	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
STATE SUMMARY					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. 4. Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle		••	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$374,072,717 (Amount equals \$964,000,000 allocation, plus \$4,550,972 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$397,125,229 budgeted towards Child Walfare Other than Title XX_\$190,470,111 transferred to Title XX_\$6,006,780 in additional Child Care			10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14'
					\$444,578,647
		inal 6 project(s) to serve 478 families	6 project(s) served 588 families		
		(s) to serve 4,638 families	21 project(s) served 7,381 families		
		(s) to serve 270 families	4 project(s) served 618 families		
) to serve 1,231 families	19 project(s) served 2,129 families		
		8 project(s) to serve 559 families	8 project(s) served 2,302 families		
		project(s) to serve 206 families	3 project(s) served 637 families		
		bject(s) to serve 106 families	2 project(s) served 107 families		_
		ng 10 project(s) to serve 378 families	10 project(s) served 1,148 families		
) to serve 4,230 families	15 project(s) served 3,875 families 7 project(s) served 2,690 families		
		ablic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 7 project(s) to serve 2,440 families erve 2,536,845 families	2 project(s) served 2,770,799 families		
Other 7 project(s)	J ()		7 project(s) served 1,801 families		
		g 1 project(s) to serve 125 families	1 project(s) served 512 families		
		s) to serve 1,735 families	10 project(s) served 1,755 families		—
		upports 6 project(s) to serve 10,372 families	6 project(s) served 10,408 families		
		nunity Collaboration 17 project(s) to serve 3,568 families	17 project(s) served 19,238 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	14,577 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problem	S	
			1,942 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from	n employment activities [a]	
			888 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt fro	m employment activities [a]	\exists
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	7,657 individuals indicated danger		
		• • • •	4,939 individuals granted a waiver from program requi	irements	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 20	07,501	-
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Act	ivity [c]	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2013"	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14
	32,258 Unsubsidized Employment	Spending 12 Off 0 (red) 1
	873 Subsidized Employment	
	6,603 Work Experience	
	97 Community Service	
	14 On the Job Training	
	862 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	4,700 Job Search	
	2,556 Vocational Education	
	1,476 Job Skills Training	
	999 Education Related to Employment	
	120 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	20 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 26,586	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 29.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 43,499	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 48.5%	
b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessment include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCV c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent w d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in c e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not com-	vork activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to nsistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	C staff using NYCWAY and does not
f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable case		
lata available April 2012 to March 2013.	rage for the reporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for ibutable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent ch not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.	

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX

	ROS SUMMARY					
1.	2.	3. 4.			5.	
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Lev		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology	
FFFS		budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX \$62,190,798 transferre	6114,526,598 (Amount equals \$413,722,053 allocation, plus \$4,550,971 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$234,681,713 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$62,190,798 transferred to Title XX, \$6,006,780 in additional Child Care Fransfer, \$577,764 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$289,371 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outco	mes As Of : "3/31/2013"	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''	
					\$120,598,904	
		ninal 6 project(s) to serve 478 families	6 project(s) served 588 families			
		(s) to serve 4,638 families	21 project(s) served 7,381 families			
		t(s) to serve 270 families	4 project(s) served 618 families			
) to serve 1,231 families	19 project(s) served 2,129 families			
		7 project(s) to serve 492 families	7 project(s) served 506 families			
		B project(s) to serve 206 families oject(s) to serve 106 families	3 project(s) served 637 families			
		ng 10 project(s) to serve 378 families	2 project(s) served 107 families 10 project(s) served 1,148 families			
) to serve 4,230 families	15 project(s) served 3,875 families			
		ublic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 6 project(s) to serve 340 families	6 project(s) served 1,363 families			
Nutrition (N) 1 pro			1 project(s) served 0 families		—	
Other 7 project(s) t			7 project(s) served 1,801 families		—	
		g 1 project(s) to serve 125 families	1 project(s) served 512 families			
		s) to serve 1,735 families	10 project(s) served 1,755 families			
		apports 6 project(s) to serve 10,372 families	6 project(s) served 10,408 families			
Youth Supports/Sc	chool Comn	nunity Collaboration 15 project(s) to serve 2,116 families	15 project(s) served 3,893 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	8,329 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	3	_	
		· · · ·	1,364 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from	n employment activities [a]		
			421 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt fr	om employment activities [a]		
Comply with D	astia Vial	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	2,820 individuals indicated danger		_	
Comply with Dome	estic violei	ice ranny violence Option (rvO)	1,750 individuals granted a waiver from program requ	irements		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 3			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Ac	tivity [c]		
			9,291 Unsubsidized Employment			
			229 Subsidized Employment		-1	
			3,715 Work Experience			
			97 Community Service			
			14 On the Job Training			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
	862 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	992 Job Search	
	865 Vocational Education	
	341 Job Skills Training	
	663 Education Related to Employment	
	120 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	20 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 7,769	1
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 22.9%	1
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 14,644	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 43.1%	1

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2012 to March 2013, NYC data April 2012 to March 2013)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2012 to March 2013.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

			ALBANY		
1. Program name	2. SFY		3. priation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodolog
FFFS	\$3,135,287 (Amount equals \$13,786,052 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$8,343,559 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX_\$2,157,835 transferred to Title XX_\$0 in additional Child Care Transfer \$0 budgeted for Non-		10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation	
		6.	7.		8.
		Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/2013''	Spending As Of: "04/30/1
omply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	313 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		\$3,295,115
pijtui Diug			31 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohor Problems	ployment activities [a]	-1
			35 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em		
				1 / · · · · · · · · · []	-1
omply with Dom	estic Violei	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	39 individuals indicated danger		
			4 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	
) % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,3		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			312 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			160 Work Experience		_
			0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training		_
			43 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			1 Job Search		
			25 Vocational Education		
			37 Job Skills Training		-1
			21 Education Related to Employment		7
			3 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 233		
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	2.3%	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 486		
			Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 46	5.5%	

6.	7.	8.		
Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2013"	Spending As Of: "04/30/14"		
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not				
nclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2012 to March 2013, NYC data April 2012 to March 2013)				
c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan				

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2012 to March 2012 to March 2013.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX						
	ALLEGANY					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Leve		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$709,301 (Amount equals \$3,256,955 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$1,894,767 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX_\$611.001 transferred to Title XX_\$0 in additional Child Care Transfer_\$41,886 budgeted for Non-			10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "3/31/2013"	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''	
					\$574,004	
		inal 1 project(s) to serve 50 families	1 project(s) served 0 families			
Child Well Being	l project(s)	to serve 150 families	1 project(s) served 248 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	84 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
		*	3 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	oyment activities [a]		
			5 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	ployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger		_	
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 213		-	
- ,		- which many contractions	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [c]	—	
			45 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			10 Work Experience			
			1 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			4 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			6 Job Search			
			5 Vocational Education			
			39 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 29	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17.6%	7
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 80	7
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 49.2%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt	employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	te data - April 2012 to March 2013, NYC data April 2012 to March 2013)	Fusing NYCWAY and does not
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
		<u></u>
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the r data available April 2012 to March 2013.	eporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NY	C is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplica	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.		

2. SFY		PDOOME					
		BROOME					
	3. Appropriation Lev		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology			
2012 12		X, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$10,667	10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation			
	6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outco	mes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: "04/30/14"			
				\$2,676,586			
nd Alcoho	ol Requirements		1				
				_			
		12 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	in employment activities [a]	_			
stic Violen	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	112 individuals indicated danger					
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (1 VO)				-			
l Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b]	1,241				
			tivity [c]				
		-					
		C					
			01				
n	d Alcoho	6.	nuggeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and S0 transferred to State Administered Contracts). 6. 7. Performance/Accountability Goals 7. d Alcohol Requirements 140 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 40 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from e 12 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from e ic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO) 112 individuals indicated danger 56 individuals granted a waiver from program require Families" Participation Rate Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Ac 312 Unsubsidized Employment 90 Work Experience 2 Community Service 51 On the Job Training 25 Job Readiness Training Activities 56 Job Search 44 Vocational Education 31 Job Skills Training 40 Education Related to Employment 31 Job Skills Training 40 Education Related to Employment 31 Job Skills Training 3 Secondary School or Equivalent 0 Other 0 Other	012-13 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts). 101/11-3/3/15 * 6. 7. Performance/Accountability Goals 7. 4 Alcohol Requirements 140 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 40 individuals mandated to treatment – excempt from employment activities [a] 12 ie Violence Family Violence Option (FVO) 112 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements 56 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements Families" Participation Rate Number of Employment Stokizzed Employment 12 Unsubidized Employment Stokizzed Employment 13 Unsubidized Employment Stokizzed Employment 25 Job Readiness Training Activities Stol Job Search 31 Job Skills Training 41 Vocation Related to Employment 31 Job Skills Training			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 517	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 46%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexe	mpt employability status.
b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c nclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta		staff using NYCWAY and does not
c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow	vards the federal participation rate.
e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the red data available April 2012 to March 2013.	eporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for	NYC is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent child	ldren receiving assistance supported
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.		
the strain contains find experiences and outcome data for the 12-15 111 5 1 fall 1 cal.		

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX						
	CATTARAUGUS					
1. Program name			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS		2012-13 \$1,586,152 (Amount equals \$4,294,921 allocation, plus \$21,410 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$1,460,145 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$955,034 transferred to Title XX, \$315,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "3/31/2013"	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''	
					\$1,601,579	
		inal 1 project(s) to serve 74 families	1 project(s) served 77 families		<u> </u>	
		to serve 149 families 1 project(s) to serve 57 families	2 project(s) served 103 families 1 project(s) served 55 families			
		ng 3 project(s) to serve 142 families	3 project(s) served 55 families			
		nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 50 families	1 project(s) served 53 families			
Other 1 project(s)			1 project(s) served 498 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	52 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	52 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	loyment activities [a]		
			5 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	mployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	7 individuals indicated danger			
			6 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts		
50 or G 11 11			Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 360)	_	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate				
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ 24 Unsubsidized Employment			
			4 Subsidized Employment			
			18 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			2 On the Job Training			
			5 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			1 Job Search			
			4 Vocational Education			
			5 Job Skills Training			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''		
	0 Education Related to Employment			
	2 Secondary School or Equivalent			
	1 Other			
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 34			
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 35.5%			
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 48			
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50.5%			
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.				
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta		using NYCWAY and does not		

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2012 to March 2013.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	CAYUGA					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2012-13	\$839,998 (Amount equals \$3,884,497 allocation, plus \$89,809 transferred in from Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$320,000 transferred to Title XX, \$520,000 in Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contract	n additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-	10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''	
					\$508,722	
) to serve 50 families	1 project(s) served 275 families			
	1 3	(s) to serve 5 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		I	
Ű	1 0 11	to serve 40 families	1 project(s) served 31 families			
		ng 1 project(s) to serve 0 families ublic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 25 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		I	
		(1 project(s) to serve 125 families	1 project(s) served 0 families 1 project(s) served 512 families			
		to serve 15 families	1 project(s) served 512 families			
		nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 40 families	1 project(s) served 37 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	al Requirements	54 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_	
Comply with Diug	and meon	n requirements	14 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	-	
			3 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en			
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	17 individuals indicated danger			
			18 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	_	
50 % Combined "A	ll Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 177		_	
	in i annico		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi			
			59 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			24 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			

6.	7.	8.
Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2013"	Spending As Of: "04/30/14"
	3 Vocational Education	
	0 Job Skills Training	
	11 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 45	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 78	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 38%	
 [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with f 	k activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towa	rds the federal participation rate.
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bot	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re data available April 2012 to March 2013. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds. Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicate Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent child	

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX CHAUTAUQUA				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Le		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2012-13	\$2,140,801 (Amount equals \$5,402,577 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in fro Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$357,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Co	additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-	10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
					\$2,226,978
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	78 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			8 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp		
			8 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	39 individuals indicated danger		
T J			11 individuals granted a waiver from program requirer	nents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	"Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 59	92	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]	
			253 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			117 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			19 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			55 Job Search		
			8 Vocational Education		
			2 Job Skills Training 3 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		—
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 154	1	
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e]		—

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 405	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 40.5%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	mployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate include assessments) and the completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY.		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the red data available April 2012 to March 2013.	eporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	C is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.		

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	CHEMUNG					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$1,178,385 (Amount equals \$4,617,181 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$2,871,178 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$267,618 transferred to Title XX, \$300,000 in additional Child Care Transfer \$0 budgeted for Non-		10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''	
					\$1,253,157	
		inal 1 project(s) to serve 230 families	1 project(s) served 408 families			
Financial Educatio	n (FE) 1 pr	ject(s) to serve 100 families	1 project(s) served 100 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	l Requirements	112 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
1,2 0		*	13 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]		
			3 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	17 individuals indicated danger		_	
			15 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	' Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 173		_	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			51 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			15 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			28 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			20 Job Search			
			1 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			11 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			11 Other			

6.	7.	8.
Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2013"	Spending As Of: "04/30/14
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 34	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 80	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 43.6%	
a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexe	mpt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c	completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC	staff using NYCWAY and does not
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow	vards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the red data available April 2012 to March 2013.	eporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for	r NYC is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent chi	ldren receiving assistance supported
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANE Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat	ted across the months during the year or among projects	

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects. Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	CHENANGO					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Leve		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2012-13	\$665,000 (Amount equals \$2,358,885 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from \$ Welfare Other than Title XX, \$214,858 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in addition Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes	s As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''	
					\$616,827	
		to serve 80 families ablic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 35 families	1 project(s) served 328 families 1 project(s) served 40 families		_	
		to serve 24 families	1 project(s) served 40 families			
551 Maximization	1 project(s)				—	
Comply with Drug	Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		58 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	oyment activities [a]		
			4 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	20 individuals indicated danger			
			18 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b]		-	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ity [c]	—	
			27 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			19 Work Experience			
			2 Community Service		-1	
			0 On the Job Training		1	
			12 Job Readiness Training Activities		-1	
			2 Job Search		-1	
			3 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			1 Education Related to Employment			
			6 Secondary School or Equivalent			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''		
	0 Other			
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 24			
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 23.3%			
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 55			
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 53%			
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.			
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were conclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstated)		using NYCWAY and does not		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.			
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	k activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards t	he federal participation rate.		

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2012 to March 2013.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANC	E AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	CLINTON					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriatio		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$1,154,584 (Amount equals \$3,386,094 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$1,551,994 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$679,516 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-		10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''	
					\$1,120,280	
		to serve 76 families nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 180 families	2 project(s) served 23 families		_	
Youth Supports/Sc	nool Comn	unity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 180 families	1 project(s) served 178 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	42 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
		•	8 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	ployment activities [a]		
			4 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violen	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	9 individuals indicated danger		_	
			7 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 21	1	-	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]		
			50 Unsubsidized Employment			
			1 Subsidized Employment			
			13 Work Experience			
			1 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			36 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			13 Job Search		_	
			1 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			2 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14'
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 43	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 22.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 96	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50.6%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	mployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c	completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff	using NYCWAY and does not
nclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta	te data - April 2012 to March 2013, NYC data April 2012 to March 2013)	
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the r	eporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	C is based on monthly average
data available April 2012 to March 2013.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	are and local lunds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported
by rederation mannerance of effort (WOE) funds.		

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects. Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	COLUMBIA					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	FFFS2012-13\$743,000 (Amount equals \$3,330,333 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$1,862,333 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$725,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''	
					\$746,227	
) to serve 52 families	1 project(s) served 168 families			
		to serve 55 families to serve 10 families	1 project(s) served 212 families 1 project(s) served 37 families			
Housing Services	r project(s)		1 project(s) served 37 fammes			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	25 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
17 0	, 	4	7 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	oyment activities [a]		
			3 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	14 individuals indicated danger			
			10 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 203		-	
		-	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ity [c]		
			41 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			4 Work Experience			
			7 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			12 Job Search			
			2 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			2 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent			

7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
0 Other	
Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 27	
Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17.2%	-
Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 60	
Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 38.4%	
	0 Other Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 27 Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17.2% Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 60

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2012 to March 2013, NYC data April 2012 to March 2013)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2012 to March 2013.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE AND AC	COUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	CORTLAND				
1. Program name	2. SFY	SFY Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2012-13	\$234,464 (Amount equals \$2,404,022 allocation, plus \$50,964 transferred in from SFY 1 Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$551,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Cl Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	ues As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
					\$267,526
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	viduals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			viduals mandated to treatment - exempt from en		
		3 indiv	iduals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ace Family Violence Option (FVO) 22 indi	viduals indicated danger		-
			ividuals granted a waiver from program requiren	nents	
		X 1		20	
50 % Combined "A	All Families		r of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 13		
		Numbe	r of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti 68 Unsubsidized Employment	vity [c]	_
			0 Subsidized Employment		_
			6 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			14 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			3 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			r of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 39		
		Combi	ned Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e]	22%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 84	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 48%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexem	npt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta		staff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towa	ards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re data available April 2012 to March 2013.	eporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for	NYC is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent child	lren receiving assistance supported
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplica	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.		

		PERFORMANCE AND A	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	DELAWARE					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2012-13	\$300,000 (Amount equals \$1,584,197 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 11- Welfare Other than Title XX, \$614,669 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "3/31/2013"	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''	
					\$477,185	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho		ndividuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			dividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl			
		0 ir	ndividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	mployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	individuals indicated danger		-	
			ndividuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts		
50 % Combined "/	All Families	" Participation Rate Nu	mber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 31		_	
			mber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			14 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			8 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			1 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		_	
			0 Other		_	
			mber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 11	1.50/	_	
		Con	mbined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	1.5%		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2013"	8. Spending As Of: "04/30/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 20	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 38.5%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstat		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the red data available April 2012 to March 2013.	eporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NY	C is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.		

		PERFORMANCE AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	DUTCHESS				
1. Program name			••	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS		\$1,475,806 (Amount equals \$8,695,437 allocation, plus \$1,001,029 transferred in from towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2,154,566 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contract	additional Child Care Transfer \$0 budgeted for	10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: "04/30/14"
					\$1,467,910
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho		individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	1 / / // ГЛ	
			dividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp dividuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e		
		4 IN	dividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]	
			ndividuals indicated danger		_
			56 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
			individuals granted a waiver from program requirem		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate Num	nber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 60		
50 % combined 7	in r annies		hber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti		—
			90 Unsubsidized Employment		
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			18 Work Experience		
			4 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			8 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			22 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education		
			1 Job Skills Training		
			6 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			ber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 61		
		Con	bined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e]	5.7%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 132	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 34.1%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexemp	ot employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstat		aff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towar	ds the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re data available April 2012 to March 2013.	eporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for N	IYC is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent childr	ren receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.		

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
	ERIE				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	FFFS2012-13\$18,020,402 (Amount equals \$47,198,841 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$21,575,419 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$7,603,020 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
					\$14,886,274
) to serve 225 families unity Collaboration 2 project(s) to serve 1,330 families	1 project(s) served 471 families 2 project(s) served 2,727 families		
1 outil Supports/SC		unity Conaddration 2 project(s) to serve 1,550 fammes	2 project(s) served 2,727 fammes		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	l Requirements	401 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		•	196 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from en	ployment activities [a]	
			31 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	855 individuals indicated danger		_
			453 individuals granted a waiver from program requiren	nents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	' Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 6,50	01	_
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			1,183 Unsubsidized Employment		
			149 Subsidized Employment		
			1,223 Work Experience		
			4 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			12 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			27 Vocational Education		
			87 Job Skills Training 54 Education Related to Employment		_
			21 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			0 Outer		

	7.	8.
Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2013"	Spending As Of: "04/30/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 1,643	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 39.1%	1
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 2,297	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 54.7%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and who	m were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt en	mployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were of		using NYCWAY and does not
nclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta	ate data - April 2012 to March 2013, NYC data April 2012 to March 2013)	
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activitie	es consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	ork activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards t	he federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	n federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
		C is based on monthly average
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the r data available April 2012 to March 2013.	reporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the r data available April 2012 to March 2013. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St	reporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the r	reporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC tate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.

		PERFORMANCE AND A	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	ESSEX				
1. Program name				5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2012 12	\$290,526 (Amount equals \$1,663,870 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 11- Welfare Other than Title XX, \$676,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
					\$325,619
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho		ndividuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			dividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl dividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er		
		1 10	dividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dome	estic Violen	ce Family Violence Option (FVO) 5 in	dividuals indicated danger		_
			ndividuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
0 % Combined "A	Il Families	" Participation Rate Nur	nber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 97		
		Nur	nber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			6 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			2 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			1 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training		_
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		\dashv
		Nur	nber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 3		\neg
			nbined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 12	2%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 9	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 33.1%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt er	nployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards t	he federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the red data available April 2012 to March 2013.	eporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	t is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children 1	receiving assistance supported
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.		

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX FRANKLIN				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2012-13	\$1,023,297 (Amount equals \$2,606,195 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$426,000 transferred to Title XX, \$100,00 Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contr	0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-	10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
					\$1,023,297
		inal 1 project(s) to serve 20 families	1 project(s) served 56 families		
		1 project(s) to serve 50 families	1 project(s) served 238 families		I
		project(s) to serve 20 families	1 project(s) served 57 families		
		ng 2 project(s) to serve 25 families	2 project(s) served 258 families 1 project(s) served 17 families		
		pports 1 project(s) to serve 200 families	1 project(s) served 17 families		
		unity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 73 families	1 project(s) served 184 families		
Other 3 project(s) t	o serve 55	amilies	3 project(s) served 51 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	al Requirements	30 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		-
		$\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{r}}$, \mathbf{r} , \mathbf{r}	2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	oyment activities [a]	_
			1 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en		
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger		
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts	
50 % Combined "A	Il Families	'Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 30		_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity	ity [c]	_
			30 Unsubsidized Employment		
			2 Subsidized Employment		
			13 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities		-
			0 Job Search		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
	0 Vocational Education	
	0 Job Skills Training	
	2 Education Related to Employment	1
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	-
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 24	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 47	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 37.2%	
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activitie [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the r data available April 2012 to March 2013. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St		
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		receiving assistance supported

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects. Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.

		PERFORMANCE AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	FULTON					
1. Program name	2. SFY	SFY Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle			5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2012-13	\$538,512 (Amount equals \$3,049,321 allocation, plus \$2 transferred in from SFY 11- Welfare Other than Title XX, \$317,362 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''	
	•				\$670,963	
Housing Services 1	project(s)	to serve 380 families 1 pr	oject(s) served 331 families		_	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements 40 i	ndividuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
17 0			dividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	oyment activities [a]		
		4 in	dividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	tce Family Violence Option (FVO) 41 i	ndividuals indicated danger		_	
			idividuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ts		
50 % Combined "A	II Familia	" Participation Rate Nur	nber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 15		_	
50 % Combined A	All Fallines		nber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ity [c]		
			22 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment		—	
			19 Work Experience		-	
			1 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			16 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			9 Job Search			
			0 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment		_	
			2 Secondary School or Equivalent		_	
			0 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14'
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 29	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 38.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 48	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 63.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt er	mployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were conclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	ate data - April 2012 to March 2013, NYC data April 2012 to March 2013) s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. ork activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards t	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the n	reporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	C is based on monthly average
data available April 2012 to March 2013. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	tate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplica Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ated across the months during the year or among projects.	

		PERFORMANCE AND AC	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	GENESEE					
1. Program name	2. 3. 4. SFY Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle		••	5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	2012-13	\$307,838 (Amount equals \$2,143,237 allocation, plus \$82,984 transferred in from SFY 1 Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$464,847 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional C Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : "3/31/2013"	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''	
					\$307,838	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho		ividuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems viduals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	alarmant activities [a]	_	
			viduals mandated to treatment – exempt from em			
			riduals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	ostio Violor	ace Family Violence Option (FVO) 3 indiv	viduals indicated danger		_	
Comply with Donie			viduals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	_	
			F - 95 1			
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate Numb	er of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 92	2		
			er of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Act			
			27 Unsubsidized Employment	* = =		
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			6 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			7 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			6 Job Search			
			1 Vocational Education		_	
			0 Job Skills Training		_	
			0 Education Related to Employment		_	
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		_	
		NT1	0 Other er of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 18		_	
					_	
		Comb	ned Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e]	21.3%		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: "04/30/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 41	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 47.4%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	a were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt er	mployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were co include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstat		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	k activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards t	he federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re data available April 2012 to March 2013.	eporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	c is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ed across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.		

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			GREENE		
1. Program name	2. SFY	SFY Appropriation Level C			5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	FFFS2012-13\$592,750 (Amount equals \$2,507,587 allocation, plus \$3 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$1,592,240 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$322,600 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "3/31/2013"	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
					\$592,750
		project(s) to serve 180 families	1 project(s) served 563 families		
Healthy Families/F	Home Visiti	ng 1 project(s) to serve 50 families	1 project(s) served 99 families		_
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	91 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_
	·	*	3 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	ployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violei	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger		_
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	"Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 184		-
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ty [c]	
			66 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		_
			17 Work Experience		
			5 Community Service 0 On the Job Training		
			8 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			29 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			3 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14'
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 48	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 28.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 100	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 60%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and w	whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexe	mpt employability status
all runnoer of merriduals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed providusly and w		nipi enipioyuonity status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that we include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (U		staff using NYCWAY and does not
c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activ	vities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable	e work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow	wards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent v	with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities		
	es (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities	es (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities	es (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours. It he period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	r NYC is based on monthly average
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for t	the reporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for	

	PERFORMANCE ANI	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
HAMILTON					
2. 3. 4. ne SFY Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle			5. Procurement Methodology		
2012-13	Welfare Other than Title XX. \$0 transferred to Title XX. \$0 in additional Child C		10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation	
	6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''	
				\$22,389	
and Alcoho	ol Requirements	-	armont activities [a]	_	
				_	
		o individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt nom er	ipioyment activities [a]	-	
estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (EVO)	0 individuals indicated danger		-	
		C	ts	-	
All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b]			
	1		ity [c]		
		1 Unsubsidized Employment			
		_			
		_			
		_			
) 6%		
	SFY 2012-13 and Alcoho estic Violen	2. 3. SFY Appropriation Level 2012-13 \$50,399 (Amount equals \$195,044 allocation, plus \$4,242 transferred in from SF Welfare Other than Title XX, \$0 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child C Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts). 6.	2. SFY 3. Appropriation Level \$50,399 (Amount equals \$195,044 allocation, plus \$4,242 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$148,887 budgeted towards Child Weffare Other than Title XX, \$0 transferred to 'Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts). 6. 7. Performance/Accountability Goals and Alcohol Requirements 0 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl 0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl estic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO) 0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi 1 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment 0 Job Readment 0 Job Readment 0 Job Readment 0 Job Search 0 Job Search 0 Job Search 0 Job Search 0 Job Search 0 Job Search 0 Job Search 0 Job Search 0 Subsidised temployment 0 Secondary School or Equivalent	Image: state of the second s	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2013"	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 1	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 26.5%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstat		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re data available April 2012 to March 2013.	eporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NY	C is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.		
The strain contains final experiences and outcome data for the 12-13 1113 f fall feat.		

		PERFORMANCE AND	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		HI	ERKIMER		
1. Program name	2. SFY			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$620,762 (Amount equals \$2,443,446 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$1,565,684 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$257,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential			10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
			project(s) served 120 families project(s) served 214 families		\$781,166
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	4	l individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from er		
Comply with Dome	estic Violer		3 individuals indicated danger 1 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families		umber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 174 umber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ 35 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment 16 Work Experience 0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training 16 Job Readiness Training Activities 1 Job Search		_
			1 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training 2 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent 0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 28	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 61	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 45.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexemption	mpt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were co- include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstat		staff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	k activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow	ards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bot	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re	porting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for	NYC is based on monthly average
data available April 2012 to March 2013. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.	ed across the months during the year or among projects.	

		PERFORMANCI	E AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
			JEFFERSON			
1. Program name	2. SFY	Y Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle			5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS		\$1,202,661 (Amount equals \$5,230,762 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,690,226 transferred to Title XX, Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered	\$0 in additional Child Care Transfer. \$0 budgeted for Non-	10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''	
					\$1,202,661	
		to serve 40 families to serve 40 families	1 project(s) served 354 families 1 project(s) served 34 families			
		unity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 40 families	1 project(s) served 46 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	95 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	_		
			12 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from en			
			5 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from a	employment activities [a]	_	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	10 individuals indicated danger			
comply with Dom	estie violei		9 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	"Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 22	27		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]		
			40 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			29 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			12 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			1 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			4 Secondary School or Equivalent		—]	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 32	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 75	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50.5%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2012 to March 2013, NYC data April 2012 to March 2013)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2012 to March 2013.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	LEWIS					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$393,579 (Amount equals \$1,337,997 allocation, plus \$42,959 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$832,627 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$154,750 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-			10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''	
					\$306,101	
) to serve 151 families	1 project(s) served 258 families			
Nutrition (N) 1 pro		to serve 174 families rve 90 families	2 project(s) served 8 families 1 project(s) served 0 families		-	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	l Requirements	17 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp			
			1 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	_	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	19 individuals indicated danger		-	
			1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements			
50 % Combined "A	All Families	Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 18			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ 3 Unsubsidized Employment	vity [c]		
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			4 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			0 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent			

7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
0 Other	
Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 3	
Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.5%	-
Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 8	-
Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 55.6%	
	0 Other Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 3 Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.5% Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 8

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2012 to March 2013, NYC data April 2012 to March 2013)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2012 to March 2013.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE AN	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	LIVINGSTON					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$1,151,852 (Amount equals \$2,815,057 allocation, plus \$70,098 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$1,203,303 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX. \$530,000 transferred to Title XX. \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer. \$0 budgeted for			10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	ues As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''	
					\$1,054,575	
		1 project(s) to serve 140 families	1 project(s) served 0 families			
		ng 1 project(s) to serve 60 families	1 project(s) served 0 families 1 project(s) served 0 families		<u> </u>	
		to serve 35 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		—	
	1 5 ()				—	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	l Requirements	62 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
		•	11 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from en			
			6 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	19 individuals indicated danger			
			1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	'Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b]			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti			
			47 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			15 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			4 Vocational Education			
			1 Job Skills Training			
			3 Education Related to Employment			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
	3 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 33	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 15.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 67	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 30.8%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2012 to March 2013, NYC data April 2012 to March 2013)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2012 to March 2013.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	MADISON					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2012-13	\$672,415 (Amount equals \$2,216,590 allocation, plus \$10,262 transferred in from Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$253,032 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in addi Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contract	10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "3/31/2013"	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''	
Housing Services 1	project(s)	to serve 350 families	1 project(s) served 187 families		\$681,104	
6	I J		I Jun() at the last of the			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	53 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
		1	8 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]			
			4 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	3 individuals indicated danger		_	
			2 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ts		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 276		_	
		1	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [c]		
			31 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			11 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			4 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			10 Job Search			
			2 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training			
			1 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 21	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 45	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 36.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and who	m were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexem	npt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upst [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable were set.	tate data - April 2012 to March 2013, NYC data April 2012 to March 2013) es consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	-
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with		
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (b	-	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	e period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the data available April 2012 to March 2013.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to S by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent child	Iren receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplic. Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ated across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.		

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	MONROE					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Leve		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2012-13 \$8,969,766 (Amount equals \$28,961,477 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$17,695,663 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,998,681 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$157,367 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$140,000 transferred to State Administered Contracts). 10/1/11-3/31/15 *			10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''	
					\$9,140,715	
		to serve 1,330 families	1 project(s) served 1,407 families			
		to serve 1,200 families	1 project(s) served 956 families			
Youth Supports/Sc	nool Comn	nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 200 families	1 project(s) served 109 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	1,506 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_	
			563 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from en			
			46 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from a	employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	216 individuals indicated danger			
			85 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 2,6	81	_	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ			
			1,297 Unsubsidized Employment			
			20 Subsidized Employment			
			373 Work Experience			
			8 Community Service			
			3 On the Job Training			
			89 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			2 Job Search			
			207 Vocational Education			
			38 Job Skills Training			
			168 Education Related to Employment			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
	6 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 881	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 15%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 1,926	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 32.8%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2012 to March 2013, NYC data April 2012 to March 2013)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2012 to March 2013.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	MONTGOMERY					
1. Program name	state SFY Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle \$486,667 (Amount equals \$1,835,140 allocation, plus \$11,265 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$1,063,290 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX \$296 448 transferred to Title XX \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer \$0 budgeted for Non-			5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS				10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''	
	1				\$471,580	
Case Management	1 project(s) to serve 1,200 families	project(s) served 1,963 families		_	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	al Requirements	10 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		-	
			individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er			
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	2 individuals indicated danger			
r r J			individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	its		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Jumber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 102		-	
			Jumber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ			
			73 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			3 Work Experience			
			2 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			44 Job Search			
			3 Vocational Education		_	
			0 Job Skills Training			
			6 Education Related to Employment		_	
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		_	
			0 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2013"	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14'
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 40	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 116	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 51.8%	
		1 1 1 1 4 4 4
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt ei	mployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards t	the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the r	eporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	C is based on monthly average
data available April 2012 to March 2013. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	are and rocal funds used to support employment services for nouseholds with dependent employment	receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplica	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.

		PERFORMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	NASSAU					
1. Program name				4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2012-13 \$5,950,000 (Amount equals \$23,532,682 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$13,020,388 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$3,862,294 transferred to Title XX, \$700,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''	
					\$8,071,119	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	1,134 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	a		
			12 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]			
			1 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]	_	
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	112 individuals indicated danger			
			57 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements			
50 % Combined "A	Il Families	Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,1	57		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			610 Unsubsidized Employment			
			8 Subsidized Employment			
			168 Work Experience			
			17 Community Service			
			1 On the Job Training			
			33 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			124 Job Search			
			109 Vocational Education		_	
			8 Job Skills Training			
			19 Education Related to Employment			
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent 0 Other		_	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 591		_	
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 24	1.00/	_	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2013"	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 951	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 40.1%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and who	m were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexen	npt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstated assessments are completed by the total number of total number of the total number of the total number of the total number of the total number of total number of the total number of total numb		staff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activitie	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	ork activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towa	ards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	a federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (b	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the r data available April 2012 to March 2013.	reporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for	NYC is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to S by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	tate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent child	dren receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated	ated across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.		

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX	<u> </u>	
			NIAGARA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Leve	el	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	FFFS\$1,666,589 (Amount equals \$8,778,589 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$5,380,000 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,732,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).10/1/11-3/31/15 *			Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
					\$1,825,169
Child Well Being	1 project(s)	to serve 172 families	1 project(s) served 289 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alach	al Daquiraments	219 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
Comply with Drug	and Alcono	n Requirements	90 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from ex	mplovment activities [a]	
			8 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from		-
			A	17 []	_
Comply with Dom	omply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO) 17 individuals indicated danger				
			19 individuals granted a waiver from program require	ments	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	"Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 6	74	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Act	tivity [c]	
			246 Unsubsidized Employment		
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			63 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			51 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			26 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training		
			27 Education Related to Employment		
			27 Education Related to Employment 2 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 19	0	
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e]		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 381		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2013"	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14'
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 42.4%	
	•	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt er	mployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards t	the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the red data available April 2012 to March 2013.	eporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	C is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported

by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds. Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects. Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE AND A	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	ONEIDA				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$2,168,343 (Amount equals \$12,185,964 allocation, plus \$6,527 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$7,543,303 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX_\$2,476,034 transferred to Title XX_\$0 in additional Child Care Transfer. \$4,811 budgeted		10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "3/31/2013"	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
					\$1,690,225
			roject(s) served 212 families		
Transitional Benefi	ts/Work Su	pports 1 project(s) to serve 3,212 families 1 p	roject(s) served 3,603 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	al Requirements 227	7 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
comply with Diug	und meone		individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	ployment activities [a]	
			individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from		
Comply with Dom	estic Violen	ce Family Violence Option (FVO) 26	individuals indicated danger		_
comply with Donie	Ustic Violen		ndividuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	' Participation Rate Nu	mber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,6	50	
			mber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			394 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			160 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			35 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			13 Vocational Education		
			1 Job Skills Training		
			99 Education Related to Employment		
			9 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			mber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 320		
		Col	mbined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	2.3%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14'
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 582	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 40.7%	
	•	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexes	mpt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstat		staff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow	vards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the red data available April 2012 to March 2013.	eporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for	r NYC is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent child	ldren receiving assistance supported
by rederal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds. Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	6 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	ONONDAGA					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$7,410,954 (Amount equals \$21,640,331 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$9,886,968 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,942,409 transferred to Title XX, \$2,400,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for			10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "3/31/2013"	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''	
					\$8,964,269	
			1 project(s) served 140 families			
		to serve 75 families ports 1 project(s) to serve 6,800 families	1 project(s) served 35 families 1 project(s) served 5,421 families			
Transitional Beller	ILS/ WOIK SI		project(s) served 3,421 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	998 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
1,2 0			25 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	ployment activities [a]		
		1	50 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	95 individuals indicated danger		_	
			81 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 2,4	20	_	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			655 Unsubsidized Employment			
			3 Subsidized Employment			
			197 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			1 On the Job Training			
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			127 Job Search			
			74 Vocational Education			
			72 Job Skills Training			
			81 Education Related to Employment			
			7 Secondary School or Equivalent			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 404	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17.5%	-
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 992	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 42.9%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2012 to March 2013, NYC data April 2012 to March 2013)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2012 to March 2013.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	ONTARIO					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Le		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2012-13	\$1,560,202 (Amount equals \$3,286,819 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$1,241,617 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX_\$485,000 transferred to Title XX_\$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-		10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: "04/30/14"	
					\$1,668,017	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	97 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			7 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em			
			1 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	_	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	14 individuals indicated danger		-	
I J I J			14 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements			
50 % Combined "A	All Families	"Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 20	67		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Act	ivity [c]		
			71 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			10 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			8 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			14 Job Search			
			4 Vocational Education		_	
			3 Job Skills Training		_	
			16 Education Related to Employment			
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent 0 Other		_	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 41		_	
				20.10/		
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e]	20.1%		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2013"	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 104	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50.2%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt	employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta		f using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the red data available April 2012 to March 2013.	eporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NY	C is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	n receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.		

		PERFORMAN	ICE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	ORANGE					
1. Program name	2. 3. Appropriation Level C SFY Appropriation Level C 2012-13 \$5,363,451 (Amount equals \$12,837,295 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$5,398,332 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2,075,512 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts). C		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS			10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''	
					\$5,467,036	
		inal 1 project(s) to serve 10 families) to serve 2,000 families	1 project(s) served 47 families 2 project(s) served 3,170 families			
		to serve 690 families	1 project(s) served 1,176 families			
		nunity Collaboration 2 project(s) to serve 64 families	2 project(s) served 94 families			
Other 2 project(s) t			2 project(s) served 1,120 families			
Comply with Drug	Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		38 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			12 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp			
			31 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from	employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	94 individuals indicated danger			
			75 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	"Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,3	27	-	
		Ĩ	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]		
			457 Unsubsidized Employment			
			1 Subsidized Employment			
			72 Work Experience			
			10 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			93 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			3 Job Search			
			32 Vocational Education			
			1 Job Skills Training			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
	19 Education Related to Employment	
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 301	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 26.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 585	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 52%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt er	nployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta		using NYCWAY and does not

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2012 to March 2013.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	ORLEANS				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$278,009 (Amount equals \$1,706,269 allocation, plus \$160,014 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$1,310,945 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX_\$260,000 transferred to Title XX_\$0 in additional Child Care Transfer \$17,329 budgeted			10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
					\$276,518
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho		ndividuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			 11 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 3 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a] 		
		3 in	aividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Construction Winley - Franklin Winley - Orthon (FVO)		as Family Vislance Option (EVO)	1 individuals indicated danger		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)			1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		_
			and a second a second program requirement		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate Nur	nber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 11	5	
		•	nber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			49 Unsubsidized Employment		
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			27 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			2 Vocational Education		
			1 Job Skills Training		_
			0 Education Related to Employment		_
			4 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		_
			mber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 37	4.70/	
		Cor	nbined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	.4./%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 71	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 47.3%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and who	om were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexem	pt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upst		aff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activitie	es consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable w	ork activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case toward	ds the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	h federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (b	both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	e period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the data available April 2012 to March 2013.	reporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for N	NYC is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to S by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent child	ren receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplic	ated across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.		

		PERFORMANCE	E AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	OSWEGO					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2012 12	\$2,201,038 (Amount equals \$6,448,985 allocation, plus \$339,662 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$3,562,609 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX_\$885,000 transferred to Title XX_\$80,000 in additional Child Care Transfer \$60,000		10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''	
					\$2,432,073	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	86 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	1		
			34 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from en 11 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from			
			11 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt non	i employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	101 individuals indicated danger			
comply with Donis			50 individuals granted a waiver from program require	nents		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	"Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 4	41		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Act	ivity [c]		
			98 Unsubsidized Employment			
			4 Subsidized Employment			
			28 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			6 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			1 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent 0 Other			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 65			
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e]			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: "04/30/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 127	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 26.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt er	mployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were conclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards t	he federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with f	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bot	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re data available April 2012 to March 2013.	porting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	2 is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicate	ed across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.		

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	OTSEGO					
1. Program name	2. SFY	SFY Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle		••	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2012-13	\$547,109 (Amount equals \$2,411,179 allocation, plus \$10,871 transferred in f Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$237,146 transferred to Title XX, \$140,00 Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Cont	00 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-	10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation	
	-	6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "3/31/2013"	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''	
					\$547,109	
		to serve 81 families	4 project(s) served 343 families			
		to serve 54 families	3 project(s) served 147 families			
		project(s) to serve 6 families	1 project(s) served 17 families			
		ject(s) to serve 6 families	1 project(s) served 7 families			
	Healthy Families/Home Visiting 2 project(s) to serve 101 families Housing Services 1 project(s) to serve 30 families		2 project(s) served 633 families			
			1 project(s) served 69 families 1 project(s) served 16 families			
Other 1 project(s)		blic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 12 families	1 project(s) served 10 families			
other r project(s)		annies	1 project(s) served 152 families			
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	l Requirements	65 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	oyment activities [a]		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	ployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	astia Viola	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	5 individuals indicated danger		_	
Comply with Dom	lestic violei		1 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	'Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 57			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [c]		
			11 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			3 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities			

	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2013"	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14'
	9 Job Search	
	0 Vocational Education	
	0 Job Skills Training	
	1 Education Related to Employment	
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 7	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 22.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 19	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 60.7%	
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activ [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable		
		vards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent v	with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	vards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent w [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities		vards the federal participation rate.
	s (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	vards the federal participation rate.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	PUTNAM				
1. Program name	ram name SFY Appropriation Level Contract/A \$359,904 (Amount equals \$1,584,240 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$944,016 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX \$280 320 transferred to Title XX \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Sector 100 are transfer \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS			10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
Case Management	1 project(s) to serve 51 families	1 project(s) served 283 families		\$359,904
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	1	9 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl 0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from er		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	 individuals indicated danger individuals granted a waiver from program requiremer 	ts	_
50 % Combined "A	All Families	"Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 32		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			2 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			3 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			4 Job Readiness Training Activities 4 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 4		\neg

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14'
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 28.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 9	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 74.8%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexe	mpt employability status.
b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c nclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta		staff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow	vards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the red data available April 2012 to March 2013.	eporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for	NYC is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent chi	ldren receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	

		PERFORMANCE AND AC	COUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	RENSSELAER				
1. Program name	2.3.4.nameSFYAppropriation LevelContract/Award Cycle		••	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$910,000 (Amount equals \$5,412,457 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$3,902,457 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX_\$600,000 transferred to Title XX_\$0 in additional Child Care Transfer. \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential			10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
					\$1,285,722
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho		ividuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			viduals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp		
		2 indiv	duals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
			viduals indicated danger		
Comply with Dome	estic Violer		viduals granted a waiver from program requirem	onts	
		55 mid	viduais granted a warver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined "A	II Families	" Participation Rate Number	r of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,0	88	-
		1 autorpation fauto	r of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ		
			241 Unsubsidized Employment	•	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			21 Work Experience		
			8 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			10 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			5 Job Search		
			26 Vocational Education		
			1 Job Skills Training		
			3 Education Related to Employment		
			11 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
		Numbe	r of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 163		
		Combi	ned Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	0.4%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 303	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 38%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexemp	ot employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta		aff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towar	ds the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the red data available April 2012 to March 2013.	eporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for N	YC is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent childr	en receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.		

		PERFORMANCE AN	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	ROCKLAND				
1. Program name	2. SFY			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$3,335,520 (Amount equals \$10,969,137 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$5,133,617 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX_\$2,000,000 transferred to Title XX_\$500,000 in additional Child Care Transfer \$0 budgeted for			10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
					\$3,341,412
		(s) to serve 100 families	1 project(s) served 480 families		
Enhanced Domesti	c Violence	1 project(s) to serve 14 families	1 project(s) served 13 families		_
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	2 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
1, 0		*	3 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
			7 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	51 individuals indicated danger		-
			30 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 74	7	_
		1	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			142 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			22 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			13 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			27 Job Search		
			4 Vocational Education		
			1 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14'
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 98	-
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 28.3%	_
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 183	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 52.7%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	m were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c		using NYCWAY and does not
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta	ate data - April 2012 to March 2013, NYC data April 2012 to March 2013)	
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	ork activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
	· · · ·	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the r	reporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NY	C is based on monthly average
data available April 2012 to March 2013.		. –
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St	tota and local funds used to support employment convices for households with dependent shildren	receiving essistence summerted

by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds. Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects. Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.

		PERFORMANCE	E AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	ST. LAWRENCE				
1. Program name				5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS		\$905,251 (Amount equals \$5,801,690 allocation, plus \$117,383 transferr towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$761,000 transferred to Title budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to \$	xX, \$400,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$12,928	10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
					\$905,251
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	20 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			3 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em		
			3 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	8 individuals indicated danger		
comply with Dom			4 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	_
50 % Combined "A	Il Families	Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 93	3	-
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Act	ivity [c]	
			90 Unsubsidized Employment		
			5 Subsidized Employment		
			47 Work Experience		
			2 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			27 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			8 Vocational Education		_
			0 Job Skills Training		_
			5 Education Related to Employment		\square
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 87	26.10/	
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e]	20.1%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 163	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 48.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt er	nployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were conclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards t	he federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the red data available April 2012 to March 2013.	eporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	t is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children i	receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.		

		PERFORMANCE AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	SARATOGA				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$440,572 (Amount equals \$4,425,168 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$2,880,096 budgeted towards Child Welfere Other than Title XX_\$1 104 500 transferred to Title XX_\$0 in additional Child Care Transfer \$0 budgeted for Non		10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
					\$453,090
Child Only Service	es 1 projec	t(s) to serve 25 families 1 pro	ect(s) served 0 families		_
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements 52 in	lividuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_
	,	-	viduals mandated to treatment - exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
		0 ind	viduals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Complexed the Dom		2 ind	viduals indicated danger		_
Comply with Dom	lestic violei		ividuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	_
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate Num	er of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 60	6	
		Num	er of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			8 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		_
			3 Work Experience		_
			0 Community Service		_
			0 On the Job Training 2 Job Readiness Training Activities		_
			4 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		-
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		7
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 6	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 12	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 36.1%	
a runnoer of marviduais, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and w	whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexem	pt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that we include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Up [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activ	ere completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC s ipstate data - April 2012 to March 2013, NYC data April 2012 to March 2013) vities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	taff using NYCWAY and does not
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that we include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Up	ere completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC s ipstate data - April 2012 to March 2013, NYC data April 2012 to March 2013) vities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	taff using NYCWAY and does not
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that we include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Up [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activ	ere completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC s (pstate data - April 2012 to March 2013, NYC data April 2012 to March 2013) vities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. e work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towa	taff using NYCWAY and does not
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that we include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Up [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activ [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable	ere completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC s ipstate data - April 2012 to March 2013, NYC data April 2012 to March 2013) vities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. e work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towa with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	taff using NYCWAY and does not
 [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that we include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Up [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activ [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent we determine the period and is not consistent we determine the period and is not consistent. 	ere completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC s (pstate data - April 2012 to March 2013, NYC data April 2012 to March 2013) (rities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. e work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towa (with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year. s (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	taff using NYCWAY and does not

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2012 to March 2013.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE .	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	SCHENECTADY				
1. Program name	e SFY 3. Appropriation Level			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS		\$1,829,807 (Amount equals \$7,312,752 allocation, plus \$1,048,890 transfer towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$905,695 transferred to Title X Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administe	XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for	10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14'
Vouth Supports/Sc	bool Com	nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 10 families	1 project(s) served 74 families		\$1,887,016
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	270 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			16 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	95 individuals indicated danger		
1.7			75 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 22		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			149 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			17 Work Experience		
			4 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities		<u> </u>
			73 Job Search 19 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			19 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 98		
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 1	8.3%	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 240		_
			Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 45	5%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt er	nployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were co include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstat		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	k activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards t	he federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re data available April 2012 to March 2013.	porting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	te and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ed across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.		

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	SCHOHARIE				
1. Program name	2. SFY			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$471,239 (Amount equals \$1,871,781 allocation, plus \$4,840 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$911,249 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$480,570 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$13,563 budgeted for Non-			10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "3/31/2013"	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
					\$468,552
		to serve 32 families	1 project(s) served 66 families		
Youth Supports/Sc	hool Comn	unity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 22 families	1 project(s) served 83 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	27 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
17 0		*	1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	_
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	1 individuals indicated danger		
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	"Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 4		-
		-	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			11 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			0 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			6 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			2 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			1 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			3 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 5	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 19	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 63%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whor	m were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were of include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstal [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities.[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work.	ate data - April 2012 to March 2013, NYC data April 2012 to March 2013) es consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. Fork activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the r data available April 2012 to March 2013.	reporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYO	C is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	tate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported

by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds. Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects. Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	SCHUYLER				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Leve		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2012-13	\$133,815 (Amount equals \$994,923 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SF Welfare Other than Title XX, \$267,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in addition Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: "04/30/14"
					\$134,397
Non_Recurrent Co	sts (Non-Pi	ablic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 18 families	1 project(s) served 7 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	2 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_
comply with brug			1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ace Family Violence Option (FVO)	6 individuals indicated danger		
			5 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	its	_
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 74		_
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			17 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			2 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6.	7.	8.
Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2013"	Spending As Of: "04/30/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 8	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 14.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 21	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 38.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whore	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	mployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c		using NYCWAY and does not
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta	ate data - April 2012 to March 2013, NYC data April 2012 to March 2013)	
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	ork activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards t	the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the r	reporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	C is based on monthly average
data available April 2012 to March 2013.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St	tate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplica	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	SENECA				
1. Program name	2. SFY			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$528,099 (Amount equals \$2,264,410 allocation, plus \$17,332 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$1,184,871 budgeted towards Child Welfers Other than Title XX, \$308,120 transferred to Title XX, \$100,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$70,652 budgeted			10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
	1 10				\$528,099
Youth Supports/Sc	hool Comn	unity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 60 families	1 project(s) served 85 families		-
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	9 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	_
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger		-
1,2			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 747		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi 20 Unsubsidized Employment	ty [c]	
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			3 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities		r
			0 Job Search		
			2 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 14		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 27.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 23	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 45.5%	
	·	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexen	npt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	te data - April 2012 to March 2013, NYC data April 2012 to March 2013)	staff using NYCWAY and does not
d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towa	rds the federal participation rate.
e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re data available April 2012 to March 2013.	eporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for	NYC is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent child	Iren receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	STEUBEN				
1. Program name	2. 3. 4. Me SFY Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle		5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	2012 12	\$1,294,039 (Amount equals \$5,277,479 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$783,440 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in a Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Con	dditional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-	10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
					\$1,447,393
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	210 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em		
			8 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	50 individuals indicated danger		
17			26 individuals granted a waiver from program require	ments	
50 % Combined "A	Il Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 3		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Act	ivity [c]	_
			81 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment		
			27 Work Experience		
			5 Community Service		_
			0 On the Job Training		
			6 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			27 Job Search		
			19 Vocational Education		\neg
			2 Job Skills Training		
			5 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			5 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 56		
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e]	22.1%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 131	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 52%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt en	nployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were conclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	k activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the	he federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re data available April 2012 to March 2013.	porting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	te and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children r	receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ed across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.		

		PERFORMANCE AND	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	SUFFOLK					
1. Program name			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	\$12,461,316 (Amount equals \$40,156,025 allocation, plus \$462,316 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$22,712,025 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX \$5.445,000 transferred to Title XX \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer \$0 budgeted for			10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''	
					\$13,488,443	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho		92 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	1		
			9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp 8 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from			
			8 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	employment activities [a]		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO) 233 individuals indicated danger						
			38 individuals granted a waiver from program requirer	nents		
50 % Combined "A	Il Families	" Participation Rate N	umber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 6,3	47		
		Ν	umber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]		
			913 Unsubsidized Employment			
			12 Subsidized Employment			
			265 Work Experience			
			5 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			16 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			87 Job Search			
			83 Vocational Education			
			24 Job Skills Training			
			8 Education Related to Employment			
			8 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			
			umber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 866		\dashv	
		C	ombined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 3	3.1%		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 1,263	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 48.3%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt er	nployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were conclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate assessments are completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY.)		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards t	he federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the red data available April 2012 to March 2013.	eporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	c is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children i	receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.		

		PERFORMANCE AND	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	SULLIVAN					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$989,889 (Amount equals \$3,112,267 allocation, plus \$85,990 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$1,599,441 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX_\$608,927 transferred to Title XX_\$0 in additional Child Care Transfer \$0 budgeted for Non-			10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "3/31/2013"	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''	
					\$989,976	
			project(s) served 0 families			
			project(s) served 0 families			
Transitional Benef	its/Work Si	pports 1 project(s) to serve 20 families 1	project(s) served 8 families		_	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements 24	25 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		-	
		11	0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	ployment activities [a]		
		1	individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO) 28	28 individuals indicated danger		_	
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents		
50 % Combined "/	All Families	' Participation Rate N	umber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 158	3	_	
		-	umber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	—	
			69 Unsubsidized Employment			
			1 Subsidized Employment			
			18 Work Experience			
			2 Community Service		-1	
			0 On the Job Training		-1	
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search		-1	
			2 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			1 Education Related to Employment			
			3 Secondary School or Equivalent			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 44	1
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 13.3%	1
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 92	1
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 28%	-
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	m were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	employability status.
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. ork activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards	the federal participation rate.
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be		
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the		
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the redata available April 2012 to March 2013. Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St		
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	tate and local lunds used to support employment services for nousenoids with dependent children	receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplica	ated across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	and actors are monants during the year of among projects.	
Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.		

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	TIOGA					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$622,071 (Amount equals \$2,114,083 allocation, plus \$76,042 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$1,191,404 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$211,655 transferred to Title XX, \$164,995 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-			10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''	
					\$616,902	
		to serve 55 families ablic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 200 families	2 project(s) served 231 families 1 project(s) served 1,252 families			
		to serve 72 families	1 project(s) served 1,252 families			
		nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 15 families	1 project(s) served 152 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	bl Requirements	32 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo			
			2 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	ployment activities [a]	_	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ace Family Violence Option (FVO)	19 individuals indicated danger			
			18 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts		
			Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 98		_	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [a]		
			14 Unsubsidized Employment			
			2 Subsidized Employment		_	
			12 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training		—	
			4 Job Readiness Training Activities		\neg	
			11 Job Search			
			0 Vocational Education		\neg	
			0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment		\neg	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
	3 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 16	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 23.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 28	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 42%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2012 to March 2013, NYC data April 2012 to March 2013)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2012 to March 2013.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE AND A	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	TOMPKINS					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$325,000 (Amount equals \$3,538,105 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$2,951,320 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX_\$0 transferred to Title XX_\$261 785 in additional Child Care Transfer \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential			10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''	
					\$830,546	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh		individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	4	_	
			individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp ndividuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from er		_	
		/ 11	idividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]	_	
Comply with Dom	antia Vialar	and Family Violance Option (EVO)	ndividuals indicated danger		-	
Comply with Dom	estic violei		ndividuals granted a waiver from program requiremer	its	_	
			ner realing Station a station nom program requiremen			
50 % Combined "/	All Families	" Participation Rate Nu	mber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 186	;	-	
			mber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ			
			67 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			8 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			1 On the Job Training			
			15 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			6 Vocational Education		_	
			0 Job Skills Training		_	
			0 Education Related to Employment		_	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent 0 Other			
		NT	0 Other mber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 45			
			mber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 45 mbined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20) 70/		
			momeu ranicipation Rate (TAINF & SIN MOE) [e] 20	J. 1 70		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 89	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 41%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt er	mployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were conclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards t	he federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re data available April 2012 to March 2013.	eporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	c is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.		

		PERFORMANCE ANI	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	ULSTER					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$1,369,348 (Amount equals \$6,853,777 allocation, plus \$98,604 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$4,433,033 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX_\$1 150,000 transferred to Title XX_\$0 in additional Child Care Transfer \$0 budgeted for			10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "3/31/2013"	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''	
					\$1,423,242	
			2 project(s) served 268 families			
Enhanced Domesti	c Violence	1 project(s) to serve 65 families	1 project(s) served 0 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	2 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_	
1, 6			9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]			
			17 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	13 individuals indicated danger			
			8 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	' Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 403	8	-	
		-	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]		
			141 Unsubsidized Employment			
			2 Subsidized Employment			
			19 Work Experience			
			2 Community Service			
			1 On the Job Training			
			9 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			6 Job Search			
			9 Vocational Education			
			4 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 66	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 10.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 170	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 27.2%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	mployability status.
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan. ork activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards t	the federal participation rate.
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the redata available April 2012 to March 2013.	eporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	C is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects. Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.

		PERFORMANCE AND	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	WARREN					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2012-13	\$452,254 (Amount equals \$1,893,639 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 11 Welfare Other than Title XX, \$200,344 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Chil Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''	
					\$578,169	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh		individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems ndividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo			
			ndividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empt		_	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO) 4 i	ndividuals indicated danger		_	
comply with Dom		tee Funning (Fromee Option (Frome	individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate Nu	Imber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 13		-	
			umber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ity [c]		
			6 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			2 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			4 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			4 Job Search			
			0 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			1 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent 0 Other			
			umber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 4) /0/	_	
			ombined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18	5.4%		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 14	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 59.7%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexer	npt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta		staff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo	rk activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case tow	ards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the red data available April 2012 to March 2013.	eporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for	NYC is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to St by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent chil	dren receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplica	ted across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.		

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX WASHINGTON				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$643,598 (Amount equals \$1,907,740 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$789,138 budgeted towards Child Walfare Other than Title XX, \$455,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$20,004 budgeted for Non-			10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
					\$810,784
) to serve 55 families	2 project(s) served 81 families		
¥		to serve 200 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		
Housing Services	project(s)	to serve 315 families	1 project(s) served 480 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	68 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		—
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	27 individuals indicated danger		
			15 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 87		-
- ,			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	_
			12 Unsubsidized Employment	• • •	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			5 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			13 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			2 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			2 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 10	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 27	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 56.1%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2012 to March 2013, NYC data April 2012 to March 2013)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2012 to March 2013.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.

		PERFORMANCE	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	WAYNE					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation L		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2012 12	 \$1,147,952 (Amount equals \$3,435,384 allocation, plus \$648,724 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$2,599,079 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$337,077 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts). 		10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	ues As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''	
					\$772,957	
		inal 1 project(s) to serve 94 families	1 project(s) served 0 families			
) to serve 132 families (s) to serve 140 families	4 project(s) served 34 families			
		to serve 124 families	3 project(s) served 158 families	1 project(s) served 138 families		
		1 project(s) to serve 16 families	1 project(s) served 0 families			
		blic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 50 families	1 project(s) served 48 families		_	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	19 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			16 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from en	ployment activities [a]		
			3 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	25 individuals indicated danger		_	
			12 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	nents		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 59)	-	
		*	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]			
			36 Unsubsidized Employment			
			3 Subsidized Employment			
			11 Work Experience			
			1 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training		\neg	
			11 Job Readiness Training Activities		\neg	
			19 Job Search		\neg	
			3 Vocational Education			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
	0 Job Skills Training	
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	4 Secondary School or Equivalent	-
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 29	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 68	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 45.6%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2012 to March 2013, NYC data April 2012 to March 2013)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2012 to March 2013.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.

		PERFORMANCE AND	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	WESTCHESTER				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	FS\$7,311,170 (Amount equals \$39,656,870 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$26,437,451 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$5,908,249 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
					\$10,391,626
Comply with Drug	mply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		21 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			6 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em 9 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from		_
		2	9 Individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
			73 individuals indicated danger		
Lomply with Dom	estic violer		47 individuals granted a waiver from program requirer	nents	_
			*/ individuals graned a warver nom program requirer	lients	
0 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	umber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 2,2	50	_
	in r unines	r al del patron reale	umber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ		
			458 Unsubsidized Employment	• • •	
			4 Subsidized Employment		
			256 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			92 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			150 Job Search		
			77 Vocational Education		
			8 Job Skills Training		
			28 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			umber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 429	0.40/	
			ombined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	0.4%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14'
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 954	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 45.4%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and who	om were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonex	empt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Ups		C staff using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activiti		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable v	work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case to	wards the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	th federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities ((both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during th	e period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the	e reporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for	or NYC is based on monthly average
data available April 2012 to March 2013.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent ch	ildren receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplic Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	cated across the months during the year or among projects.	

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX WYOMING				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Lev		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2012-13	 ^{4526,777} (Amount equals \$1,777,431 allocation, plus \$51,073 transferred in from SFY 11-12 FFFS, less \$947,970 budgeted towards ⁻¹³ Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$160,200 transferred to Title XX, \$25,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$168,557 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts). 		10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
					\$526,777
		1 project(s) to serve 150 families	1 project(s) served 200 families		
		pports 2 project(s) to serve 140 families	2 project(s) served 1,126 families 1 project(s) served 71 families		
Youth Supports/SC	nool Comn	unity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 32 families	1 project(s) served /1 families		
Comply with Drug	omply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		21 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	18 individuals indicated danger		_
			5 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	' Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 53		-
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	_
			9 Unsubsidized Employment	-	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			12 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			16 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			2 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6.	7	8.
Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2013"	Spending As Of: "04/30/14"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 8	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 29	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 69.3%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt er	mployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were considered assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities.)	e data - April 2012 to March 2013, NYC data April 2012 to March 2013)	using NYCWAY and does not
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work		he federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with f	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bot	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the rep data available April 2012 to March 2013.	porting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	c is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	te and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicate Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ed across the months during the year or among projects.	

Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.

		PERFORMA	NCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	YATES				
1. Program name	2. SFY		3. riation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2012-13	\$164,059 (Amount equals \$965,718 allocation, plus \$8,111 transf Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$233,573 transferred to Title Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Admir	XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-	10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14''
Casa Managamant	1 project(s) to serve 21 families	1 project(s) served 67 families		\$117,983
Case Management	1 project(s) to serve 21 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	12 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	12 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger		
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 5)	-
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Act	ivity [c]	
			7 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			2 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training 7 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			6 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			1 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6.	7.	8.
Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/2013"	Spending As Of: "04/30/14
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 5	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 13	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 51.9%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whon	n were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexem	pt employability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c	completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC s	taff using NYCWAY and does not
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta		
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities		
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wo		rds the federal participation rate
[u] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an addit who is participating in countable wo	The activities for a sufficient number of nours to meet reactar standard and count the case toward	ius me rederar participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (be	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the red data available April 2012 to March 2013.	eporting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for N	NYC is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent child	ren receiving assistance supported
by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANE Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicat	ted across the months during the year or among projects	

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects. Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds. Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
			NYC-HRA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2012-13	\$259,546,119 (Amount equals \$550,277,947 allocation, plus \$1 transferred in fr towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$128,288,313 transferred to Title X Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered C	X, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for	10/1/11-3/31/15 *	Allocation
		6.	7.		8.
		Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "3/31/2013"	Spending As Of: "04/30/14"
	T 70 T				\$323,979,743
		1 project(s) to serve 67 families ablic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 2,100 families	1 project(s) served 1,796 families		
		rve 2,536,755 families	1 project(s) served 1,327 families 1 project(s) served 2,770,799 families		
		unity Collaboration 2 project(s) to serve 1,452 families	2 project(s) served 15,345 families		-
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	6,248 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			578 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from emp	ployment activities [a]	
			467 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dome	estic Violen	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	4,837 individuals indicated danger		
1.2		v i s	3,189 individuals granted a waiver from program require	ments	
50 % Combined "A	Il Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 170,	,715	_
50 /0 Combined 1	in runnies		Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi		-
			22,966 Unsubsidized Employment		
			644 Subsidized Employment		_
			2,888 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			3.708 Job Search		_
			,		
			1,692 Vocational Education 1,135 Job Skills Training		
			336 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
L				7	_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 18,81]
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 33	.8%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''3/31/2013''	8. Spending As Of: ''04/30/14
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 28,855	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 51.9%	
	·	
a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom v	were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt emp	ployability status.
b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were connclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate		sing NYCWAY and does not
c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities c	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work	activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards th	e federal participation rate.
e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with fe	ederal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both	h countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the pe	eriod divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
		. I I
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reprivailable April 2012 to March 2013.	sorting period of April 2012 to March 2013. Participation and engagement related data for NYC 1	s based on monthly average data
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State rederal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	e and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children rec	ceiving assistance supported by
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated	d across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
Note 5: This PAM contains final expenditures and outcome data for the 12-13 FFFS Plan Year.		