

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

STATE SUMMARY

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$387,654,481 (Amount equals \$951,000,000 allocation, plus \$4,179,719 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$367,516,705 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$192,797,333 transferred to Title XX, \$6,206,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$600,829 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$404,371 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"		8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$383,625,440
Adult or Juvenile Justice/Criminal 4 project(s) to serve 556 families		4 project(s) served 677 families		
Case Management 23 project(s) to serve 6,862 families		23 project(s) served 8,581 families		
Child Only Services 8 project(s) to serve 3,006 families		8 project(s) served 1,152 families		
Child Well Being 20 project(s) to serve 1,654 families		20 project(s) served 2,862 families		
Enhanced Domestic Violence 9 project(s) to serve 895 families		9 project(s) served 1,698 families		
Enhanced Drug and Alcohol 3 project(s) to serve 224 families		3 project(s) served 662 families		
Financial Education (FE) 3 project(s) to serve 47 families		3 project(s) served 25 families		
Healthy Families/Home Visiting 11 project(s) to serve 1,025 families		11 project(s) served 2,047 families		
Housing Services 16 project(s) to serve 4,217 families		16 project(s) served 6,770 families		
Non_Recurrent Costs (Non-Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 10 project(s) to serve 9,580 families		10 project(s) served 11,330 families		
Nutrition (N) 3 project(s) to serve 2,461,738 families		3 project(s) served 2,781,068 families		
Other 12 project(s) to serve 2,181 families		12 project(s) served 2,497 families		
Program Support and Training 3 project(s) to serve 740 families		3 project(s) served 539 families		
SSI Maximization 10 project(s) to serve 1,143 families		10 project(s) served 733 families		
Transitional Benefits/Work Supports 9 project(s) to serve 11,335 families		9 project(s) served 13,419 families		
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 27 project(s) to serve 5,760 families		27 project(s) served 21,015 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		15,151 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		1,873 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		889 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		7,483 individuals indicated danger		
		4,828 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 205,173		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		31,999 Unsubsidized Employment		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	975 Subsidized Employment	
	7,953 Work Experience	
	101 Community Service	
	33 On the Job Training	
	908 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	6,112 Job Search	
	2,936 Vocational Education	
	1,425 Job Skills Training	
	1,224 Education Related to Employment	
	210 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	97 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 27,863	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 31.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 45,560	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50.8%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ROS SUMMARY

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology																																																																																																			
FFFS	2011-12	\$124,146,315 (Amount equals \$405,645,712 allocation, plus \$4,179,719 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$213,958,896 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$64,509,020 transferred to Title XX, \$6,206,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$600,829 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$404,371 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation																																																																																																			
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 45%;">6. Performance/Accountability Goals</th> <th style="width: 30%;">7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"</th> <th style="width: 25%;">8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">\$120,117,274</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Adult or Juvenile Justice/Criminal 4 project(s) to serve 556 families</td> <td>4 project(s) served 677 families</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Case Management 23 project(s) to serve 6,862 families</td> <td>23 project(s) served 8,581 families</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Child Only Services 8 project(s) to serve 3,006 families</td> <td>8 project(s) served 1,152 families</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Child Well Being 20 project(s) to serve 1,654 families</td> <td>20 project(s) served 2,862 families</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Enhanced Domestic Violence 8 project(s) to serve 687 families</td> <td>8 project(s) served 369 families</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Enhanced Drug and Alcohol 3 project(s) to serve 224 families</td> <td>3 project(s) served 662 families</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Financial Education (FE) 3 project(s) to serve 47 families</td> <td>3 project(s) served 25 families</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Healthy Families/Home Visiting 11 project(s) to serve 1,025 families</td> <td>11 project(s) served 2,047 families</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Housing Services 16 project(s) to serve 4,217 families</td> <td>16 project(s) served 6,770 families</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Non_Recurrent Costs (Non-Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 9 project(s) to serve 7,480 families</td> <td>9 project(s) served 9,864 families</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Nutrition (N) 2 project(s) to serve 275 families</td> <td>2 project(s) served 912 families</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Other 12 project(s) to serve 2,181 families</td> <td>12 project(s) served 2,497 families</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Program Support and Training 3 project(s) to serve 740 families</td> <td>3 project(s) served 539 families</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>SSI Maximization 10 project(s) to serve 1,143 families</td> <td>10 project(s) served 733 families</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Transitional Benefits/Work Supports 9 project(s) to serve 11,335 families</td> <td>9 project(s) served 13,419 families</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 25 project(s) to serve 2,426 families</td> <td>25 project(s) served 3,656 families</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements</td> <td>8,760 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>1,389 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>439 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)</td> <td>2,728 individuals indicated danger</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>1,575 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr style="background-color: #cccccc;"> <td colspan="3"></td> </tr> <tr> <td>50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate</td> <td>Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 39,019</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">9,007 Unsubsidized Employment</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">290 Subsidized Employment</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">4,069 Work Experience</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">101 Community Service</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">33 On the Job Training</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"			\$120,117,274	Adult or Juvenile Justice/Criminal 4 project(s) to serve 556 families	4 project(s) served 677 families		Case Management 23 project(s) to serve 6,862 families	23 project(s) served 8,581 families		Child Only Services 8 project(s) to serve 3,006 families	8 project(s) served 1,152 families		Child Well Being 20 project(s) to serve 1,654 families	20 project(s) served 2,862 families		Enhanced Domestic Violence 8 project(s) to serve 687 families	8 project(s) served 369 families		Enhanced Drug and Alcohol 3 project(s) to serve 224 families	3 project(s) served 662 families		Financial Education (FE) 3 project(s) to serve 47 families	3 project(s) served 25 families		Healthy Families/Home Visiting 11 project(s) to serve 1,025 families	11 project(s) served 2,047 families		Housing Services 16 project(s) to serve 4,217 families	16 project(s) served 6,770 families		Non_Recurrent Costs (Non-Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 9 project(s) to serve 7,480 families	9 project(s) served 9,864 families		Nutrition (N) 2 project(s) to serve 275 families	2 project(s) served 912 families		Other 12 project(s) to serve 2,181 families	12 project(s) served 2,497 families		Program Support and Training 3 project(s) to serve 740 families	3 project(s) served 539 families		SSI Maximization 10 project(s) to serve 1,143 families	10 project(s) served 733 families		Transitional Benefits/Work Supports 9 project(s) to serve 11,335 families	9 project(s) served 13,419 families		Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 25 project(s) to serve 2,426 families	25 project(s) served 3,656 families					Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	8,760 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			1,389 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]			439 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]					Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	2,728 individuals indicated danger			1,575 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements					50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 39,019			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]			9,007 Unsubsidized Employment			290 Subsidized Employment			4,069 Work Experience			101 Community Service			33 On the Job Training	
6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"																																																																																																					
		\$120,117,274																																																																																																					
Adult or Juvenile Justice/Criminal 4 project(s) to serve 556 families	4 project(s) served 677 families																																																																																																						
Case Management 23 project(s) to serve 6,862 families	23 project(s) served 8,581 families																																																																																																						
Child Only Services 8 project(s) to serve 3,006 families	8 project(s) served 1,152 families																																																																																																						
Child Well Being 20 project(s) to serve 1,654 families	20 project(s) served 2,862 families																																																																																																						
Enhanced Domestic Violence 8 project(s) to serve 687 families	8 project(s) served 369 families																																																																																																						
Enhanced Drug and Alcohol 3 project(s) to serve 224 families	3 project(s) served 662 families																																																																																																						
Financial Education (FE) 3 project(s) to serve 47 families	3 project(s) served 25 families																																																																																																						
Healthy Families/Home Visiting 11 project(s) to serve 1,025 families	11 project(s) served 2,047 families																																																																																																						
Housing Services 16 project(s) to serve 4,217 families	16 project(s) served 6,770 families																																																																																																						
Non_Recurrent Costs (Non-Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 9 project(s) to serve 7,480 families	9 project(s) served 9,864 families																																																																																																						
Nutrition (N) 2 project(s) to serve 275 families	2 project(s) served 912 families																																																																																																						
Other 12 project(s) to serve 2,181 families	12 project(s) served 2,497 families																																																																																																						
Program Support and Training 3 project(s) to serve 740 families	3 project(s) served 539 families																																																																																																						
SSI Maximization 10 project(s) to serve 1,143 families	10 project(s) served 733 families																																																																																																						
Transitional Benefits/Work Supports 9 project(s) to serve 11,335 families	9 project(s) served 13,419 families																																																																																																						
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 25 project(s) to serve 2,426 families	25 project(s) served 3,656 families																																																																																																						
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	8,760 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems																																																																																																						
	1,389 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]																																																																																																						
	439 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]																																																																																																						
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	2,728 individuals indicated danger																																																																																																						
	1,575 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements																																																																																																						
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 39,019																																																																																																						
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]																																																																																																						
	9,007 Unsubsidized Employment																																																																																																						
	290 Subsidized Employment																																																																																																						
	4,069 Work Experience																																																																																																						
	101 Community Service																																																																																																						
	33 On the Job Training																																																																																																						

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	908 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	1,111 Job Search	
	883 Vocational Education	
	465 Job Skills Training	
	703 Education Related to Employment	
	139 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	97 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 8,164	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 24.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 14,879	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 44.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

**PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX
ALBANY**

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$5,261,571 (Amount equals \$13,656,950 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$6,008,173 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2,237,835 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$149,371 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"		8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$5,261,571
Housing Services 1 project(s) to serve 150 families		1 project(s) served 1,874 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		264 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		41 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		35 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		100 individuals indicated danger		
		2 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,622		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		299 Unsubsidized Employment		
		1 Subsidized Employment		
		242 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		51 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		1 Job Search		
		28 Vocational Education		
		65 Job Skills Training		
		22 Education Related to Employment		
		4 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 273		
		Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 25.2%		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 534		
		Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 49.3%		

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ALLEGANY

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$678,192 (Amount equals \$3,159,671 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$1,858,478 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$611,001 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$12,000 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$678,192
		Child Only Services 1 project(s) to serve 30 families	1 project(s) served 7 families	
		Child Well Being 1 project(s) to serve 100 families	1 project(s) served 295 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	104 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			3 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	4 individuals indicated danger	
			3 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 238	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			46 Unsubsidized Employment	
			1 Subsidized Employment	
			9 Work Experience	
			1 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			6 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			8 Job Search	
			5 Vocational Education	
			45 Job Skills Training	
			0 Education Related to Employment	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			0 Other	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 29	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 16.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 87	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50.1%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

BROOME

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$2,872,688 (Amount equals \$8,363,149 allocation, plus \$787 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$4,117,577 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,346,670 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$27,001 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$2,843,123
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	134 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			43 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			12 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	67 individuals indicated danger	
			44 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 943	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			325 Unsubsidized Employment	
			2 Subsidized Employment	
			82 Work Experience	
			2 Community Service	
			13 On the Job Training	
			30 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			78 Job Search	
			54 Vocational Education	
			4 Job Skills Training	
			35 Education Related to Employment	
			3 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			1 Other	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 280	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 25.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 550	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50.6%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

**PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX
CATTARAUGUS**

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$2,437,446 (Amount equals \$4,200,618 allocation, plus \$587,628 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$1,300,000 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$930,000 transferred to Title XX, \$100,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$20,800 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"		8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$2,436,836
Adult or Juvenile Justice/Criminal		2 project(s) to serve 232 families		
Child Well Being		2 project(s) to serve 179 families		
Enhanced Domestic Violence		1 project(s) to serve 103 families		
Healthy Families/Home Visiting		4 project(s) to serve 392 families		
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration		2 project(s) to serve 234 families		
Other		1 project(s) to serve 376 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		49 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		4 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		5 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		15 individuals indicated danger		
		12 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 390		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		27 Unsubsidized Employment		
		4 Subsidized Employment		
		17 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		3 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		1 Job Search		
		2 Vocational Education		
		6 Job Skills Training		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	2 Education Related to Employment	
	2 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	1 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 29	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 34%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 44	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

CAYUGA

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$1,196,515 (Amount equals \$3,783,392 allocation, plus \$103,144 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$1,865,021 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$325,000 transferred to Title XX, \$500,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$1,106,706
		Case Management 2 project(s) to serve 70 families	2 project(s) served 255 families	
		Child Only Services 1 project(s) to serve 20 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
		Program Support and Training 1 project(s) to serve 700 families	1 project(s) served 539 families	
		SSI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 20 families	1 project(s) served 146 families	
		Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 80 families	1 project(s) served 40 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	50 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			4 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	22 individuals indicated danger	
			19 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 209	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			50 Unsubsidized Employment	
			1 Subsidized Employment	
			26 Work Experience	
			1 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			0 Job Search	
			5 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	15 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 39	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 74	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 39%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

CHAUTAUQUA

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$2,018,671 (Amount equals \$5,323,278 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$2,947,607 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$357,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$2,018,671
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	113 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			20 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			12 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	50 individuals indicated danger	
			10 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 279	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			236 Unsubsidized Employment	
			1 Subsidized Employment	
			96 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			13 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			23 Job Search	
			7 Vocational Education	
			2 Job Skills Training	
			3 Education Related to Employment	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			0 Other	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 136	
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 12.3%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 346	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 31.5%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

CHEMUNG

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$422,104 (Amount equals \$4,544,136 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$1,675,532 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,696,500 transferred to Title XX, \$750,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$422,104
		Adult or Juvenile Justice/Criminal 1 project(s) to serve 230 families	1 project(s) served 373 families	
		Other 1 project(s) to serve 100 families	1 project(s) served 100 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	163 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			27 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			5 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	10 individuals indicated danger	
			8 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 207	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			75 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			10 Work Experience	
			2 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			17 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			14 Job Search	
			0 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			10 Education Related to Employment	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	3 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 47	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 102	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 45.6%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

CHENANGO

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$640,568 (Amount equals \$2,324,609 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$1,232,961 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$451,080 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"		8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$640,568
Child Well Being 1 project(s) to serve 80 families		1 project(s) served 275 families		
Non_Recurrent Costs (Non-Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 35 families		1 project(s) served 33 families		
SSI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 20 families		1 project(s) served 10 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		58 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		3 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		4 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		16 individuals indicated danger		
		11 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 76		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		29 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		15 Work Experience		
		3 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		8 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		1 Job Search		
		7 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		1 Education Related to Employment		
		7 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 26	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 25.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 56	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 56.2%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

CLINTON

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$1,045,963 (Amount equals \$3,293,279 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$1,567,800 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$679,516 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
		\$1,045,963
Housing Services 2 project(s) to serve 77 families	2 project(s) served 50 families	
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 160 families	1 project(s) served 169 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	29 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	10 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	3 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	15 individuals indicated danger	
	14 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 255	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	45 Unsubsidized Employment	
	0 Subsidized Employment	
	16 Work Experience	
	2 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	38 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	11 Job Search	
	3 Vocational Education	
	1 Job Skills Training	
	2 Education Related to Employment	
	9 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 38	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 104	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 49.3%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

COLUMBIA

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$596,153 (Amount equals \$3,228,284 allocation, plus \$28,300 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$2,272,147 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$388,284 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$596,153
Case Management		1 project(s) to serve 52 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
Child Well Being		1 project(s) to serve 55 families	1 project(s) served 282 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements			53 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			4 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)			5 individuals indicated danger	
			5 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate			Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 297	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			41 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			3 Work Experience	
			5 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			16 Job Search	
			2 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			1 Education Related to Employment	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			0 Other	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 27	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 63	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 41.1%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

CORTLAND

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$242,500 (Amount equals \$2,362,468 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$1,568,968 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$551,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$191,536
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	61 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			11 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	31 individuals indicated danger	
			15 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 93	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			66 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			4 Work Experience	
			1 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			4 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			0 Job Search	
			3 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			0 Education Related to Employment	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			0 Other	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 34	
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.9%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 74	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 41.2%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

DELAWARE

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$300,000 (Amount equals \$1,457,177 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$542,508 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$614,669 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$300,000
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	8 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	10 individuals indicated danger	
			6 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 91	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			15 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			8 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			0 Job Search	
			1 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			0 Education Related to Employment	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			0 Other	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 13	
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 29.3%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 22	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 48.2%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

DUTCHESS

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$2,419,401 (Amount equals \$8,398,689 allocation, plus \$30,265 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$3,854,987 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2,154,566 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$1,418,372
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	92 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			6 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			6 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	35 individuals indicated danger	
			33 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 297	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			111 Unsubsidized Employment	
			1 Subsidized Employment	
			17 Work Experience	
			3 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			14 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			20 Job Search	
			0 Vocational Education	
			2 Job Skills Training	
			4 Education Related to Employment	
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			0 Other	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 71	
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17.8%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 154	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 38.7%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ERIE

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$18,373,311 (Amount equals \$46,735,156 allocation, plus \$1 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$20,758,826 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$7,603,020 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$18,373,311
Case Management		1 project(s) to serve 300 families	1 project(s) served 418 families	
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration		2 project(s) to serve 725 families	2 project(s) served 1,055 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements			375 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			188 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			44 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)			768 individuals indicated danger	
			410 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate			Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 7,908	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			1,138 Unsubsidized Employment	
			173 Subsidized Employment	
			1,167 Work Experience	
			2 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			0 Job Search	
			38 Vocational Education	
			98 Job Skills Training	
			50 Education Related to Employment	
			23 Secondary School or Equivalent	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 1,622	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 40.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 2,225	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 55.6%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ESSEX

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$305,000 (Amount equals \$1,586,595 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$721,595 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$560,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$305,000
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	61 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	4 individuals indicated danger	
			3 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 104	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			8 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			2 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			0 Job Search	
			0 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			0 Education Related to Employment	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			0 Other	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 3	
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 12.4%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 9	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 35.2%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

FRANKLIN

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$851,204 (Amount equals \$2,446,448 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$1,071,244 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$424,000 transferred to Title XX, \$100,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"		8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$851,204
Enhanced Domestic Violence 1 project(s) to serve 50 families		1 project(s) served 0 families		
Enhanced Drug and Alcohol 1 project(s) to serve 20 families		1 project(s) served 31 families		
Healthy Families/Home Visiting 2 project(s) to serve 25 families		2 project(s) served 204 families		
Housing Services 1 project(s) to serve 30 families		1 project(s) served 91 families		
Transitional Benefits/Work Supports 2 project(s) to serve 328 families		2 project(s) served 813 families		
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 73 families		1 project(s) served 220 families		
Other 3 project(s) to serve 55 families		3 project(s) served 299 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		48 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		0 individuals indicated danger		
		0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b]		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		26 Unsubsidized Employment		
		1 Subsidized Employment		
		15 Work Experience		
		2 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		2 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		0 Job Search		
		1 Vocational Education		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	0 Job Skills Training	
	2 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 21	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 44	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 45.5%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

FULTON

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$698,515 (Amount equals \$2,996,266 allocation, plus \$75,721 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$2,056,110 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$317,362 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"		8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$698,513
Child Well Being 1 project(s) to serve 68 families		1 project(s) served 20 families		
Housing Services 1 project(s) to serve 420 families		1 project(s) served 319 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		18 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		3 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		3 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		32 individuals indicated danger		
		0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 9		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		29 Unsubsidized Employment		
		2 Subsidized Employment		
		20 Work Experience		
		2 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		12 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		5 Job Search		
		1 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		0 Education Related to Employment		
		0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 29	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 34.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 52	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 63.3%	

Notes:

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

GENESEE

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$405,925 (Amount equals \$2,083,928 allocation, plus \$151,882 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$1,382,333 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$447,552 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
		\$322,941

Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	50 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	8 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	1 individuals indicated danger	
	0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 110	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	23 Unsubsidized Employment	
	0 Subsidized Employment	
	8 Work Experience	
	0 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	10 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	6 Job Search	
	3 Vocational Education	
	0 Job Skills Training	
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 16	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 41	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50.4%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

GREENE

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$502,047 (Amount equals \$2,479,139 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$1,655,542 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$321,550 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"		8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$502,044
Enhanced Drug and Alcohol 1 project(s) to serve 190 families		1 project(s) served 545 families		
Healthy Families/Home Visiting 1 project(s) to serve 50 families		1 project(s) served 46 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		71 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		3 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		0 individuals indicated danger		
		0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 77		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		60 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		4 Work Experience		
		4 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		7 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		33 Job Search		
		0 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		0 Education Related to Employment		
		0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 35	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 94	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 52.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

HAMILTON

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$48,108 (Amount equals \$178,561 allocation, plus \$44,661 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$175,114 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$0 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
		\$43,866

Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	0 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger	
	0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b]	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	0 Unsubsidized Employment	
	0 Subsidized Employment	
	0 Work Experience	
	0 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	0 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	0 Job Search	
	0 Vocational Education	
	0 Job Skills Training	
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 0	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2.5%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 0	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 7.5%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

HERKIMER

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$633,837 (Amount equals \$2,366,212 allocation, plus \$1 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$1,475,376 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$257,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$633,837
		Child Well Being 1 project(s) to serve 20 families	1 project(s) served 117 families	
		SSI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 14 families	1 project(s) served 192 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	71 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			6 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	14 individuals indicated danger	
			13 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 150	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			31 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			9 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			13 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			0 Job Search	
			2 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			2 Education Related to Employment	
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			0 Other	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 25	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 51	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 41.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

JEFFERSON

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$1,012,922 (Amount equals \$5,138,568 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$2,435,420 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,690,226 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
		\$1,012,922

Child Well Being 1 project(s) to serve 40 families	1 project(s) served 93 families	
SSI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 30 families	1 project(s) served 32 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	103 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	6 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	6 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	19 individuals indicated danger	
	8 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 240	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	33 Unsubsidized Employment	
	0 Subsidized Employment	
	26 Work Experience	
	0 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	7 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	0 Job Search	
	1 Vocational Education	
	0 Job Skills Training	
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	3 Secondary School or Equivalent	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 25	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 62	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 43.1%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

LEWIS

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$331,661 (Amount equals \$1,278,029 allocation, plus \$50,455 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$817,073 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$154,750 transferred to Title XX, \$25,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"		8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$288,702
Case Management		1 project(s) to serve 151 families	1 project(s) served 106 families	
Housing Services		1 project(s) to serve 24 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
Non_Recurrent Costs (Non-Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion)		1 project(s) to serve 16 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration		1 project(s) to serve 15 families	1 project(s) served 7 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		14 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		9 individuals indicated danger		
		1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 18		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		5 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		3 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		5 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		1 Job Search		
		0 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		0 Education Related to Employment		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 2	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 11.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 11	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 59.7%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

LIVINGSTON

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$916,601 (Amount equals \$2,774,601 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$1,344,000 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$500,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$14,000 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
		\$916,601
Enhanced Domestic Violence 1 project(s) to serve 125 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
Healthy Families/Home Visiting 1 project(s) to serve 50 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
Housing Services 1 project(s) to serve 130 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
SSI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 30 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	49 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	14 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	4 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	21 individuals indicated danger	
	6 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b]	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	49 Unsubsidized Employment	
	0 Subsidized Employment	
	15 Work Experience	
	0 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	0 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	0 Job Search	
	4 Vocational Education	
	1 Job Skills Training	
	1 Education Related to Employment	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	8 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 39	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 71	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 38.7%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

MADISON

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$481,548 (Amount equals \$2,123,122 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$1,388,542 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$253,032 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$471,286
		Housing Services 1 project(s) to serve 350 families	1 project(s) served 1,363 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	21 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	6 individuals indicated danger	
			7 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 82	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			32 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			9 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			8 Job Search	
			3 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			0 Education Related to Employment	
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			0 Other	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 23	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 46	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 36.2%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

MONROE

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$8,806,831 (Amount equals \$28,961,477 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$17,726,832 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,998,681 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$174,133 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$255,000 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
--	---	----------------------------------

		\$8,806,831
--	--	-------------

Child Only Services 1 project(s) to serve 2,500 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
--	--------------------------------	--

Housing Services 1 project(s) to serve 1,236 families	1 project(s) served 1,307 families	
---	------------------------------------	--

SSI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 600 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
---	--------------------------------	--

--	--	--

Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	1,673 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
---	--	--

	588 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
--	---	--

	57 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
--	--	--

--	--	--

Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	201 individuals indicated danger	
--	----------------------------------	--

	53 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
--	---	--

--	--	--

50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 3,731	
---	--	--

	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
--	--	--

	1,356 Unsubsidized Employment	
--	-------------------------------	--

	36 Subsidized Employment	
--	--------------------------	--

	510 Work Experience	
--	---------------------	--

	12 Community Service	
--	----------------------	--

	6 On the Job Training	
--	-----------------------	--

	135 Job Readiness Training Activities	
--	---------------------------------------	--

	0 Job Search	
--	--------------	--

	110 Vocational Education	
--	--------------------------	--

	75 Job Skills Training	
--	------------------------	--

	174 Education Related to Employment	
--	-------------------------------------	--

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	19 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	81 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 987	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 2,112	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 36.3%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

**PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX
MONTGOMERY**

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$646,242 (Amount equals \$1,835,140 allocation, plus \$145,970 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$1,034,473 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$300,395 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$634,977
Case Management		1 project(s) to serve 1,200 families	1 project(s) served 1,434 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements			19 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			6 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			3 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)			11 individuals indicated danger	
			7 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate			Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 94	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			54 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			2 Work Experience	
			2 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			4 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			44 Job Search	
			1 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			6 Education Related to Employment	
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			1 Other	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 34	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 100	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 51.1%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

NASSAU

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$6,200,000 (Amount equals \$22,634,171 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$11,571,877 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$3,862,294 transferred to Title XX, \$1,000,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$6,200,000
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements			1,197 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			12 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)			133 individuals indicated danger	
			64 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate			Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 935	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			618 Unsubsidized Employment	
			5 Subsidized Employment	
			235 Work Experience	
			22 Community Service	
			3 On the Job Training	
			67 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			115 Job Search	
			122 Vocational Education	
			4 Job Skills Training	
			4 Education Related to Employment	
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			1 Other	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 679	
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 27.9%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 1,031	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 42.4%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

NIAGARA

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$1,532,276 (Amount equals \$8,682,022 allocation, plus \$13,519 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$5,415,265 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,728,000 transferred to Title XX, \$20,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$1,532,276
		Child Well Being 1 project(s) to serve 160 families	1 project(s) served 125 families	
		Transitional Benefits/Work Supports 1 project(s) to serve 675 families	1 project(s) served 795 families	
		Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	276 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			56 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			6 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	19 individuals indicated danger	
			10 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 714	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			220 Unsubsidized Employment	
			2 Subsidized Employment	
			77 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			44 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			0 Job Search	
			22 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			23 Education Related to Employment	
			2 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			1 Other	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 173	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 353	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 43.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ONEIDA

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$3,123,426 (Amount equals \$11,856,042 allocation, plus \$201,314 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$6,441,275 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2,473,753 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$18,902 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$3,116,899
		SSI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 184 families	1 project(s) served 184 families	
		Transitional Benefits/Work Supports 1 project(s) to serve 3,097 families	1 project(s) served 3,097 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	220 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			25 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			12 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	14 individuals indicated danger	
			3 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,738	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			291 Unsubsidized Employment	
			1 Subsidized Employment	
			148 Work Experience	
			4 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			28 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			5 Job Search	
			14 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			100 Education Related to Employment	
			7 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			0 Other	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 238	
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 469	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 39.2%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ONONDAGA

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$9,410,954 (Amount equals \$21,640,331 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$7,886,968 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,942,409 transferred to Title XX, \$2,400,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
		\$9,410,954
Housing Services 1 project(s) to serve 408 families	1 project(s) served 408 families	
SSI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 70 families	1 project(s) served 70 families	
Transitional Benefits/Work Supports 1 project(s) to serve 6,930 families	1 project(s) served 6,930 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	1,226 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	18 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	49 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	123 individuals indicated danger	
	107 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 2,306	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	580 Unsubsidized Employment	
	6 Subsidized Employment	
	221 Work Experience	
	1 Community Service	
	9 On the Job Training	
	9 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	145 Job Search	
	84 Vocational Education	
	95 Job Skills Training	
	65 Education Related to Employment	
	17 Secondary School or Equivalent	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 412	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 981	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 44.6%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ONTARIO

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$1,509,000 (Amount equals \$3,160,317 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$1,170,567 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$480,750 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$1,509,000
		Other 1 project(s) to serve 40 families	1 project(s) served 56 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	71 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			8 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	16 individuals indicated danger	
			12 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 282	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			76 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			9 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			5 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			12 Job Search	
			4 Vocational Education	
			6 Job Skills Training	
			10 Education Related to Employment	
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			0 Other	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 47	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 107	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50.1%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ORANGE

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$5,300,458 (Amount equals \$12,624,302 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$5,298,332 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2,025,512 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$5,300,458
		Case Management 2 project(s) to serve 2,220 families	2 project(s) served 2,759 families	
		Housing Services 2 project(s) to serve 690 families	2 project(s) served 954 families	
		Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 24 families	1 project(s) served 20 families	
		Other 2 project(s) to serve 840 families	2 project(s) served 1,006 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	44 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			13 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			41 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	190 individuals indicated danger	
			86 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,606	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			431 Unsubsidized Employment	
			4 Subsidized Employment	
			106 Work Experience	
			7 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			104 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			2 Job Search	
			34 Vocational Education	
			1 Job Skills Training	
			38 Education Related to Employment	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 297	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 26.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 592	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 53.4%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ORLEANS

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$472,236 (Amount equals \$1,665,399 allocation, plus \$156,036 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$1,058,655 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$263,125 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$27,419 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
--	---	----------------------------------

		\$401,664
--	--	-----------

Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	16 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	8 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	5 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	1 individuals indicated danger	
	1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 108	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	48 Unsubsidized Employment	
	1 Subsidized Employment	
	23 Work Experience	
	0 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	5 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	0 Job Search	
	0 Vocational Education	
	0 Job Skills Training	
	1 Education Related to Employment	
	6 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 39	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 25.5%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 70	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 46%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

OSWEGO

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$2,174,127 (Amount equals \$6,395,143 allocation, plus \$342,490 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$3,678,506 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$885,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
--	---	----------------------------------

		\$1,993,070
--	--	-------------

Child Only Services 1 project(s) to serve 148 families	1 project(s) served 584 families	
Child Well Being 1 project(s) to serve 130 families	1 project(s) served 633 families	
Transitional Benefits/Work Supports 1 project(s) to serve 130 families	1 project(s) served 1,248 families	
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 21 families	1 project(s) served 42 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	104 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	28 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	9 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	88 individuals indicated danger	
	43 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 357	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	78 Unsubsidized Employment	
	4 Subsidized Employment	
	37 Work Experience	
	1 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	9 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	0 Job Search	
	2 Vocational Education	
	0 Job Skills Training	
	1 Education Related to Employment	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 59	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 16.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 116	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 32.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

OTSEGO

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$578,417 (Amount equals \$2,364,576 allocation, plus \$293 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$1,429,513 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$356,939 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
--	---	----------------------------------

		\$567,546
Case Management 4 project(s) to serve 72 families	4 project(s) served 410 families	
Child Well Being 3 project(s) to serve 50 families	3 project(s) served 138 families	
Enhanced Drug and Alcohol 1 project(s) to serve 14 families	1 project(s) served 86 families	
Financial Education (FE) 1 project(s) to serve 22 families	1 project(s) served 25 families	
Healthy Families/Home Visiting 2 project(s) to serve 108 families	2 project(s) served 684 families	
Housing Services 1 project(s) to serve 30 families	1 project(s) served 69 families	
Non_Recurrent Costs (Non-Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 12 families	1 project(s) served 17 families	
Other 1 project(s) to serve 60 families	1 project(s) served 90 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	59 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	1 individuals indicated danger	
	0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 44	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	7 Unsubsidized Employment	
	0 Subsidized Employment	
	2 Work Experience	
	1 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	1 Job Readiness Training Activities	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	4 Job Search	
	0 Vocational Education	
	0 Job Skills Training	
	1 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 4	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 12	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 48.3%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

PUTNAM

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$389,460 (Amount equals \$1,522,356 allocation, plus \$9,909 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$862,485 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$280,320 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$389,460
		Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 51 families	1 project(s) served 277 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	2 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			4 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	1 individuals indicated danger	
			1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 31	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			3 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			3 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			5 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			5 Job Search	
			0 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			0 Education Related to Employment	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			0 Other	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 3	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 11	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 71.4%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

RENSSELAER

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$945,309 (Amount equals \$5,401,360 allocation, plus \$309 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$3,866,360 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$590,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
--	---	----------------------------------

		\$945,309
--	--	-----------

Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	137 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	25 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	3 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	

Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger	
	0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	

--	--	--

50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 898	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	206 Unsubsidized Employment	
	0 Subsidized Employment	
	14 Work Experience	
	0 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	2 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	4 Job Search	
	10 Vocational Education	
	0 Job Skills Training	
	1 Education Related to Employment	
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	

	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 124	
--	---	--

	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 15.7%	
--	---	--

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 232	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 29.5%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ROCKLAND

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$2,673,868 (Amount equals \$10,635,231 allocation, plus \$2,878 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$5,514,241 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,950,000 transferred to Title XX, \$500,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
		\$2,673,868
Child Only Services 1 project(s) to serve 113 families	1 project(s) served 386 families	
Enhanced Domestic Violence 1 project(s) to serve 13 families	1 project(s) served 10 families	
Other 1 project(s) to serve 10 families	1 project(s) served 42 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	13 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	3 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	10 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	87 individuals indicated danger	
	46 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 647	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	131 Unsubsidized Employment	
	1 Subsidized Employment	
	14 Work Experience	
	0 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	8 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	17 Job Search	
	4 Vocational Education	
	2 Job Skills Training	
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	1 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 84	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 23.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 164	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 46.3%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ST. LAWRENCE

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$1,068,567 (Amount equals \$5,610,490 allocation, plus \$218,567 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$2,831,586 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,511,000 transferred to Title XX, \$400,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$17,904 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
		\$1,015,854
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	31 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	5 individuals indicated danger	
	3 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 888	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	95 Unsubsidized Employment	
	7 Subsidized Employment	
	41 Work Experience	
	2 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	21 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	0 Job Search	
	10 Vocational Education	
	1 Job Skills Training	
	9 Education Related to Employment	
	2 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 90	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 25.9%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 165	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 47.4%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

SARATOGA

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$433,003 (Amount equals \$4,128,863 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$2,707,974 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$987,886 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
--	---	----------------------------------

		\$433,003
Child Only Services 1 project(s) to serve 25 families	1 project(s) served 1 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	45 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	2 individuals indicated danger	
	1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 77	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	6 Unsubsidized Employment	
	0 Subsidized Employment	
	4 Work Experience	
	0 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	2 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	3 Job Search	
	0 Vocational Education	
	0 Job Skills Training	
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 4	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 13.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 11	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 34.7%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.

**PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX
SCHENECTADY**

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$2,665,701 (Amount equals \$7,271,383 allocation, plus \$835,894 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$4,535,881 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$905,695 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"		8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$1,633,180
Child Well Being 1 project(s) to serve 140 families		1 project(s) served 0 families		
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 10 families		1 project(s) served 117 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		189 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		13 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		58 individuals indicated danger		
		50 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 493		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		128 Unsubsidized Employment		
		2 Subsidized Employment		
		31 Work Experience		
		3 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		5 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		86 Job Search		
		35 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		15 Education Related to Employment		
		1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
		0 Other		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 99		
		Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20.5%		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 256		
		Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 52.7%		

<p style="text-align: center;">6. Performance/Accountability Goals</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"</p>
<p>[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.</p>		
<p>[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)</p>		
<p>[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.</p>		
<p>[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.</p>		
<p>[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.</p>		
<p>[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.</p>		
<p>[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.</p>		
<p></p>		
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.</p>		
<p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p>		
<p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p>		
<p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p>		
<p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

SCHOHARIE

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$404,826 (Amount equals \$1,813,034 allocation, plus \$1 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$900,278 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$498,243 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$9,688 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$399,986
		Child Well Being 1 project(s) to serve 10 families	1 project(s) served 72 families	
		Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 27 families	1 project(s) served 90 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	24 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger	
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 22	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			10 Unsubsidized Employment	
			1 Subsidized Employment	
			1 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			5 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			2 Job Search	
			0 Vocational Education	
			1 Job Skills Training	
			0 Education Related to Employment	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			3 Other	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 6	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 22.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 17	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 64.1%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

SCHUYLER

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$253,825 (Amount equals \$944,616 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$400,791 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$290,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$253,825
		Non_ Recurrent Costs (Non-Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 9 families	1 project(s) served 11 families	
		Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 75 families	1 project(s) served 118 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	11 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	6 individuals indicated danger	
			4 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 16	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			17 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			1 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			0 Job Search	
			0 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			0 Education Related to Employment	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			0 Other	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 7	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 13.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 19	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 35.5%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

SENECA

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$601,692 (Amount equals \$2,186,469 allocation, plus \$135,836 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$1,189,232 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$398,120 transferred to Title XX, \$100,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$33,261 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$601,692
		Financial Education (FE) 2 project(s) to serve 25 families	2 project(s) served 0 families	
		Housing Services 1 project(s) to serve 237 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
		Nutrition (N) 1 project(s) to serve 25 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
		Program Support and Training 2 project(s) to serve 40 families	2 project(s) served 0 families	
		Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 4 project(s) to serve 90 families	4 project(s) served 0 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	3 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger	
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 55	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			12 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			1 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			0 Job Search	
			0 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 8	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 24.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 12	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 36.5%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

STEUBEN

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$1,521,309 (Amount equals \$5,032,064 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$2,747,315 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$763,440 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$1,521,309
Case Management		1 project(s) to serve 1,860 families	1 project(s) served 2,363 families	
Healthy Families/Home Visiting		1 project(s) to serve 400 families	1 project(s) served 948 families	
Non_Recurrent Costs (Non-Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion)		1 project(s) to serve 7,200 families	1 project(s) served 7,333 families	
Nutrition (N)		1 project(s) to serve 250 families	1 project(s) served 912 families	
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration		1 project(s) to serve 160 families	1 project(s) served 635 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements			194 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			4 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)			47 individuals indicated danger	
			22 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate			Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 394	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			74 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			24 Work Experience	
			3 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			6 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			25 Job Search	
			12 Vocational Education	
			5 Job Skills Training	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	9 Education Related to Employment	
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	2 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 50	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 126	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 48%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

SUFFOLK

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$13,900,888 (Amount equals \$38,802,400 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$19,434,512 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$5,467,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
		\$13,438,572
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	564 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	49 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	23 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	211 individuals indicated danger	
	141 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 6,094	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	918 Unsubsidized Employment	
	24 Subsidized Employment	
	273 Work Experience	
	7 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	51 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	131 Job Search	
	105 Vocational Education	
	29 Job Skills Training	
	13 Education Related to Employment	
	8 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 950	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 36%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 1,356	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 51.4%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

SULLIVAN

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$1,228,239 (Amount equals \$3,043,118 allocation, plus \$36,639 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$1,156,518 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$650,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$45,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
--	---	----------------------------------

		\$1,142,249
--	--	-------------

Enhanced Domestic Violence 1 project(s) to serve 210 families	1 project(s) served 78 families	
Housing Services 1 project(s) to serve 300 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
SSI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 100 families	1 project(s) served 64 families	
Transitional Benefits/Work Supports 1 project(s) to serve 50 families	1 project(s) served 27 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	44 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	14 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	25 individuals indicated danger	
	15 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 223	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	62 Unsubsidized Employment	
	0 Subsidized Employment	
	16 Work Experience	
	2 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	13 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	2 Job Search	
	4 Vocational Education	
	2 Job Skills Training	
	0 Education Related to Employment	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	4 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 35	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 11.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 92	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 30.4%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

TIOGA

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$470,481 (Amount equals \$2,040,811 allocation, plus \$30,134 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$1,169,675 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$211,700 transferred to Title XX, \$200,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$19,089 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$470,260
		Child Well Being 2 project(s) to serve 498 families	2 project(s) served 431 families	
		Non_Recurrent Costs (Non-Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 200 families	1 project(s) served 2,468 families	
		SSI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 75 families	1 project(s) served 35 families	
		Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 15 families	1 project(s) served 169 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	48 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	17 individuals indicated danger	
			15 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 88	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			11 Unsubsidized Employment	
			1 Subsidized Employment	
			11 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			1 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			5 Job Search	
			0 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			0 Education Related to Employment	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 13	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 24	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 33.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

TOMPKINS

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$595,343 (Amount equals \$3,518,403 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$2,843,060 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$0 transferred to Title XX, \$80,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
		\$595,343

Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	42 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	15 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	6 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger	
	0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 254	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	67 Unsubsidized Employment	
	0 Subsidized Employment	
	12 Work Experience	
	0 Community Service	
	1 On the Job Training	
	13 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	0 Job Search	
	8 Vocational Education	
	0 Job Skills Training	
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 47	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20.6%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 92	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 40%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

ULSTER

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$1,124,250 (Amount equals \$6,853,777 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$4,579,527 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,150,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$1,025,646
		Case Management 2 project(s) to serve 680 families	2 project(s) served 0 families	
		Enhanced Domestic Violence 1 project(s) to serve 20 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	0 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			10 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			17 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	13 individuals indicated danger	
			5 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 478	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			133 Unsubsidized Employment	
			4 Subsidized Employment	
			19 Work Experience	
			3 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			8 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			4 Job Search	
			8 Vocational Education	
			3 Job Skills Training	
			0 Education Related to Employment	
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			0 Other	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 65	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 11.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 162	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 27.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

WARREN

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$571,463 (Amount equals \$1,800,229 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$995,736 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$233,030 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$571,463
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	61 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	4 individuals indicated danger	
			2 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 18	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			6 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			3 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			11 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			7 Job Search	
			0 Vocational Education	
			1 Job Skills Training	
			0 Education Related to Employment	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			0 Other	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 4	
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 14.2%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 19	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 61.3%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

**PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX
WASHINGTON**

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$581,040 (Amount equals \$1,907,740 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$801,694 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$495,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$30,006 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals		7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"		8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$581,040
Case Management 2 project(s) to serve 65 families		2 project(s) served 53 families		
Housing Services 1 project(s) to serve 135 families		1 project(s) served 335 families		
Non_Recurrent Costs (Non-Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 0 families		1 project(s) served 0 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		44 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
		1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		17 individuals indicated danger		
		13 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 77		
		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
		15 Unsubsidized Employment		
		0 Subsidized Employment		
		4 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		6 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		2 Job Search		
		0 Vocational Education		
		0 Job Skills Training		
		0 Education Related to Employment		
		0 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 9	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 24.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 23	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 58.6%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

WAYNE

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$2,427,190 (Amount equals \$3,393,585 allocation, plus \$735,195 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$1,364,513 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$337,077 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$1,778,466
		Adult or Juvenile Justice/Criminal 1 project(s) to serve 94 families	1 project(s) served 224 families	
		Case Management 4 project(s) to serve 112 families	4 project(s) served 438 families	
		Child Only Services 1 project(s) to serve 140 families	1 project(s) served 144 families	
		Child Well Being 3 project(s) to serve 124 families	3 project(s) served 235 families	
		Enhanced Domestic Violence 1 project(s) to serve 16 families	1 project(s) served 17 families	
		Non_Recurrent Costs (Non-Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	30 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			12 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			5 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	21 individuals indicated danger	
			7 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 139	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			36 Unsubsidized Employment	
			1 Subsidized Employment	
			14 Work Experience	
			1 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			15 Job Search	
			3 Vocational Education	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	0 Job Skills Training	
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 30	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 61	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 38.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

**PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX
WESTCHESTER**

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$7,062,102 (Amount equals \$39,026,536 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$25,744,136 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$6,220,298 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$7,062,102
		Child Only Services 1 project(s) to serve 30 families	1 project(s) served 30 families	
		Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 4 project(s) to serve 698 families	4 project(s) served 688 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	254 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			41 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			19 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	145 individuals indicated danger	
			227 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 2,447	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			508 Unsubsidized Employment	
			6 Subsidized Employment	
			378 Work Experience	
			0 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			62 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			249 Job Search	
			120 Vocational Education	
			13 Job Skills Training	
			85 Education Related to Employment	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
			0 Other	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 673	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 31.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 1,142	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 53.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

WYOMING

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$700,203 (Amount equals \$1,673,043 allocation, plus \$114,229 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$757,443 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$147,000 transferred to Title XX, \$31,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$151,626 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
				\$650,238
		Enhanced Domestic Violence 1 project(s) to serve 150 families	1 project(s) served 211 families	
		Transitional Benefits/Work Supports 1 project(s) to serve 75 families	1 project(s) served 500 families	
		Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 19 families	1 project(s) served 53 families	
		Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	34 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			3 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
		Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	18 individuals indicated danger	
			7 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
		50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 55	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
			12 Unsubsidized Employment	
			0 Subsidized Employment	
			12 Work Experience	
			1 Community Service	
			0 On the Job Training	
			21 Job Readiness Training Activities	
			0 Job Search	
			1 Vocational Education	
			0 Job Skills Training	
			0 Education Related to Employment	
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 11	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 23.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 33	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 72.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.		
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

YATES

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$81,138 (Amount equals \$938,959 allocation, plus \$127,661 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$750,742 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$234,740 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
--	---	----------------------------------

		\$80,712
--	--	----------

Case Management 1 project(s) to serve 29 families	1 project(s) served 68 families	
Non_Recurrent Costs (Non-Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 8 families	1 project(s) served 2 families	
Transitional Benefits/Work Supports 1 project(s) to serve 50 families	1 project(s) served 9 families	
Other 2 project(s) to serve 700 families	2 project(s) served 480 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	26 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger	
	0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 15	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	3 Unsubsidized Employment	
	0 Subsidized Employment	
	0 Work Experience	
	0 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	3 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	3 Job Search	
	0 Vocational Education	
	1 Job Skills Training	
	0 Education Related to Employment	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 2	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 9.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 6	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 31.3%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.</p>		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

NYC-HRA

1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2011-12	\$263,508,166 (Amount equals \$545,354,288 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 10-11 FFFS, less \$153,557,809 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$128,288,313 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/10-3/31/14 *	Allocation

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
		\$263,508,166
Enhanced Domestic Violence 1 project(s) to serve 208 families	1 project(s) served 1,329 families	
Non_Recurrent Costs (Non-Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 2,100 families	1 project(s) served 1,466 families	
Nutrition (N) 1 project(s) to serve 2,461,463 families	1 project(s) served 2,780,156 families	
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 2 project(s) to serve 3,334 families	2 project(s) served 17,359 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	6,391 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	484 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	450 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	4,755 individuals indicated danger	
	3,253 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 166,154	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	22,992 Unsubsidized Employment	
	685 Subsidized Employment	
	3,884 Work Experience	
	0 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	0 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	5,001 Job Search	
	2,054 Vocational Education	
	960 Job Skills Training	
	520 Education Related to Employment	
	71 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 19,699	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 34.9%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2012"	8. Spending As Of: "12/31/13"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 30,681	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 54.4%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2011 to March 2012, NYC data April 2011 to March 2012)		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.		
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.		
<p>Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2011 to March 2012. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2011 to March 2012.</p> <p>Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.</p> <p>Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.</p> <p>Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.</p> <p>Note 5: The outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2011-03/31/2012.</p>		