallines	rancipation Kate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ.		-	
			325 Unsubsidized Employment	., [,]		
			2 Subsidized Employment			
			82 Work Experience			
			2 Community Service		—	
			13 On the Job Training			
			30 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			78 Job Search		—	
			54 Vocational Education			
			4 Job Skills Training			
			35 Education Related to Employment			
			3 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			1 Other			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 280			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: ''12/31/13''
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 25.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 550	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50.6%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexe	empt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta		C staff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow	wards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the red data available April 2011 to March 2012.	eporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for	r NYC is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent ch	ildren receiving assistance supported
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period $04/01/2011$, $03/31/2012$		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX						
	CATTARAUGUS					
1. Program name	2. SFY	Appropria		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2011 12	\$2,437,446 (Amount equals \$4,200,618 allocation, plus \$587,628 tr towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$930,000 transferred to budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferre	Title XX, \$100,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$20,800	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	ues As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"	
					\$2,436,836	
		inal 2 project(s) to serve 232 families	2 project(s) served 80 families			
		to serve 179 families 1 project(s) to serve 103 families	2 project(s) served 146 families 1 project(s) served 53 families			
		ng 4 project(s) to serve 392 families	4 project(s) served 165 families			
		nunity Collaboration 2 project(s) to serve 234 families	2 project(s) served 233 families			
	Other 1 project(s) to serve 376 families		1 project(s) served 424 families			
Comply with Drug	Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		49 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	49 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			4 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	ployment activities [a]		
			5 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from a	employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	15 individuals indicated danger			
1.5			12 individuals granted a waiver from program requiren	nents		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 39	00		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]		
			27 Unsubsidized Employment			
			4 Subsidized Employment			
			17 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			1 Job Search			
			2 Vocational Education			
			6 Job Skills Training			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"		
	2 Education Related to Employment			
	2 Secondary School or Equivalent			
	1 Other	1		
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 29			
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 34%			
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 44			
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50.9%			
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt er	nployability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)				
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.			
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the	he federal participation rate.		

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	CAYUGA					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$1,196,515 (Amount equals \$3,783,392 allocation, plus \$103,144 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$1,865,021 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX_\$325,000 transferred to Title XX_\$500,000 in additional Child Care Transfer. \$0 budgeted		10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"	
					\$1,106,706	
		to serve 70 families	2 project(s) served 255 families			
		(s) to serve 20 families 1 project(s) to serve 700 families	1 project(s) served 0 families 1 project(s) served 539 families			
		to serve 20 families	1 project(s) served 539 families 1 project(s) served 146 families			
		unity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 80 families	1 project(s) served 40 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	l Requirements	50 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			9 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from empl			
			4 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	_	
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	22 individuals indicated danger		_	
			19 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 209			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			50 Unsubsidized Employment			
			1 Subsidized Employment			
			26 Work Experience			
			1 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			5 Vocational Education		-1	
			0 Job Skills Training			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"		
	15 Education Related to Employment			
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent			
	0 Other			
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 39			
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20.6%			
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 74			
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 39%			
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt er	nployability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)				
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.			
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the	he federal participation rate.		

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	CHAUTAUQUA					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation L	evel	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$2,018,671 (Amount equals \$5,323,278 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$2,947,607 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX_\$357,000 transferred to Title XX_\$0 in additional Child Care Transfer \$0 budgeted for Non-			10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"	
					\$2,018,671	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	bl Requirements	113 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			20 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from er 12 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from			
			12 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from	i employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	50 individuals indicated danger			
			10 individuals granted a waiver from program requirer	nents		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	"Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 2'	79		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Act	ivity [c]		
			236 Unsubsidized Employment			
			1 Subsidized Employment			
			96 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			13 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			23 Job Search			
			7 Vocational Education 2 Job Skills Training		_	
			3 Education Related to Employment		_	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		_	
			0 Other			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 130	6		
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e]			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 346	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 31.5%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	a were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	mployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were conclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate assessments are completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY.)		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	k activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re data available April 2011 to March 2012.	eporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	C is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ed across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	CHEMUNG					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$422,104 (Amount equals \$4,544,136 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$1,675,532 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,696,500 transferred to Title XX, \$750,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-			10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"	
					\$422,104	
Adult or Juvenile J	ustice/Crim	inal 1 project(s) to serve 230 families	1 project(s) served 373 families			
Other 1 project(s)	to serve 100	families	1 project(s) served 100 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	163 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_	
compry with Drug	,		27 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]		
			5 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	10 individuals indicated danger		_	
1 5			8 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ts		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	' Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 207			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			75 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			10 Work Experience			
			2 Community Service 0 On the Job Training		_	
			17 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			14 Job Search			
			0 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			10 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"	
	3 Other		
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 47		
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21%		
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 102		
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 45.6%		
a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.			

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	CHENANGO				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Leve		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$640,568 (Amount equals \$2,324,609 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$1,232,961 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$451,080 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential			10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes	s As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
					\$640,568
		to serve 80 families	1 project(s) served 275 families		
		ublic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 35 families to serve 20 families	1 project(s) served 33 families 1 project(s) served 10 families		
551 Waximization	1 project(s)	to serve 20 fammes			—
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	bl Requirements	58 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		-	3 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	oyment activities [a]	
			4 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	
			16 individuals indicated danger		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ace Family Violence Option (FVO)	11 individuals indicated danger 11 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
			11 mulviduais granted a warver from program requireme	iits	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	"Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 76		
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [c]	
			29 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			15 Work Experience		
			3 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			8 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			1 Job Search		
			7 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			1 Education Related to Employment		
			7 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 26	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 25.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 56	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 56.2%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt er	nployability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANC	E AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	CLINTON					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$1,045,963 (Amount equals \$3,293,279 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$1,567,800 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$679,516 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-			10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	ues As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"	
					\$1,045,963	
		to serve 77 families	2 project(s) served 50 families		\dashv	
Youth Supports/Sc	hool Comn	nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 160 families	1 project(s) served 169 families		_	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	29 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			10 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from en	ployment activities [a]		
			3 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	15 individuals indicated danger			
-			14 individuals granted a waiver from program requiren	nents		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 25	5	-	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]		
			45 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			16 Work Experience			
			2 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			38 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			11 Job Search			
			3 Vocational Education		_	
			1 Job Skills Training		_	
			2 Education Related to Employment		_	
			9 Secondary School or Equivalent		_	
			0 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: ''12/31/13'
•	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 38	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18%	_
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 104	-
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 49.3%	-
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and who	m were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt	employability status.
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activitie [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	es consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	n federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1. Destiniation and an approximant related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly grames for the s	reporting partial of April 2011 to March 2012. Dortisingtion and approximant related date for NV	C is based on monthly evenes
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the r data available April 2011 to March 2012.	reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NY	C is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to S by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	tate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects. Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE ANI	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	COLUMBIA					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$596,153 (Amount equals \$3,228,284 allocation, plus \$28,300 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$2,272,147 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX_\$388,284 transferred to Title XX_\$0 in additional Child Care Transfer \$0 budgeted for Non-			10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"	
					\$596,153	
) to serve 52 families	1 project(s) served 0 families			
Child Well Being	l project(s)	to serve 55 families	1 project(s) served 282 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	53 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
		•	4 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]		
			1 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	5 individuals indicated danger		-	
			5 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ts		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 297		_	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			41 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			3 Work Experience			
			5 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			16 Job Search			
			2 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			1 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: ''12/31/13''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 27	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 63	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 41.1%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt er	mployability status.
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstat [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards t	he federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re	eporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	c is based on monthly average

data available April 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	CORTLAND					
1. Program name				5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	2011 12	\$242,500 (Amount equals \$2,362,468 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-1 Welfare Other than Title XX, \$551,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child of Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: ''12/31/13''	
					\$191,536	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho		dividuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			dividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp			
		2 ind	viduals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ace Family Violence Option (FVO) 31 in	dividuals indicated danger		-	
			dividuals granted a waiver from program requireme	ents		
50 % Combined "A	Il Families	r underpution read	per of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 93			
		Num	per of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			66 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			4 Work Experience			
			1 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			4 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			3 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			
		Num	per of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 34			
			bined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18	3.9%		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 74	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 41.2%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were conclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate		Fusing NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re data available April 2011 to March 2012.	eporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NY	C is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

		PERFORMANCE AND	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	DELAWARE					
1. Program name				5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	2011-12	\$300,000 (Amount equals \$1,457,177 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10- Welfare Other than Title XX, \$614,669 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Chil Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: ''12/31/13''	
					\$300,000	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	1	ndividuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			ndividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl ndividuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from er			
		01	idividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	npioyment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ace Family Violence Option (FVO)	individuals indicated danger		-	
comply with Dom			ndividuals granted a waiver from program requiremer	its		
			· · · · · · ·			
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate Nu	mber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 91		_	
			mber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			15 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			8 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			1 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		_	
			0 Other			
			mber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 13	2.004		
		Co	mbined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 29	9.3%		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: ''12/31/13''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 22	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 48.2%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt er	nployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards t	he federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re	eporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	is based on monthly average
data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children r	receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

		PERFORMANCE AND A	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	DUTCHESS					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS		\$2,419,401 (Amount equals \$8,398,689 allocation, plus \$30,265 transferred in from S towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2,154,566 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contra	additional Child Care Transfer \$0 budgeted for	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"	
					\$1,418,372	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	. 1	individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	1	_	
			dividuals mandated to treatment - exempt from emp		_	
		6 Ir	dividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	_	
			individuals indicated danger			
Comply with Dom	estic Violer		individuals mucated danger	onto		
			individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents		
50 % Combined "/	ll Families	" Participation Rate Nu	mber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 29	7	_	
50 % Combined F	All Pallines		mber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ		—	
			111 Unsubsidized Employment			
			1 Subsidized Employment			
			17 Work Experience			
			3 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			14 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			20 Job Search			
			0 Vocational Education			
			2 Job Skills Training			
			4 Education Related to Employment			
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			
			mber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 71			
		Con	nbined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 1	7.8%		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 154	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 38.7%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were conclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re data available April 2011 to March 2012.	eporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NY	C is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

		PERFORMANCE ANI	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	ERIE					
1. Program name	e SFY 3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	\$18,373,311 (Amount equals \$46,735,156 allocation, plus \$1 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$20,758,826 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX \$7,603,020 transferred to Title XX \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer \$0 budgeted for Non-			10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: ''12/31/13''	
					\$18,373,311	
) to serve 300 families	1 project(s) served 418 families			
Youth Supports/Sc	hool Comn	unity Collaboration 2 project(s) to serve 725 families	2 project(s) served 1,055 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	375 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	_		
			188 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from en	nployment activities [a]		
			44 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from a	employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	768 individuals indicated danger		-	
			410 individuals granted a waiver from program requiren	nents		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	' Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 7,9	08	_	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			1,138 Unsubsidized Employment			
			173 Subsidized Employment			
			1,167 Work Experience			
			2 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			38 Vocational Education			
			98 Job Skills Training			
			50 Education Related to Employment			
			23 Secondary School or Equivalent			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 1,622	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 40.5%	1
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 2,225	1
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 55.6%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE AND	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	ESSEX					
1. Program name				5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	2011 12	\$305,000 (Amount equals \$1,586,595 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10 Welfare Other than Title XX, \$560,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Chi Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: ''12/31/13''	
					\$305,000	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho		l individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er			
		1	individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	npioyment activities [a]		
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO) 4	individuals indicated danger			
			individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts		
50 % Combined "A	Il Families	" Participation Rate N	umber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 104			
			umber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			8 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			2 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			0 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training 0 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		_	
			0 Other		\rightarrow	
			umber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 3			
			ombined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 12	2.4%		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 9	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 35.2%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt en	nployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstat		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards th	he federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the red data available April 2011 to March 2012.	eporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children r	receiving assistance supported
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

		PERFORMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	FRANKLIN					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Le		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$851,204 (Amount equals \$2,446,448 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$1,071,244 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$424,000 transferred to Title XX, \$100,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-		10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"	
					\$851,204	
		1 project(s) to serve 50 families	1 project(s) served 0 families			
		project(s) to serve 20 families	1 project(s) served 31 families			
		ng 2 project(s) to serve 25 families	2 project(s) served 204 families 1 project(s) served 91 families			
		pports 2 project(s) to serve 328 families	2 project(s) served 91 families			
	Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 326 families		1 project(s) served 220 families		—	
Other 3 project(s) t			3 project(s) served 299 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	al Requirements	48 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_	
<u> </u>		· T , · · · · · ·	2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]		
			1 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger			
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts		
50 % Combined "A	ll Familia	' Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b]		_	
50 % Combined 7	an r annies		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			26 Unsubsidized Employment	·/ L·J		
			1 Subsidized Employment			
			15 Work Experience			
			2 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			1 Vocational Education			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	0 Job Skills Training	
	2 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 21	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 44]
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 45.5%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt er	nployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the	he federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	FULTON					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2011 12	\$698,515 (Amount equals \$2,996,266 allocation, plus \$75,721 transferred in from Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$317,362 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in addit Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contrac	ional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"	
					\$698,513	
		to serve 68 families	1 project(s) served 20 families		_	
Housing Services 1	project(s)	to serve 420 families	1 project(s) served 319 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	18 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
		1	3 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from emplo	oyment activities [a]		
			3 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	32 individuals indicated danger		-	
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ts		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	' Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 9		_	
		1	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ity [c]		
			29 Unsubsidized Employment			
			2 Subsidized Employment			
			20 Work Experience			
			2 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			12 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			5 Job Search			
			1 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			

6.	7.	8.
Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	Spending As Of: "12/31/13
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 29	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 34.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 52	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 63.3%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt	employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta		ff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	ork activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case toward	s the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the r	reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for N	YC is based on monthly average
data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	tate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent childre	n receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplica	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Lote 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds		

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	GENESEE					
1. Program name	2. 3. 4. me SFY Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle		••	5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	2011-12	\$405,925 (Amount equals \$2,083,928 allocation, plus \$151,882 transferred ir towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$447,552 transferred to Title XX Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administere	. \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer. \$0 budgeted for	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"	
					\$322,941	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	50 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	1		
			8 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em 1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from			
			I individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from	employment activities [a]		
			1 individuals indicated danger			
Comply with Dom	estic violer	ace Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ante		
			o individuals granted a warver nom program requirem			
50 % Combined "4	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1	10		
Jo // Combined /	un r annines		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Act			
			23 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			8 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			10 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			6 Job Search			
			3 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 16			
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e]	20%		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 41	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50.4%	-
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt er	mployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards t	he federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the red data available April 2011 to March 2012.	eporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	C is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	GREENE					
1. Program name	2. SFY			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2011-12	\$502,047 (Amount equals \$2,479,139 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY Welfare Other than Title XX, \$321,550 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional C Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"	
					\$502,044	
		project(s) to serve 190 families	1 project(s) served 545 families			
Healthy Families/F	Iome Visiti	ng 1 project(s) to serve 50 families	1 project(s) served 46 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	71 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
1,			3 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	oyment activities [a]		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	_	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger		-	
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 77		_	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [c]		
			60 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			4 Work Experience			
			4 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			7 Job Readiness Training Activities 33 Job Search		_	
			0 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: ''12/31/13'
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 35	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19.7%	1
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 94	1
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 52.9%	1
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt er	mployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c	completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff	using NYCWAY and does not
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta	ate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)	
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	ork activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards t	the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re	reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	C is based on monthly average
data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	tate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplica	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		

		PERFORMANCE AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	HAMILTON					
1. Program name			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	\$48,108 (Amount equals \$178,561 allocation, plus \$44,661 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$175,114 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX_\$0 transferred to Title XX_\$0 in additional Child Care Transfer. \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential			10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	ues As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"	
					\$43,866	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho		ividuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_	
			ividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp ividuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from o		_	
		0 inc	ividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]	_	
Comply with Dom	astia Violar	Contraction (EVO)	ividuals indicated danger		_	
Comply with Dom	estic violei		dividuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	_	
		0 11	inviduals granted a warver nom program requireme			
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate Num	ber of Employment Assessments Completed [b]		-	
	in i unines		ber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]	-	
			0 Unsubsidized Employment	• = =		
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			0 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			0 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment		_	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		_	
			0 Other			
			ber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 0	2.5%		
		Com	bined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e]	2.3%		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 0	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 7.5%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt er	mployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards t	he federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the red data available April 2011 to March 2012.	eporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	C is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children i	receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplica	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		
Tote 5. The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-05/51/2012.		

		PERFORMANCE AND	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	HERKIMER					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2011-12	\$633,837 (Amount equals \$2,366,212 allocation, plus \$1 transferred in from SFY Welfare Other than Title XX, \$257,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Cl Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"	
		to serve 20 families to serve 14 families	1 project(s) served 117 families 1 project(s) served 192 families		\$633,837	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	(71 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 6 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl 1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from er			
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	14 individuals indicated danger13 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement			
50 % Combined "A	Il Families	Turite purion Ture	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 150 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ 31 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment 9 Work Experience 0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training 13 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search 2 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training			
			2 Education Related to Employment 1 Secondary School or Equivalent 0 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: ''12/31/13'
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 25	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 51	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 41.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously	and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexe	empt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments to include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWA	Y. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)	C staff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in cou	ntable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to	wards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consist	stent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work act	ivities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases d	uring the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly averag data available April 2011 to March 2012.	e for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for	or NYC is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attribut by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	able to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent ch	ildren receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not	unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	JEFFERSON					
1. Program name	2. SFY			5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	\$1,012,922 (Amount equals \$5,138,568 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$2,435,420 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,690,226 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-		10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"	
					\$1,012,922	
		to serve 40 families	1 project(s) served 93 families			
SSI Maximization	1 project(s)	to serve 30 families	1 project(s) served 32 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	bl Requirements	103 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
1,5 6		· 1 · · · · ·	6 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	_	
			6 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	19 individuals indicated danger		_	
			8 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	ents		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 24	0	-	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]		
			33 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			26 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			7 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			1 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training		_	
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			3 Secondary School or Equivalent			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 25	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 62	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 43.1%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX LEWIS				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Leve		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12 \$331,661 (Amount equals \$1,278,029 allocation, plus \$50,455 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$817,073 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$154,750 transferred to Title XX, \$25,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts). 10/1/10-3/31/14 *			10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: ''12/31/13''
					\$288,702
) to serve 151 families	1 project(s) served 106 families		
		to serve 24 families Iblic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 16 families	1 project(s) served 0 families 1 project(s) served 0 families		
		nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 15 families	1 project(s) served 7 families		—
11					
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	bl Requirements	14 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	9 individuals indicated danger		
			1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	its	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 18		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			5 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			3 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			5 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			1 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 2	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 11.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 11	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 59.7%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	LIVINGSTON					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2011-12	\$916,601 (Amount equals \$2,774,601 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SF Welfare Other than Title XX, \$500,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contra	Child Care Transfer, \$14,000 budgeted for Non-	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"	
					\$916,601	
		1 project(s) to serve 125 families	1 project(s) served 0 families			
		ng 1 project(s) to serve 50 families to serve 130 families	1 project(s) served 0 families 1 project(s) served 0 families			
		to serve 30 families	1 project(s) served 0 families			
551 Waximization	1 project(s)					
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	49 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
	•	*	14 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	bloyment activities [a]		
			4 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	21 individuals indicated danger		_	
			6 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b]			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			49 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			15 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			4 Vocational Education			
			1 Job Skills Training			
			1 Education Related to Employment			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	8 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 39	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 71	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 38.7%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE AND	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	MADISON					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2011-12	\$481,548 (Amount equals \$2,123,122 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$1,388,542 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX \$253,032 transferred to Title XX \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential		10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"	
Housing Services	1 project(s)	to serve 350 families	1 project(s) served 1,363 families		\$471,286	
Tousing Services	i project(s)		project(s) served 1,505 families		_	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	al Requirements	21 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
comply with Drug	und meon		5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]			
		2	2 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violei		6 individuals indicated danger			
		•	7 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts	_	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 82		_	
]	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity	ty [c]		
			32 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			9 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service		_	
			0 On the Job Training 3 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			8 Job Search		_	
			3 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 23	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 46	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 36.2%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and who	om were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexen	npt employability status
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Ups		staff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activit		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable v	work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towa	ards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent wi	th federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities ((both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during th	e period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the	e reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for	NYC is based on monthly average
data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent child	dren receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not undupli	cated across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	MONROE					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$8,806,831 (Amount equals \$28,961,477 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$17,726,832 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,998,681 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$174,133 budgeted for			10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: ''12/31/13''	
<u></u>					\$8,806,831	
=		(s) to serve 2,500 families	1 project(s) served 0 families			
	1 0	to serve 1,236 families to serve 600 families	1 project(s) served 1,307 families 1 project(s) served 0 families			
SSI Waximization	1 project(s)	to serve 600 rammes	1 project(s) served 0 families		_	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	1,673 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
	comply will Drug and Theories requirements		588 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]			
			57 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	201 individuals indicated danger			
			53 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 3,7	31	_	
50 % combined 7	in r unimes		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ			
			1,356 Unsubsidized Employment			
			36 Subsidized Employment			
			510 Work Experience			
			12 Community Service			
			6 On the Job Training			
			135 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			110 Vocational Education			
			75 Job Skills Training			
			174 Education Related to Employment			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	19 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	81 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 987	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 2,112	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 36.3%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMA	NCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	MONTGOMERY					
1. Program name	\$646,242 (Amount equals \$1,835,140 allocation, plus \$145,970 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$1,034,473 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX_\$300 395 transferred to Title XX_\$0 in additional Child Care Transfer \$0 budgeted for			••	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS				10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: ''12/31/13''	
C M	1) to some 1 200 foreilies	1 project(s) served 1,434 families		\$634,977	
case Management	1 project(s	t) to serve 1,200 families	1 project(s) served 1,434 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	19 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	19 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
1, 0		1	6 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	6 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
			3 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	11 individuals indicated danger		-	
			7 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 94		_	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]		
			54 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			2 Work Experience			
			2 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			4 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			44 Job Search			
			1 Vocational Education		_	
			0 Job Skills Training			
			6 Education Related to Employment		_	
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent 1 Other		_	
			I Ullel			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 34	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 100	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 51.1%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt er	mployability status.
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bc	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards t federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	he federal participation rate.
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re-	eporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	c is based on monthly average
data available April 2011 to March 2012. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St		
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds. Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplica Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.

		PERFORMANCE AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	NASSAU					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2011-12	\$6,200,000 (Amount equals \$22,634,171 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$11,571,877 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX_\$3,862,294 transferred to Title XX_\$1,000,000 in additional Child Care Transfer \$0 budgeted for			Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: ''12/31/13''	
					\$6,200,000	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	1	07 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			ndividuals mandated to treatment - exempt from em			
		1 in.	dividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	_	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	individuals indicated danger			
			individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents		
50 % Combined "A	Il Families	" Participation Rate Nun	nber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 93:	5	-	
o // Combined /		1 uniterpation finite	nber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ			
			618 Unsubsidized Employment			
			5 Subsidized Employment			
			235 Work Experience			
			22 Community Service			
			3 On the Job Training			
			67 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			115 Job Search			
			122 Vocational Education			
			4 Job Skills Training			
			4 Education Related to Employment			
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			1 Other			
			nber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 679			
		Con	nbined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	.7.9%		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 1,031	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 42.4%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and who	m were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexen	npt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were o include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta		staff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activitie	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	ork activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towa	ards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	h federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the r data available April 2011 to March 2012.	reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for	NYC is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sub federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	tate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent child	dren receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated	ated across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period $04/01/2011-03/31/2012$.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
			NIAGARA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Lev		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011 12	\$1,532,276 (Amount equals \$8,682,022 allocation, plus \$13,519 transferred towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,728,000 transferred to Title 2 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to Stat	XX, \$20,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	mes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
					\$1,532,276
		to serve 160 families	1 project(s) served 125 families		
		pports 1 project(s) to serve 675 families	1 project(s) served 795 families		
Youth Supports/Sc	chool Comm	unity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		_
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	276 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
	,	·····	56 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
			6 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from		
Comply with Dom	estic Violen	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	19 individuals indicated danger		
			10 individuals granted a waiver from program require	ements	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 7	714	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Ac	tivity [c]	
			220 Unsubsidized Employment		
			2 Subsidized Employment		
			77 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			44 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			22 Vocational Education		-1
			0 Job Skills Training		
			23 Education Related to Employment		
			2 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			1 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 17	73	-1

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 353	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 43.8%	
 [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bot) 	completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staf the data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012) is consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	f using NYCWAY and does not
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	-	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the red data available April 2011 to March 2012. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds. Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	

		PERFORMAN	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	ONEIDA				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriati	ion Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011 12	\$3,123,426 (Amount equals \$11,856,042 allocation, plus \$201,314 tra towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2,473,753 transferred to budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred	Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$18,902	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
					\$3,116,899
		to serve 184 families	1 project(s) served 184 families		
Transitional Benef	its/Work Su	apports 1 project(s) to serve 3,097 families	1 project(s) served 3,097 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	220 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
1,5 1,6			25 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from er	nployment activities [a]	—
			12 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	n employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	14 individuals indicated danger		
comply with Dom	estie violei		3 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1	738	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Act	ivity [c]	
			291 Unsubsidized Employment		
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			148 Work Experience		
			4 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			28 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			5 Job Search		
			14 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			100 Education Related to Employment		
			7 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 23	8	-1
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e]	20%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: ''12/31/13
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 469	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 39.2%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexe	empt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstat		staff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow	vards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the red data available April 2011 to March 2012.	eporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data fo	r NYC is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent chi	ildren receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.

		PERFORMANCE AND	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	ONONDAGA					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$9,410,954 (Amount equals \$21,640,331 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$7,886,968 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1.942,409 transferred to Title XX, \$2,400,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for			10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"	
					\$9,410,954	
			project(s) served 408 families			
			project(s) served 70 families project(s) served 6,930 families			
Transitional Benefi	its/work Su	pports 1 project(s) to serve 6,930 families	project(s) served 6,950 families		-	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements 1,2	1,226 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
		18	3 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from emp	ployment activities [a]		
		49	p individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO) 12	3 individuals indicated danger		_	
			7 individuals granted a waiver from program requirer	nents		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate Nu	umber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 2,3	06		
		Νι	umber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]		
			580 Unsubsidized Employment			
			6 Subsidized Employment			
			221 Work Experience			
			1 Community Service			
			9 On the Job Training			
			9 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			145 Job Search			
			84 Vocational Education			
			95 Job Skills Training			
			65 Education Related to Employment			
			17 Secondary School or Equivalent			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 412	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.7%	-
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 981	-
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 44.6%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANC	E AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	ONTARIO					
1. Program name	am name SFY Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle \$1,509,000 (Amount equals \$3,160,317 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$1,170,567 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX_\$480,750 transferred to Title XX_\$0 in additional Child Care Transfer \$0 budgeted for Non-			5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS				10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"	
Other 1 project(s) t	0 serve 10	familiae	1 project(s) served 56 families		\$1,509,000	
other i project(s)	0 301 10 40					
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	71 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			8 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]		
			1 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	16 individuals indicated danger			
			12 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ients		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 28	2	-	
		- and family compared to the second	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti		—	
			76 Unsubsidized Employment	• = =		
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			9 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			5 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			12 Job Search			
			4 Vocational Education			
			6 Job Skills Training			
			10 Education Related to Employment			
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: ''12/31/13
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 47	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.9%	_
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 107	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50.1%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and who	m were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt	employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate)		ff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activitie	es consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable we	ork activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	s the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	n federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (b	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the	reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NY	YC is based on monthly average
data available April 2011 to March 2012.		,
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to S	tate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	n receiving assistance supported
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated by prior services for de	ated across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period $04/01/2011$, $03/31/2012$		

		PERFORMANCE AND A	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	ORANGE					
1. Program name	n name SFY Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle		5. Procurement Methodology			
FFFS	\$5,300,458 (Amount equals \$12,624,302 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$5,298,332 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2,025,512 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-			10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"	
Casa Managamant	2 project(s) to serve 2,220 families 2 p	roject(s) served 2,759 families		\$5,300,458	
			roject(s) served 954 families			
			roject(s) served 20 families			
Other 2 project(s) t	to serve 840) families 2 p	roject(s) served 1,006 families			
	1 4 1 1		individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho		individuals assessed for Didg/Alconor roblems	mlayment activities [a]		
			individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from en			
		+1	individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt nom	employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)) individuals indicated danger			
			individuals granted a waiver from program requiren	nents		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate Nu	mber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,	506		
		Nu	mber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]		
			431 Unsubsidized Employment			
			4 Subsidized Employment			
			106 Work Experience			
			7 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			104 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			2 Job Search			
			34 Vocational Education		_	
			1 Job Skills Training		_	
			38 Education Related to Employment			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 297	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 26.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 592	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 53.4%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE AND A	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	ORLEANS					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$472,236 (Amount equals \$1,665,399 allocation, plus \$156,036 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$1,058,655 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX_\$263,125 transferred to Title XX_\$0 in additional Child Care Transfer \$27,419 budgeted			10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"	
					\$401,664	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho		individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			dividuals mandated to treatment - exempt from emp		_	
		5 ir	dividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]		
			dividuals indicated danger		_	
Comply with Dome	estic violer		ndividuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nte	_	
		1 1	nuviduais graneed a warver from program requireme	113		
50 % Combined "A	Il Families	Participation Rate Nu	mber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 10	8	-	
		•	mber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]		
			48 Unsubsidized Employment	-		
			1 Subsidized Employment			
			23 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			5 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search		-	
			0 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			1 Education Related to Employment			
			6 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			
		Nu	mber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 39			
		Con	nbined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	5.5%		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"				
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 70					
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 46%					
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt en	mployability status.				
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were conclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate assessments are completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY.)		using NYCWAY and does not				
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.					
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards t	the federal participation rate.				
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.					
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.					
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.					
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the redata available April 2011 to March 2012.	eporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	C is based on monthly average				
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported				
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ted across the months during the year or among projects.					
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.						
		PERFORMANC	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
--------------------	--	---	---	------------------------------------	----------------------------------	--
	OSWEGO					
1. Program name	2. 3. SFY Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	\$2,174,127 (Amount equals \$6,395,143 allocation, plus \$342,490 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$3,678,506 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX_\$885,000 transferred to Title XX_\$0 in additional Child Care Transfer \$0 budgeted for			10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"	
					\$1,993,070	
		(s) to serve 148 families	1 project(s) served 584 families		_	
		to serve 130 families upports 1 project(s) to serve 130 families	1 project(s) served 633 families			
		nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 21 families	1 project(s) served 42 families	1 project(s) served 1,248 families		
roum pupports, pe					_	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	bl Requirements	104 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			28 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from en	nployment activities [a]		
			9 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	88 individuals indicated danger			
			43 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	nents	_	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 35	57	-	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]	_	
			78 Unsubsidized Employment	•		
			4 Subsidized Employment			
			37 Work Experience			
			1 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			9 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			2 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			1 Education Related to Employment			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 59	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 16.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 116	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 32.9%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMAN	NCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	OTSEGO					
1. Program name				5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	2011-12	\$578,417 (Amount equals \$2,364,576 allocation, plus \$293 transfer Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$356,939 transferred to Title X Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Adminis	X \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer \$0 budgeted for Non-	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: ''12/31/13''	
					\$567,546	
		b) to serve 72 families	4 project(s) served 410 families			
		to serve 50 families	3 project(s) served 138 families			
		project(s) to serve 14 families		1 project(s) served 86 families		
		oject(s) to serve 22 families		1 project(s) served 25 families		
		ng 2 project(s) to serve 108 families	2 project(s) served 684 families			
		to serve 30 families ublic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 12 famil		1 project(s) served 69 families 1 project(s) served 17 families		
Other 1 project(s)			I project(s) served 17 families 1 project(s) served 90 families			
Complexity Days			59 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
Comply with Drug	and Alcon	bi Requirements	1 individuals usessed for Drught technic recents	loyment activities [a]		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e			
			1 individuals indicated danger			
Comply with Dom	estic Violei	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 44			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]		
			7 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			2 Work Experience			
			1 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			1 Job Readiness Training Activities			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13
	4 Job Search	
	0 Vocational Education	
	0 Job Skills Training	
	1 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 4	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 12	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 48.3%	
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of a	I previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexe ssessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012) current work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of a include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in con [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is particip	ssessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012) current work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. Pating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow	staff using NYCWAY and does not
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of a include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in con [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is particip	ssessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012) current work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. Dating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	staff using NYCWAY and does not
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of a include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in con [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participate [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged	ssessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012) current work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. Pating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case town is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year. It in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	staff using NYCWAY and does not
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of a include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in con [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is particip	ssessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012) current work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. Pating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case town is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year. It in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	staff using NYCWAY and does not

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	PUTNAM					
1. Program name				4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS				10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: ''12/31/13''	
Case Management	1 project(s) to serve 51 families	1 project(s) served 277 families		\$389,460	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	2 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 4 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp 1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from emp			
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	1 individuals indicated danger 1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	_	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 31			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti 3 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment	vity [c]		
			3 Work Experience 0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training		_	
			5 Job Readiness Training Activities 5 Job Search		_	
			0 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training		\neg	
			0 Education Related to Employment 0 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 3		\exists	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 11	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 71.4%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt	employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstated assessments and the completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY.)		f using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	s the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re data available April 2011 to March 2012.	eporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NY	C is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	n receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	

		PERFORMANCE	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	RENSSELAER					
1. Program name				5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	FFFS\$945,309 (Amount equals \$5,401,360 allocation, plus \$309 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$3,866,360 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$590,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).10/1/10-3/31/14 *			10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"	
					\$945,309	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	137 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	4		
			 25 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em 3 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e 			
			3 marviduais mandated to treatment– nonexempt nom e	inployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger			
comply with Dom			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	"Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 89	8		
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]		
			206 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			14 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			4 Job Search			
			10 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training			
			1 Education Related to Employment			
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 124			
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 1			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 232	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 29.5%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexemp	t employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta		ff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case toward	ls the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the red data available April 2011 to March 2012.	eporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for N	YC is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent childre	en receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplica Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

		PERFORMANCE	CAND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	ROCKLAND					
1. Program name	2. 3. name SFY Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	\$2,673,868 (Amount equals \$10,635,231 allocation, plus \$2,878 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$5,514,241 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX_\$1,950,000 transferred to Title XX_\$500,000 in additional Child Care Transfer_\$0			10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	mes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: ''12/31/13''	
					\$2,673,868	
		(s) to serve 113 families	1 project(s) served 386 families			
		1 project(s) to serve 13 families	1 project(s) served 10 families			
Other 1 project(s)	to serve 10	families	1 project(s) served 42 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	13 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
		*	3 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from en	popping activities [a]		
			10 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	m employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	87 individuals indicated danger		_	
compry with Dom			46 individuals granted a waiver from program require	ments		
50 % Combined "/	11 E	"Desticiantics Det-	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 6	547	_	
50 % Combined F	All rainines	" Participation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Ac			
			131 Unsubsidized Employment		—	
			1 Subsidized Employment			
			14 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			8 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			17 Job Search			
			4 Vocational Education			
			2 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	1 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 84	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 23.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 164	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 46.3%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE AND	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	ST. LAWRENCE					
			3. Appropriation Level Contr		5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS		\$1,068,567 (Amount equals \$5,610,490 allocation, plus \$218,567 transferred in fror towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,511,000 transferred to Title XX, \$40 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Admi	0,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$17,904	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: ''12/31/13''	
					\$1,015,854	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho		l individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	1 .		
			individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e			
		2	individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	_	
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	ice Family Violence Option (FVO) 5	individuals indicated danger		-	
			individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts		
50 % Combined "A	Il Families	" Participation Rate N	umber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 88	8		
			umber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]		
			95 Unsubsidized Employment			
			7 Subsidized Employment			
			41 Work Experience			
			2 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			21 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			10 Vocational Education			
			1 Job Skills Training 9 Education Related to Employment			
			2 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			
			umber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 90		_	
			ombined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	5 004		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 165	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 47.4%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt er	nployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were conclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	k activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards t	he federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with the	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re data available April 2011 to March 2012.	eporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children a	receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ed across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

		PERFORMANCE AND	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		SA	ARATOGA		
1. Program name			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$433,003 (Amount equals \$4,128,863 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$2,707,974 budgeted towards Child Walfara Other than Title XX \$987,886 transferred to Title XX \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer \$0 budgeted for Non. Residential			10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
					\$433,003
Child Only Service	es 1 project	(s) to serve 25 families	project(s) served 1 families		_
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	5 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
	,	-	individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from emplo	oyment activities [a]	
		() individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	2 individuals indicated danger		_
			individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 77		_
		1	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [c]	
			6 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			4 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			3 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		_
			0 Job Skills Training 0 Education Related to Employment		—
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

o. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: ''12/31/13''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 4	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 13.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 11	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 34.7%	
	sly and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexe	
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCW		staff using NYCWAY and does not
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCW [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent we	WAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012) ork activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCW [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent we	WAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)	
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCW [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent we	WAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012) ork activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow	
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCW [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent we [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in co	WAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012) fork activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow insistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCW [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent we [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in co [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not con	WAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012) vork activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow insistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year. activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANC	E AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			SCHENECTADY		
1. Program name			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	FFFS2011-12\$2,665,701 (Amount equals \$7,271,383 allocation, plus \$835,894 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$4,535,881 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$905,695 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).10/1/10-3/31/14			10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: ''12/31/13''
	1 • (()				\$1,633,180
		to serve 140 families nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 10 families	1 project(s) served 0 families 1 project(s) served 117 families		
1 outil Supports/Se		anny conaboration 1 project(s) to serve 10 fammes			<u> </u>
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	189 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			13 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from en	ployment activities [a]	
			2 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	58 individuals indicated danger		
			50 individuals granted a waiver from program requiren	nents	
50 % Combined #4	11 Earra 11: a a	"Desticiantian Dete			
50 % Combined P	All Families	Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 49		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti 128 Unsubsidized Employment	vity [c]	
			2 Subsidized Employment		
			31 Work Experience		
			3 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			5 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			86 Job Search		
			35 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			15 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		<u> </u>
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 99		
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e]	20.5%	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 256		
			Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 5	2.1%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"			
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	nplovability status			
b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not nclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)					
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.				
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards t	he federal participation rate.			
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.				
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.				
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.				
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the red data available April 2011 to March 2012.	eporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	t is based on monthly average			

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

	PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
SCHOHARIE					
2. 3. SFY Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS2011-12\$404,826 (Amount equals \$1,813,034 allocation, plus \$1 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$900,278 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$498,243 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$9,688 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
	6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"	
				\$399,986	
hool Comn	nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 27 families	1 project(s) served 90 families			
and Alcoh	ol Requirements	24 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
	*	1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]		
		0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]	_	
estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger			
		0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ts		
All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 22		_	
			ity [c]		
		10 Unsubsidized Employment			
		1 Subsidized Employment			
		1 Work Experience			
		2			
		Ç			
		_			
				<u> </u>	
	SFY 2011-12 2011-12 project(s) hool Comm and Alcoho estic Violer	2. 3. SFY Appropriation Level 2011-12 \$404,826 (Amount equals \$1,813,034 allocation, plus \$1 transferred in from \$1\$) Welfare Other than Title XX, \$498,243 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in addition Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Con 6.	2. SFY 3. Appropriation Level 2011-12 \$404,826 (Amount equals \$1,813,034 allocation, plus \$1 transferred in from SFY 10-111 FFFS, less \$900,278 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$498,243 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$9,688 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts). 6. Performance/Accountability Goals 7. Performance/Accountability Outcome and Alcohol Requirements 1 project(s) served 72 families and Alcohol Requirements 24 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl 0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl estic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO) 0 individuals indicated danger all Families" Participation Rate Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 22 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ 10 Unsubsidized Employment	SCHOHARIE 4. 2. Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle St04 326 (Amount equals 51 813.034 allocation, plus 51 transferred in from STY 10-11 PTFS, less 5900.278 hulgeted uwards Child Contract/Award Cycle 2011-12 With Collection Level Contract/Award Cycle 2011-12 Projection Level Contract/Award Cycle 2011-20 Projection Level Contract/Award Cycle 201 Contract/Award Cycle	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 6	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 22.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 17	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 64.1%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	mployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were conclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstal [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	te data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012) s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the redata available April 2011 to March 2012.	eporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	C is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported

by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds. Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects. Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	SCHUYLER					
1. Program name	ame 2. 3. SFY Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	\$253,825 (Amount equals \$944,616 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$400,791 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX \$290,000 transferred to Title XX \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential			10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"	
					\$253,825	
		ublic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 9 families	1 project(s) served 11 families			
Youth Supports/Sc	chool Comn	nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 75 families	1 project(s) served 118 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	11 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
		•	1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	6 individuals indicated danger		_	
			4 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	its		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 16		-	
- ,			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	—	
			17 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			1 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			0 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 7	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 13.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 19	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 35.5%	1
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and wh	om were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	mployability status.
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Up. [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activit [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable v	ies consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent wi	th federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent wi [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (
	(both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities ((both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.

		PERFORMAN	NCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			SENECA		
1. Program name			5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	FS 2011-12 \$601,692 (Amount equals \$2,186,469 allocation, plus \$135,836 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$1,189,232 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$398,120 transferred to Title XX, \$100,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$33,261 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts). 10/1/10			10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	ues As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: ''12/31/13''
					\$601,692
		pject(s) to serve 25 families	2 project(s) served 0 families		
Nutrition (N) 1 pro		to serve 237 families	1 project(s) served 0 families 1 project(s) served 0 families		
		g 2 project(s) to serve 40 families	2 project(s) served 0 families		—
		nunity Collaboration 4 project(s) to serve 90 families	4 project(s) served 0 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	al Paquirements	3 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
comply with Diug	and meon		0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from		
Comply with Dom	astia Violar	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger		
Comply with Dom	estic violei		0 individuals indicated danger	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 55	5	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]	
			12 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			1 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 8	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 24.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 12	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 36.5%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			STEUBEN		
1. Program name				4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$1,521,309 (Amount equals \$5,032,064 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$763,440 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in ad Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contr	ditional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
					\$1,521,309
) to serve 1,860 families	1 project(s) served 2,363 families		
		ng 1 project(s) to serve 400 families ublic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 7,200 families	1 project(s) served 948 families 1 project(s) served 7,333 families		
Nutrition (N) 1 pro			1 project(s) served 7,555 families		
		unity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 160 families	1 project(s) served 635 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	194 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp		
			4 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	47 individuals indicated danger		-
			22 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	nents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 39	4	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]	
			74 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			24 Work Experience		
			3 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			6 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			25 Job Search		
			12 Vocational Education		
			5 Job Skills Training		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	9 Education Related to Employment	
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	2 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 50	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 126	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 48%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE AND	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	SUFFOLK					
1. Program name	SFY Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cy \$13,900,888 (Amount equals \$38,802,400 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$19,434,512 budgeted towards Child Welfere Other than Title XX_\$5,467,000 transferred to Title XX_\$0 in additional Child Care Transfer \$0 budgeted for Non-		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS			10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: ''12/31/13''	
					\$13,438,572	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho		t individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	1		
			individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from o			
		23	individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from a	employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO) 211 individuals indicated danger					
compij mai zom			individuals granted a waiver from program requiren	nents		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate Nu	mber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 6,0	94		
		Νι	mber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			918 Unsubsidized Employment			
			24 Subsidized Employment			
			273 Work Experience			
			7 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			51 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			131 Job Search		_	
			105 Vocational Education 29 Job Skills Training			
			13 Education Related to Employment			
			8 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			
		Νι	mber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 950			
		Co	mbined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 3	5%	\neg	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 1,356	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 51.4%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt er	nployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were conclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	k activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the	he federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with t	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re data available April 2011 to March 2012.	porting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	t is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	te and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children r	receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ed across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period $04/01/2011-03/31/2012$.		

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	SULLIVAN					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Lev		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2011-12	\$1,228,239 (Amount equals \$3,043,118 allocation, plus \$36,639 transferred i towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$650,000 transferred to Title XX for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Adminis	X, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$45,000 budgeted	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"	
					\$1,142,249	
		1 project(s) to serve 210 families	1 project(s) served 78 families			
		o serve 300 families to serve 100 families	1 project(s) served 0 families 1 project(s) served 64 families		—	
		pports 1 project(s) to serve 50 families	1 project(s) served 04 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	l Requirements	44 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			14 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]			
			1 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	25 individuals indicated danger			
			15 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 22			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	rity [c]		
			62 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			16 Work Experience			
			2 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			13 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			2 Job Search			
			4 Vocational Education			
			2 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	4 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 35	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 11.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 92	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 30.4%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	TIOGA					
1. Program name	2. SFY			••	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$470,481 (Amount equals \$2,040,811 allocation, plus \$30,134 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$1,169,675 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$211,700 transferred to Title XX, \$200,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$19,089 budgeted			10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"	
					\$470,260	
		to serve 498 families ublic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 200 families	2 project(s) served 431 families 1 project(s) served 2,468 families			
) to serve 75 families	1 project(s) served 2,468 families			
		nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 15 families	1 project(s) served 169 families		—	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	48 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo			
			1 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violei	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	17 individuals indicated danger			
17			15 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 88			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity 11 Unsubsidized Employment	ity [c]		
			1 Subsidized Employment			
			11 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			1 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			5 Job Search			
			0 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: ''12/31/13''
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 13	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 24	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 33.9%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	TOMPKINS				
1. Program name	2. 3. 4. ce SFY Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle			5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$595,343 (Amount equals \$3,518,403 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$2,843,060 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX_\$0 transferred to Title XX_\$80,000 in additional Child Care Transfer \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential			10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
					\$595,343
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho		ndividuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			ndividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em		_
		6 in	dividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	_
			dividuals indicated danger		_
Comply with Dom	Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO) 0 individuals indicated danger 0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		_		
			arviduais granted a warver nom program requireme	ins	
50 % Combined "4	All Families	" Participation Rate Num	nber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 25	4	-
50 % Combined 7	ui i annies		nber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ		-
			67 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			12 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			1 On the Job Training		
			13 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			8 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		_
			0 Other		
			nber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 47	0.60	
		Con	bined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	20.6%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 92	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 40%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt er	nployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were conclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	k activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the	he federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with the	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re data available April 2011 to March 2012. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds. Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children r	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	ULSTER					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Lev		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$1,124,250 (Amount equals \$6,853,777 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$4,579,527 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX \$1,150,000 transferred to Title XX \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer \$0 budgeted for Non-			10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"	
					\$1,025,646	
) to serve 680 families	2 project(s) served 0 families			
Enhanced Domesti	c Violence	1 project(s) to serve 20 families	1 project(s) served 0 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	0 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	0 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		*	10 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from en	nployment activities [a]		
			17 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	a employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	13 individuals indicated danger			
			5 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 4'	78	-	
		1	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Act	ivity [c]		
			133 Unsubsidized Employment			
			4 Subsidized Employment			
			19 Work Experience			
			3 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			8 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			4 Job Search			
			8 Vocational Education			
			3 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 65	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 11.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 162	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 27.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt er	mployability status.
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re data available April 2011 to March 2012.	eporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	C is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported

by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds. Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects. Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.

	PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
WARREN					
2. 3. 4. string SFY Contract/Award Cycle		••	5. Procurement Methodology		
2011 12	\$571,463 (Amount equals \$1,800,229 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$995,736 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$233,030 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential			Allocation	
	6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"	
				\$571,463	
and Alcoh	ol Requirements	_			
				_	
astic Violar	ee Family Violance Option (EVO)	4 individuals indicated danger			
		-	ts		
All Families	"Particination Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 18		_	
			ity [c]		
		6 Unsubsidized Employment	·		
		0 Subsidized Employment			
		3 Work Experience			
		0 Community Service			
		0 On the Job Training			
		11 Job Readiness Training Activities			
		7 Job Search			
		0 Vocational Education			
		č			
				_	
				_	
				_	
			1.00/		
	SFY 2011-12 and Alcoho	2. 3. SFY Appropriation Level 2011-12 \$571,463 (Amount equals \$1,800,229 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SF Welfare Other than Title XX, \$233,030 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts). 6.	2. 3. Appropriation Level S571.463 (Amount equals \$1.800,229 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$995,736 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$233.030 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts). 6. Performance/Accountability Outcome and Alcohol Requirements 61 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo 2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo 2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo 2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo 2 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from emplo 2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo 2 individuals mandated to treatment – sense from program requirement 2 individuals from program requirement atter Violence Family Violence Option (FVO) 4 individuals indicated danger 2 individuals framilies" Participation Rate Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 18 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activities 7 Job Sarch 0 Community Service 0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training 11 Job Readiness Training Activities 1 Job Satills Training 1 Job Satills Training	WARREN 4. SFY Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle 2011.12 \$5771,463 (Amount equals \$1,800,229 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$995,736 budgeted towards Child Weifare Other than Title XX, \$233,030 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts). 101/10-3/31/14 * Contract/Award Cycle Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/2012" and Alcohol Requirements 61 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems Sindividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] and Alcohol Requirements Sindividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] and Alcohol Requirements Sindividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] and Alcohol Requirements Sindividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] attividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] attividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] attividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] <td cols<="" td=""></td>	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"			
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 19				
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 61.3%				
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexe	mpt employability status.			
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta		staff using NYCWAY and does not			
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.				
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow	vards the federal participation rate.			
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.				
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.				
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.				
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re data available April 2011 to March 2012.	eporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data fo	r NYC is based on monthly average			
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent chi	ldren receiving assistance supported			
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ted across the months during the year or among projects.				
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.					
	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX WASHINGTON				
--------------------	--	--	--	----------------------------	----------------------------------
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Leve		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12\$581,040 (Amount equals \$1,907,740 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$801,694 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$495,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$30,006 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
					\$581,040
		t) to serve 65 families	2 project(s) served 53 families		
		to serve 135 families	1 project(s) served 335 families		
Non_Recurrent Co	sts (Non-Pi	ublic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	44 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
1, 0		*	2 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	17 individuals indicated danger		-
			13 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 77		_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	—
			15 Unsubsidized Employment	·	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			4 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			6 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			2 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 9	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 24.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 23	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 58.6%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANC	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			WAYNE		
1. Program name	\$2,427,190 (Amount equals \$3,393,585 allocation, plus \$735,195 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$1,364,513 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX_\$337,077 transferred to Title XX_\$0 in additional Child Care Transfer \$0 budgeted for		5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS			10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	ues As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
					\$1,778,466
		inal 1 project(s) to serve 94 families	1 project(s) served 224 families		
) to serve 112 families (s) to serve 140 families	4 project(s) served 438 families 1 project(s) served 144 families		_
		to serve 124 families	3 project(s) served 235 families		
		1 project(s) to serve 16 families	1 project(s) served 17 families		
		blic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		\neg
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	30 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			12 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from en	ployment activities [a]	
			5 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	21 individuals indicated danger		-
			7 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	
50 % Combined "4	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 13	39	_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti		
			36 Unsubsidized Employment		
			1 Subsidized Employment		\neg
			14 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			15 Job Search		
			3 Vocational Education		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	0 Job Skills Training	
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 30	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 61	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 38.9%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX WESTCHESTER				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$7,062,102 (Amount equals \$39,026,536 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$25,744,136 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$6,220,298 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-			10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
					\$7,062,102
			project(s) served 30 families project(s) served 688 families		_
1 outil Supports/Se		anny conaboration 4 project(s) to serve 098 fammes 4	biojeci(s) served 088 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh		4 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from em		
		19) individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	_
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ace Family Violence Option (FVO)	5 individuals indicated danger		
		22	7 individuals granted a waiver from program require	nents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate N	umber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 2,4	.47	-
			umber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			508 Unsubsidized Employment		
			6 Subsidized Employment		
			378 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training		
			62 Job Readiness Training Activities		_
			249 Job Search		
			120 Vocational Education		
			13 Job Skills Training		
			85 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 673	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 31.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 1,142	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 53.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whether the second seco	hom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexe	empt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Up		staff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activi	ities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable	work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow	vards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent w	vith federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities	(both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during t	the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for th	ne reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data fo	r NYC is based on monthly average
data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	o State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent chi	ldren receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not undupl	licated across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5. The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period $0.4/0.1/20.11, 0.2/2.1/20.12$		

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	WYOMING				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$700,203 (Amount equals \$1,673,043 allocation, plus \$114,229 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$757,443 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$147,000 transferred to Title XX, \$31,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$151,626 budgeted			10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: ''12/31/13''
					\$650,238
		1 project(s) to serve 150 families	1 project(s) served 211 families		
		pports 1 project(s) to serve 75 families	1 project(s) served 500 families		
Youth Supports/Sc	chool Comn	unity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 19 families	1 project(s) served 53 families		_
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	al Requirements	34 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		*	3 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	loyment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	18 individuals indicated danger		
1 7			7 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	' Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 55		-
		•	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	rity [c]	
			12 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			12 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			21 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6.	7.	8.
0. Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	o. Spending As Of: ''12/31/13'
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 11	1
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 23.1%	1
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 33	1
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 72.9%	1
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	mployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta		using NYCWAY and does not
· · · · · ·		
c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	ork activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards to	he federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the r	reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	is based on monthly average
data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St	tate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds. Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplica	ted across the months during the year or among projects	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	actoss the months during the year of among projects.	
tore 4. Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX YATES				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Lev		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	S 2011-12 \$81,138 (Amount equals \$938,959 allocation, plus \$127,661 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$750,742 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$234,740 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: ''12/31/13''
					\$80,712
) to serve 29 families	1 project(s) served 68 families		
		blic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 8 families pports 1 project(s) to serve 50 families	1 project(s) served 2 families 1 project(s) served 9 families		
Other 2 project(s) t			2 project(s) served 480 families		
e ther 2 project(c)					—
Comply with Drug	Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		26 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		* *	1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger		
1 5			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	"Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1.	5	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Act	ivity [c]	
			3 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			0 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			3 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			1 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 2	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 9.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 6	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 31.3%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt er	nployability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			NYC-HRA		
1. Program name	1. 2. 3. 4.		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS			10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
					\$263,508,166
		1 project(s) to serve 208 families	1 project(s) served 1,329 families		
		ublic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 2,100 families	1 project(s) served 1,466 families		I
		erve 2,461,463 families nunity Collaboration 2 project(s) to serve 3,334 families	1 project(s) served 2,780,156 families 2 project(s) served 17,359 families		
Touur Supports/Sc.		funity conaboration 2 project(s) to serve 5,554 rannines	2 project(s) served 17,559 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements 6,391 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_			
comply what blug	und / neon		484 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	plovment activities [a]	
			450 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from		-
Comply with Dome	estic Violen	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	4,755 individuals indicated danger		-
1 2			3,253 individuals granted a waiver from program require	ments	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 166	,154	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			22,992 Unsubsidized Employment		
			685 Subsidized Employment		
			3,884 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		- 1
			0 On the Job Training		- 1
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			5,001 Job Search		
			2.054 Vocational Education		_
			960 Job Skills Training		-
			520 Education Related to Employment		
			71 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 19,69	00	
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 34		
			Comonieu Farucipation Kate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 34	.770	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2012''	8. Spending As Of: ''12/31/13
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 30,681	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 54.4%	
a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom v	were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt empl	oyability status.
b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were connclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate		ng NYCWAY and does not
c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities c	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work	activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the	federal participation rate.
e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with fee	ederal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both	h countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the per	eriod divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the representation of the state of the	sorting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is	based on monthly average data
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State rederal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	e and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children rece	iving assistance supported by
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated	d across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		