			D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX TE SUMMARY				
	STATE SUMMARY						
1.	2.	3.		4.	5.		
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Level		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	\$389,603,574 (Amount equals \$964,000,000 allocation, plus \$558,184 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$33,550,325 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$423,205,777 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$181,088,863 transferred to Title XX, \$3,596,693 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$464,231 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$149,371 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : ''03/31/15''	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"		
					\$373,357,667		
Adult or Juvenile	Justice/Crim	ninal: 9 project(s) to serve 4,233 families	9 project(s) served 1,792 families		ψ515,551,661		
		et(s) to serve 6,896 families	16 project(s) served 8,840 families				
		ect(s) to serve 394 families	4 project(s) served 1,553 families				
		t(s) to serve 1,444 families	24 project(s) served 2,874 families				
		: 6 project(s) to serve 1,391 families	6 project(s) served 3,091 families				
		2 project(s) to serve 200 families	2 project(s) served 667 families				
		project(s) to serve 698 families	3 project(s) served 618 families				
Healthy Families/I	Home Visiti	ng: 14 project(s) to serve 803 families	14 project(s) served 2,695 families				
		t(s) to serve 3,273 families	14 project(s) served 3,558 families				
Non_Recurrent Co	osts (Non-P	ublic Assistance Emergency/Diversion): 15 project(s) to serve 1,018,938 families	15 project(s) served 2,634,819 families				
Nutrition (N): 2 p	project(s) to	serve 490 families	2 project(s) served 600 families				
Other: 0 project(s			0 project(s) served 0 families				
Program Support a	and Training	g: 2 project(s) to serve 140 families	2 project(s) served 452 families				
SSI Maximization	: 10 projec	et(s) to serve 1,317 families	10 project(s) served 965 families				
		apports: 0 project(s) to serve 0 families	0 project(s) served 0 families				
Youth Supports/So	chool Comn	nunity Collaboration: 19 project(s) to serve 12,060 families	19 project(s) served 24,915 families				
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	15,328 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems				
* * *			2,138 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from e	mployment activities [a]			
			844 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	employment activities [a]			
Complete 14 D	4: . 37: . 1	Family Vislance Ortion (EVO)	7,134 individuals indicated danger				
Comply with Dom	iestic violei	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	4,319 individuals finiteated danger	ements	_		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 188	,899			

Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	32,731 Unsubsidized Employment	
	818 Subsidized Employment	
	5,720 Work Experience	
	91 Community Service	
	9 On the Job Training	
	1,219 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	3,954 Job Search	
	2,288 Vocational Education	
	1,870 Job Skills Training	
	687 Education Related to Employment	
	89 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	28 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 25,477	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 29.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 43,073	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 49.7%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)

c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

e Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
		RO	OS SUMMARY		
1. Program name	2. SFY	SFY Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle			5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$127,472,743 (Amount equals \$413,722,053 allocation, plus \$558,184 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$30,094,010 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$249,890,659 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$62,800,550 transferred to Title XX, \$3,596,693 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$464,231 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$149,371 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
					\$114,586,836
		minal: 9 project(s) to serve 4,233 families	9 project(s) served 1,792 families		
		ct(s) to serve 6,896 families	16 project(s) served 8,840 families		
		ect(s) to serve 394 families	4 project(s) served 1,553 families		
		et(s) to serve 1,444 families	24 project(s) served 2,874 families		
		e: 5 project(s) to serve 374 families	5 project(s) served 449 families		
		2 project(s) to serve 200 families	2 project(s) served 667 families		
		project(s) to serve 698 families	3 project(s) served 618 families		
		ing: 14 project(s) to serve 803 families	14 project(s) served 2,695 families		
		t(s) to serve 3,273 families	14 project(s) served 3,558 families		<del> </del>
		Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion): 13 project(s) to serve 8,417 families	13 project(s) served 10,729 families		<del> </del>
Other: 0 project(s		o serve 490 families	2 project(s) served 600 families 0 project(s) served 0 families		<del> </del>
		g: 2 project(s) to serve 140 families	2 project(s) served 452 families		
		ct(s) to serve 1,317 families	10 project(s) served 452 families		<del>- </del>
		upports: 0 project(s) to serve 0 families	0 project(s) served 0 families		<del>- </del>
		munity Collaboration: 17 project(s) to serve 4,639 families	17 project(s) served 5,640 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	nol Requirements	9,598 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		$\dashv$
13 6		•	1,356 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from e	employment activities [a]	
			415 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from	* *	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Ontion (FVO)	3,052 individuals indicated danger		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)			1,919 individuals granted a waiver from program require	ements	
50 % Combined."	All Eamil: -	g" Participation Poto	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 33,	311	
50 % Combined A	An raillille	s" Participation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ.		$\dashv$
			10,092 Unsubsidized Employment	ուջ [Ե]	<del>- </del>
					$\dashv$
			163 Subsidized Employment		
			3,549 Work Experience		
			91 Community Service		
			9 On the Job Training		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	1,219 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	961 Job Search	
	588 Vocational Education	
	297 Job Skills Training	
	542 Education Related to Employment	
	89 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	28 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 7,960	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 23.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 15,170	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 43.9%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

## PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX ALBANY

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Level	Contract/Award Cycle	<b>Procurement Methodology</b>
FFFS	2014-15	\$5,412,939 (Amount equals \$13,786,052 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$1,813,429 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$7,879,336 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2,157,835 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$149,371 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation

6.	7.	8.
Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
		\$3,603,381
Housing Services: 1 project(s) to serve 436 families	1 project(s) served 747 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	315 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	23 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	32 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	116 individuals indicated danger	
	4 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 958	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	316 Unsubsidized Employment	
	0 Subsidized Employment	
	39 Work Experience	
	0 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	60 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	44 Job Search	
	22 Vocational Education	
	14 Job Skills Training	
	22 Education Related to Employment	
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 194	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 432	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 45.3%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

	7	0
0.	D. C	δ. 
Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were c	completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff u	sing NYCWAY and does not
include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upsta	ate data - April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)	
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	s consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	ork activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the	ne federal participation rate.
		• •
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with	federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (bo	oth countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the required has April 2014 to Moreh 2015	reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	is based on monthly average data
available April 2014 to March 2015.		
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Stafederal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	tate and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children re	eceiving assistance supported by
	ated coross the months during the year or among projects	
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated by the service of the services are cumulative numbers.	tied across the months during the year or among projects.	
Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.		

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
	ALLEGANY					
1. Program name	2. Appropriation Level SFY SPY Appropriation Level \$919,385 (Amount equals \$3,256,955 allocation, plus \$1 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$546,587 transferred in from SFY 12-  13 FFFS, less \$2,248,557 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX \$611,001 transferred to Title XX \$0 in additional				5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS				10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : ''03/31/15''	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"	
					\$919,385	
		minal: 1 project(s) to serve 12 families	1 project(s) served 3 families			
Child Well Being:	1 project	(s) to serve 41 families	1 project(s) served 232 families		<del></del>	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	nol Requirements	103 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoi	ioi requirements	1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	ovment activities [a]		
			3 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en			
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	ence Family Violence Option (FVO)	6 individuals indicated danger		_	
comply with Bon	103010 11010	nee i miniy + ioienee epuon (x + e)	6 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts		
50 0/ Cambinad "	A 11 E 11: -	all Destining tion Dete	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 202			
50 % Combined A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [c]	<del> </del>	
			50 Unsubsidized Employment	ty [c]		
			1 Subsidized Employment			
			15 Work Experience		$\dashv$	
			5 Community Service		$\dashv$	
			0 On the Job Training		$\dashv$	
			1 Job Readiness Training Activities		$\dashv$	
			8 Job Search		$\dashv$	
			3 Vocational Education		$\dashv$	
			48 Job Skills Training			
			1 Education Related to Employment			
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 32	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 87	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 57.1%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

			E AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX BROOME		
1.					5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation :		Contract/Award Cycle	<b>Procurement Methodology</b>
FFFS	\$3,452,259 (Amount equals \$8,363,149 allocation, plus \$30,899 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$58,905 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$3,629,170 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$1,346,670 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$24,854 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
					\$3,452,259
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	148 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			44 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from er	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)			110 individuals indicated danger		
			95 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 920		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			287 Unsubsidized Employment		
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			104 Work Experience		
			3 Community Service		
			2 On the Job Training		
			27 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			42 Job Search		
			11 Vocational Education		
			1 Job Skills Training		
			21 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 185		

Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.1%

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 431	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 42.2%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE AND	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
	CATTARAUGUS						
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	\$2,048,901 (Amount equals \$4,294,921 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$563,980 transferred in from SFY			10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"		
					\$1,892,415		
			project(s) served 93 families				
			project(s) served 189 families				
			project(s) served 214 families project(s) served 64 families				
			project(s) served 64 families				
			3 project(s) served 161 families				
			project(s) served 86 families				
Comply with Dru	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements 34	individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems				
		5 i	ndividuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl				
		6 i	ndividuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	mployment activities [a]			
Comply with Don	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO) 7 i	ndividuals indicated danger				
Compry with Don	nestic viole.	y	7 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements				
		M		-			
50 % Combined "	'All Familie	Turte-put-on rute	imber of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 236		<u> </u>		
		Nu	imber of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]			
			27 Unsubsidized Employment		<u> </u>		
			1 Subsidized Employment				
			6 Work Experience				
			0 Community Service				
			4 On the Job Training				
			4 Job Readiness Training Activities		$\dashv$		
			0 Job Search		$\dashv$		

2 Vocational Education

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	2 Job Skills Training	
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	1 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 25	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 23.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 40	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 37.6%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE AN	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			CAYUGA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. 4. Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle			
FFFS	\$1,360,694 (Amount equals \$3,884,497 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$699,347 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$2,698,150 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$325,000 transferred to Title XX, \$200,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
		400 4 111			\$1,023,142
		(s) to serve 100 families	1 project(s) served 111 families		
		ing: 1 project(s) to serve 40 families (s) to serve 40 families	1 project(s) served 206 families 1 project(s) served 146 families		
	1 0	rublic Assistance Emergency/Diversion): 1 project(s) to serve 100 families	1 project(s) served 43 families	<del>- </del>	
	rogram Support and Training: 1 project(s) to serve 125 families		1 project(s) served 452 families		
		c(s) to serve 30 families	1 project(s) served 152 families		
Youth Supports/So	chool Comi	munity Collaboration: 1 project(s) to serve 80 families	1 project(s) served 21 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	68 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			18 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	ployment activities [a]	
			4 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	37 individuals indicated danger		-
			36 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 131		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			57 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			15 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	0 Job Skills Training	
	3 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 36	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 15.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 68	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 28.9%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE ANI	ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		СН	AUTAUQUA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2014-15	\$1,963,351 (Amount equals \$5,402,577 allocation, plus \$22,724 transferred in fro 12-13 FFFS, less \$3,116,950 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 to 12-13 FFFS.	X, \$345,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
					\$1,911,511
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	97 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
13			18 individuals mandated to treatment - exempt from em	ployment activities [a]	
			6 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Violei	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	33 individuals indicated danger		
			11 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined "	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,0	231	-
oo /o comomea .	and annino	•	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			279 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			124 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			1 On the Job Training		
			37 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			68 Job Search		
			12 Vocational Education		
			1 Job Skills Training		
			1 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 190		

Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20.3%

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 445	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 47.5%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
			CHEMUNG			
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. 4. Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle		SFY Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle Procureme		5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$1,280,928 (Amount equals \$4,617,181 allocation, plus \$12,470 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$152,640 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$2,875,303 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$626,060 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"	
					\$1,217,231	
		ninal: 1 project(s) to serve 230 families	1 project(s) served 317 families			
inanciai Educatio	on (FE): 1	project(s) to serve 100 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		=	
Comply with Drug	g and Alcol	ol Requirements	139 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
	<u> </u>		10 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	oloyment activities [a]		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en	mployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	18 individuals indicated danger			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	16 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents		
0 % Combined ".	All Familie	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 106	5		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	rity [c]		
			39 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			14 Work Experience			
			1 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			20 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			18 Job Search			
			2 Vocational Education		_	
			0 Job Skills Training		_	
			6 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		_	
			6 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 25	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 16.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 60	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 39.7%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			CHENANGO		
1.				4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Level		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$814,353 (Amount equals \$2,358,885 allocation, plus \$8,176 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$265,255 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$1,603,105 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$214,858 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	mes As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
					\$695,296
Child Well Being:	1 project	(s) to serve 80 families	1 project(s) served 375 families		
		Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion): 1 project(s) to serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		
SSI Maximization	: 1 project	t(s) to serve 24 families	1 project(s) served 21 families		
Comply with Drug	omply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		65 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			3 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
			5 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	ence Family Violence Option (FVO)	18 individuals indicated danger		
			18 individuals granted a waiver from program requirer	ments	
50 % Combined "	All Esmilia	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 8	8	
30 % Combined 7	All Fallille	s Fatherpation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Act		<del>-</del>
			26 Unsubsidized Employment	ivity [e]	
			0 Subsidized Employment		$\neg$
			16 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			12 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			3 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			2 Education Related to Employment		
			6 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 15	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 14.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 51	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 47.5%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
			CLINTON				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. 4. Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle			· ·		5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	FFFS 2014-15 \$\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \			Allocation			
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"		
					\$1,127,877		
		s) to serve 12 families nunity Collaboration: 1 project(s) to serve 186 families	1 project(s) served 24 families 1 project(s) served 182 families		_		
Touth Supports/S	choor Com	numry Conadoration. 1 project(s) to serve 160 families	1 project(s) served 162 families		_		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	82 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems				
			11 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]			
			4 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]			
Comply with Don	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	14 individuals indicated danger				
			14 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	_		
0 0 / C 1: 1"	All E	UD district Dis	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 276	า			
0 % Combined ".	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ				
			40 Unsubsidized Employment	ity [e]			
			1 Subsidized Employment				
			8 Work Experience				
			0 Community Service				
			0 On the Job Training				
			38 Job Readiness Training Activities				
			11 Job Search				
			2 Vocational Education				
			0 Job Skills Training				
			0 Education Related to Employment				
			5 Secondary School or Equivalent				
			0 Other				

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 29	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 78	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 46.7%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		I EM OMMINCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			COLUMBIA		
1.	2.	3.	,	4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Level \$1.282.385 (Amount equals \$2.320.332 allegation plus \$0 transformed in from		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$1,282,385 (Amount equals \$3,330,333 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$134,038 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$1,456,986 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$725,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6.	7.		8.
		Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of: "03/31/15"	Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
					\$1,282,385
		(s) to serve 410 families	2 project(s) served 316 families		
		s) to serve 260 families	2 project(s) served 169 families		
Housing Services:	1 project(	s) to serve 37 families	1 project(s) served 139 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	77 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		•	6 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
			4 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	aestic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	12 individuals indicated danger		
Compry with Don	iestie violei	ice raining violence option (1 vo)	12 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
			Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 19	0	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Active 44 Unsubsidized Employment	ity [c]	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			0 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			14 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			1 Education Related to Employment		
		<del>-</del>		-	•

0 Secondary School or Equivalent

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 28	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 57	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 36.1%	

- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		I EM OMMANCE	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX CORTLAND		
1.	2.	3.		4.	5.
Program name	\$264,000 (Amount equals \$2,404,022 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 12-13  FFFS, less \$1.589,022 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$551,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology	
FFFS			10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
					\$264,000
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	76 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			10 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]	
			3 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Violei	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	37 individuals indicated danger		
			26 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	nents	
50 % Combined "/	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 71		
70 70 Comonica 1	ann ramme.	Turtopulon Ruic	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti		<del>- </del>
			54 Unsubsidized Employment	<u> </u>	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			7 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			10 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			4 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			1 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 31		
			10 11 15 11 1 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		

Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19.1%

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 69	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 42.7%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX DELAWARE						
1. Program name	1. 2. 3. 4.			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS		\$446,028 (Amount equals \$1,584,197 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$523,500 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$614,669 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15	
					\$446,028	
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	8 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			0 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp		_	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	10 individuals indicated danger			
			8 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts		
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 61			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]		
			23 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			8 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			1 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training		$\dashv$	
			0 Education Related to Employment		_	
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other		<del> </del>	

Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 14 Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 24.9%

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''03/31/15''	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 29	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 49.9%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMAN	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			DUTCHESS		
1. Program name	Appropriation Level  \$1,041,762 (Amount equals \$8,695,437 allocation, plus \$125 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 12-  13 FFFS, less \$5,710,810 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX \$1,942,990 transferred to Title XX \$0 in additional			5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS			10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
					\$1,041,762
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	112 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]	_
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	51 individuals indicated danger		
			52 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 225		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			51 Unsubsidized Employment		
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			12 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			8 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			14 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education 2 Job Skills Training		_
			3 Education Related to Employment		_
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 30		
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 10	0.5%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: ''03/31/15''	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 76	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 26.9%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ERIE		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. 4. Contract/Award Cycle		Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle Procurement Metho	
FFFS	FFFS \$\ \begin{array}{c} \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \			10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
					\$16,309,064
		(s) to serve 451 families nunity Collaboration: 2 project(s) to serve 3,420 families	1 project(s) served 421 families 2 project(s) served 3,677 families		
outif Supports/So	CHOOL COILL	numry Conadoration. 2 project(s) to serve 3,420 families	2 project(s) served 5,077 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	361 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		1	163 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]		
			21 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	821 individuals indicated danger		
			412 individuals granted a waiver from program requiren	nents	
0 0 C 1: 1"	A 11 E - 11	U.D. ciri. cir. D. c	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 5,9:	50	
0 % Combined ".	All Familie	" Participation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ		
			1,517 Unsubsidized Employment	ity [C]	
			126 Subsidized Employment		
			1,283 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			36 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			26 Vocational Education		
			111 Job Skills Training		
			58 Education Related to Employment		
_			12 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 1,947	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 38.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 2,640	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 52.5%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMAN	ICE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
			ESSEX			
1. Program name				5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	2014-15	FFFS, less \$647,870 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Tit Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence	tle XX, \$691,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"	
			21: 1: 1 1 16 D (AL LID II		\$325,000	
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	31 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	Novment activities [a]		
				2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]  1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	1 individuals indicated danger			
			3 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	ents		
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 72		_	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]		
			6 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			2 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			0 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training		_	
			0 Job Skills Training  0 Education Related to Employment		_	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		_	
			0 Other		$\dashv$	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 5		_	
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	21.2%		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 10	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 41%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFURMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX FRANKLIN		
1. Program name	1. 2. 3.		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2014-15	\$1,066,084 (Amount equals \$2,606,195 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$222,036 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$1,331,952 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$430,195 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
					\$1,066,084
		ninal: 1 project(s) to serve 20 families	1 project(s) served 236 families		
		:: 1 project(s) to serve 50 families	1 project(s) served 207 families		
		1 project(s) to serve 20 families	1 project(s) served 64 families 2 project(s) served 247 families	<b>—</b>	
ealthy Families/Home Visiting: 2 project(s) to serve 43 families ousing Services: 1 project(s) to serve 30 families			1 project(s) served 98 families		_
fon_Recurrent Costs (Non-Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion): 1 project(s) to serve 0 families			1 project(s) served 96 families		-
Program Support and Training: 1 project(s) to serve 15 families			1 project(s) served 0 families		_
		munity Collaboration: 2 project(s) to serve 85 families	2 project(s) served 268 families		$\exists$
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	nol Requirements	46 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]	
			4 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from er	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Don	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger		$\dashv$
		the raining violence opinion (x v o)	0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements		
50 % Combined "	All Esmilia	o" Posticipation Pata	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 42		
30 % Combined	All Faillille	s" Participation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [a]	_
			41 Unsubsidized Employment	ny [e]	
			0 Subsidized Employment		$\dashv$
			6 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			4 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			0 Jou Scarcii		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	0 Vocational Education	
	0 Job Skills Training	
	3 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 22	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 14.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 52	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 34.7%	

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)

[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.

[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.

[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.

[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.

[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMA	ANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			FULTON		
1. Program name	2. SFY		3. priation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$711,554 (Amount equals \$3,049,321 allocation, plus \$7,595 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$2,028,000 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$317,362 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
					\$711,554
Housing Services:	: 1 project(	(s) to serve 404 families	1 project(s) served 347 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	nol Requirements	33 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		_
		-	3 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]	
			2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)  26 individuals indicated danger					
			1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
50 % Combined ".	'All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 17		_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	rity [c]	
			15 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		_
			8 Work Experience 0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			10 Job Readiness Training Activities		$\dashv$
			6 Job Search		$\dashv$
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 17	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 32.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 32	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 60.2%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			GENESEE		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Leve		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2014-15	\$342,899 (Amount equals \$2,143,237 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from S 13 FFFS, less \$1,283,007 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title X2 additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Vio Contracts).	X, \$440,490 transferred to Title XX, \$116,693 in	10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
					\$342,899
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	57 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 7 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	exment estivities [a]	
			2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empty		
			2 marviduais mandated to dealinemy homonompt nom of	iprofilient detrities [u]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	2 individuals indicated danger		
			2 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 117		_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ty [c]	
			30 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			7 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			11 Job Readiness Training Activities 6 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 20		
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	.4%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 44	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 47.4%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMAN	ICE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			GREENE		
1. Program name	\$544,500 (Amount equals \$2,507,587 allocation, plus \$6,692 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$329,342 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$1,741,521 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$532,600 transferred to Title XX, \$25,000 in		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS			10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
1.1		1000 11	1 (2) 1 (2) 1 (2)		\$543,833
		1 project(s) to serve 180 families ing: 1 project(s) to serve 50 families	1 project(s) served 603 families 1 project(s) served 44 families		<del>- </del>
leartify 1 annines/1	TOTIC VISIC	ing. 1 project(s) to serve 30 families	1 project(s) served 44 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	108 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		-	6 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	2 individuals indicated danger		
1 3		· · · · · ·	1 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	
(0 o/ C 1: 1"	VII E .I.	U.D. dir. di. D.	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 79		
0 % Combined 7	All Familie	" Participation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	rity [a]	
			52 Unsubsidized Employment	ity [c]	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			7 Work Experience		
			5 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			6 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			18 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 43	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 36.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 73	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 60.5%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCI	E AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			HAMILTON		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2014-15	\$31,866 (Amount equals \$195,044 allocation, plus \$630 transferred in fr 13 FFFS, less \$305,147 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and	e XX. \$5,000 transferred to Title XX. \$0 in additional Child	10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
					\$31,866
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	0 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo 0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from em		
			o marviduais mandated to treatment— nonexempt from en-	proyment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger		
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	is	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 4		_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity	ty [c]	
			1 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			0 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		_
			0 Education Related to Employment		_
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent 0 Other		_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 0		_
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 6.4	10/	_

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 1	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 12.8%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMAN	NCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	HERKIMER					
1. Program name	2. SFY	Appropri	3. iation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$652,362 (Amount equals \$2,443,446 allocation, plus \$3,794 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$387,730 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$1,925,608 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$257,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	mes As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"	
					\$652,362	
		(s) to serve 87 families t(s) to serve 35 families	1 project(s) served 537 families 1 project(s) served 191 families		_	
551 Waxiiiization.	. I project	(8) to serve 33 families	1 project(s) served 171 failines			
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	nol Requirements	65 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]		
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from	employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dome	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	18 individuals indicated danger		$\dashv$	
		the second of th	16 individuals granted a waiver from program requirer	ments		
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 4			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Act	ivity [c]	<b>_</b>	
			30 Unsubsidized Employment		_	
			0 Subsidized Employment  13 Work Experience		_	
			0 Community Service		$\dashv$	
			0 On the Job Training		$\dashv$	
			14 Job Readiness Training Activities		$\dashv$	
			0 Job Search		$\dashv$	
			1 Vocational Education		$\dashv$	
			0 Job Skills Training			
			6 Education Related to Employment		7	
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		7	
			0 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''03/31/15''	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 21	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 16.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 55	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 43%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMAN	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			JEFFERSON		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriat	ion Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2014-15	\$1,283,468 (Amount equals \$5,230,762 allocation, plus \$0 transferre FFFS, less \$2,112,672 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Ti Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence a	itle XX, \$1,834,622 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
					\$1,283,468
		s) to serve 40 families	1 project(s) served 237 families		
		(s) to serve 30 families	1 project(s) served 34 families		
Youth Supports/So	chool Comi	nunity Collaboration: 1 project(s) to serve 17 families	1 project(s) served 10 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	217 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			17 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
			6 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	15 individuals indicated danger		
			9 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts	
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 229		_
		<u>I</u>	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [c]	
			47 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			33 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			29 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			6 Secondary School or Equivalent		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''03/31/15''	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 37	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 16.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 101	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 45.2%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			LEWIS		
1.	2.	3.		4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Leve		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$444,645 (Amount equals \$1,337,997 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$236,392 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$881,344 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$248,400 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
					\$439,980
Case Management	t: 1 projec	t(s) to serve 240 families	1 project(s) served 232 families		
		ing: 1 project(s) to serve 8 families	1 project(s) served 40 families		
		(s) to serve 17 families	2 project(s) served 81 families		
		Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion): 1 project(s) to serve 3 families	1 project(s) served 3 families		
Nutrition (N): 1 p	project(s) to	o serve 0 families	1 project(s) served 0 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	nol Requirements	19 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	oyment activities [a]	
			2 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	13 individuals indicated danger		_
			3 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts	
50 % Combined "	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 24		
30 % Combined 7	7 tii T aiiiiiic	5 Tatterpation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [c]	_
			6 Unsubsidized Employment	<u> </u>	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			3 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			5 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			2 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 3	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 12%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 11	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			LIVINGSTON		
1.	2.	3.		4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Le \$1,091,141 (Amount equals \$2,815,057 allocation, plus \$5,600 transferred		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2014-15	SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$972,553 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other that additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Contracts).	n Title XX, \$566,704 transferred to Title XX, \$300,000 in	10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			\$1,091,141
Enhanced Domesti	ic Violence	: 1 project(s) to serve 120 families	1 project(s) served 130 families		Ψ1,001,111
		ing: 1 project(s) to serve 60 families	1 project(s) served 136 families		
		s) to serve 120 families	1 project(s) served 147 families		
SSI Maximization:	: 1 project	(s) to serve 36 families	1 project(s) served 17 families		
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		ol Requirements	146 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			11 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	ployment activities [a]	
			12 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dome	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	15 individuals indicated danger		
			6 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1		-
		1	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			71 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			11 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			13 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			2 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			7 Education Related to Employment		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	5 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 32	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 11.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 101	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 35.7%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFURMANUE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	1	<u></u>	MADISON		
1.	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Leve	.1	4.	5. Procurement Methodology
Program name	SFI	\$679,061 (Amount equals \$2,216,590 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from \$		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2014-15	FFFS, less \$1,279,896 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 tra	\$257,633 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation
		6.	7.		8.
		Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : ''03/31/15''	Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
					\$646,730
Non_Recurrent Co	osts (Non-P	ublic Assistance Emergency/Diversion): 1 project(s) to serve 185 families	1 project(s) served 190 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	61 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			13 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em		
			1 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from 6	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Violei	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	4 individuals indicated danger		
			4 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	
60 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 21		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti 21 Unsubsidized Employment	vity [c]	_
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			8 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			2 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			7 Job Search		
			2 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
					<del> </del>

0 Other

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 17	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 15.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 29	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 26.5%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANC	E AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			MONROE		
1.	2.		4.	5.	
Program name	SFY	Appropriatio		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2014-15	\$8,765,191 (Amount equals \$28,961,477 allocation, plus \$91,067 trans SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$18,230,099 budgeted towards Child Welfare O additional Child Care Transfer, \$162,331 budgeted for Non-Residential Contracts).	ther than Title XX, \$1,998,681 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in	10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
					\$8,765,190
	1 0	(s) to serve 1,242 families	1 project(s) served 1,018 families		
	1 0	(s) to serve 907 families	1 project(s) served 283 families		
outh Supports/Sc	chool Comi	munity Collaboration: 1 project(s) to serve 280 families	1 project(s) served 120 families		_
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	2,959 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			492 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	ployment activities [a]	
			50 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	281 individuals indicated danger		
			104 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	
0.04 G 11 1114	A 11 TO 111		Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 2,83	2	
0 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity		
			1,417 Unsubsidized Employment	.y [○]	$\dashv$
			7 Subsidized Employment		
			639 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			1 On the Job Training		
			213 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			180 Vocational Education		
			25 Job Skills Training		
			125 Education Related to Employment		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	2 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 963	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 16.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 2,334	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 39.7%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

			ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX NTGOMERY		
1.	1 2	3.	NIGOWIERI	4.	5.
Program name	2. SFY	Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	Appropriation Level    SFY   Appropriation Level		10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
					\$527,593
ase Management	: 1 project	(s) to serve 1,920 families	project(s) served 2,558 families		
			(0. 1. 1. 1. 1. D. (41 1 1 D. 11		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	<u>1</u>	19 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	L	
			5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employed individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from en		
			z individuals mandated to treatment— nonexempt from el	mpioyment activities [a]	
comply with Dom	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	4 individuals indicated danger		
		4	individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts	
0 % Combined "A	All Families	1	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 190		
		1	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	rity [c]	
			70 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			12 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			4 Job Readiness Training Activities 41 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training		
			4 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
					<del>_</del>

0 Other

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 41	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 116	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 54.5%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

			CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX NASSAU		
1.	2.	3.	111400140	4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$6,133,367 (Amount equals \$23,532,682 allocation, plus \$65,685 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$12,815,000 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$3,850,000 transferred to Title XX, \$800,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: ''12/16/15'
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		\$6,133,367
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	187 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		ψ0,133,307
comply with Drug	and rucon	or requirements	30 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
			13 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	128 individuals indicated danger		_
			67 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,34		
		-	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ity [c]	
			684 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			193 Work Experience		
			25 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			25 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			145 Job Search		
			62 Vocational Education 7 Job Skills Training		
			13 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 28.7%

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 966	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 45.1%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			NIAGARA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$3,154,288 (Amount equals \$8,778,589 allocation, plus \$7,811 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$614,803 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$4,514,915 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX \$1,732,000 transferred to Title XX \$0 in			10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
					\$3,140,733
Child Well Being:	: 1 project(	s) to serve 160 families	1 project(s) served 243 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Paguiraments	211 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
Compry with Drug	g and Alcon	or Requirements	79 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]	
			19 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from		
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	111 individuals indicated danger		
			27 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined ".	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 79		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Active 277 Unsubsidized Employment	vity [c]	_
			2 Subsidized Employment		_
			63 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		_
			0 On the Job Training		<b>-</b>
			49 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			29 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			36 Education Related to Employment		
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			1 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 176		_  <b> </b>
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 1	1.0%	<b>⊣</b>
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 425		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 42.5%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and who	m were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt e	mployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upst		using NYCWAY and does not
	ate data - April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)	using NYCWAY and does not

- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE AN	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ONEIDA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$3,339,779 (Amount equals \$12,185,964 allocation, plus \$20,460 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$1,634,148 transferred in from SEV 12.13 FEES, less \$8,047,599 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2,446,004 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in			Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
					\$3,126,624
SSI Maximization	: 1 project	s) to serve 90 families	1 project(s) served 171 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	al Paquiraments	305 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
Compry with Drug	g and Alcon	or requirements	50 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]	
			15 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Comply with Dom	Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		47 individuals indicated danger		
			36 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined "	All Familias	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,4	.56	
50 % Combined 1	All Fallines	1 articipation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ		
			481 Unsubsidized Employment	27 [6]	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			134 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			41 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			4 Job Search		
			6 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			90 Education Related to Employment		
			4 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 329		
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	0.8%	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 640		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 40.3%	
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt er	mployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were coinclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstat		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	

- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMA	NCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ONONDAGA		
1.	2. 3.		4.	5.	
Program name	\$8,773,167 (Amount equals \$21,640,331 allocation, plus \$62,213 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology	
FFFS			10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
					\$8,755,245
Housing Services:	1 project(	s) to serve 100 families	1 project(s) served 107 families		
SI Maximization:	: 1 project	(s) to serve 43 families	1 project(s) served 45 families		
Comply with Drug	omply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		-	674 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
			27 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp		
			40 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from 6	employment activities [a]	_
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	107 individuals indicated danger		
1 0			101 individuals granted a waiver from program requiren	nents	
0 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 1,7	17	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			657 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			86 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			1 On the Job Training		
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			25 Job Search		
			25 Vocational Education		
			37 Job Skills Training		
			42 Education Related to Employment		
			5 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 309	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 13.1%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 802	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 34%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		I EM OMNANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
	•		ONTARIO		
1.	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Leve		4.	5.
Program name		\$1,918,001 (Amount equals \$3,286,819 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2014-15	12-13 FFFS, less \$1,008,610 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and	e XX, \$580,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation
		6.	7.		8.
		Performance/Accountability Goals	Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "03/31/15"	Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
					\$1,624,134
Child Well Being:	1 project(	s) to serve 30 families	1 project(s) served 66 families		
7 1 11 15	1 41 1	I.D.	95 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcon	of Requirements	8 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	lovment activities [a]	_
			0 individuals mandated to treatment—nonexempt from e	<u> </u>	
			o many managed to a comment of money money	inprogramme would the control of the	
Comply with Dom	nestic Violer	ice Family Violence Option (FVO)	28 individuals indicated danger		
			11 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
0 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 26		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activities	vity [c]	
			80 Unsubsidized Employment		
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			10 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			7 Job Readiness Training Activities 14 Job Search		_
					_
			5 Vocational Education 2 Job Skills Training		
			15 Education Related to Employment		$\dashv$
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		$\dashv$
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

1 Other

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 45	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 21.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 109	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 51.9%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ORANGE		
1.	2.			4.	5.
Program name	SFY	SFY Appropriation Level \$5,450,500 (Amount equals \$12,837,295 allocation, plus \$37,049 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$2,862,588 transferred in		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$8,019,391 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2,067,041 transferred to Title XX,			10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : ''03/31/15''	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
					\$4,232,083
Adult or Juvenile J	Justice/Crin	minal: 4 project(s) to serve 3,789 families	4 project(s) served 860 families		
Case Management	t: 1 project	t(s) to serve 800 families	1 project(s) served 565 families		
Non_Recurrent Co	osts (Non-P	Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion): 1 project(s) to serve 530 families	1 project(s) served 702 families		
Youth Supports/So	chool Comi	munity Collaboration: 1 project(s) to serve 55 families	1 project(s) served 87 families		
Comply with Drug	Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		169 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			15 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]	
			25 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	76 individuals indicated danger		
			75 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50.0/ Cambinad "	A 11 E:1:	- Posticination Date	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 77:	)	
30 % Combined 7	All Fallille	s" Participation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ		
			409 Unsubsidized Employment	ity [e]	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			50 Work Experience		
			9 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			63 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			2 Job Search		
			11 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			9 Education Related to Employment		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 264	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 27.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 485	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 50.6%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE ANI	D ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
		,	ORLEANS		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$391,962 (Amount equals \$1,706,269 allocation, plus \$5,511 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$7,818 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$1,077,636 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$250,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
					\$380,071
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	nol Requirements	13 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
	<del>,</del>		12 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]	
			3 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	1 individuals indicated danger		_
• •			1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents	
50.0/ C 1: 1"	A 11 E '11'	U.D. deli del D.d.	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 22	9	
50 % Combined 1	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti		
			56 Unsubsidized Employment	vity [e]	
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			23 Work Experience		
			3 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			1 Education Related to Employment		
			4 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 36		

Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 19.5%

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 76	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 41%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	OSWEGO					
1.	2. 3.		4.	5.		
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Leve		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$2,968,632 (Amount equals \$6,448,985 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$2,832,697 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$4,728,050 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$885,000 transferred to Title XX, \$700,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"	
					\$1,843,645	
		ect(s) to serve 174 families	1 project(s) served 548 families			
		e: 1 project(s) to serve 50 families	1 project(s) served 46 families			
		Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion): 1 project(s) to serve 40 families	1 project(s) served 168 families			
Youth Supports/Sc	chool Comi	munity Collaboration: 1 project(s) to serve 105 families	1 project(s) served 101 families		_	
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	nol Requirements	176 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			33 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]		
			18 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from	employment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	44 individuals indicated danger			
			39 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents		
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 44			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			121 Unsubsidized Employment			
			4 Subsidized Employment			
			21 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			25 Job Readiness Training Activities		_	
			0 Job Search			
			2 Vocational Education		$\dashv$	
			1 Job Skills Training		_	
			3 Education Related to Employment			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 67	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 11.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 165	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 28.1%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX	<u> </u>	
			OTSEGO		
1.	2.	3.		4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Le		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$598,564 (Amount equals \$2,411,179 allocation, plus \$11,556 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$33,352 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$1,627,770 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$229,753 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outco	omes As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
					\$508,859
Case Management:	: 3 project	(s) to serve 80 families	3 project(s) served 332 families		
Child Well Being:	4 project(s	s) to serve 132 families	4 project(s) served 180 families		
		ng: 2 project(s) to serve 94 families	2 project(s) served 717 families		
	Housing Services: 1 project(s) to serve 30 families		1 project(s) served 59 families		
Non_Recurrent Co	osts (Non-Pu	ublic Assistance Emergency/Diversion): 1 project(s) to serve 8 families	1 project(s) served 6 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	45 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from en	ployment activities [a]	
			2 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dome	estic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	2 individuals indicated danger		
T T T			1 individuals granted a waiver from program requiren	nents	
50 % Combined "A	Δll Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 3	34	
70 70 Combined F	in i anniles	, i utoputon xuto	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Ac		
			6 Unsubsidized Employment	·/ [*]	
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			1 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			4 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			3 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		

0 Job Skills Training

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 3	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 14.6%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 11	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 44.8%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data - April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)

- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.

Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.

Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.

Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.

Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMAN	ICE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	PUTNAM					
1. Program name			4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology		
FFFS	\$350,813 (Amount equals \$1,584,240 allocation, plus \$4,093 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$2,456 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$959,656 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$280,320 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"	
Taga Managamant	t: 1 project	e(s) to serve 51 families	1 project(s) served 260 families		\$350,813	
ase Management	t. I project	(s) to serve 31 families	1 project(s) served 200 families			
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	8 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl			
			2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from er	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger			
	_		0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ats		
60 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 34			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			4 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			3 Work Experience 0 Community Service		_	
			0 On the Job Training			
			5 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			7 Job Search		_	
			0 Vocational Education		_	
			0 Job Skills Training			
			1 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent			
			0 Other			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 4			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : ''03/31/15''	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 22.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 14	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 73.1%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
			RENSSELAER			
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$\frac{1}{2014-15}\$ \begin{array}{c} \text{\$\sum{1,005,000} (Amount equals \$5,412,457 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$3,807,457 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$600,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"	
					\$1,005,000	
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	95 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			16 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp			
			7 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from er	mployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	56 individuals indicated danger			
comply with Bon		ter aminy violence epastic ( ve)	53 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents		
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 893			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ			
			281 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			18 Work Experience			
			1 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training		_	
			5 Job Readiness Training Activities		_	
			5 Job Search		_	
			17 Vocational Education 1 Job Skills Training		<del>- </del>	
			4 Education Related to Employment			
			8 Secondary School or Equivalent		$\dashv$	
			0 Other		_	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 171			
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 20	0.4%		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 326	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 38.9%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMA	NCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ROCKLAND		
1. Program name	2. SFY		3. riation Level	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$2,768,063 (Amount equals \$10,969,137 allocation, plus \$25,168 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$471,231 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$6.697,473 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$2,000,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in		10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
					\$2,768,063
Child Only Servic	es: 1 proj	ect(s) to serve 70 families	1 project(s) served 873 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	nol Requirements	0 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
Somply with Drug	s una ricon	requirements	7 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	oyment activities [a]	
			5 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	36 individuals indicated danger		
			33 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	ents	
50 % Combined ".	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 48:	3	_
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	rity [c]	
			112 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			25 Work Experience 2 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			13 Job Readiness Training Activities		<del> </del>
			23 Job Search		$\dashv$
			1 Vocational Education		$\dashv$
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 79	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 28.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 146	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 52.1%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

			ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX T. LAWRENCE		
1.	2.	3.	T. LAWRENCE	4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Leve	el	Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$1,206,000 (Amount equals \$5,801,690 allocation, plus \$27,043 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$210,737 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$4,039,528 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$786,873 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in		10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
					\$1,178,744
Comply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	89 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			5 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
			8 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)		5 individuals indicated danger		
			4 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 58	5	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			110 Unsubsidized Employment		
			2 Subsidized Employment		
			54 Work Experience		
			3 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			60 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			4 Job Search		
			7 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			3 Education Related to Employment		
			2 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 98		
			LOUIN TO A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE	C 20/	•

Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 26.3%

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 212	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 56.9%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMA	NCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			SARATOGA		
1. Program name	2. SFY		3. priation Level ansferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$162,276 transferred in from	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$399,352 (Amount equals \$4,425,168 allocation, plus \$14,687 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$162,276 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$3,264,279 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$938,500 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).				Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
					\$399,352
Child Only Service	es: 1 proj	ect(s) to serve 10 families	1 project(s) served 18 families		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	33 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
ompiy wim Ding	5 4114 1 114 011	o. roquiomonio	3 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from e	mployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	2 individuals indicated danger		_
			3 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 80		_
		-	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			11 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			1 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training 1 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			2 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		$\dashv$
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 7	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 16%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 13	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 29.5%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

## PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX SCHENECTADY 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. Program name SFY Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle Procurement Methodology FFFS 2014-15 FFFS, less \$4,582,250 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$905,695 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts). Allocation

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
		\$1,696,701
Youth Supports/School Community Collaboration: 1 project(s) to serve 30 families	1 project(s) served 88 families	
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements	529 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems	
	9 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from employment activities [a]	
	2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from employment activities [a]	
Comply with Domestic Violence Family Violence Option (FVO)	83 individuals indicated danger	
	72 individuals granted a waiver from program requirements	
50 % Combined "All Families" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 423	
•	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activity [c]	
	171 Unsubsidized Employment	
	0 Subsidized Employment	
	5 Work Experience	
	3 Community Service	
	0 On the Job Training	
	4 Job Readiness Training Activities	
	43 Job Search	
	17 Vocational Education	
	0 Job Skills Training	
	22 Education Related to Employment	
	0 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 114	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 238	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 35.8%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom	were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt en	nployability status.
[b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were coinclude assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate		using NYCWAY and does not
[c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities	consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.	
[d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable wor	k activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the	he federal participation rate.
[e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with f	Federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.	
[f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both	th countable and not countable) for any number of hours.	
[g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the p	period divided by federal participation rate denominator.	
Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the redata available April 2014 to March 2015.	porting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC	is based on monthly average
Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to Staby federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.	te and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children r	receiving assistance supported
Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicate Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.	ed across the months during the year or among projects.	

		PERFORMAN	NCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			SCHOHARIE		
1.	2.		3.	4.	5.
Program name	SFY		ation Level	Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$460,216 (Amount equals \$1,871,781 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$90,997 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$1,020,586 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$481,976 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	s As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
					\$460,215
		(s) to serve 13 families	1 project(s) served 72 families		
Youth Supports/So	chool Com	munity Collaboration: 1 project(s) to serve 21 families	1 project(s) served 107 families		
			26 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	nol Requirements	1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	nymont activities [a]	
			1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empters of individuals mandated to treatment – nonexempt from en	<u> </u>	
			i mulviduais mandated to treatment— nonexempt from en	ipioyment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	ence Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger	0 individuals indicated danger	
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts	
0 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 46		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [c]	
			18 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			0 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			4 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			3 Job Skills Training		
			1 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			8 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 8	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 18.7%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 27	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 64.7%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			SCHUYLER		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Leve		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$255,500 (Amount equals \$994,923 allocation, plus \$176 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$74,061 transferred in from SFY 12-			10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
					\$203,388
		ing: 1 project(s) to serve 10 families	1 project(s) served 5 families		
Non_Recurrent C	osts (Non-P	Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion): 1 project(s) to serve 36 families	1 project(s) served 8 families		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	nol Requirements	15 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
ompry wan Drug	5 and 7 neon	Trequirements	1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	ovment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en		
Comply with Don	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	4 individuals indicated danger		
1 0		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	3 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	its	
0 % Combined "	'All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 7		
		-	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ity [c]	
			21 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			1 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 9	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 14.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 21	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 35.3%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANO	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			SENECA		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriatio		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$543,365 (Amount equals \$2,264,410 allocation, plus \$304 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$20,918 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$1,194,147 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$398,120 transferred to Title XX, \$100,000 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$50,000 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).				Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
					\$543,365
		s) to serve 55 families nunity Collaboration: 1 project(s) to serve 60 families	2 project(s) served 28 families 1 project(s) served 98 families		
outh Supports/SC	CHOOL COILL	numity Conadoration: 1 project(s) to serve of families	1 project(s) served 98 families		
omply with Drug	g and Alcoho	ol Requirements	54 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl-	oyment activities [a]	
			0 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from er	mployment activities [a]	
comply with Dom	nestic Violer	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	0 individuals indicated danger		
			0 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	its	_
0 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 64		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			27 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			2 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			0 Job Search 2 Vocational Education		_
			0 Job Skills Training		<del>- </del>
			0 Education Related to Employment		$\dashv$
			1 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 18	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 22.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 31	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 38.8%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE AT	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX STEUBEN		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$2,045,896 (Amount equals \$5,277,479 allocation, plus \$14,283 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$431,367 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$2,893,793 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX. \$783,440 transferred to Title XX. \$0 in			10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
					\$1,707,673
		(s) to serve 2,420 families	1 project(s) served 2,197 families		
		ing: 1 project(s) to serve 240 families	1 project(s) served 277 families		
		ublic Assistance Emergency/Diversion): 1 project(s) to serve 6,800 families serve 490 families	1 project(s) served 7,622 families 1 project(s) served 600 families		
		munity Collaboration: 1 project(s) to serve 120 families	1 project(s) served 600 families  1 project(s) served 412 families		
Toddi Sapports/Sc	nioor com	Topoda so so re 120 minute	i project(s) served 112 immies		
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	266 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			3 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	oyment activities [a]	
			3 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]	
Comply with Dome	estic Violei	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	60 individuals indicated danger		
		· · ·	18 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	ents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 286		-
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ity [c]	
			101 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			24 Work Experience		
			2 Community Service		
			1 On the Job Training		
			32 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			35 Job Search		
			7 Vocational Education		
			2 Job Skills Training		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	7 Education Related to Employment	
	1 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	9 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 71	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 26.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 158	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 59%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		I ERI ORMANCE	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX SUFFOLK		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Lo	evel	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2014-15	\$11,122,515 (Amount equals \$40,156,025 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in 13 FFFS, less \$23,708,510 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Titl Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence a	e XX, \$5,325,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
			440: 1::1 1 10 10 10 11		\$11,122,515
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	448 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 68 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	Novment activities [a]	
			27 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from e		
				<del></del>	
Comply with Don	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	288 individuals indicated danger		
			156 individuals granted a waiver from program requiren	nents	
50 % Combined "	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 5,00	63	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			898 Unsubsidized Employment		
			9 Subsidized Employment		
			190 Work Experience		
			19 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			26 Job Readiness Training Activities 49 Job Search		
			66 Vocational Education		
			24 Job Skills Training		
			4 Education Related to Employment		
			5 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 802		
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 33	3.2%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 1,160	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 48.1%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	SULLIVAN					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation I		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$1,148,393 (Amount equals \$3,112,267 allocation, plus \$10,729 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$311,037 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$1,708,140 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$562,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$15,500 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).			10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"	
		407.1	10.47		\$850,888	
		ng: 1 project(s) to serve 105 families s) to serve 325 families	1 project(s) served 947 families 1 project(s) served 188 families			
		(s) to serve 50 families	1 project(s) served 25 families			
	FJ	(4)				
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	177 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			4 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emplo	oyment activities [a]		
			3 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	39 individuals indicated danger			
			30 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts		
50 % Combined "/	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 84		_	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ty [c]		
			46 Unsubsidized Employment	-		
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			7 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			10 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			2 Job Search		$\neg$	
			0 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			2 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: ''03/31/15''	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 30	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 15.3%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 63	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 32.8%	

[a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.

- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX					
			TIOGA			
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Leve	I	4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$849,229 (Amount equals \$2,114,083 allocation, plus \$4,960 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$400,690 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$1,455,175 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$215,329 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in		10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : ''03/31/15''	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"	
					\$672,254	
		(s) to serve 70 families	2 project(s) served 119 families			
		ublic Assistance Emergency/Diversion): 1 project(s) to serve 495 families	1 project(s) served 1,910 families			
	1 0	(s) to serve 72 families	1 project(s) served 26 families			
outh Supports/Sc	chool Comi	munity Collaboration: 1 project(s) to serve 30 families	1 project(s) served 151 families			
Comply with Drug and Alcohol Requirements		ol Requirements	29 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	ployment activities [a]		
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from o	employment activities [a]		
comply with Dome	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	8 individuals indicated danger		_	
			8 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	ents		
0 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 90	)	_	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]		
			20 Unsubsidized Employment	•		
			1 Subsidized Employment			
			9 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			3 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			8 Job Search			
			0 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	3 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	1 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 16	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 24.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 28	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 43.1%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
	TOMPKINS					
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Lev		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2014-15	\$404,971 (Amount equals \$3,538,105 allocation, plus \$34,508 transferred in 13 FFFS, less \$3,167,642 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title X Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transfer	X, \$0 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care	10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"	
					\$404,971	
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	55 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems  14 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empt	olovment activities [a]		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from en		<del> </del>	
			- many additional to distance of the state o	nprofinent would [u]		
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	4 individuals indicated danger			
			3 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts		
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 110	)	-	
20 70 00111011100 1		- Land-panton France	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ			
			67 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			12 Work Experience			
			1 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			10 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			6 Vocational Education 0 Job Skills Training		<del> </del>	
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		<del> </del>	
			0 Other			
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 45		$\neg$	
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 2	2%		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: ''03/31/15''	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 88	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 43.1%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANCE A	AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX		
			ULSTER		
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Le		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$1,335,417 (Amount equals \$6,853,777 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$48,994 transferred in from SFY		10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
					\$1,335,417
		(s) to serve 250 families	1 project(s) served 1,426 families		
Inhanced Domest	tic Violence	: 1 project(s) to serve 100 families	1 project(s) served 2 families		
	1 41 1	10	16 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcon	or Requirements	10 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]	
			15 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
			15 individuals manuated to deathern moneyempt from	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	14 individuals indicated danger		
			3 individuals granted a waiver from program requireme	nts	
			Number of Familian and Account of Completed III 52		
60 % Combined ".	All Familie	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 52		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Active 156 Unsubsidized Employment	rty [c]	
			1 Subsidized Employment		
			7 Work Experience		<del>- </del>
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			1 Job Readiness Training Activities		<del>- </del>
			15 Job Search		<del> </del>
			9 Vocational Education		
			1 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 66	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 10.2%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 175	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 26.9%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		I ERFORMANCE A	ND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX WARREN		
1.	2.	3.	WARREN	4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Level	1	Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	\$745,888 (Amount equals \$1,893,639 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$31,864 transferred in from SFY 12-		10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcon	nes As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
					\$904,828
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	47 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
		•	3 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]	
			3 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from e	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dom	nestic Violei	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	13 individuals indicated danger		
			11 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	nents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 2		
		•	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	vity [c]	
			10 Unsubsidized Employment		
			0 Subsidized Employment		
			0 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			12 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			4 Job Search		
			1 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 7		

Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 15.9%

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 23	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 55.1%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
	1		VASHINGTON		1
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
Program name	SF 1		6 (Amount equals \$1,907,740 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 12-13		Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2014-15	FFFS less \$758 670 hudgeted towards Child Walfare Other than Title XX \$4	45,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child	10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcor	mes As Of , "02/21/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
		reriormance/Accountability Goals	refrormance/Accountability Outcom	nes As O1: 05/51/15	
I' C'	. 1	(-) A 490 f	1		\$678,556
		(s) to serve 480 families Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion): 1 project(s) to serve 170 families	1 project(s) served 457 families 1 project(s) served 23 families		_
ton_recurrent e	0363 (11011 1	done resistance Emergency, Diversion). I project(s) to serve 170 tunines	1 project(s) served 25 lumines		
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	nol Requirements	50 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
1 7		•	6 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	ployment activities [a]	
			2 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Don	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	34 individuals indicated danger		
		· · · ·	16 individuals granted a waiver from program requirer	nents	
0 % Combined "	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 65	5	
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Acti	vity [c]	
			21 Unsubsidized Employment		
		·	0 Subsidized Employment		
			5 Work Experience		
			1 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			20 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			2 Job Search		
			0 Vocational Education		
			0 Job Skills Training		
			0 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 14	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 23.8%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 41	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 70.4%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX WAYNE					
1.	2.	3.	WATTLE	4.	5.	
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Leve		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	\$1,854,951 (Amount equals \$3,435,384 allocation, plus \$10,096 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$325,356 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$1,654,885 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$261,000 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).		10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"	
					\$1,712,486	
		minal: 1 project(s) to serve 94 families	1 project(s) served 283 families			
		t(s) to serve 112 families	3 project(s) served 344 families			
		ect(s) to serve 140 families	1 project(s) served 114 families			
	hild Well Being: 3 project(s) to serve 124 families		3 project(s) served 291 families			
Non_Recurrent Co	osts (Non-P	Public Assistance Emergency/Diversion): 1 project(s) to serve 50 families	1 project(s) served 54 families		_	
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	nol Requirements	25 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
			17 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	loyment activities [a]		
			2 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	nployment activities [a]		
Comply with Dom	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	30 individuals indicated danger			
			7 individuals granted a waiver from program requiremen	ts		
50 % Combined "A	All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 68		_	
		·	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Active	ity [c]		
			26 Unsubsidized Employment			
			1 Subsidized Employment			
			9 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			1 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			20 Job Search			
			2 Vocational Education		_	
			0 Job Skills Training			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	0 Education Related to Employment	
	4 Secondary School or Equivalent	
	0 Other	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 23	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 14.9%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 47	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 30.9%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX WESTCHESTER				
1. Program name	2. SFY	3. Appropriation Level \$7,303,774 (Amount equals \$39,656,870 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$0 transferred in from SFY 12-13		4. Contract/Award Cycle	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2014-15	FFFS, less \$26,444,847 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX Child Care Transfer, \$0 budgeted for Non-Residential Domestic Violence and	\$5,908,249 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional	10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
			16 D (AL LID II		\$4,407,184
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	ol Requirements	293 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems 39 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp	Novement activities [a]	
			17 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from emp		_
			Try many manufacture to treatment in the manufacture in the manufactur	mprojinani uau i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	-
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	150 individuals indicated danger		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	266 individuals granted a waiver from program requirem	nents	
50 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 2,94	46	
		1	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activi	ity [c]	
			594 Unsubsidized Employment		
			3 Subsidized Employment		
			193 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		_
			0 On the Job Training		_
			207 Job Readiness Training Activities 244 Job Search		_
			42 Vocational Education		_
			9 Job Skills Training		$\dashv$
			25 Education Related to Employment		_
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		$\neg$
			0 Other		$\neg$
			Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 526		
			Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 24	1.4%	

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 1,122	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 52.1%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMANO	CE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX			
			WYOMING			
1. Program name	2. SFY		3. 4. Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle		5. Procurement Methodology	
FFFS	2014-15	\$639,821 (Amount equals \$1,777,431 allocation, plus \$5,511 transferrous SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$1,085,088 budgeted towards Child Welfare Otladditional Child Care Transfer, \$147,272 budgeted for Non-Residentia Contracts).	her than Title XX, \$166,310 transferred to Title XX, \$55,000 in	10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation	
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcome	es As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"	
					\$630,278	
Couth Supports/S	School Com	munity Collaboration: 1 project(s) to serve 55 families	1 project(s) served 232 families			
Comply with Drug	g and Alcoh	nol Requirements	18 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems			
T T T T T	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]		
			1 individuals mandated to treatment– nonexempt from en	mployment activities [a]		
Comply with Don	nestic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	10 individuals indicated danger			
			4 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts		
60 % Combined "	'All Familie	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 47			
,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		- Landopadon Tant	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]		
			9 Unsubsidized Employment			
			0 Subsidized Employment			
			7 Work Experience			
			0 Community Service			
			0 On the Job Training			
			17 Job Readiness Training Activities			
			0 Job Search			
			1 Vocational Education			
			0 Job Skills Training			
			0 Education Related to Employment			
			4 Secondary School or Equivalent		_	
			0 Other			

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 7	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 17.5%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 30	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 75.6%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

		PERFORMA	NCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX				
			YATES				
1. Program name	2. SFY		3. Appropriation Level		Appropriation Level Contract/Award Cycle	••	5. Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2014-15		ed in from Summer Youth, plus \$194,489 transferred in from SFY 12- an Title XX, \$236,916 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in additional Child be and \$0 transferred to State Administered Contracts).	10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation		
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	es As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"		
					\$195,948		
inancial Educatio	on (FE): 1	project(s) to serve 48 families	1 project(s) served 63 families				
Comply with Drug	and Alcoh	ol Requirements	4 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems				
ompiy wan Brag	, und 1 110 011	or requirements	1 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from empl	oyment activities [a]			
			1 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from en	mployment activities [a]			
Comply with Dom	estic Viole	nce Family Violence Option (FVO)	1 individuals indicated danger				
			1 individuals granted a waiver from program requirement	nts			
50 % Combined "A	All Families	s" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 13		_		
		-	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]			
			5 Unsubsidized Employment				
			0 Subsidized Employment				
			0 Work Experience				
			0 Community Service				
			0 On the Job Training				
			4 Job Readiness Training Activities		_		
			0 Job Search 0 Vocational Education		_		
			6 Job Skills Training		$\dashv$		
			0 Education Related to Employment		$\dashv$		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		$\dashv$		
			0 Other				

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 3	
	Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 11.4%	
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 10	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 43.2%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.

	PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY MATRIX NYC-HRA				
1.	2.	3.	NIC-IIKA	4.	5.
Program name	SFY	Appropriation Level		Contract/Award Cycle	Procurement Methodology
FFFS	2014-15	\$262,130,831 (Amount equals \$550,277,947 allocation, plus \$0 transferred in from Summer Youth, plus \$3,456,315 transferred in from SFY 12-13 FFFS, less \$173.315,118 budgeted towards Child Welfare Other than Title XX, \$118.288.313 transferred to Title XX, \$0 in		10/1/13-3/31/17 *	Allocation
		6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcom	nes As Of : "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
					\$258,770,831
		1 project(s) to serve 1,017 families	1 project(s) served 2,642 families		
		ablic Assistance Emergency/Diversion): 2 project(s) to serve 1,010,521 families	2 project(s) served 2,624,090 families		
outh Supports/Sci	hool Comm	nunity Collaboration: 2 project(s) to serve 7,421 families	2 project(s) served 19,275 families		
omply with Drug	and Alcoho	ol Requirements	5,730 individuals assessed for Drug/Alcohol Problems		
			782 individuals mandated to treatment – exempt from em	ployment activities [a]	
			429 individuals mandated to treatment- nonexempt from	employment activities [a]	
Comply with Dome	estic Violen	ice Family Violence Option (FVO)	4,082 individuals indicated danger		
			2,400 individuals granted a waiver from program require	ements	
0 % Combined "A	All Families	" Participation Rate	Number of Employment Assessments Completed [b] 155	5,588	_
		•	Number of TANF & SN MOE Adults Engaged By Activ	ity [c]	
			22,639 Unsubsidized Employment		
			655 Subsidized Employment		
			2,171 Work Experience		
			0 Community Service		
			0 On the Job Training		
			0 Job Readiness Training Activities		
			2,993 Job Search		
			1,700 Vocational Education		_
			1,573 Job Skills Training		
			145 Education Related to Employment		
			0 Secondary School or Equivalent		
			0 Other		

Number of TANF & SN MOE Countable Cases [d] 17,517 Combined Participation Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [e] 33.6%

6. Performance/Accountability Goals	7. Performance/Accountability Outcomes As Of: "03/31/15"	8. Spending As Of: "12/16/15"
	Number of TANF & SN MOE Engaged Cases [f] 27,903	
	Combined Engagement Rate (TANF & SN MOE) [g] 53.5%	

- [a] Number of individuals, on average, during the reporting period, who had been assessed previously and whom were determined to have substance abuse problems that results in either exempt or nonexempt employability status.
- [b] The employment assessment data for upstate districts is based on the total number of assessments that were completed by upstate district staff using the Caseload Management System (CMS) and NYC staff using NYCWAY and does not include assessments nor reassessments that were completed but not entered onto the CMS or NYCWAY. (Upstate data April 2014 to March 2015, NYC data April 2014 to March 2015)
- [c] Number of adults by activity is not unduplicated as individuals often participate in concurrent work activities consistent with the individual's assessment and employment plan.
- [d] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is participating in countable work activities for a sufficient number of hours to meet federal standard and count the case towards the federal participation rate.
- [e] Combined participation rate is based on data reported by district during the period and is not consistent with federal methodology for calculating State participation rate for the federal fiscal year.
- [f] Represents the average number of cases during the period with an adult who is engaged in work activities (both countable and not countable) for any number of hours.
- [g] Combined engagement rate is based on the average number of countable and not countable cases during the period divided by federal participation rate denominator.
- Note 1: Participation and engagement related data for rest of state districts is based on monthly average for the reporting period of April 2014 to March 2015. Participation and engagement related data for NYC is based on monthly average data available April 2014 to March 2015.
- Note 2: Participation and engagement in work activity data includes outcomes which would be attributable to State and local funds used to support employment services for households with dependent children receiving assistance supported by federal or maintenance of effort (MOE) funds.
- Note 3: The families served reported for TANF Services Projects are cumulative numbers that are not unduplicated across the months during the year or among projects.
- Note 4: Some activities and outcomes are supported by prior year funds.