		PERFORMANCE AN	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
		STA	ATE SUMMARY			
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. 4. Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle			5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$369,839,707 (Amount equals \$964,000,000 allocation, plus \$431,001 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$7,444,277 transferred in from SEX 12.13 EEES, loss \$402,002,734 budgeted towards Child Walfare Other than Title XX \$101,552,283 transferred to Title				Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"	
		1.17. (\$341,550,224	
		ninal 5 project(s) to serve 448 families	5 project(s) served 815 families			
		(s) to serve 7,095 families	21 project(s) served 8,751 families		_	
		t(s) to serve 396 families	4 project(s) served 1,251 families		_	
	1 0	s) to serve 1,614 families	23 project(s) served 2,331 families		_	
		7 project(s) to serve 1,448 families	7 project(s) served 2,849 families		_	
		2 project(s) to serve 200 families roject(s) to serve 492 families	2 project(s) served 935 families 2 project(s) served 656 families		- 	
		ing 14 project(s) to serve 973 families	14 project(s) served 0.50 families		_	
		s) to serve 4,364 families	17 project(s) served 2,321 families 17 project(s) served 5,592 families		_	
		ublic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 11 project(s) to serve 9,802 families	11 project(s) served 9,078 families			
		erve 2,538,138 families	3 project(s) served 2,540,813 families			
Other 1 project(s) t			1 project(s) served 2,5-40,013 families			
1 3 (g 2 project(s) to serve 145 families	2 project(s) served 619 families			
		s) to serve 1,325 families	10 project(s) served 1,504 families			
		upports 8 project(s) to serve 10,750 families	8 project(s) served 14,317 families			
		nunity Collaboration 20 project(s) to serve 10,974 families	20 project(s) served 25,781 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	14,766 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			7,700 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from e	mployment activities [a]		
			4,938 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	m employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dome	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	7,593 individuals indicated danger			
			4,858 individuals granted a waiver from program require	ments		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 204	,851		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [c]		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	33,511 Unsubsidized Employment	
	774 Subsidized Employment	1
	6,248 Work Experience	
	77 Community Service	1
	13 On the Job Training	1
	4,493 Job Readiness Training Activities	1
	1,018 Job Search	1
	2,487 Vocational Education	
	2,309 Job Skills Training	
	631 Education Related to Employment]
	111 Secondary School or Equivalent	1
	29 Other	1
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 27,269]
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 30.2%]
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 44,465	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 49.3%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMA	ANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ROS SUMMARY		
1. Program name	2. SFY	2. 3. 4.			
FFFS	\$119,834,305 (Amount equals \$413,722,053 allocation, plus \$431,001 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$7,444,277 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$231,008,502 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$63,263,970 transferred to Title XX, \$6,823,287 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$417,896 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$249,371 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
					\$95,001,137
		minal 5 project(s) to serve 448 families	5 project(s) served 815 families		
		t(s) to serve 7,095 families	21 project(s) served 8,751 families		
		et(s) to serve 396 families	4 project(s) served 1,251 families		
		s) to serve 1,614 families	23 project(s) served 2,331 families		
		e 6 project(s) to serve 1,336 families	6 project(s) served 709 families		
		2 project(s) to serve 200 families		2 project(s) served 935 families	
		roject(s) to serve 492 families		2 project(s) served 656 families	
Healthy Families/Home Visiting 14 project(s) to serve 973 families			14 project(s) served 2,521 families		
		s) to serve 4,364 families	17 project(s) served 5,592 families		
		Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 10 project(s) to serve 7,90			
	•	erve 500 families	2 project(s) served 678 families		
Other 1 project(s)			1 project(s) served 905 families		
		g 2 project(s) to serve 145 families	2 project(s) served 619 families		
		(s) to serve 1,325 families	10 project(s) served 1,504 families		
		upports 8 project(s) to serve 10,750 families munity Collaboration 18 project(s) to serve 2,413 families	8 project(s) served 14,317 families		
1 outil Supports/S	CHOOL COILL	mulity Conaboration 18 project(s) to serve 2,413 families	18 project(s) served 1,955 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	nol Requirements	8,107 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			3,082 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from	employment activities [a]	
			1,868 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt fro	m employment activities [a]	
Comply with Don	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	3,008 individuals indicated danger		_
compry with Bon	iestie viole	nee I minity Violence Option (I VO)	1,810 individuals granted a waiver from program requir	ements	
			Number of Employ (A) (C) 14 15126	(15	
50 % Combined ".	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 35,		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	nty [c]	
			10,001 Unsubsidized Employment		_
			189 Subsidized Employment		
			3,731 Work Experience		
			77 Community Service		
 I			13 On the Job Training		\neg

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	1,086 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	1,018 Job Search	
	677 Vocational Education	
	303 Job Skills Training	
	604 Education Related to Employment	
	111 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	29 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 8,160	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 23.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 15,361	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 44%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX ALBANY

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Level	Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS		\$3,973,873 (Amount equals \$13,786,052 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$10,715 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$7,065,688 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2,607,835 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$149,371 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation

6.	7.	8.
Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
		\$2,182,710
Housing Services 1 project(s) to serve 255 families	1 project(s) served 2,023 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	282 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	168 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	167 individuals indicated danger	
	2 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,245	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	352 Unsubsidized Employment	
	0 Subsidized Employment	
	111 Work Experience	
	0 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	45 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	3 Job Search	
	19 Vocational Education	
	17 Job Skills Training	
	20 Education Related to Employment	
	3 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 238	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 22.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 490	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 45.5%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

6.	7.	8.
Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were coinclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstat		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	k activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards t	the federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with f	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1. Participation and approximant related data for root of state districts is based on monthly average for the ro	proceeding provided of April 2012 to March 2014. Portionation and approximant related data for NVC	Tis based on monthly average data
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the re available April 2013 to March 2014.	porting period of April 2013 to Match 2014. Farticipation and engagement related data for NTC	is based on monthly average data
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Sta	ate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children	receiving assistance supported by
federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.		
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated to the control of the contro	ed across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		
* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.		

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
			ALLEGANY			
1. Program name	2. SFY				5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$517,613 (Amount equals \$3,256,955 allocation, plus \$227 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$135,297 transferred in from SFY			10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: ''11/03/14	
					\$414,641	
		ninal 1 project(s) to serve 22 families	1 project(s) served 3 families			
Child Well Being	1 project(s)	to serve 305 families	1 project(s) served 297 families			
Samuely with Days	and Alaah	ol Doguiromento	92 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_	
omply with Drug	g and Alcon	or requirements	2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	ovment activities [a]		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment— nonexempt from en			
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	2 individuals indicated danger			
		, constitution of the contract	2 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts		
0 % Combined "A	All Familie	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 160)		
		•	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			44 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			14 Work Experience			
			1 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			1 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			6 Job Search			
			5 Vocational Education		_	
			45 Job Skills Training		_	
			0 Education Related to Employment		_	
			2 Secondary School or Equivalent 0 Other		_	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 23	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 14.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 82	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 51.6%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		BF	ROOME		
1.	2.	3.		4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Level \$3,312,384 (Amount equals \$8,363,149 allocation, plus \$24,258 transferred in from S	ummer Youth plus \$0 transferred in from SEV	Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2013-14	12-13 FFFS, less \$3,703,353 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1 Child Care Transfer, \$25,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$2 child Care Transfer, \$25,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$2 child Care Transfer, \$25,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$2 child Care Transfer, \$25,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$2 child Care Transfer, \$25,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$2 child Care Transfer, \$25,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$2 child Care Transfer, \$25,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$2 child Care Transfer, \$25,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$2 child Care Transfer, \$25,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$2 child Care Transfer, \$25,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$2 child Care Transfer, \$25,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$2 child Care Transfer, \$25,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$2 child Care Transfer, \$25,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$2 child Care Transfer, \$25,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$2 child Care Transfer, \$25,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$2 child Care Transfer, \$25,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$2 child Care Transfer, \$25,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$2 child Care Transfer, \$25,000 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$2 child Care Transfer Achieve	1,346,670 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of • ''3/31/14''	8. Spending As Of: ''11/03/14'
		Terrormance/recountability Goals	Terror mance recountaismey Success		\$3,253,479
3 1 MD	1 4 1 1	18 : 4	individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		\$3,233,479
Comply with Drug	g and Alcon	1····	individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from en	nnlovment activities [a]	
			individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from a		
			1	1 7 13	
Comply with Dom	nestic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	individuals indicated danger		
			individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	ents	
60 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate Nur	mber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,2	61	
		Nur	mber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			308 Unsubsidized Employment		
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			119 Work Experience		
			4 Community Service 4 On the Job Training		
			22 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			32 Job Search		
			24 Vocational Education		
			3 Job Skills Training		\dashv
			40 Education Related to Employment		
			2 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
		Nur	mber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 217		

Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19.9%

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 492	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 45%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

			CATTARAUGUS		
1.				4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation L		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2013-14	\$1,710,921 (Amount equals \$4,294,921 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$1,300,000 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$964,000 transferred to Title XX, \$320,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
A 1 1. T '1 '	T .: /C :	. 11	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		\$1,146,941
		ninal 1 project(s) to serve 82 families s) to serve 40 families	1 project(s) served 100 families 1 project(s) served 93 families		
		to serve 40 families	3 project(s) served 160 families		_
		1 project(s) to serve 50 families	1 project(s) served 88 families		
Financial Education	on (FE) 1 p	roject(s) to serve 392 families	1 project(s) served 656 families		
		ing 3 project(s) to serve 137 families	3 project(s) served 176 families		
Youth Supports/So	chool Com	nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 82 families	1 project(s) served 90 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	33 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			10 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	ployment activities [a]	
			10 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	10 individuals indicated danger		
			11 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 314	4	
		1	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	rity [c]	
			25 Unsubsidized Employment		
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			7 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			2 On the Job Training		
			5 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			1 Job Search		
					

1 Vocational Education

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	1 Job Skills Training	
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	1 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 24	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 25.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 36	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 38.9%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

Fig. 2013-14 151,240,773 (Annount equals \$3,884,973 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$331,276 transferred in from SFY 2013-14 21-218 FFS, less \$2,250,000 budgeted towns Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$252,000 transferred to Title XX, \$480,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Donestic Violence and \$0 transferred in State Administered 10/1/2-3/31/16 *			PERFORMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
Program aum SPY				CAYUGA			
PHS 2013-14 2013-15 2013-16	1. Program name		Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle				5. Procurement Methodology
Performance/Accountability Goals Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "331/14" Spending As Of: "11 S541,426 ass Management 1 project(s) to serve 40 families hild Well Being 1 project(s) to serve 40 families hild Well Being 1 project(s) to serve 60 families 1 project(s) served 93 families 2 project(s) served 93 families 3 project(s) served 93 families 4 project(s) served 93 families 5 project(s) served 93 families 6 project(s) served 94 families 7 project(s) served 95 families 8 project(s) served 95 families 9 project(s) served 95 families 1 project(s) served 97 families 1 project(s) served 97 families 1 project(s) served 97 families 1 project(s) served 619 families 2 project(s) served 619 families 2 project(s) served 619 families 2 project(s) served 619 families 3 project(s) served 619 families 4 project(s) served 619 families 5 project(s) served 619 families 6 project(s)	FFFS	\$1,240,773 (Amount equals \$3,884,497 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$331,276 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$2,250,000 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$325,000 transferred to Title XX, \$400,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered			10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation	
ase Management 1 project(s) to serve 40 families 1 project(s) served 292 families 1 project(s) served 93 families 1 project(s) to serve 60 families 1 project(s) served 93 families 1 project(s) served 97 families 2 project(s) served			**		nes As Of : "3/31/14"	Spending As Of: "11/03/14"	
hild Well Being project(s) to serve 60 families 1 project(s) served 93 families ealthy Families/Home Visiting 1 project(s) to serve 80 families 1 project(s) served 98 families outsing Services 1 project(s) to serve 80 families 1 project(s) served 71 families on Recurrent Costs (Non-Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 30 families 1 project(s) served 176 families ongram Support and Training 1 project(s) to serve 130 families 1 project(s) served 197 families 2 project(s) served 197 families 2 project(s) served 197 families 2 project(s) served 197 families 3 project(s) served 197 families 4 project(s) served 197 families 5 project(s) served 197 fa						\$541,426	
lealthy Families/Home Visiting 1 project(s) to serve 20 families Ousing Services 1 project(s) to serve 30 families 1 project(s) served 76 families 1 project(s) served 144 families 1 project(s) served 54 families 1 project(s) served 54 families 1 project(s) served 54 families 27 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 27 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 26 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from employment activities [a] 26 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from employment activities [a] 27 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from employment activities [a] 28 individuals indicated danger 29 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements 29 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements 20 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 141 Number of TANF & SN MOB Adults Engaged By Activity [c] 65 Unsubsidized Employment 28 Work Experience 29 Work Experience 10 Community Service 10 On the Job Training 10 Job Readiness Training Activities				1 3 (7			
lousing Services 1 project(s) to serve 30 families n. Recurrent Costs (Non-Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 30 families n. Topicett(s) served 70 families 1 project(s) served 70 families SI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 20 families SI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 20 families 1 project(s) served 194 families SI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 20 families 1 project(s) served 144 families Outh Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 50 families 1 project(s) served 54 families Omply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements 54 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 27 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 26 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from employment activities [a] Omply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO) 26 individuals indicated danger 25 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements 0 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c] 65 Unsubsidized Employment 23 Work Experience 0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training 0 Job Readiness Training Activities							
lon Recurrent Costs (Non-Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 30 families 1 project(s) served 619 families SI Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 20 families 1 project(s) served 619 families 1 project(s) serv							
In project(s) to serve 130 families If Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 20 families If Maximization 1 project(s) to serve 20 families In project(s) served 144 families Outh Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 50 families In project(s) served 54 families In project(s) served 54 families Outh Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 50 families In project(s) served 54 families In project(s) served 54 families Outh Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 50 families In project(s) served 54 families In project(s) served 54 families Outh Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 50 families In project(s) served 619 families In project(s) served 54 families In pr							
Fouth Supports/School Community Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 50 families 1 project(s) served 54 families 54 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 27 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 26 individuals mandated to treatment—nonexempt from employment activities [a] comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO) 26 individuals indicated danger 25 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 141 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c] 65 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment 23 Work Experience 0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training 0 Job Readiness Training Activities				1 project(s) served 619 families			
comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements 54 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 27 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 26 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from employment activities [a] comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO) 26 individuals indicated danger 25 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements 0 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 141 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c] 65 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment 23 Work Experience 0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training 0 Job Readiness Training Activities		·	,				
27 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a] 26 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from employment activities [a] 27 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from employment activities [a] 28 individuals indicated danger 29 individuals indicated danger 20 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements 20 individuals indicated danger 25 individuals indicated danger 26 individuals indicated danger 27 individuals indicated danger 28 individuals indicated danger 28 individuals indicated danger 29 individuals indicated danger 20 individuals indicated danger 20 individuals indicated danger 20 individuals indicated danger 26 individuals indicated danger 26 individuals indicated danger 26 individuals indicated danger 28 individuals indicated danger 29 individuals indicated danger 20 in	Youth Supports/So	chool Com	munity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 50 families	1 project(s) served 54 families		_	
26 individuals mandated to treatment— nonexempt from employment activities [a] comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO) 26 individuals indicated danger 25 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements 0 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 141 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c] 65 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment 23 Work Experience 0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training 0 Job Readiness Training Activities	Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	nol Requirements	54 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
omply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO) 26 individuals indicated danger 25 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements 0 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 141 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c] 65 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment 23 Work Experience 0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training 0 Job Readiness Training Activities			-	27 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	ployment activities [a]		
25 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements 0 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 141 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c] 65 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment 23 Work Experience 0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training 0 Job Readiness Training Activities				26 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from 6	employment activities [a]		
25 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements 0 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 141 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c] 65 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment 23 Work Experience 0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training 0 Job Readiness Training Activities	- 1 M.D	37: 1		26 individuals indicated danger			
0 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 141 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c] 65 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment 23 Work Experience 0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training 0 Job Readiness Training Activities	Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	•			
Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c] 65 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment 23 Work Experience 0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training 0 Job Readiness Training Activities							
65 Unsubsidized Employment 0 Subsidized Employment 23 Work Experience 0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training 0 Job Readiness Training Activities	50 % Combined ".	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 141			
0 Subsidized Employment 23 Work Experience 0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training 0 Job Readiness Training Activities					ity [c]		
23 Work Experience 0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training 0 Job Readiness Training Activities				2 2			
0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training 0 Job Readiness Training Activities				0 Subsidized Employment			
0 On the Job Training 0 Job Readiness Training Activities				23 Work Experience			
0 Job Readiness Training Activities				0 Community Service			
-				0 On the Job Training			
0 Job Search				0 Job Readiness Training Activities			
V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V				0 Job Search			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	1 Vocational Education	
	0 Job Skills Training	
	10 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 43	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 82	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 36.7%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMANCE AND A	CCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
	CHAUTAUQUA						
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	2013-14	\$2,159,141 (Amount equals \$5,402,577 allocation, plus \$18,340 transferred in from Sur 12-13 FFFS, less \$2,904,776 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$35 Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer.	7,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"		
					\$2,159,141		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements 85 inc	lividuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems				
13		•	dividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]			
		17 inc	lividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	employment activities [a]]		
Comply with Don	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO) 20 inc	lividuals indicated danger		_		
		14 in	dividuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents			
50 % Combined ".	All Families	s" Participation Rate Numb	per of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,2	18	-		
		-	per of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	rity [c]			
			262 Unsubsidized Employment				
			0 Subsidized Employment				
			121 Work Experience				
			2 Community Service				
			0 On the Job Training				
			47 Job Readiness Training Activities				
			69 Job Search				
			10 Vocational Education				
			1 Job Skills Training				
			0 Education Related to Employment				
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent				
_			0 Other				

Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 181 Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19.2%

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 434	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 46.2%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

			D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
1	1 2	•	CHEMUNG	4	5
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
110gram name	51.1	\$1,258,523 (Amount equals \$4,617,181 allocation, plus \$7,809 transferred in fro		contract/iward cycle	Trocurement Wethodology
FFFS	2013-14	13 FFFS, less \$2,412,599 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and		10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation
		6.	7.		8.
		Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "3/31/14"	Spending As Of: ''11/03/14'
					\$1,105,883
		ninal 1 project(s) to serve 230 families	1 project(s) served 407 families		
inancial Educatio	on (FE) 1 pr	oject(s) to serve 100 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		_
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	83 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		•	16 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	oloyment activities [a]	
			16 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	15 individuals indicated danger		
			15 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 127		_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ity [c]	
			49 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			15 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			26 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			21 Job Search		
			2 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			7 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		

11 Other

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 35	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 75	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 45.2%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			CHENANGO		
1. Program name			Y Appropriation Level		5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2013-14	\$752,746 (Amount equals \$2,358,885 allocation, plus \$6,321 transferred in f 12-13 FFFS, less \$1,486,578 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Tit Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence	le XX, \$214,858 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
					\$490,183
		to serve 80 families	1 project(s) served 303 families		
		Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 50 families) to serve 24 families	1 project(s) served 18 families 1 project(s) served 19 families		
331 Waxiiiiizatioii	1 project(s) to serve 24 families	1 project(s) served 19 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements 43 individu		43 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			7 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
			11 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	7 individuals indicated danger		
r y		The second section of the second seco	11 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	
50 0/ Chin-d"	A 11 E:1:	- Posticiontina Data	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b]		
30 % Combined 7	All Fallille	s" Participation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [c]	
			26 Unsubsidized Employment	ty [c]	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			15 Work Experience		
			2 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			15 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			1 Job Search		
			2 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			1 Education Related to Employment		
			5 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 17	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 50	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 54.5%	

- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
			CLINTON			
1. Program name	2. SFY	SFY Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle		SFY Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle		5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$1,298,599 (Amount equals \$3,386,094 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$95,015 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$1,502,994 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$679,516 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: ''11/03/14'	
					\$991,181	
		to serve 20 families	1 project(s) served 26 families		_	
outh Supports/Se	cnool Comi	nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 108 families	1 project(s) served 155 families		_	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	62 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
ompry with Drug	5 4114 1 114 011	2.2.0.0.0.0.0.0.0	9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]		
			7 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e			
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	11 individuals indicated danger			
30mpij	100110	ter alling violence epiden (x v e)	9 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts		
in 0/ Cambinad "	All Esmilia	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 21	6		
0 % Combined 1	All Fallille	rancipation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ		_	
			47 Unsubsidized Employment	nty [e]		
			3 Subsidized Employment		- 	
			13 Work Experience		\dashv	
			1 Community Service		\dashv	
			0 On the Job Training		\dashv	
			35 Job Readiness Training Activities		\dashv	
			14 Job Search		\dashv	
			1 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			5 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 39	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 93	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 51.1%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		I EM ORMAN	NCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
			COLUMBIA			
1.	2.		3.	4.	5.	
Program name	SFY		ation Level	Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2013-14	FFFS, less \$1,712,333 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than 7	uals \$3,330,333 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 12-13 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$725,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child geted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		Allocation	
		6.	7.		8.	
		Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of: "3/31/14"	Spending As Of: ''11/03/14'	
					\$800,041	
		s) to serve 92 families	2 project(s) served 383 families			
		to serve 55 families	1 project(s) served 218 families			
Housing Services	1 project(s)	to serve 10 families	1 project(s) served 175 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	7 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	7 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
17			11 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	11 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
			7 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]		
			10 individuals indicated danger			
Comply with Dom	with Domestic Violence Turning Violence Option (1 Vo)		C		<u> </u>	
	_		6 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts		
50 % Combined "	Δll Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 27	3		
30 % Combined 1	THI T diffille.	1 articipation Rule	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ		- 	
			50 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			2 Work Experience			
			2 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			4 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			16 Job Search			
			1 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			2 Education Related to Employment			

0 Secondary School or Equivalent

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 30	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 70	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 42.8%	

- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMANCI	E AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			CORTLAND		
1.	2.	3.		4.	5.
Program name	SFY	SFY Appropriation Level		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$196,500 (Amount equals \$2,404,022 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$1,656,522 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$551,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	mag Ag Of a 112/21/14/11	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
		Fertormance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As OI: 3/31/14	
					\$196,500
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	86 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			38 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em		
			16 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	omply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		37 individuals indicated danger		
comply with Boni	iestie viole	neer annity violence option (1 vo)	14 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	nents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 97		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			61 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			7 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			11 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			3 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			1 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 36		
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	20.5%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 76	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 43.4%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		I EM ORWANC	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX DELAWARE	•	
1.	2.	3.	DELAWAKE	4.	5,
Program name	Appropriation Level \$300,000 (Amount equals \$1,584,197 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 12-13 EFES, less \$669,528 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$614,669 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology	
FFFS			10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outco	omes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
					\$300,000
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	10 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			16 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from er	mployment activities [a]	
			5 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	16 individuals indicated danger		
			5 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 2	1	
		F	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Act	ivity [c]	
			22 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			7 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 15		
			C 1' 1D ''' D ((TANE 0 CNIMOE) []	2 < 0.04	i

Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 26.9%

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 29	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 49.8%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

	PERFORMANCE	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		DUTCHESS		
1. 2. SFY Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle SFY 2013-14 SFFS, less \$5,083,982 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2,154,566 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			5. Procurement Methodology	
			10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation
	6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outco	omes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
				\$1,466,921
and Alcoh	ol Requirements			
		-		
		55 Individuals mandated to treatment— nonexempt from	n employment activities [a]	_
omply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		53 individuals indicated danger		
		54 individuals granted a waiver from program requires	ments	
All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 2		
tii i aiiiiie.	Tarterpation Rate			
		62 Unsubsidized Employment		
		2 Subsidized Employment		
		19 Work Experience		
		0 Community Service		
		0 On the Job Training		
		13 Job Readiness Training Activities		
		16 Job Search		
		0 Vocational Education		
		-		
				_
				_
				_
(and Alcoh	2. SFY Appropriation I 2013-14 2013-1	SPY STORY Appropriation Level \$1,466,921 (Amount equals \$8,695,437 allocation, plus \$2,136 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$7,896 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$5,083,982 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2,154,566 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts). Contracts	3.

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 99	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 32.5%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
			ERIE			
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. 4. Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle			5. Cycle Procurement Methodolog	
FFFS	2013-14	\$17,708,500 (Amount equals \$47,198,841 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$22,922,343 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other that \$2,100,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Administered Contracts).	n Title XX, \$7,624,068 transferred to Title XX,	10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: ''11/03/14'	
					\$8,270,802	
		s) to serve 225 families nunity Collaboration 2 project(s) to serve 1,330 families	1 project(s) served 146 families 2 project(s) served 0 families			
outil Supports/S	choor Com	numry Conadoration 2 project(s) to serve 1,330 families	2 project(s) served o families			
omply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	352 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
	1,3		819 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]			
			427 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	n employment activities [a]		
omply with Don	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	809 individuals indicated danger			
			427 individuals granted a waiver from program require	ments		
0 % Combined "	All Familie	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 6,0			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti 1,375 Unsubsidized Employment	vity [c]		
			125 Subsidized Employment			
			1,330 Work Experience			
			2 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			14 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			21 Vocational Education			
			89 Job Skills Training			
			53 Education Related to Employment			
			23 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 1,847	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 40.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 2,549	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 55.4%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMANCE AN	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ESSEX		
1.				4. Contract/Award Cycle	5.
Program name	SFY		Appropriation Level		Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$316,436 (Amount equals \$1,663,870 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$663,434 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$684,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation	
		6. D. 6.	7.		8.
		Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outco	omes As Of : "3/31/14"	Spending As Of: ''11/03/14'
					\$316,436
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	31 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			4 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em		
			5 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	ence Family Violence Option (FVO) 4 individuals indicated danger			
			5 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	_
50 % Combined "	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 7	6	
70 70 Combined 1	7 thi T diffillion	, Turnerpation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Act		
			6 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			3 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			1 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		_
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 4		

Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 13.8%

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 9	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 33.8%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

			AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX FRANKLIN		
1. Program name	1. 2. 3.			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2013-14	\$1,044,080 (Amount equals \$2,606,195 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$1,136,115 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$426,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child		10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
					\$822,044
		minal 1 project(s) to serve 20 families	1 project(s) served 123 families		
		1 project(s) to serve 50 families	1 project(s) served 368 families		
		1 project(s) to serve 20 families	1 project(s) served 186 families		
		ing 2 project(s) to serve 43 families	2 project(s) served 213 families		
		to serve 30 families ublic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 10 families	1 project(s) served 25 families 1 project(s) served 4 families		
		g 1 project(s) to serve 15 families	1 project(s) served 4 families 1 project(s) served 0 families		
		upports 2 project(s) to serve 224 families	2 project(s) served o families		
		munity Collaboration 2 project(s) to serve 85 families	2 project(s) served 442 families 2 project(s) served 445 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	nol Requirements	29 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
	,		0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e		
Cli4h D	t:- X7:-1	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger		
Compry with Dom	iestic viole	nce ranniy violence Option (rvO)	0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
50 ov G 11 111	A 11 E 212		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 9		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	2 7		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ 39 Unsubsidized Employment	rity [c]	
			1 Subsidized Employment		\dashv
			10 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities		
					<u></u>

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	0 Job Search	
	0 Vocational Education	
	0 Job Skills Training	
	3 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 29	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 54	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 37%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMA	ANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			FULTON		
1. Program name	2. SFY		3. priation Level nsferred in from Summer Youth plus \$0 transferred in from SEY 12-	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$577,000 (Amount equals \$3,049,321 allocation, plus \$6,541 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$2,161,500 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$317,362 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
					\$577,000
Housing Services	1 project(s)	to serve 432 families	1 project(s) served 86 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	37 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		-
		-	41 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	oloyment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	omply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO) 38 individuals indicated danger				
			1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
50 % Combined ".	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 33		_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	rity [c]	
			24 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			14 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training		
			11 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			8 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		\dashv
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			2 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 29	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 40.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 45	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 63.6%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMANCE	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			GENESEE		
1. Program name	2. SFY	2. SFY Appropriation Level \$306,948 (Amount equals \$2,143,237 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFES. less \$1,471,789 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX. \$364,500 transferred to Title XX. \$0 in additional Child		4. Contract/Award Cycle 10/1/12-3/31/16 *	5. Procurement Methodology Allocation
1115	2013-14	Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$6) transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/12-5/51/10	Anocanon
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	nes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
					\$267,096
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	43 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	_
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	2 individuals indicated danger		
			2 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 87		_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			28 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			6 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			10 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			6 Job Search		
			2 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 21		
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	22.4%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 42	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 46.2%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	GREENE					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Leve		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2013-14	\$666,000 (Amount equals \$2,507,587 allocation, plus \$5,417 transferred in fro 13 FFFS, less \$1,377,783 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title X2 additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Vi Contracts).	X, \$367,600 transferred to Title XX, \$101,621 in	10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"	
					\$336,658	
		project(s) to serve 180 families ng 1 project(s) to serve 50 families	1 project(s) served 749 families 1 project(s) served 77 families			
rearring 1 annines/1	TOTIC VISIT	ig 1 project(s) to serve 50 families	1 project(s) served 77 families			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	154 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
		•	2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]		
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	1 individuals indicated danger			
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts		
			N. J. Ch. J. L. L. Ch. L.			
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 113			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ 52 Unsubsidized Employment	rity [c]		
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			11 Work Experience		\dashv	
			6 Community Service		\dashv	
			0 On the Job Training		- 	
			10 Job Readiness Training Activities		-	
			24 Job Search		- 	
			0 Vocational Education		- 	
			0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 37	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 24%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 82	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 53.2%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMANC	E AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			HAMILTON		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2013-14	\$136,310 (Amount equals \$195,044 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from FFFS, less \$107,667 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title X Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 to \$100.000 to \$100.0000 to	XX, \$0 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care	10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
					\$10,804
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	0 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	vimont activities [a]	_
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empters of individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from empters of individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from empters of individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empters of individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empters of individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empters of individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empters of individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empters of individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from empters of individuals mandated from empters of indiv		_
				projiment deut (mes [d]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger		
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ts	
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1		_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [c]	
			1 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			0 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		_
			0 Job Skills Training		_
			0 Education Related to Employment		_
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent 0 Other		\dashv
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 1		\dashv
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 26	1%	-

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 1	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 34.8%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMAN	NCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	HERKIMER					
1. Program name	2. SFY	Appropri	3. iation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2013-14	\$762,385 (Amount equals \$2,443,446 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$1,424,061 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$257,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	mes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"	
					\$374,655	
		to serve 82 families) to serve 34 families	1 project(s) served 279 families 1 project(s) served 170 families		_	
351 Waxiiiization	i i project(s	to serve 34 families	1 project(s) served 170 families			
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	80 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			21 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]		
			17 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	20 individuals indicated danger			
1 3		1 \ /	16 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	nents		
			N. J. CE. J. A. C. J.	2		
50 % Combined ".	All Familie	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 12			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti 31 Unsubsidized Employment	vity [c]	- 	
			0 Subsidized Employment		_	
			11 Work Experience		_	
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			17 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			2 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			6 Education Related to Employment			
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 25	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 59	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 47.3%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMAN	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			JEFFERSON		
1.	2.	3		4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriat		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2013-14	\$1,128,062 (Amount equals \$5,230,762 allocation, plus \$2,810 trans 13 FFFS, less \$2,287,150 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other tha Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Vio	n Title XX. \$1.818.360 transferred to Title XX. \$0 in additional	10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
					\$1,128,062
Child Well Being	1 project(s)	to serve 40 families	1 project(s) served 190 families		
) to serve 30 families	1 project(s) served 26 families		
Youth Supports/S	chool Com	munity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 17 families	1 project(s) served 30 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	od Paguiroments	92 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_
Compry with Drug	g and Alcon	or Requirements	17 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	ovment activities [a]	
			13 individuals mandated to treatment—nonexempt from en		
Comply with Don	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	17 individuals indicated danger		
			12 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
50 % Combined "	All Familia	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 181		
30 % Combined .	All Fallinic	s 1 atterpation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activit	v [c]	
			48 Unsubsidized Employment	7 [*]	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			30 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			21 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			1 Education Related to Employment		
			7 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 37	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 92	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 52.4%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			LEWIS		
1.	2.	3.		4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Lev		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$459,765 (Amount equals \$1,337,997 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$107,734 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$791,716 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$194,250 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	os As Of • "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: ''11/03/14'
		1 errormance/Accountability Goals	1 error mance/Accountability Outcome	as As O1 . 3/31/14	- 0
					\$274,985
		s) to serve 300 families	1 project(s) served 358 families		
		ing 1 project(s) to serve 36 families	1 project(s) served 15 families		
		to serve 48 families	2 project(s) served 75 families 1 project(s) served 1 families		
Non_Recurrent Costs (Non-Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 1 families Nutrition (N) 1 project(s) to serve 0 families			1 project(s) served 1 families 1 project(s) served 156 families		
realition (14) 1 pro	Jeet(3) to 3	erve o rammes	1 project(s) served 150 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	23 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			19 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
			5 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	aployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	19 individuals indicated danger		
			5 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 7		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [c]	
			3 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			1 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 3	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 15.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 7	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 43.5%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)

- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMANCE AND A	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
	LIVINGSTON						
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	\$985,000 (Amount equals \$2,815,057 allocation, plus \$9,300 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$108,597 transferred in from SEX 12-13 EEES, less \$1,053,483 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$532,000 transferred to Title XX, \$357,471 in			10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"		
					\$880,259		
			project(s) served 0 families		<u> </u>		
			project(s) served 0 families project(s) served 0 families		 		
			project(s) served 0 families				
	1 3 、						
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements 140	0 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems				
		20	individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from emp	ployment activities [a]			
		2 i	ndividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]			
Comply with Dome	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	individuals indicated danger				
		2 i	individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	nts			
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate Nu	mber of Employment Assessments Completed [b]		-		
			mber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]			
			67 Unsubsidized Employment				
			0 Subsidized Employment				
			14 Work Experience				
			0 Community Service				
			0 On the Job Training				
			5 Job Readiness Training Activities				
			0 Job Search				
			2 Vocational Education				
			0 Job Skills Training				
			4 Education Related to Employment				

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	4 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 28	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 10%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 90	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 31.8%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

			O ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX MADISON		
1.	2.	3.	VIADISON	4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Level		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$652,425 (Amount equals \$2,216,590 allocation, plus \$1 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 12-13 EEES, less \$1,291,634 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$253,032 transferred to Title XX, \$19,500 in additional		10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcor	nes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: ''11/03/14
					\$652,425
Iousing Services	1 project(s)	to serve 179 families	1 project(s) served 194 families		
omply with Drug	g and Alcoho	ol Requirements	78 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
			5 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	5 individuals indicated danger		
			5 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 31	4	-
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]	
			21 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			9 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities		_
			9 Job Search 1 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		-

0 Other

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 17	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 14.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 33	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 27.8%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		1 2212 0 211/11 (02	E AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX MONROE		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3, Appropriation	Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$8,652,888 (Amount equals \$28,961,477 allocation, plus \$69,742 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$11 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$18,177,425 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,998,681 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$102,236 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$100,000 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
					\$8,652,888
		to serve 1,380 families	1 project(s) served 1,240 families		
	1 0	to serve 800 families	1 project(s) served 907 families		
outh Supports/Se	enool Comr	nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 120 families	1 project(s) served 80 families		_
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	1,701 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
1 3		•	249 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]	
			88 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	245 individuals indicated danger		
1 3		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	84 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
O (/ Combined "	All Esmilies	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 2,13	32	
% Combined	All Fallilles	rancipation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi		
			1,311 Unsubsidized Employment	-) [-]	
			21 Subsidized Employment		
			501 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			1 On the Job Training		
			170 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			210 Vocational Education		
			26 Job Skills Training		
			133 Education Related to Employment		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 888	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 14.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 2,118	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 34.6%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMAN	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			MONTGOMERY		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriat \$474,772 (Amount equals \$1,835,140 allocation, plus \$5,522 transfer	red in from Summer Youth, plus \$15,087 transferred in from SFY	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2013-14	12-13 FFFS, less \$1,082,342 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Viol		10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
					\$430,111
Case Management	t 1 project(s) to serve 1,920 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	26 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
	5	······································	7 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]	
			4 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Don	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	8 individuals indicated danger		
compry wan bon	legate viole	neo r anni, y rotonee option (1 + o)	5 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
50 % Combined ".	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 137	7	
		1	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			75 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			9 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			49 Job Search		_
			0 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training		_
			4 Education Related to Employment		\dashv
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		_
			0 Other		-
					ſ

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 39	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 16.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 124	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 52.7%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			NASSAU		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Lev		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$6,220,784 (Amount equals \$23,532,682 allocation, plus \$50,396 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$12,800,000 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$3,862,294 transferred to Title XX, \$700,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
- I :4 D	1 41 1	1.0	918 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		\$6,220,784
Comply with Drug	g and Alcon	or kequirements	95 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]	-
			44 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
			·		
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	92 individuals indicated danger		
			40 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,1	46	_
		1	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			667 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			185 Work Experience		
			11 Community Service		
			1 On the Job Training		
			20 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			169 Job Search		
			62 Vocational Education 6 Job Skills Training		
			31 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		-
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 641		
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	9.9%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 985	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 46%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014 , NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		N	IAGARA		
1.	2.	3.		4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Level		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$1,996,703 (Amount equals \$8,778,589 allocation, plus \$17,394 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$5,067,280 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,732,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
					\$1,381,900
Child Well Being	1 project(s)	to serve 180 families 1	project(s) served 153 families		
7 1 4 5	1 41 1	1D : /	75 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcon	11	I individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	lovment activities [a]	
			2 individuals mandated to treatment—nonexempt from e		
			F		
Comply with Don	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	7 individuals indicated danger		
1 2			l individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	
50 % Combined ".	All Familie	s" Participation Rate N	umber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 633		
		N	umber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [c]	
			267 Unsubsidized Employment		
			2 Subsidized Employment		
			62 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			47 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			23 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training		
			32 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
		N	umber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 192		\dashv
			ombined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20	0.1%	
			1 CEANE CONTROLE 10 CE 100		

Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 400

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 41.8%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ONEIDA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$3,308,381 (Amount equals \$12,185,964 allocation, plus \$15,985 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$478,118 transferred in from SEY 12-13 FEES, less \$6,925,331 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX \$2,441,544 transferred to Title XX \$0 in			10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
					\$1,681,907
		to serve 212 families	1 project(s) served 115 families		
Transitional Benef	fits/Work Su	apports 1 project(s) to serve 3,609 families	1 project(s) served 3,023 families		_
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	238 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
Compily with Brug	5 4114 1 114 011	2. reducements	29 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]	
			12 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	astic Viola	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	27 individuals indicated danger		_
Compry with Dom	iestic violei	ice Paining Violence Option (PVO)	13 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,5	30	
		•	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			475 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			144 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			39 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			1 Job Search		
			9 Vocational Education		
			1 Job Skills Training		
			89 Education Related to Employment		
			6 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 335		
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	1.2%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 641	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 40.6%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMA	NCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ONONDAGA		
1. Program name	2. SFY		3. riation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$8,620,603 (Amount equals \$21,640,331 allocation, plus \$48,265 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$10,026,365 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,942,409 transferred to Title XX, \$1,099,219 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
					\$8,620,603
		to serve 210 families	1 project(s) served 102 families		
) to serve 48 families upports 1 project(s) to serve 6,582 families	1 project(s) served 43 families 1 project(s) served 7,078 families		
Transitional Benef	iits/ WOIK 5	upports 1 project(s) to serve 0,362 families	1 project(s) served 7,076 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	689 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
1,7		•	89 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	ployment activities [a]	
			80 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from 6	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	83 individuals indicated danger		
			74 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,9	94	_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			708 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			131 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			2 On the Job Training		
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			87 Job Search		
			55 Vocational Education		
			69 Job Skills Training		
			62 Education Related to Employment		
			6 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 415	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 957	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 40.7%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		I EM OMMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	1		ONTARIO		
1.	2. SFY	3.		4.	5.
Program name	SFI	Appropriation Level \$1.521.284 (Amount equals \$3.286.819 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from \$	Summer Youth plus \$1 transferred in from SEV 12-13	Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$1,521,284 (Amount equals \$3,286,819 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$1 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$1,280,536 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$485,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation	
		6.	7.		8.
		Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcor	nes As Of : "3/31/14"	Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
					\$1,301,719
lealthy Families/I	Home Visiti	ng 1 project(s) to serve 4 families	1 project(s) served 118 families		
			72 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_
omply with Drug	g and Alcoh	of Requirements	44 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ularmant activities [a]	
			15 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
			13 individuals mandated to treatment—nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	_
Comply with Dom	nestic Violei	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	43 individuals indicated danger		
			13 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
0 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 19	7	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	rity [c]	
			75 Unsubsidized Employment		
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			8 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			6 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			15 Job Search		
			6 Vocational Education		_
			3 Job Skills Training		_
			12 Education Related to Employment		_
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		

0 Other

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 41	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 103	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 49.7%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMAN	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ORANGE		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3 Annuania		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
Program name	Ame SFY Appropriation Level Contract \$5,610,905 (Amount equals \$12,837,295 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$47,454 transferred in from SFY		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2013-14	12-13 FFFS, less \$5,198,332 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2,075,512 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	og Ag Of a 112/21/14!!	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
		reriormance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As O1: 5/51/14	
					\$2,748,317
		s) to serve 2,000 families	2 project(s) served 2,764 families		
		to serve 890 families nunity Collaboration 2 project(s) to serve 60 families	2 project(s) served 1,026 families 2 project(s) served 269 families		
Other 1 project(s)			1 project(s) served 209 families		
outer i project(s)	10 501 10 00		1 project(s) served you rannings		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	35 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			87 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	ployment activities [a]	
			72 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	83 individuals indicated danger		
			70 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,13	31	_
		1	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Active	ity [c]	
			463 Unsubsidized Employment		
			3 Subsidized Employment		
			79 Work Experience		
			11 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			88 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			2 Job Search		
			16 Vocational Education		
			1 Job Skills Training		
			14 Education Related to Employment		\dashv

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 316	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 29%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 578	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 52.9%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

			ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX RLEANS		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$255,130 (Amount equals \$1,706,269 allocation, plus \$4,676 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$2,497 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$1,208,312 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$250,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
					\$247,590
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	1	individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			ndividuals mandated to treatment - exempt from empl		
		3 i	ndividuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from er	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	ndividuals indicated danger		
		3 i	individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate Nu	umber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 150		-
			umber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			54 Unsubsidized Employment		
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			26 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			5 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
		Nu	imber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 41		

Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 23%

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 77	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 43.5%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			OSWEGO		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Lev		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$2,586,186 (Amount equals \$6,448,985 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$2,134,196 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$4,131,995 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$885,000 transferred to Title XX, \$980,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
G1:11.0.1.G	1 .	(C) 474.6 W	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		\$900,067
		t(s) to serve 174 families	1 project(s) served 658 families 1 project(s) served 130 families		
		Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 40 families	1 project(s) served 130 families 1 project(s) served 0 families		
		upports 1 project(s) to serve 155 families	1 project(s) served 0 families 1 project(s) served 2,474 families		
		munity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 275 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	nol Requirements	138 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			68 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]	
			56 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	66 individuals indicated danger		
			55 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	nents	
50 % Combined ".	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 47	2	_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			127 Unsubsidized Employment		
			5 Subsidized Employment		
			27 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			14 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			2 Vocational Education		
			1 Job Skills Training		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	5 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 77	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 13.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 164	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 28.5%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)

- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			OTSEGO		
1.	2.	3.		4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Lev		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$545,249 (Amount equals \$2,411,179 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$1,462,121 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$232,194 transferred to Title XX, \$171,615 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
					\$511,897
Case Management	4 project(s) to serve 75 families	4 project(s) served 281 families		
Child Well Being	4 project(s)	to serve 124 families	4 project(s) served 200 families		
Healthy Families/I	Home Visit	ing 2 project(s) to serve 93 families	2 project(s) served 669 families		
		to serve 30 families	1 project(s) served 78 families		
Non_Recurrent Co	osts (Non-P	Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 12 families	1 project(s) served 16 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	nol Requirements	50 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	2 individuals indicated danger		
		¥	0 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	
50 % Combined "	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 71		
20 70 Combined 1		1 underpution retire	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	-
			12 Unsubsidized Employment	ity [0]	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			3 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			7 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		_
			0 Job Skills Training		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 8	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 24.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 18	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 56.1%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)

- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMANCE AND A	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	PUTNAM					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$333,406 (Amount equals \$1,584,240 allocation, plus \$2,577 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 12-			10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"	
Case Management	t 1 project(s	s) to serve 51 families 1 pr	roject(s) served 195 families		\$330,950	
Comply with Drug		ol Requirements 5 in 2 in	adividuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems adividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empadividuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from e			
Comply with Don	nestic Viole	First (= 1.5)	dividuals indicated danger ndividuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts		
50 % Combined ".	All Families		mber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 32 mber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Active 2 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment 3 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training 6 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			5 Job Search			
			0 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training		\exists	
			1 Education Related to Employment			
			Secondary School or Equivalent Other	•		
		Nui	mber of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 3		+	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 11	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 71%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMANCI	E AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			RENSSELAER		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2013-14	\$963,500 (Amount equals \$5,412,457 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in fi FFFS, less \$3,848,957 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and	XX, \$600,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
					\$963,500
Comply with Drug	g and Alcol	ol Requirements	108 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			68 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em		_
			53 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Don	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	68 individuals indicated danger		
			54 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined "	All Esmilia	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 98:	5	
50 % Combined	All Fallille	s Participation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ		_
			275 Unsubsidized Employment	ny [e]	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			21 Work Experience		
			8 Community Service		
			2 On the Job Training		
			7 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			4 Job Search		
			23 Vocational Education		
			3 Job Skills Training		
			3 Education Related to Employment		
			12 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 188		
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	1.9%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 340	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 39.5%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMA	NCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ROCKLAND		
1. Program name	2. SFY		3. iation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2013-14	\$3,092,086 (Amount equals \$10,969,137 allocation, plus \$18,190 SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$5,920,236 budgeted towards Child Welfard additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential I Contracts).		10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
					\$2,717,324
Child Only Service	es 1 projec	t(s) to serve 72 families	1 project(s) served 413 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	0 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
	,	·······························	41 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
			33 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	40 individuals indicated danger		
			33 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	
0 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 729		_
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [c]	
			142 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			30 Work Experience		
			2 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			18 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			27 Job Search		
			4 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training		-
			0 Education Related to Employment		_
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		_
			0 Other		\dashv

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 104	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 31.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 186	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 56.6%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

			ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		Sī	T. LAWRENCE		
1.	2.	3.		4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Level \$883,347 (Amount equals \$5,801,690 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Su		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2013-14	13 FFFS, less \$4,209,108 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX Child Care Transfer, \$4,972 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence	, \$710,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation
		6. Poutous and Assemble Sitter Cools	7.	A OF - 112/21/14/1	8. Same No. 4 of 141/02/14
		Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcome	mes As OI: "3/31/14"	Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
					\$672,610
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	74 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			7 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	2 2	
			7 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from 6	employment activities [a]	
			7 individuals indicated danger		
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	C		
			7 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 76	53	
		1	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]	
			105 Unsubsidized Employment		
			4 Subsidized Employment		
			53 Work Experience		
			3 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			27 Job Readiness Training Activities		
	·		4 Job Search		
			8 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			5 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 97		

Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 26.8%

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 185	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 51.4%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMAN	ICE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	_	,	SARATOGA		
1.	2. SFY		3. ation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
Program name	SFY	\$491,959 (Amount equals \$4,425,168 allocation, plus \$11,757 trans		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2013-14	2013-14 (Althount equals \$4,425,108 and Catton), plus \$11,737 transferred in Holli Statistical in Holli Statistica		10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
		Terrormance/recountability Goals	1 tris mance recountaring outcome		\$329,683
		10.0 111	1000		\$329,083
Child Only Service	es 1 projec	ct(s) to serve 10 families	1 project(s) served 23 families		_
Comply with Drug	and Alcol	nol Requirements	27 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
Compry with Drug	5 una 7 neor	To requiencits	2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	ence Family Violence Option (FVO)	2 individuals indicated danger		
			2 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts	
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	es" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 83		-
		1	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [c]	
			11 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			2 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			1 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			4 Job Search		
	_		0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 7	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 16.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 16	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 37.8%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

			SCHENECTADY		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3 Appropria		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$1,355,137 (Amount equals \$7,312,752 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$132,989 transferred in from SFY		10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14
					\$1,355,137
outh Supports/So	chool Comn	nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 10 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	305 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
	,	11.	94 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		\neg
			67 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	87 individuals indicated danger		
			61 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	ents	
0 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 594		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi		
			175 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			12 Work Experience		
			2 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			71 Job Search		
			19 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			26 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		_
			0 Other		\dashv
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 115	1.60/	\dashv
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17 Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 269	7.0%	\dashv
			Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 41 ^o	2/	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"		
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.				
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2013 to March 2014 , NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)				
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.			
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.				
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.				
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.				
g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.				

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

* Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
			SCHOHARIE			
1. Program name	2. SFY				5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2013-14				Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"	
					\$365,659	
		to serve 10 families	1 project(s) served 72 families			
outh Supports/Sc	chool Comi	nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 21 families	1 project(s) served 98 families		_	
omply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	27 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
omply with Diag	, 4114 1 114 011	2.1.04.01.01.00	1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]		
			1 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	mployment activities [a]		
comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	1 individuals indicated danger			
			1 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	nts		
0 % Combined "A	All Familie	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 30			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			19 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			0 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			8 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			0 Vocational Education		_	
			3 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent 9 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 10	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 22.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 29	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 64.1%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

			AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX SCHUYLER		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Lev		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$184,928 (Amount equals \$994,923 allocation, plus \$882 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$572,877 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$238,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcor	mes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
					\$110,867
Ion_Recurrent Co	osts (Non-P	ublic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 18 families	1 project(s) served 3 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Paguiraments	5 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
compry with Drug	, and Alcon	or requirements	4 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
			4 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	4 individuals indicated danger		
			4 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	ents	_
0 % Combined "/	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 8		_
o 70 Combined 7	tii i aiiiiie.	1 articipation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			20 Unsubsidized Employment	, ,	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			1 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			1 Job Readiness Training Activities		_
			0 Job Search 0 Vocational Education		_
			0 Job Skills Training		-
			0 Education Related to Employment		_
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		

0 Other

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 8	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 12.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 21	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 32.9%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
			SENECA			
1. Program name	2. SFY				5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$532,797 (Amount equals \$2,264,410 allocation, plus \$215 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 12-13			10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"	
Vouth Cumorts/S	ahaal Cam	nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 60 families	1 project(s) served 68 families		\$532,797	
Touth Supports/S	chool Com	mainty Conadoration 1 project(s) to serve of families	1 project(s) served on families			
Comply with Dru	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	12 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp			
			0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]		
Comply with Don	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger			
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts		
50 % Combined "	'All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 82		-	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]		
			23 Unsubsidized Employment			
			1 Subsidized Employment			
			2 Work Experience		_	
			0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training		_	
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities		\dashv	
			0 Job Search		\dashv	
			1 Vocational Education		- 	
			0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 15			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 22%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 26	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 39%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		I ERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX STEUBEN		
1.	2.	3.		4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Leve		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2013-14	\$1,632,341 (Amount equals \$5,277,479 allocation, plus \$11,780 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY		10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
					\$1,200,974
		s) to serve 2,050 families	1 project(s) served 2,513 families		
		ing 1 project(s) to serve 400 families	1 project(s) served 236 families		
		ublic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 7,200 families	1 project(s) served 6,943 families		
Nutrition (N) 1 pro			1 project(s) served 522 families		_
Youth Supports/Sc	nooi Comn	nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 120 families	1 project(s) served 371 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	225 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			68 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]	
			37 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dome	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	68 individuals indicated danger		
			38 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 31	8	_
		•	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			98 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			26 Work Experience		
			3 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			10 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			38 Job Search		
			10 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	7 Education Related to Employment	
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	7 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 61	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 149	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 53.4%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMANCE	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			SUFFOLK		
1.	2. 3.		4.	5.	
Program name	Marian Appropriation Level \$12,168,000 (Amount equals \$40,156,025 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 12-		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2013-14	13 FFFS, less \$22,625,525 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Ti Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence	itle XX, \$5,362,500 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
					\$12,168,000
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	450 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			329 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from en		
			180 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Cl		and Family Vislands Ortion (FVO)	322 individuals indicated danger		
Comply with Dom	iestic viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	171 individuals granted a waiver from program requirer	nents	_
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 5,4	05	
		•	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	rity [c]	
			922 Unsubsidized Employment		
			11 Subsidized Employment		
			208 Work Experience		
			4 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			26 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			67 Job Search		
			73 Vocational Education		
			23 Job Skills Training		
			5 Education Related to Employment		
			5 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 833		
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 3	3.2%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 1,213	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 48.3%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		1 DRI ORWI	ANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX SULLIVAN		
1. Program name	2. SFY		3. priation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$1,096,420 (Amount equals \$3,112,267 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 12-13 EEES, less \$1,435,847 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX \$580,000 transferred to Title XX \$0 in additional Child		10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	T X7:-:4:	120 f	1 1010 f		\$785,383
		ing 1 project(s) to serve 130 families to serve 250 families	1 project(s) served 919 families 1 project(s) served 86 families		
) to serve 50 families	1 project(s) served 34 families		
		upports 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		
Comply with Drug	Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		83 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			35 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp		
			27 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from a	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	34 individuals indicated danger		
			26 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 106	<u> </u>	
		•	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	rity [c]	
			61 Unsubsidized Employment		
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			11 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			6 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			1 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			1 Education Related to Employment		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 36	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 13.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 78	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 29%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			TIOGA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Lev		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$711,915 (Amount equals \$2,114,083 allocation, plus \$4,665 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$8,127 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$999,827 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$215,133 transferred to Title XX, \$200,000 in			10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
					\$311,225
) to serve 70 families	2 project(s) served 0 families		
		Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 495 families (s) to serve 72 families	1 project(s) served 1,497 families		
		munity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 30 families	1 project(s) served 46 families 1 project(s) served 180 families		_
Touth Supports/5	choor com	mulity Conaboration 1 project(s) to serve 30 families	1 project(s) served 100 families		
Comply with Drug	Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		19 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			11 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]	
			11 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Don	nestic Viole	ence Family Violence Option (FVO)	11 individuals indicated danger		\dashv
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined ".	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 13	8	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	rity [c]	
			19 Unsubsidized Employment		
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			12 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			12 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
					<u> </u>

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	4 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 17	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 23.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 30	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 41.2%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			TOMPKINS		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Lev		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2013-14	\$300,667 (Amount equals \$3,538,105 allocation, plus \$16,191 transferred in 13 FFFS, less \$2,978,569 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title 2 Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence	XX, \$0 transferred to Title XX, \$275,060 in additional	10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
					\$300,667
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	66 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	ovment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empty		
				inprogramme wear visites [w]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger		
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 141		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi		
			66 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			7 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			1 On the Job Training		
			12 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			6 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 45		
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20	0.8%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 84	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 38.6%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ULSTER		
1. Program name	2. SFY			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$1,222,852 (Amount equals \$6,853,777 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$4,480,925 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,150,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
					\$1,173,858
		s) to serve 150 families 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 1,342 families 1 project(s) served 0 families		
amanced Domest	iic violence	1 project(s) to serve o families	1 project(s) served o families		
omply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	9 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		-	8 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]	
			2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	
omply with Don	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	8 individuals indicated danger		
			2 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
0.07 G 1: 1"	A11 E '11'	"D diri di D d	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 239		
0 % Combined ".	All Familie	" Participation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ		
			162 Unsubsidized Employment	ny [e]	
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			13 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			1 On the Job Training		
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			2 Job Search		
			10 Vocational Education		
			3 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 76	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 11.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 181	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 27.7%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		I ENTONIVIANCE AND	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX WARREN		
1.	2.	3.	WARREN	4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Level		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$589,874 (Amount equals \$1,893,639 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 12-13 EEES, less \$1,103,465 budgeted towards Child Walfare Other than Title XX, \$200,300 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child		10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	mes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: ''11/03/14'
					\$558,010
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	20 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
1 7 - 6		1	5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	ployment activities [a]	
			3 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from 6	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Violei	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	4 individuals indicated danger		
			3 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 5		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]	
			9 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			1 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			8 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			3 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 7		

Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19%

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 19	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 53.6%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMA	NCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			WASHINGTON		
1. Program name	2. SFY	Appropri	3. iation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2013-14	\$655,304 (Amount equals \$1,907,740 allocation, plus \$0 transferred FFFS, less \$775,932 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than T Care Transfer, \$20,004 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic V	Citle XX, \$456,500 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
					\$655,304
		to serve 300 families to serve 480 families	2 project(s) served 8 families 1 project(s) served 385 families		
lousing Bervices	1 project(s)	to serve 400 families	1 project(s) served 303 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	71 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			35 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]	
			20 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	32 individuals indicated danger		
			17 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
			N. J. CR.		
0 % Combined ".	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 94		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Active 17 Unsubsidized Employment	vity [c]	
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			7 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			19 Job Readiness Training Activities		\dashv
			2 Job Search		-
			0 Vocational Education		-
			0 Job Skills Training		
			1 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 13	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 25.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 36	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 70.2%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			WAYNE		
1.	2.	3.		4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Le		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$1,642,178 (Amount equals \$3,435,384 allocation, plus \$7,454 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$374,997 transferred in from SEY 12.13 FEES, less \$1,838,580 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX \$337,077 transferred to Title XX \$0 in		10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
					\$1,316,822
Adult or Juvenile J	Justice/Crin	ninal 1 project(s) to serve 94 families	1 project(s) served 182 families		
Case Management	4 project(s) to serve 122 families	4 project(s) served 321 families		
		et(s) to serve 140 families	1 project(s) served 157 families		
		to serve 124 families	3 project(s) served 228 families		
		e 1 project(s) to serve 16 families	1 project(s) served 82 families		
Non_Recurrent Co	osts (Non-P	Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 50 families	1 project(s) served 40 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	nol Requirements	14 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			21 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	ployment activities [a]	
			5 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	21 individuals indicated danger		
			5 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	nts	
50 % Combined "	Δll Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 48		-
50 % Comonica P	in i aiiiille	a i underpution rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			34 Unsubsidized Employment	/ [*]	
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			11 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			1 Job Readiness Training Activities		\neg
			23 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	0 Job Skills Training	
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	3 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 31	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 58	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 38.4%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ESTCHESTER		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$7,063,113 (Amount equals \$39,656,870 allocation, plus \$51,943 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$26,737,451 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$5,908,249 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
					\$7,063,113
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	335 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 181 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from er	mularmant activities [a]	
			269 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from en	* *	_
			205 individuals mandaced to treatment monexempt from	in emproyment detrities [u]	_
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	175 individuals indicated danger		
		· · · · ·	258 individuals granted a waiver from program requires	ments	
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 3,2	238	-
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			524 Unsubsidized Employment		
			2 Subsidized Employment		
			218 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			188 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			191 Job Search		
			39 Vocational Education		
			7 Job Skills Training		
			22 Education Related to Employment 0 Secondary School or Equivalent		_
			0 Other		\dashv
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 480		_
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2		\dashv

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 1,054	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 49.4%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014 , NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

		PERFORMANCE AND	O ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		V	VYOMING		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2013-14	\$598,600 (Amount equals \$1,777,431 allocation, plus \$4,249 transferred in from \$12-13 FFFS, less \$839,858 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, additional Child Care Transfer, \$155,372 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Contracts).	\$166,000 transferred to Title XX, \$25,000 in	10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
					\$310,197
		1 project(s) to serve 0 families apports 2 project(s) to serve 180 families	1 project(s) served 171 families 2 project(s) served 1,330 families		
		nunity Collaboration 1 project(s) to serve 45 families	1 project(s) served 1,550 families		
- control of PF			- project(a) actives and actives		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	29 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			10 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	10 individuals indicated danger		
			1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
50 % Combined "/	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 44		-
		1	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			11 Unsubsidized Employment	-	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			7 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			17 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			4 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 8	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 32	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 73.1%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

	YATES		
		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
12-13 FFFS, less \$612,951 budgeted towards Child Welfare Oth	ner than Title XX, \$235,500 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Allocation
6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
			\$121,001
roject(s) to serve 30 families	1 project(s) served 63 families		
d Alcohol Requirements	8 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
Tabolo Tequiono	0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	oyment activities [a]	
	0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	
c Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts	
Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 23		
and a supplied of the supplied		ity [c]	
	6 Unsubsidized Employment		
	0 Subsidized Employment		
	1 Work Experience		
	0 Community Service		
	<u> </u>		
	9 Job Readiness Training Activities		
	7 Job Search		
	-		_
	1		_
S 011	\$242,435 (Amount equals \$965,718 allocation, plus \$1,446 tran 12-13 FFFS, less \$612,951 budgeted towards Child Welfare Oth Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic 6.	Appropriation Level S424,435 (Amount equals \$965,718 allocation, plus \$1,446 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$123,722 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$612,951 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$235,500 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non- Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts). 6. Performance/Accountability Goals 7. Performance/Accountability Outcom 7. Performance/Accountability Outcom 7. Performance/Accountability Outcom 8 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emple 0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emple 0 individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from emple 0 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen amilies* Participation Rate Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 23 Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity 6 Unsubsidized Employment 1 Work Experience 0 Community Service 0 On the Job Training 9 Job Readiness Training Activities	Section Sect

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 3	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 10.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 12	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.

			ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX NYC-HRA		
1.	2.	3.		4.	5.
Program name FFFS	2013-14	\$250,005,402 (Amount equals \$550,277,947 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$171,984,232 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$128,288,313 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional		Contract/Award Cycle 10/1/12-3/31/16 *	Procurement Methodology Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14
					\$246,549,087
		1 project(s) to serve 112 families	1 project(s) served 2,140 families		
		blic Assistance Emergency/Diversion) 1 project(s) to serve 1,896 families	1 project(s) served 480 families 1 project(s) served 2,540,135 families		
Nutrition (N) 1 project(s) to serve 2,537,638 families Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration 2 project(s) to serve 8,561 families			2 project(s) served 23,826 families		
roun bupports/be	noor comm	unity Condotration 2 project(s) to serve 0,501 failures	2 project(s) served 23,020 rammes		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	6,659 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
17 2		11.	4,618 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from ea	mployment activities [a]	
			3,070 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from		
Comply with Dom	estic Violen	ce Family Violence Option (FVO)	4,585 individuals indicated danger		
			3,048 individuals granted a waiver from program require	ments	
60 % Combined "A	All Families"	Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 169.	236	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [c]	
			23,510 Unsubsidized Employment		
			585 Subsidized Employment		
			2,518 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			3,407 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			3		

0 Job Search

0 Other

1,809 Vocational Education 2,006 Job Skills Training

27 Education Related to Employment
0 Secondary School or Equivalent

Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 19,109 Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 34.5%

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "3/31/14"	8. Spending As Of: "11/03/14"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 29,104	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 52.6%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2013 to March 2014, NYC data April 2013 to March 2014)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2013 to March 2014. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2013 to March 2014.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.
- * Except as contained in Note 1, the outcomes reported on this matrix are for the period 04/01/2013-03/31/2014.